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OXFORD

## PREFACE

Of the new literary pieces here published, 1231 and 1233-5 proceed from the second of the large literary finds of 1906, with some small additions from the work of the next season. The remainder, with the extant and non-literary papyri, were for the most part found in 1903 -4.

It is a great pleasure to be able to restore to the title-page of this volume the name of the friend and colleague whose absence during the last five years has been so much regretted. The earlier portion of the book was already in shape when Dr. Grenfell came back to Oxford, but he has shared in the editing of the non-literary texts, besides helping materially in the revision of the whole. In future we hope to return to the old division of labour, and so by degrees to reduce the arrears in the publications of the Graeco-Roman Branch.

To Professor U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff I am under fresh obligations for most generous assistance in connexion with the new classical texts, 1231-41. Professor U. Wilcken has repeated his kind service of reading the non-literary documents in proof and affording the benefit of his criticism ; and Professor L. Mitteis, as on many previous occasions, has given valuable advice on some points of Graeco-Roman law. To these scholars, as to one or two others from whom occasional welcome contributions have been received, belong the hearty thanks of both the editors of this volume and its readers.

ARTHUR S. HUNT.
Queer's College, Oxford, J^人., 1914.
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## NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The general method followed in this volume is the same as that in Parts I-IX. Of the new literary texts, 1224 and $1231-4$ are printed in a dual form, a literal transcript being accompanied by a reconstruction in modern style ; 1242 is given in modern form only. In the others, and in the fragments of extant authors, the originals are reproduced except for division of words, capital initials in proper names, expansion of abbreviations, and supplements of lacunae. Additions or corrections by the same hand as the body of the text are in small thin type, those by a different hand in thick type. Non-literary documents are given in modern form with accentuation and punctuation. Abbreviations and symbols are resolved; additions and corrections are usually incorporated in the text, their occurrence being recorded in the critical apparatus, where also faults of orthography, \&c., are corrected if they seemed likely to give rise to any difficulty. Iota adscript has been printed when so written, otherwise iota subscript is employed. Square brackets [ ] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces $\}$ a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets [[]] a deletion in the original. Dots placed within brackets represent the approximate number of letters lost or deleted; dots outside brackets indicate mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Letters with dots underneath them are to be considered doubtful. Heavy Arabic numerals refer to the texts of the Oxyrhynchus papyri in this volume and in Parts I-IX, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

The abbreviations used in referring to papyrological publications are practically those adopted in the Archiv fiir Papyrusforschung, viz. :-
P. Amh. = The Amherst Papyri (Greek), Vols. I-II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.

Archiz = Archio für Papyrusforschung.
B. G. U. $=$ Aeg. Urkunden aus den K. Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden.
P. Brit. Mus. = Greek Papyri in the British Museum, Vols. I-II, by F. G. Kenyon ; Vol. III, by F. G. Kenyon and H. I. Bell ; Vol. IV, by H. I. Bell.
C. P. Herm. $=$ Corpus Papyrorum Hermopolitanorum, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
C. P. R. = Corpus Papyrorum Raineri, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
P. Cairo Cat. $=$ Catalogue des Antiquités égyptiennes du Musée du Caire, Papyrus grecs d'époque byzantine, Vols. I-II, by J. Maspero.
P. Cairo Preis. = Griechische Urkunden des Aeg. Museums zu Kairo, by F. Preisigke.
P. Fay. = Fayûm Towns and their Papyri, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth.

I'. Flor. = Papiri Fiorentini, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli ; Vol. II, by D. Comparetti.
P. Gen. = Les Papyrus de Genève, Vol. I, by J. Nicole.
P. Giessen $=$ Griechische Papyri zu Giessen, Vol. I, by E. Kornemann, O. Eger, and P. M. Meyer.
P. Goodsp. $=$ Greek Papyri from the Cairo Muscum, by E. J. Goodspeed (University of Chicago Decennial Publications).
P. Grenf. = Greck Papyri, Series I, by B. P. Grenfell, and Series II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Hamburg = Griechische Urkunden der Hamburger Stadtbibliothek, Parts 1-2, by P. M. Meyer.
P. Hibeh $=$ The IIibeh Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Leipzig $=$ Griechische Urkunden der Papyrussammlung zu Leipzig, Vol. I, by L. Mitteis.
P. Munich $=$ Veröffentlichungen aus der Papyrussammlung zu Minchen, Part I, by A. Heisenberg and L. Wenger.
P. Oxy. = The Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Parts I-VI, by B. P'. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt ; Parts VII-IX, by A. S. Hunt.
P. Par. = Les Papyrus grecs du Muséc du Louvre, Notices et Extraits, t. xviii. 2, by W. Brunet de Presle and E. Egger.
P. Petrie $=$ The Flinders Petrie Papyri, Parts I-II, by J. P. Mahaffy ; Part III, by J. P. Mahaffy and J. G. Smyly.
P. Reinach $=$ Papyrus grecs et démotiques, by Théodore Reinach.
P. Rylands $=$ Catalogue of the Greek Papyri in the Rylands Library, Manchester, Vol. I, by A. S. Hunt ; Vol. II in the press.
P. S. I. = Papiri della Societa italiana, Vols. I-II, by G. Vitelli and others.
P. Strassb. $=$ Griceh. Papyrus der K. Universitätsbibliothek zu Strassburg im Elsass, Vol. I, by lF. Preisigle.
P. Tebt. $=$ The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly ; and Part II, by 13. I'. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and E.. J. Goodspeed.
P. Thead. = I'apyrus de Théadelphic, by I'. Jouguct.

P'. Tor. = Papyri Gracei Regii Taurinensis Musci Aegyptii, by A. Pcyron.
Wilcken, Ost. = Griechische Ostraka, by U. Wileken.

## I. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS

## 1224. Uncanonical Gospel.

Fr. $26.3 \times 13.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fourth century. Plate I
(Fr. i recto, Fr. 2 verso).
These small but highly interesting fragments from a papyrus book are written with care in an upright uncial hand of medium size. The contrast between dark and light strokes is well marked, and the frequent thickening at the tops of letters gives a somewhat ornate effect ; cf. 1229. o varies in size, being sometimes quite small, sometimes on the same scale as the other letters; $\mu$ also is inconsistent, the internal part being either angular or curved ; $v$ generally has a long tail, whereas $\rho$ is shorter and sometimes does not descend at all below the line. Hands of this type are commonly assigned to the fourth century, and to that period the present example may also be attributed, though it is likely to have been written early in the century rather than late, and a third century date is not out of the question. 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} s$ is abbreviated $\overline{\imath \eta}$, as in 1079, a papyrus of about the same age. $\quad v$ at the end of a line sometimes appears as a horizontal stroke over the preceding vowel ; an angular sign to fill up a short line is once used. Both fragments are from the tops of leaves, and the columns or pages were numbered, in one place ( 2 verso i) certainly, in another ( 2 recto ii) probably, in the formal script of the text below. In Fr. I recto and 2 recto i, on the other hand, the figures are more negligently written, but since an intermittent numeration would be inconvenient, they are likely, nevertheless, to have proceeded from the pen of the original scribe.

Fr. 2 contains two columns on recto and verso, and the question arises whether this is to be regarded as a single leaf with double columns, or as two leaves with a single column to the page. Since Col. $i$ of the verso is numbered 174 and Col. i of the recto $[\mathrm{I}] 76$, it is clear that verso i , ii , recto i were consecutive ; but if the fragment consists of two leaves, recto ii immediately preceded verso $i$, instead of following recto $i$, as it would if a single leaf with double columns be supposed. The latter hypothesis is supported by the narrow space between the columns and the absence of a strongly marked crease down the
middle of it. But the space is not narrower than in P. Rylands 28, a certain instance of a double leaf, though no doubt the book to which that belonged was not nearly so bulky as the one under consideration; moreover, there is a crease, though not a deep one, in this space, and the fold is in the right direction, i. e. it would make the verso lie uppermost in the quire. Several other considerations support the theory of the double leaf as against the double column. (I) Single columns were apparently customary in papyrus books in Egypt. (2) In a book composed of leaves with double columns, the second column on every page should have an even number; but here the number of the second column would be odd. (3) Col. i of the recto stands higher by nearly a line than Col. ii. Contiguous columns were not, indeed, always kept parallel, but an inequality would be more liable to occur if the columns did not stand side by side on the same page. The balance of probability, therefore, inclines to the supposition that Col. ii recto is the page preceding Col. i verso. If this be correct, it is likely that the column was of no great height, and it may be estimated at about twenty lines at most.

In Fr. I, numbered on the recto 139, so little is preserved that no reconstruction is practicable. On the recto the words $\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} v \dot{v}[\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ show that the Saviour is speaking, and a similar inference is probably to be drawn from the second person plural $i \mu t i s$, which is the only complete word on the verso. Between this leaf and Fr .2 there was a wide interval, the next pagination number preserved being 174, at the top of Fr. 2 verso i. If, as we have supposed, this page was preceded by Col. ii of the recto, the number to be restored there is 1[73]. The subject of that column is again not clear. Seemingly it describes an appearance in a vision of Jesus, who speaks words of comfort or exhortation, but the occasion and the person addressed remain in doubt. That the incident to which the passage relates is the walking on the sea (Matt. xiv. 25 sqq., Mark vi. 48 sqq.) seems unlikely, and the reference is perhaps to something not reported in the Canonical Gospels. Dr. Bartlet, after suggesting that the lines expand the account of the Call of Peter contained in Luke v. I-Io by a description of a supplementary commission given in a nocturnal vision, now inclines to the view that they relate to a vision of consolation and encouragement following Peter's Fall. Either of these explanations, if adopted, would have an important bearing on the problem of the identity of the work to which the fragment belongs; see below, pp. 4-5. The next column (2 verso i) is not more extensive, but enough is preserved to indicate that questions were being addressed to Christ concerning the nature of His mission and teaching. Apart from the phrase 'new doctrine', however (cf. Mark i. 27), the language finds no evident parallels in the pages of the Evangelists.

In the two following columns firmer and more familiar ground is reached. Fr. 2 verso ii describes in language similar to that of the Synoptists, though more concisely, the offence taken by the scribes, Pharisees, and priests at seeing Jesus consorting with sinners, with His answer, which appears to have been in the form given it by St. Luke. Col. i of the recto contains two recorded Sayings put in a novel relation. The injunction to pray for enemies found in Matthew and Luke is followed by the sentence 'For he that is not against you is with you' (so Luke: 'us' Matt.) ; and this line of thought is carried on, if the restoration is correct, by an otherwise unrecorded Saying that the man who to-day is afar off will to-morrow be near at hand. The mention of 'the adversary' in the next line suggests a further development of the same idea.

How are these fragments to be classified ? Are they part of an uncanonical Gospel covering much the same ground as the Synoptic Gospels, or do they come from a collection of Sayings of Jesus like that of which portions have been previously recovered ( 1,654 , possibly also, as some think, 655 and the Vienna fragment from the Fayûm)? The latter hypothesis may be supported by more than one argument. In the first place it is to be remarked that, in these mutilated remains of six columns, Jesus is always either actually speaking or about to speak. Moreover, the discourse here attributed to Him shows the same admixture of novel and familiar elements as the two Oxyrhynchus fragments of collected Sayings ( 1,654 ) and the so-called fragment of an uncanonical Gospel (655) which has been referred by some critics to the same collection. Again, in each of those three papyri there were certain special points of contact with St. Luke's Gospel; in 1224 specific Lucan affinities may again be observed (I verso ii. 5-6, 2 recto i. 3). But there is at any rate one notable divergence from 1 and 654: the formula 'Jesus saith', which there introduced the various Sayings, is here absent. Instead of this, in Fr. 2 verso ii. $4-5$ the words addressed to the murmuring scribes and Pharisees are preceded by ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{s}$ àкov́ $\sigma a s$ [ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ (or $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \iota$ ), just as in the parallel passages of the Synoptists. There is thus good reason for declining to refer 1225 to the same collection as 1 and 654. Possibly other collections differently put together were in circulation ; but the alternative view, that our fragments belong to an uncanonical Gospel, is the more natural. In such scanty remains as these the absence of pure narration is an extremely precarious argument; and it may be held that the introductions to the Lord's words in Fr. 2 verso are more in the manner of a connected narrative than a collection of Sayings as such. There is indeed the analogy of 654. 32-6, where a series of questions from the disciples are quoted; but nowhere else in that papyrus or in 1 was the context of a Saying given, and the occurrence here of two or, including Fr. 2 recto ii, even three instances within so small a compass
thus affords a distinct point of contrast. Stress will perhaps be laid on the brevity of the introduction to the reply to the scribes and Pharisees in Fr. 2 verso ii, as compared with the corresponding accounts of the Evangelists. This, however, depends to some extent upon the restoration, and would be less striking if, for example, the alternative supplement suggested in the note ad loc. were adopted. Moreover, the conciseness here is counterbalanced by the fullness of the preceding column. It is also significant that in Fr. 2 verso i, ii, recto i, which were certainly consecutive, a natural sequence of events is traceable, substantially that of St . Luke, to whom, as already remarked, the fragments show linguistic relationship. The questions put concerning the new doctrine in Fr. 2 verso i, as might be expected, precede (cf. Mark i. 27), and may be supposed to have arisen out of the claim to forgive sins as recorded in Luke v. 17 sqq. Col. ii is parallel to Luke v. 27 sqq., while recto $i$ embodies some of the teaching of the Sermon on the Mount, reported in Luke vi. The inference will follow that these columns stood comparatively early in the Gospel, which therefore, on account of the high pagination numbers, must have been preceded by some other work. Whether Fr. I belongs to the same work as Fr. 2 thus becomes questionable.

For the identification of this Gospel, if it be rightly regarded as such, decisive indications appear to be lacking. A search among the extant non-canonical Sayings has failed to disclose points of contact; nor are traces of bias in favour of or against any particular sect recognizable. It is natural to think of the Gospel according to the Egyptians ; but beyond some a priori probability in the case of a document circulating in Egypt, little can be urged in support of this identification, and the distinctive characteristics commonly attributed to that Gospel are not here in evidence. Perhaps 1224 belongs to the same work as 655 , which, though probably distinct from the Gospel according to the Egyptians, had some affinity 'to it. In their relation to the Synoptic Gospels there is a general similarity between 655 and 1224 ; both exhibit a free handling of Synoptic material, and a tendency towards abridgement. The fact that the two papyri are derived from the same site lends the hypothesis of a common source a certain plausibility. A more definite suggestion is made by Dr. Bartlet, who is inclined to refer Fr. 2 to the Gospel of Peter. This view rests upon the interpretation mentioned above of Fr .2 recto ii as concerned in some way with that disciple. In the eponymous Gospel an amplification of any incident relating to him would be likely enough, and since the Gospel was written in the first person, the use of $\mu \epsilon$ in 1.1 is very appropriate. If it refers to the Call, this column should precede verso $i$, an arrangement already found probable on palacographical grounds; but the difficulty pointed out in that connexion (p. 2), arising from
the narrowness of the inter-columnar space, becomes accentuated, since the Akhmim fragment shows that the Gospel of Peter was a work of considerable compass, for the completion of which many more pages would be needed. To evade the obstacle by the assumption that our Gospel was not finished in a single volume, but extended into a second, is not altogether satisfactory. If, on the other hand, recto ii be connected with Peter's Fall, this column will follow recto i, and the double-column formation of the pages must be assumed. This, as remarked above, is on external evidence less satisfactory; but a more serious difficulty is the resulting necessity of supposing the omission in this Gospel of all the matter found in the Canonical Gospels between the Sermon on the Mount (Fr. 2 recto i) and the Fall of Peter. Dr. Bartlet holds this to be possible on a theory of the Gospel of Peter making it highly selective in the narrative of events preceding the Passion, in which interest was centred. Such a view, however, needs further substantiation. Another objection to any identification with the Gospel of Peter is that in the extant fragment of it the name Jesus is not used, being replaced by ó кúpıos. Until further discoveries throw fresh light upon the problem, it will probably be necessary to acquiesce in a conclusion of non liquet.

| Fr. I recto. Plate I. $\rho \lambda \theta$ |
| :---: |
|  |
| [. . . . . . . . $] \mu \omega \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu \ddot{v}$ |
| [. . . . . . . . . . . . .] $\epsilon \iota \sigma[$ |

## Col. i.

po $\delta$
[. . . . . . .] $] \pi \epsilon \sigma \mu \eta \alpha \pi о к \rho \epsilon \iota \nu о$
[. . . . . . . . .] $] \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \sigma \pi[\cdot] \iota \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon$
[. . . . . . . . .]X ${ }^{2} \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \nu[.] \delta \iota$
[. . . . . . . . . .] . [. . . .] $] \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \bar{o}$
5 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] $\theta_{\eta \tau \iota к а \iota ~}^{\text {. }}$
Fr. I verso.
$\sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota \ddot{v} \mu \in \iota \sigma[$. . . . . . . . .
$\sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota \ddot{v} \mu \in \iota \sigma[$. . . . . . . . .
[. .]. $\eta \tau[$

Plate I.



Fr. 2 recto.

## Col. i.

Jos
[. . . . . .] $]!\pi[] .0 \sigma \epsilon v \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \ddot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ >
[. . . . . .] $\omega \omega \nu \ddot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \mu \eta \bar{\omega}$
[. . . . . .] $\omega \nu \ddot{̈} \pi \epsilon \rho \ddot{̈} \mu \omega \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$
[. . . . . . .] $] \mu \alpha к р а \nu \alpha \nu \rho ı o \nu ~$
5 [. . . . . . . .] $] \nu \eta \sigma є \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu$
[. . . . . . . . . .]. тоvantidi[. . .
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] $]_{\circ} \nu \nu \omega \nu[. ~ . ~ . ~$

## Fr. I recto. Plate I. <br> $\rho \lambda \theta$ <br> ] $\nu \tau \iota \iota \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ <br> [. . . . . . . $] \mu \omega \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ ن́[ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega . . . . . ..] \epsilon \iota \sigma[$

Col. ii.

```
                                    \rho[
\mu\epsilon\epsilon\beta\alpha\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu\kappa\alpha![
\nuovi\eta}[.]\nu0\rho\alpha\mu\alpha[
\tau\iota\alpha0[. .]\epsilon\iota\sigmaov\gamma\alphap[.
[.]u \alpha\lambda\lambda\alphao[.
5 \deltaov\sigma\epsilon\pi[.
```

Fr. I verso.

$\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$. ن́ $\mu \in i ̂ s$ [.
[. .] • $\eta \tau[$

Whether the recto of this leaf preceded the verso or vice versa there is no sure means of deciding. The subject of what remains of both pages is also quite uncertain. In 1.2 of the recto the doubtful $\mu$ may be $\pi$, or perhaps $\iota$.

```
Fr. 2 recto. Col. ii.
\(p[0 \gamma\)
\(\mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu . \quad \kappa \alpha \grave{i}[\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́-\)
vov 'I \(\eta(\sigma o \hat{v})\left[\begin{array}{c}\epsilon\end{array}\right] \nu\) ó \(\rho \alpha ́ \mu \alpha[\tau \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota\)
\(T i ́ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta[v \mu] \in i ̂ s ; ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho[. . . . .\).
[ \(\sigma\) ]ù \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha}\) ó [. . . . . . . . . . .
5 סoùs \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi\) [
```

${ }^{1} 73$.
‘ $\because$. overcame me. And Jesus stood by in a vision and said, Why art thou cast down? For it is not thou who . . . but he who gave (?) . . .

The question of the position of this page has been discussed in the introduction.

Unfortunately its contents are also obscure. The only passage where the word öpaua is
 and it is remarkable, as Prof. Swete has pointed out, that $\beta_{a \rho \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota}$ occurs in the description
 subject of the present passage, however, appears to be quite different. That the nominative

 In 1. 2 you can hardly be interpreted otherwise than as the termination of a participle, though the genitive causes difficulty, since 'I $\eta \sigma o v=s$ is the natural subject of the sentence. This type of construction is, however, to be found in classical Greek as well as in the Kovi' ; the genitive may even be a clerical error and not attributable to the author. For
 suits the remains better than $\delta i^{\prime}$ óááaтos (Acts xviii. 9). $\dot{a} \theta[v \mu] \in i s$ is very doubtful; the shape of the letter following the $a$ is more like that of $\theta$ than of $o$, which both when written large or small is nearly circular. Moreover an o is very intractable here; the " would inevitably have to be connected with the preceding letters, whereas a question $\tau i$. . . fits in much better with the context ; cf. e. g. Matt. viii. 26 кai $\lambda$ éy $\epsilon$ av̉roîs Tí detioi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, ठ̀ $\lambda \iota \gamma$ órıoтot; The objection to $\theta$ is that there is no visible trace of the cross-bar, although the surface of the papyrus in the middle of the letter is not appreciably worn. $\sigma$ is less suitable. At the beginning of l. $4 v$ is not altogether satisfactory on account of the comparative shortness of the tail ; but $\pi$ or $\tau$ is still more objectionable. If $v$ is right, an emphatic $[\sigma] \dot{v}$ seems more probable than - $[0] v$, and a convenient antithesis is obtained by
 " $\delta$ © $\omega$ кas. On the supposition that the passage described Peter's restoration from the remorse
 $\dot{\epsilon \pi}\left[\iota \tau a \gamma^{\prime} \nu\right.$, e. g., may be restored on the view of the passage as concerned with the Call of Peter ; cf. introd. pp. 2, 4.

Fr. 2 verso. Col. i. Plate I.
pod
$\epsilon \hat{i}] \pi \in s \quad \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\alpha} \pi о к \rho \iota \nu o ́-$
$[\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s . \quad \tau i ́$ oû̀ $\alpha$ ả] $] \pi \epsilon i \pi \alpha a s ; \pi[0] i ́ \alpha \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon ́$
$[\phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \iota \delta \alpha] X \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \nu[\grave{\eta} \nu] \delta t-$
$[\delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, \vec{\eta} \tau i ́ \beta] \alpha[\pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu] \alpha$ каıขòv

174.
'. . . thou didst say . . ., making no answer. What then hast thou forbidden ? What is the new doctrine that they say thou teachest, or what the new baptism that thou dost preach? Answer and . . .'

Though the wording of this passage is open to doubt, its purport may be recovered with probability. кauvóv points clearly to кau $[\{\dot{\eta} \nu]$ in the preceding line, and, given $] \times \eta \nu$ кauv $\{\eta \nu]$,

 be assumed to be the first syllable of the verb $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. The interrogative $\pi[0]$ ia , which is almost inevitable, fits in admirably with these supplements, and $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi о к \rho \nu \nu о[\mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ l i n e ~}$ above, which suggests $\dot{a} \pi o \kappa \rho i] \theta \eta \tau \iota$ in 1.5 , is also quite in keeping. $\sigma^{\prime}$ following $\pi[0]$ la ${ }^{\prime}$ implies
 are more questionable. In l. I $\pi$ of $\pi \epsilon s$, which is doubtless the termination of a verb, is practically certain, and $\left.\epsilon^{i}\right] \pi \in s$ is better suited to the present participle $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \% \kappa p \nu \nu[\mu \epsilon \nu o s$ than e. g. $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ kate $\left.\lambda_{i}\right] \pi \epsilon s$, although the use of the form - $\epsilon \tau \pi a s$ in such close proximity constitutes something of a stumbling-block. The letter preceding $\epsilon \pi \pi a s$ is doubtfully identified as a $\pi$. What remains is a vertical stroke with a small tip to the right of its top. In other examples of $\pi$ in this papyrus the cross-stroke does not project beyond the uprights, but a slight inaccuracy in this respect may easily have occurred here and there. The top of $c$ or $\eta$, which are the alternatives, turn, if at all, to the left, not to the right. $\pi$ is therefore preferable, whether the primary meaning 'declare' or the commoner signification 'forbid' be adopted, and $\tau i \bar{i}] \pi \epsilon i \pi \mu s$ gives a good sense ; $i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon i v$ is, however, not found in the Gospels. In 1. 4 ] a may well be $\tau i \nu]$ a, e. g. $\tau i \nu]$ каиขò [ $\nu i ́ \mu \nu \nu$, but the lacunae are perhaps rather easier to fill if some neuter substantive like $\beta$ áт $\tau \tau \sigma \mu] a$ or $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho v \gamma \mu \bar{a}$ be restored. The vestige in the middle of the line is of little assistance, except that it indicates a somewhat tall letter, such as a usually is, but an $\eta$ or $\iota$, e. g., is also possible. For ßaimтєб $\mu a$ к $\eta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \downarrow$ cf. Mark i. 4, \&c., and, for the likelihood of questions concerning a 'new baptism', John iv. I-2 $\eta_{k o v \sigma a \nu ~ o i ~}^{\text {on }}$
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\beta} \pi \tau \tau \zeta \zeta \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀$ aùтov̂. $\left.\beta\right] \hat{a}[\pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu] a$ would scarcely be too long for the available space.

> Fr. 2 verso. Col. ii. Plate I. [ $\rho \circ \epsilon$
> oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ र $\gamma \alpha \mu \mu \mu \tau \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к \alpha[i ̀ ~ \Phi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \alpha \hat{\imath}-$
$\tau \omega \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu ́ \epsilon[\sigma o \nu ~ k \epsilon i ́ \tau \alpha l . ~ \dot{~}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [iaт } \rho o \hat{\text { u }}] \text {. [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1} 75 \cdot$
'The scribes and Pharisees and priests secing him had indignation because he reclined in the midst of sinners. And Jesus hearing them said, They that are whole need not a physician, [but they that are sick].'

There is much similarity between this passage and the Synoptists, e. g. Mark ii. ${ }^{15} 5-17$


 where the same verb ovvavéк九uтo is used, but only the Pharisees are mentioned as objectors
 the papyrus, in place of $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \chi^{\text {íveres. }}$. For oi iepeis cf. Luke xx. $\mathbf{1}$, where the MSS. are divided between ípeis and the more usual àpxıefeis. The vestige in 1. 7 may well be the top of the $a$ of $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{a}^{\prime}$. The restoration adopted of 11. 5-7, producing a striking coincidence with the language of St. Luke, is likely to be correct, especially as both Matthew and Mark here use the simple àkov́as without amplification; but the passage will admit of a quite different
 the term ímoкрıтai to the scribes and Pharisees in the Gospels would serve to justify its occurrence in this context.

Fr. 2 recto. Col. i.
$\rho] 05$
$\kappa] \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi[\rho] 0 \sigma \epsilon \dot{U} X \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \dot{u} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tau \hat{\omega} \nu & \dot{\epsilon} X \theta] \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \\ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu & \dot{o} \\ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho & \mu \grave{\eta} \\ \omega\end{array} \nu\right.$
$[\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{v} \mu] \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.



[. . . . . . . . . . . .] $\omega \downarrow \in \nu \omega \nu[. .$.
176.
'. . . and pray for your enemies; for he that is not against you is with you. He that to-day is afar off shall to-morrow be near you, and in . . . of the adversary . . .





 with $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. But in these two passages the Saying stands in quite another context, its occasion being the attempt of the disciples to prevent a man who was not a follower of Jesus from casting out devils in His name.

4 sqq. The restoration of $11.4-5$ is highly conjectural and rests upon no authority, but it appears to carry on well the line of thought : 'Pray for your enemies, for they may be in truth friends, or if they are not now, they may soon become such.' An analogous sentiment was early current in the Greek world, and is attributed by Aristotle, Rhet.

 фi $\lambda^{\prime}$ бovtas ; cf. Diog. Laert. i. 87 , who also attributes the maxim to Bias, Soph. Ai. 679-82, Seneca, Lp. 95.63 cum monemus aliquem . . . ut ex inimico cogitet fieri posse amicum: we are indebted for these references to Prof. J. S. Reid. Somewhat similarly the Greek proverb $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \iota \iota$ бєavtóv is reflected in 654. 18-20.

of the real meaning, though a more general form would well accord with the proverbial character of the Saying. The supposed vestige of a letter preceding oov in 1.6 is possibly the end of the cross-bar of the $\tau$, and in any case is too slight to build upon; aùvoju $\tau o \hat{v}$, e.g., would be suitable. The recorded precept about agreeing with the adversary quickly (Matt. v. ${ }^{2}$, Luke xii. 58 ) would hardly suit this context. In l. 7 the letters may be divided


## 1225. Leviticus xvi.

$$
10.2 \times 5.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century. Plate } V .
$$

A small fragment written in heavy sloping uncials of a type generally similar to those of the Oxyrhynchus Callimachus (1011) though at a less advanced stage of development. It may be assigned to the first half of the fourth century. Apart from the hand, a comparatively early period is suggested by the fact that the MS. was in the form of a roll, not a codex, the verso of the fragment being blank. The ink is of the brown shade which became common in the early Byzantine age. Some stops in the middle position show a somewhat darker colour, and may have been added subsequently. There is no margin in front of the first letters of ll. $4-5$ and 7 , and it is uncertain that these were the beginnings of the lines, though the text can be conveniently arranged on that supposition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \alpha] \iota ~ \tau о ~ \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma[\tau \eta \rho \iota o v \\
& \epsilon] \xi \in \iota \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l \cdot \kappa \alpha[l \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \\
& \tau \omega \nu \text { ї } \rho \in \omega \nu \text {. к } \alpha[\iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \\
& 5 \text { } \sigma \eta s \quad \tau \eta s \text { } \sigma \nu \nu \alpha[\gamma \omega \gamma \eta s \\
& \epsilon] \xi \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \cdot[\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \\
& 34 \\
& \text { тоуто } \ddot{\nu} \mu \iota \nu \quad \nu[о \mu \mu о \nu \\
& \alpha \iota \omega] \nu \iota 0 \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \lambda[\alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \\
& \pi \epsilon \rho] \_[\tau] \omega \nu \text { vï }[\nu \overline{I \eta \lambda} \alpha \pi o \\
& \text { 10 } \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu] \text { T } \omega \nu \quad a[\mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega \nu \\
& \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu] \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \tau[0 \nu \in \nu L \alpha \nu \\
& \text { тоข } \pi] 0!\eta[\theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \\
& \text { 5. Tns: so FGN ; om. BA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

1226. PSALMS vii, viii.

$$
10.5 \times 6.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late third or early fourth } \begin{gathered}
\text { century. }
\end{gathered}
$$

The upper corner of a leaf from a papyrus book of early date, belonging perhaps more probably to the third century than the fourth. It is written in round upright uncials of medium size, and showing some tendency towards cursive forms, e.g. the occasional linking of $\omega$ to the following letter. Some marginal flourishes mark the commencement of a Psalm on the recto, and its number seems to have been written above the title. The usual contractions of $\theta$ oós and кúplos occur. A second hand seems to have inserted an iota adscript at verso 8 . The text is written stichometrically, the initial letters of each $\sigma \pi i x{ }^{\circ}$ being slightly enlarged. It is of some interest as providing early attestation of one or two readings which have hitherto rested on inferior authority.

```
                    Verso.
    [\overline{\kappas}\kappa\rho\iota\nu\epsilon\iota \lambda\alphaovs к\rho\iota\nuo]\nu }\mu\epsilon\overline{[\kappa]\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha< vii.
            [\tau\eta\nu \delta\iotaк\alphalo\sigmavv]\eta\nu \sigmaov
[\kappa\alpha\iota ка\tau\alpha \tau\eta\nu \alphaк\alphaк\iota\alpha\nu] \muоv \epsilon\pi \epsilon\muо\iota
[\sigmav\nu\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma0\eta\tau\omega \delta\eta \pio]\nu\eta\rho\iota\alpha \alpha\mu\alpha[\rho]\tau\omega\lambda\omega\nu Io
5 [\kappa\alpha\iota к\alpha\tau\epsilon\nu0v\nu\epsilon\iotaS \delta <]к\alphaLo\nu
    [\epsilon\tau\alpha}}\omega\nu к\alpha\rho\delta\iota\alphas к\alphal] \nu\epsilon\phi\rhoous o \overline{0s
    [\delta\iotaк\alpha\iota\alpha \eta \betao\eta0\epsilon\iota\alpha \muo]v \pi\alpha\rho\alpha 有v II
    [\kappa\alpha\iota \sigma\omega}ov\tauos \tauous \epsilonv]0\epsilon\iotaS \tau\eta к\alpha\rho\delta\iota\alpha\iota
```



```
10 [к\rhoоөv\muos ]
    [\kappa\alpha\iota \mu\eta ор\gamma\eta\nu \epsilon\pi\alpha\gamma\omega\nu] к\alpha0 \epsilonк\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\nu
        [\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu
                    Recto.
                    \eta [
    \psi\alpha\lambda[\muos \tau\omega \triangle\alphav\epsilon\iota\delta
[\int\}\overline{\kappa\epsilon}\mathrm{ о }\overline{Ks}\eta\mu\omega\nu \omega[s 0\alphav\mu\alpha\sigma\tauо\nu \tauо ov
        \mu\alpha \sigmaov \in\nu [\pi\alpha\sigma\eta \tau\eta \gamma\eta
5 o\tau\iota \epsilon\pi\eta\rho\rho0\eta \eta \mu! [\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambdao\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\iota\alpha \sigmaov v\pi\epsilon\rho
```

```
    \alpha\nu\omega \tau\omega[\nu ovp\alpha\nu\omega\nu
    \epsilonк \sigma\tauо\muатоs \nu[\eta\pit\omega\nu к\alpha\iota 0\eta\lambda\alpha\zetaо\nu\tau\omega\nu
            ка\tau\eta\rhoт![\sigma\omega \alphal\nuO\nu
        \epsilon\nu\epsilonк\epsilon\nu \tau\omega\nu [\epsilon\chiӨ\rho\omega\nu \sigmaov \tauov к\alpha
            \tau\alpha\lambdav\sigma\alpha[\iota \epsilon\chi0\rhoо\nu к\alpha\iota \epsilonк
            \delta\iota\kappa\eta[\tau\eta\nu
ot[!
```

Verso 1. $\mu \mathrm{E}$ : so BیR; $\mu a$ A and many cursives.
2. $\sigma o v$ : so the cursives 27 , 11 I marg., $156,202,269,283,284$.
3. $\epsilon \mu \boldsymbol{\tau}$ : so $\mathrm{B} \boldsymbol{N A}$; $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$ R.

8. There is a narrow crack in the papyrus between $\eta$ and $\kappa$, and iota adscript may have been inserted here as well as at the end of the line.

I I. Considerations of space make it probable that кat stood before $\mu \eta$, as in $\mathbb{N} c . a$ and numerous cursives.

Recto I . The vestige of a vertical stroke is consistent with $\eta$; but a figure in this position might refer to the page instead of the Psalm, the number of which could have stood in the margin.
2. In BNAR \&c. $\psi a \lambda \mu a s$ is preceded by $\epsilon$ ts $\tau 0 ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda o s ~ v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu$, but these words are omitted in I5I, 173 .
9. єveкєv: so 18 I , Cyrill. Alex. vi, p. 400 ; ёvєкa others.
1227. St. Matthew's Gospel xii.

$$
6 \times 1 \mathrm{I} \cdot 8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century }
$$

Fragment of a leaf of a papyrus codex, written in rather large upright uncials in which dark and light stıokes are strongly contrasted. The hand bears a general resemblance to that of the Ascension of Isaiah (P. Amh. x) ; it appears to point to a date in the fifth century. The ink is of the brown shade characteristic of the period. A stop in the high position occurs once, and there is one doubtful instance of a rough breathing. An agreement with $D$ and a corrector of $\mathfrak{s}$ is noticeable in 1.5 of the verso, and an unrecorded variant in recto l. 4, and apparently also 1.6 .

## Verso.

```
1227. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS
[ \(\epsilon \pi \pi o \nu]\) o[vtos ov]k \(\epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha[\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota\)
\([\tau] \alpha \delta \alpha \iota \mu o v[\tau \alpha] \in \iota \mu \eta \in \nu \tau \omega[B \epsilon\) \(\epsilon \lambda \xi \epsilon \beta\) ou \(\lambda^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi\) о \(\tau \tau \iota \tau \omega \nu \delta[\alpha \iota\)
```

```
\(5 \mu o \nu \iota \omega \nu \cdot i \delta \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha s \in[\nu \theta v\)
```

$5 \mu o \nu \iota \omega \nu \cdot i \delta \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha s \in[\nu \theta v$
[ $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota] s$ avt $\omega \nu$ $\epsilon \iota \pi[\epsilon \nu$ avtols
$[\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \beta] \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon[\iota] \alpha[\mu] \in \rho \iota \sigma[\theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \quad \kappa \alpha$
$[\theta] \epsilon \alpha v \tau \eta[s] \in[\rho \eta \mu] \rho \cup \tau \alpha \iota \quad[\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha$
$[\sigma] \alpha$ $\pi о \lambda \iota s ~ \eta[о \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \mu] \in \rho \iota \sigma \theta[\epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$
10 $[\kappa] \alpha \theta \in \alpha v[\tau \eta$ S ov $\sigma] \tau[\alpha \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
$[k] \alpha \iota \in \iota \stackrel{̣}{o} \Sigma^{2}[\alpha \tau \alpha \nu \alpha s$
Recto.

```

Verso 3. \(\epsilon \nu\) : om. E.
\([B \epsilon] \in \lambda\} \epsilon \beta\) oud : so CDEGKMI \(\& c\). ; \(\beta \in \epsilon \in\} \in \beta o v \lambda\) BN.
5. \(\iota \delta \omega \nu\) : so \(\aleph^{b} \mathrm{D}\); єi \(\delta \omega s\) most MSS.
\(\delta \epsilon\) : so BND; CEGKLM \&c. add o \(\mathrm{I}(\eta \sigma o u)\) s.
10. \([\kappa] a \theta \epsilon a v[\tau \eta s\) : so most MSS. ; \(\epsilon \phi \epsilon a v \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{D}\), which also has \(\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a t\) instead of \(\sigma \tau a \theta \eta-\) \(\sigma \epsilon \tau a t\), and this may have stood in the papyrus.

I I. \([k] a \iota\) єı: \(\epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa a \iota\) D. That a slightly curved horizontal stroke above the next letter represents a rough breathing is uncertain.

Recto 2. a : so D ; \(\epsilon a \nu\) most MSS. Cf. 1. 4, where \(\epsilon a \nu\) is apparently universal apart from the papyrus.
4. ovra: om. MSS.
\(5^{-6}\). The reading of the papyrus here is very doubtful. MSS. have ovk aфєө \({ }^{2} \sigma \epsilon \tau a t\) (ov
 \({ }_{\sigma \nu \tau \epsilon}(\mathrm{K})\) or ovтє \(\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu v \nu\) at \(\nu \nu \iota\) ovt (EFGLMI \&c.). [ \(\left.\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota a v\right]\) ] \(\omega\) is possible, but then none of the recorded variants is reconcilable with the following letters, \(\tau \omega\) a little further on being clear. To suppose an omission of tovi \(\omega\) does not solve the difficulty, since [ovt] \(\epsilon \nu\) is too long for the space and the vestiges do not suggest \(\epsilon \nu\). Possibly something other than \(a \phi \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a t\) was written, but it was not \(\mu \eta a \phi \epsilon \theta \eta\). Traces of ink above \(\tau \sigma[v \tau] \omega\) perhaps indicate a correction.
1228. ST. John's Gospel xv, xvi.
\[
\text { Fr. } 2 \text { (Col. ii) } 18.5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late third century. }
\]

Fragments from two consecutive columns from a roll containing the Gospel of St. John. The text, written in an upright informal hand of medium size, is on the verso, the recto of both fragments being blank; but no doubt in other parts the roll included sheets which had previously been inscribed. There is a general similarity between the script of this papyrus and that of the second Logia fragment, 654, also on a verso ; in 1228, however, the writing is somewhat heavier and approximating nearer to cursive. It is likely to date from about the end of the third century. The usual abbreviations of 'I \(\eta \sigma o v s, \pi a \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho\), and \({ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \rho \omega \pi \sigma o s\) occur, but no punctuation-marks or other signs apart from the diacresis. In both fragments the lines have lost their beginnings and ends throughout, and since they were of some length it is impossible to fix the points of division. Like the carly fragments of this Gospel previously obtained from Oxyrhynchus (208), 1228 shows a good and interesting text, though, as often, its affinities are not strongly marked, and it does not agree at all consistently with any one of the chief authorities. Coincidences with the Codex Sinaiticus are frequent, but divergences are noticeable at ii. \(4,9-10,27,29\).

Col. i.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\alpha \nu] \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \in[\nu\) os ot & xv. 25 \\
\hline ] oт \(\alpha \nu \in \lambda \theta \eta\) о \(\pi \alpha[\rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0\) S & 26 \\
\hline \(v \mu] \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\) тov \(\overline{\pi \rho S}\) [ & \\
\hline ] \(\overline{\pi \rho S} \epsilon \kappa \pi\) о \(\rho \in \boldsymbol{\nu} \in[\tau \alpha \downarrow\) & \\
\hline \(\epsilon] \mu\) оv кає \(\ddot{\mu} \mu[\epsilon \iota \varsigma\) & \({ }^{27}\) \\
\hline \(\epsilon] \mu 0 \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau[\alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) & xvi. r \\
\hline \(\sigma \kappa] \alpha \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon[\) & \\
\hline \(\epsilon] \rho \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \omega \rho[\alpha\) & \\
\hline - . . . . & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Col. ii.}
```

            \gamma]v\nu\eta о\tau\alpha\nu \tau\iotaк\tau\eta \lambdau\pi[\eta\nu xvi. 2 I
                \alphav]?!\! o\tau\alpha\nu \delta\epsilon \gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\eta[\sigma\eta
                \mu\nu\eta\mu0]\nu\varepsilonv\epsilon\iota \tau\etas 0\lambda\epsilon\iota\psi\epsilon\epsilon[s
    ```

```

    5 \nuv\nu \mu]\epsilon\nu \lambdau\pi\eta\nu\nu єХ\epsilon\tau\epsilon [ 22
        \chi}\alpha\rho\eta]\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota \dot{v\mu\omega\nu}\\\quad\kappa\alpha\rho[\delta\iota
        ov]\delta\epsilon\iotaS \alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\iota \alpha\phi [v]\mu\omega\nu [
        ov]k \epsilon\rho\omega\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\epsilon [ov]\delta\in[\nu
        o] T! \epsilon\alpha\nu \alpha\iota\tau\eta\sigma[\eta\tau\epsilon
            ] \ddot{~\mu\epsilon!!\varphi \epsilon\omega[s] \alpha\rho\tau\iota [\square}24
        ovo]\mu\alpha\tau\iota \muov [
                3 lines lost
            \pi]\rhos}[\alpha]\pi[\alpha]\gamma\gamma€\lambda\omega[v\mul\nu \mp@subsup{}{2}{2
    ```

```

            \epsilon\gamma]\omega \epsilon\rhoथ[\tau\eta\sigma]\omega \tau[0]\nu }\overline{\pi\rho\alpha}
            ] \pi\eta[\rho \phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota] \ddot{v\mu\alpha[S.o]\tau\iota \ddot{u}\mu[\epsilon\iotaS 27}
            \kappa\alpha]\iota \pi[\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon]vк\alpha\tau[\epsilon] o\tau\iota є\gamma[\omega
    ```

```

        \tau]o\nu [\kappao\sigma\muo\nu] \pi\alpha\lambda\iota\nu \alpha[\phi\iota\eta\mu\iota
                                ] \pi\rho[os] T[o]\nu [
                    ] }\delta\delta\in
                            29
            ov] \delta\epsilon\mu[[\alpha\nu] \lambda[\epsilon\gamma\epsilonLS
                \pi\alpha]\nu\tau[\alpha] к\alpha\iota ov \chi\rho\epsilon\iota[\alpha\nu 30
                \tau]оv\tau\omega [\pi\iota\sigma]\tau\epsilonvo\mu\epsilon[\nu
                \alpha\pi]\epsilonк\rho\iota[0\eta] av\tauo\iotas \overline{I\eta[s]}\alpha[\rho\tau\iota 31
            ] \omega\rho\alpha [\kappa\alpha\iota \epsilon]\lambda\eta\lambda\lambda并0\epsilon\nu [
                        \epsilon]\iotas \tau[\alpha l]\delta\iota\alpha к\alpha[l] \epsilon\mu[\epsilon
    ```
i. I. The papyrus followed the same order as BNDGL ; in AEHIKMI \&夭́c. \(\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \nu \omega\) avт \(\boldsymbol{\nu}\) follows \(\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu 0\).
2. orav: so \(\mathrm{B} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\); отау \(\delta \epsilon \mathrm{ADIL}\).
4. \(\pi(a \tau) \rho(o)_{s}: \pi a \tau \rho o s \mu o v \mathrm{D}\).

6-7. The ordinary text gives a somewhat shorter supplement than might be expected; but there is no recorded variant, and the spacing in the papyrus is not very regular.
ii. 3. \(\theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \psi \epsilon \omega[s: \lambda v \pi \eta s\) D.
4. o av \(\theta \rho \omega \pi o s \aleph^{\text {§* }}\).
5. \(\nu v \nu \mu^{\top} \epsilon \nu \lambda v \pi \eta \nu\) : so \(\mathrm{B} \aleph\left(\mu \epsilon \nu\right.\) ovv \(\left.\aleph^{*}\right) \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{DLM}\); \(\lambda v \pi \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \nu v \nu \mathrm{AC}^{3}\).
\(\epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) : so \(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{C}\); \(\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{N c}^{c} \mathrm{ADL}\).
7. atpet: so NACD²L ; apet BD*.
8. єр \(\quad \uparrow \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon: ~ є \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \mathbb{N}^{*}\).

 which precedes in BNC*L.
15. Either \([a] \pi[a] \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega\left(\mathrm{B} \boldsymbol{\aleph}(-\epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega) \mathrm{DC} \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{KLM}\right)\) or \(\left.[a]\right\rangle[a] \gamma\). (CcorrEGH) is possible.
16. The vestiges of the letters are doubtfully identified, but appear to suit the usual order rather better than that of \(\mathbb{N} a u \tau, \epsilon \nu \tau \omega\) ovo \(\mu, \mu \nu \nu\).
20. \(\pi a \rho a\) : so \(\mathbb{N A C}{ }^{2} ; \epsilon \kappa\) BC*L. D omits \(\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o \nu \ldots \pi a \tau \rho o s\).
27. \(\operatorname{In}(\sigma o v)[s]:\) so \(\mathrm{BC} ;\) o I. NADL.
28. [kal: so the best MSS.; кal vvv \(\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{D}^{3}\).
29. \(\kappa \sigma\left[[] \in \mu\left[\epsilon\right.\right.\) : so \(\mathrm{AC}^{3} \mathrm{D} ; \kappa а \mu \epsilon \mathrm{~B} \boldsymbol{N} \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~L}\).
1229. St. James's Epistle i.
\(12.1 \times 11.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). Fourth century.
This papyrus leaf, as the pagination on each side of it shows, was the second of the book to which it belonged, the text commencing on the second page of the preceding leaf, while page I was either blank or, more probably, contained only the title. Nine or ten lines are lost at the bottom of the verso, and the height of the leaf when complete would have been approximately \(19 . \mathrm{cm}\)., if the lower margin was of about the same width as the upper. When found the leaf was folded up, like a document, at right angles to the lines of the text. These are written in good-sized broad uncials, rather coarse and irregular in formation, though hooks and thickenings at the ends of strokes show an attempt at ornament. The fourth century is the date suggested. No abbreviations occur except the strokes over a vowel for a final \(\nu\) at the end of a line ; \(\pi\) atpós is written in full. A medial point is found once. Textually there is little to notice beyond
 versc 17 .

> Verso.
> \(\beta\)
> \(\omega\)
> \(\tau \eta \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \llbracket 0 v \rrbracket \sigma \iota \alpha v \tau 0 \cup\) оть i. IO
> ws av Oos Xoptov \(\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon\)
> \(\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu \alpha \rho\) II
> - \(\eta \lambda \iota o s ~ \sigma u \nu ~ \tau \omega ~ к \alpha v \sigma \omega \nu \epsilon \iota\)
> 5 ка८ є \(\xi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu\) тоע Хорто̄
> ка८ то \(\alpha \nu \theta\) Os \(\alpha \nu \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi \epsilon\)
```

            ка\iota
    \sigma\epsilon\nu \eta \epsilonv\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon\epsilon\alpha\alpha \tau0v \pi\rhoо\sigma\omega
    \piov \alphav\tauov \alpha\pi\omega\lambda\epsilon\tauo ov
    \tau\omegas к\alphal o \pi
    IO \piо\rho\epsilon\iota\alphals \alphavtov \mu\alpha\rho\alpha\nu
0\eta\sigma\in\tau\alpha\iota \mu\alphaк\alpha\rho\iotaos \alpha\nu\eta
\rho os \ddot{v}\piо\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilonl \pi\epsilon\ell\rho\alpha
\sigma\muo\nu oтו \deltaок\iota\muos \gamma\epsilon\nuо
\mu\in\nuos \lambda\eta\mu\psi\in\tau\alpha\iota \tauo\nu
I5 \sigma\tau\epsilonф\alpha\nuo\nu \tau\etas }\omega\etas
o\nu \epsilon\pi\eta[\gamma]`[\gamma\inl\lambda]a\tauo tols
\alpha

```

\section*{Recto.}
```

            \gamma
    \sigmav\lambda\lambda\alpha\betaоv\sigma\alpha тוктєl \alpha\mu\alpha\rho
                                    I5
    т\iota\alpha\nu \eta \delta\epsilon а\mu\alpha\rhoт\iota\alpha \alphaтотє
    \lambda\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha \alpha\piокvє\iota 0\alpha\nu\alpha
    \tauo\nu. }\mu\eta\pi\lambda\alpha\nu\alpha\sigma0\alpha\iota 
    I}
    5 \delta\epsilon\lambda\phiо\iota \muоv а\gammaа\pi\eta\tauо\iota
\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha \deltaо\sigma\iotas \alphay\alpha0\eta к\alpha\iota
\pi\alpha\nu \delta\omega\rho\eta\mu\alpha \tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iotao\nu
\alpha\nu\omega0\epsilon\nu \epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu к\alpha\tau\alpha
\beta\alpha\iotavov \alpha\piо тоv \piат\rhoos
1० \tau\omega\nu \phi\omega\tau\omega\nu \pi\alpha\rho \omega ovk \epsilon
\nu\iota \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\etas \eta \tau\rhoо
\pi\etas \alpha\piо\sigmaк\iota\alpha\sigma\mu\alphaтоs
\betaov\lambda\eta0\epsilon\iotas \alpha\pi\epsilonки\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu 18
\eta\mu\alphas \lambdaо\gamma\omega \alpha\lambda\eta}0\epsilon\iota\alpha
15 \epsilonls то \epsilonlv\alpha\iota \eta\mu\alpha[s \alpha\pi]\alpha\rho
\chi\eta\nu\tau[\iota\nu\alpha

```
 abnormal.
16. After \(\epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda\) aтo (C)KL add кขpıos.

Recto 4. \(\pi \lambda a v a \sigma \theta a t\) is for \(-\sigma \theta \epsilon\).

11. \(\pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta s: \pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda a \eta \eta\) MSS.

1230. Revelation v, vi.
\[
4 \cdot \mathrm{I} \times 7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early fourth century. }
\]

Fragment of a leaf of a book, written in a medium-sized sloping informal hand, approximating to cursive, and dating probably from the earlier part of the fourth century. The lines, which were of considerable length, have lost both beginnings and ends, and their distribution cannot now be recovered. The use of the numeral \(\zeta\) for \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{d}\) is in accordance with the character of the MS.; it is likely that \(\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega v\) and \(\tau \rho \epsilon i \hat{s}\) in v. 6 and vi. 6 were similarly shortened. So far as it goes, the text shows a tendency to agree with that of the Codex Sinaiticus.

Recto.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \alpha v] \epsilon \ell \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu[0 \iota \xi \alpha \iota \\
& \alpha v \tau] o v \quad \kappa[\alpha] \iota \epsilon \iota \delta o \nu \quad \epsilon \nu[ \\
& \zeta \omega \omega] \nu \text { ка८ } \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \quad \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho[\epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \\
& \omega] s \in \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \quad \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \quad \kappa \in \rho_{\mathrm{L}}^{\Gamma} \alpha \tau \alpha \\
& \text { ] } \tau \alpha \bar{\zeta} \overline{\pi \nu \alpha} \operatorname{\tau ov} \overline{\theta v} a \pi \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \text {. [ } \\
& \eta \lambda] \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \in \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa \quad \tau \eta S \quad \delta[\epsilon \xi \iota \alpha s \quad 7 \\
& \left.{ }_{\sigma} \tau\right] \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \alpha[\beta \epsilon \nu \quad 8 \\
& \text { Verso. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Recto 2. \(a \nu[o \iota \xi a u\) : so NA ; o avochav B.
3. єiठov: so BN ; ioov A.
5. \(\epsilon \chi \omega \nu\) : so BNA ; є \(\chi^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathrm{P}\).
6. \(\bar{\zeta}\) : so \(\mathrm{BN}(\epsilon \pi \tau a)\); om. A.
\(a \pi \epsilon \sigma \pi a \cdot[\) : probably the second \(\pi\) is a mere slip of the pen and \(a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu a(\mathfrak{N})\) or \(a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota(A)\) was intended. A slight vestige following the second \(a\) suits a \(\lambda\). B has атоотє \(\lambda\) диєуа.
7. \(\epsilon \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu\) : so \(\mathbb{N A}\); \(\epsilon \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{B}\), \(\epsilon \lambda\). то \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota o \nu\) some cursives, \&c.

Verso 5. \(\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \xi \in \nu\) is a confusion of the two forms \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \varphi \xi \in \nu\) and \(\ddot{\eta} \nu o t \xi \epsilon \nu\); the MSS. give the latter.
6. фفข \({ }^{2}\) : so NA ; om. B.

\section*{II. NEVV CLASSICAL TEXTS}

\section*{1231. Sappho, Book i.}

(Frs. I, 10, \(5^{6}\) ).
The authorship of these fragments in Sapphic metre and Aeolic dialect would in any case have been evident, and it is placed beyond question by two, if not three, coincidences with fragments expressly cited from Sappho; cf. Fr. i. i. \(15-16\), Fr. 16. 2-3, II-12. The title of the roll is preserved in Fr. 56, but this, curiously cnough, does not mention the name of the writer, giving only the number of the book and of the verses contained in it. That it is called Book i is in agreement with the statements of grammarians that the pieces in Sapphics were all included in that book; cf. Bergk, Poet. Lyr. iii, p. 874. The number of verses comprised in it, we now learn, was 1320 , i. e. 330 stanzas. Vcry likely the other eight books, or some of them, were shorter than this, but even so Sappho's entire works may well have extended to something like 9,000 verses.

Substantial additions to the exiguous surviving remnants of this large output have lately been forthcoming from Egypt, where evidently the lyric poets were still popular in the Roman period; and further welcome contributions are now made by 1231 and 1232. The gain from the former, however, proves to be less than had been hoped. Except in Fr. I, which has been built up from some twenty small pieces, the fragments have not fitted together at all well, and it is hardly to be anticipated that further efforts in this direction will produce a very different result. Still, five and a half consecutive and nearly complete stanzas of a poem of Sappho is a gift not to be despised ; and for vocabulary and dialect even small and disconnected scraps have their importance. The tivo columns of Fr . I include remains of four poems, of which the first, as a reference to Doricha (Rhodopis) shows, was addressed, like 7, to Sappho's brother Charaxus. This is followed by what is no doubt the greater part of a graceful piece expressing the writer's deep longing for an apparently absent friend, Anactoria, whose name was already known as that of one of the intimates of the poctess; cf. note on Fr. 1. i. \(27-8\). In the next column stood a poem of five stanzas addressed to Hera, part of which by a strange coincidence has recently appeared in P'. S. I. [23, also from Oxyrhynchus. Of the succeeding verses not enough remains to indicate their theme. On what principle these poems were grouped within the
book is not evident ; apparently the principle was not similarity of subject. It is noticeable that three consecutive pieces begin respectively with the letters \(0, \pi, 0\) (if \(\grave{u} \nu\) represents an original oov \(v\) ), which suggests that possibly there was a rough alphabetical arrangement ; but the juxtaposition of these initial letters may be mere accident. Among the smaller fragments, Nos. I3, I4, \({ }^{1} 5\), and 56 are again concerned with members of Sappho's circle, another of whom, Gongyla, is named in Fr . 15. Fr. 56 was composed in honour of a wedding.

The MS. is written in an informal upright hand, of rather less than medium size ; in style and effect this script recalls that of the Herondas papyrus, and it should be referred, like the latter, to the second century. Stops in two positions are used; and as usual in lyrics, accents, breathings, marks of long and short quantity, and signs of elision have been added here and there. In some of these additions the ink differs from that of the text, and to a certain extent at least they may be attributed to a second hand from which have also come occasional corrections and marginalia. Strophes are divided off by paragraphi, and an elaborate coronis marks the end of each poem. The accentuation of the papyrus is in conformity with the barytone system traditionally associated with Aeolic, and also exemplified in 7. In this and other points the orthography of the originals has been adhered to so far as possible, both here and in 1232-4, even at the cost of consistency. After all it may well be that the authors themselves were not invariably consistent ; cf. Wilamowitz, Sappho und Simonides, pp. 91 sqq. The views of Wilamowitz concerning the textual tradition of the Lesbian poets are substantially confirmed by the new discoveries, to the restoration and elucidation of which he has, by a fortunate combination of circumstances, himself so largely contributed.

Fr. I.

\section*{Col. i. Plate II.}
```

    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . ]{\mp@code{\alpháкк\alpha![}
    [. . . . . . . . . . . .] . v\pi\lambdao . [
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . .]. атобк\alpha[
    [ ]
    5 [. . . . . . . . . . . . .]\betaротєк\eta[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\iota\epsilon . [. . . . . . . . .].

    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . \nuo\sigma\alpha\\[. . . . . .]
    [ ]
    [. .]\pi\rho\iotaк\alpha[. .]\epsilon\pi![. . . . .]\alpha\nu\epsilon\pi\epsilon\epsilonv\rho[. . . . . .]
    10 [. . .]\delta\epsilon\kappa\alphav\chi\chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}
[. . .]\rho\iota\chi\alpha\tauо\delta\epsilonv[.]\epsilon\rhoо\nu\omega\sigma\piо0\epsilon[. . . . . .]
[. . .]\epsilon\rhoо\nu\eta\lambda白
[.]/\mu\epsilon\nul\pi\pi\eta\omega\nu\nu\sigma\tauрото\nuоו\delta\epsilon\pi\epsilon\sigma\delta\omega\nu
o\iota\delta\epsilon\nu\alpha\omega\nuфӑ\imatĥ\sigma\epsilon\pi[.] ] \alpha\nu\mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha\iota[.]\alpha\nu
I}5[.]\mu\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iotaк\alpha\lambda\lambda\iota\sigma\tauо\nu`\epsilon\gamma\omega\delta\epsilonк\eta\nu'ó\tau     \tau\omega\tau\iota\sigma\in\rho\alpha\tau\alpha\iota     [. .]\gamma\chiv\deltá́v \nu\alpha \rho\rho\epsilon\sigma\sigmav́vє\tauо\nu\piо\eta\sigma\alpha\iota     [.]\alpha\nu\tauו\tau[.]u\tau`'а
\lambda
[. . .jo\sigma[. . .]\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu\nu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\alpha[. .]\nu\alpha\nu\delta\rho\alpha
20 [. . . . . . . .]!\sigma\tauо\nu
[. . . . . .]\sigma\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\sigma\tau\rhoö̈\alpha[. .]\lambda\epsilon\sigma\sigma[.. .]
[. . . . . .a\!\deltao\sigmaov\deltaє\phi[.]<br>\omega\nu\tauо[.]\eta\omega\nu

```

```

    [. . . . . . . .]\sigma\alpha\nu
    25 [. . . . . . .] }\alpha\mu\pi\tauо\nu\gamma\alpha\rho
[. . . .] . . . коиф\omega\sigma\tau[. . . . . . .]о\eta\sigma\eta
[. .]\lambda\epsilon\epsilonv\nu\nu\alpha\nu\alphaкто\rhoı[. . .] . . \mu\nu\alpha \muvaь
[. .]\eta\alpha\pi\epsilonо\iota\sigma\alpha\sigma.
[. .]\sigma\tau\epsilon\betaо\lambda\lambdaоц\mu\alpha\nuєра̄то\nu\tau\epsilon\beta\alpha\mu\alpha
30 к

```

Fr．i．Col．i．Plate II．
```

    [. . . . . . . . . . . . .]\alpha \mu\alpháк\alpha\iota[p\alpha
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . v\pi\lambdao . [
    [. . . . . . . . . . . .]. atos к\alpha[
        [ ]
    5 [. . . . . . . . . . . ä\mu]\betaротє к\eta[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\iota\epsilon . [. . . . . . . . .].
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .].vos \alpha}\lambda[. . . . . .
[ ]

```


```

[\Delta\omega]\rhoí\chi\alpha \tauò \delta\epsilonv́[\tau]\epsilon\rhoo\nu \omega's \pió0\epsilon[L\nuo\nu
[\epsilonis] \epsilon'\rhoо\nu \hat{\eta}\lambda0\epsilon.

```
    \([O] i\) \(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad i \pi \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \nu\) \(\sigma \tau \rho o ́ \tau o \nu\) oì \(\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \delta \omega \nu\)


            \(\tau \omega \tau \iota s\) '้ \(\rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota\).
    [ \(\pi \alpha ́] \gamma \chi v \delta^{\prime}\) єưpapєs \(\sigma u ́ v \in \tau o \nu\) Tó \(\sigma \sigma a \iota\)
    \([\pi] \alpha ́ \nu \tau \iota ~ \tau[0] \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \cdot \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi o ́ \lambda v \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \epsilon \iota[\sigma] \alpha\)
    \([\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda] \lambda o s\left[\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta\right] \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu{ }^{\prime} E \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha[\tau o ̀ ̀ \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha\)
\(20 \quad[\kappa \rho i ́ \nu \nu \in \nu \quad\) 人́ \(\rho] \iota \sigma \tau o \nu\),
    [ôs \(\quad \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu] \quad \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \alpha s\) T \(\rho o i ̂ a[s\) ó" \(] \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma[\epsilon \nu\),


    [Kúrрıs \(\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \iota\right] \sigma \alpha \nu\).
25 [.... єưк] \(\alpha \mu \pi \tau o \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) [
    [. . . .] . . . кои́фفs т[. . . . . . \(\nu] о \eta ́ \sigma \eta\).
    \([\gamma \hat{\eta}] \lambda \in \nu \hat{v} \nu\) 'A \(\nu \alpha \kappa \tau o p i ̂[\alpha s ~ o ̉] \nu \in \mu \nu \alpha \alpha_{-}\)
        \([\sigma \theta] \eta\langle\nu\rangle \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon o i ́ \sigma \alpha s\),
    [ \(\tau \hat{\alpha}] s\langle\kappa\rangle \in \beta о \lambda \lambda о i ́ \mu \alpha \nu\) 'ै \(\rho \alpha \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon \beta \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha\)
\(30 \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu\langle\gamma\rangle \mu \alpha\) 入人́ \(\mu \pi \rho \circ \nu\) íó \(\eta \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega\)

\author{
\(\eta \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \delta{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu о \pi \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota\) \\ [. . . . . . .] \({ }^{2} \chi є \nu \tau \alpha \sigma\) \\ [.......] \(] \mu \epsilon \nu о v \delta \nu \nu a \tau о \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota\) \\ [. . . . .] \({ }^{2} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi[. . ..] \epsilon \delta \in \chi \eta \nu \delta \delta^{\alpha} \rho \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
}

Col. ii. Plate II.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\stackrel{1}{\overline{\#}} \tau \in \xi \alpha[ \\
\overline{\overline{1}} \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma[
\end{array} \\
& \pi \circ \tau[.!!\eta[ \\
& \text { т } \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \alpha \tau[ \\
& 5 \text { тoı } \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda[ \\
& \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma[ \\
& \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \mu[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { оик } \epsilon \delta \nu \nu[ \\
& 10 \pi \rho \iota \nu \sigma \epsilon{ }_{\text {[ }}^{[ } \\
& \kappa \alpha \iota \theta v \omega \nu[ \\
& \nu v \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa[ \\
& \text { кѝттота [ } \\
& \text { ауракаıка[ } \\
& \left.{ }^{1} 5 \text { [.] }\right] \rho \theta \text { [ } \\
& \text { [.] }] \phi \iota \sigma[ \\
& 2 \text { lines lost. } \\
& \text {. . } \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu \lambda[ \\
& 20 \text { є } \mu \mu \in \nu \text { [ } \\
& \text { 三 } \rho \text { р } \alpha \pi \iota[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \eta \nu[ \\
& \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu[ \\
& { }_{25} \mu \nu \theta_{0} \lambda o \gamma[ \\
& \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota[ \\
& \mu \in \sigma \delta o \nu[
\end{aligned}
\]
```

1231. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS
\eta゙ \tau\grave{\alpha}}
[i\pi\piо\mu]\alphá\chi}\epsilon\nu\tau\alphas
[\epsilon\hat{v丶}\mu\inè\nu \o`]\mu\epsilon\nu oủ \deltaúv\alpha\tauo\nu \gamma\epsiloń\nu\in\sigma0\alpha\iota
```


Col．ii．Plate II．
\[
\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \delta o x \tilde{\eta}[\tau \omega .
\]

Пла́бוov \(\delta \grave{\eta} \mu[\) \(\pi o ́ \tau \nu \iota^{\prime} " H \rho \alpha, \sigma \alpha ̀ ~ X[\) \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu\) ג’ \(\rho \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu\)＇\(A \tau \rho[\epsilon\) tiod \(\alpha \iota\) тоє \(\beta \alpha \sigma\) í \(\lambda\) そєs \(\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s\)［
\(\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi[\)
тút \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \mu \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \in[\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma\)
oủk є́ \(\delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \nu \tau 0\),
Io \(\pi \rho i ̀ \nu\) \(\sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ ~ \alpha ́ \nu \tau[~\)
каi \(\Theta v \omega ́ \nu \alpha s ~ i \mu[\epsilon \rho o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha\) таï \(\alpha\) ．
\(\nu \hat{\nu} \nu\) ठ \(\mathfrak{c} \kappa[\)
кג̀т тò \(\pi \alpha[\)
äy \(\nu \alpha\) каì ка［
\({ }^{15}[\pi] \alpha \rho \theta[\epsilon \nu\)
\([\dot{\alpha}] \mu \phi i \quad \sigma[\)
2 lines lost．
．．\(\alpha \nu \nu \lambda[\)
\(20 \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu[\alpha \iota\)
\(\rho \alpha \pi \iota[\)
＂A \(A \nu\) к＇\(\delta[\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \nu\)
\(\eta \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \eta \nu\)［
\(\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu[\)
\({ }_{2} \quad \mu \nu \theta 0 \lambda \circ \gamma[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \iota\)［
\(\mu\) є́ \(\sigma \delta o \nu\)［

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 7. & Fr. 8. \\
\hline - . & - • \\
\hline ] \(8[\) & ] \(¢\) ! \(\rho \in \iota \delta[\) \\
\hline ]. \(\alpha \kappa \alpha[\) & . . \\
\hline  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 9.
\(] \epsilon \pi \kappa[\cdot] \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha[\)
] \(\epsilon \cdot \gamma \alpha \nu 0 \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota[\)
]
]v \(\chi^{\alpha \iota \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \lambda \alpha \iota ~ .[~}\)
5 ] \(0 \sigma \kappa \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \iota\)

Fr. 10. Plate II.
]
\(] \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha \beta \beta 0 \lambda \eta \sigma[\)
]vóóлофuv[. . . .] \(\epsilon!\)
]т \(\rho о \mu \in \rho о \iota \sigma \pi\). [. . .] \(] \lambda \alpha\) 5 ]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 2. & Fr. 3. & 4. \\
\hline . . . . & . . . & . . \\
\hline ] & \(\pi \rho] o ́ \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) [ & ] \(\sigma \alpha[\) \\
\hline ] \(\mu \in \nu 0 \sim \sigma \alpha[\) & ] \(\alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma[\) & ] \(\tau \alpha \mu\) [ \\
\hline \(] \theta^{\prime}\) év \(\theta\) Óolol \({ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{l}\) & ] \(\tau\) ט́X \(\chi_{c}^{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{\nu}\) [ & ] \(\alpha \hat{\iota} \epsilon \nu\) Ј[ \\
\hline  & ]p[ & \(] \delta \in \sigma[\) \\
\hline 5 ] & . . . & \(5] \operatorname{cov}[\) \\
\hline  & & ] '[ \\
\hline  & Fr. 5. & . . . \\
\hline ]< \({ }^{\prime \prime} ¢ \rho \gamma \omega \nu\) & . . . & \\
\hline J & ]. \(\tau \omega \nu\) [ & Fr. 6. \\
\hline 10 ] \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} / \sigma \sigma \omega\) &  & ] \(\nu \theta \epsilon \mu[\) \\
\hline \(\kappa] \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \kappa\) ט́d[ & \(\beta] \alpha\) ov dov . [ & ] \(\tau \tau \leqslant\) к[ \\
\hline ] \(\tau\) ód \({ }^{\circ}\) Єỉm \(\eta\) [ & ] \(\alpha \nu[\) & ]ódec[ \\
\hline . . . . & . . . & ] \\
\hline & & 5 ] \(\tau \in ¢[\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 7.
] 0 [
]. \(\alpha \kappa \alpha[\)
] \(\tau \iota \sigma \alpha \iota[\)

Fr. 8.
]aipєı o[

Fr. 9.
] \(\epsilon \pi \tau[\cdot] \in \sigma \mu \alpha[\)

]

5 Jos кре́тๆбац

Fr. 10. Plate II.
]
] \(\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \alpha ́ \beta o \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma[\)
] \(\nu\) סó入офuv [. . . .] \(\epsilon i\)
] тро \(\kappa\) є́ ооוs \(\pi \cdot[\ldots] \lambda \lambda \alpha\)
\(5]\)


Fr. 12.
] \(\beta \lambda \alpha[\)
]єpyov•[. .]גáтєє
 ] \(\eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
5 ] \({ }^{2} \alpha v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \nu \chi[\)
] \(\epsilon \mu \eta^{\prime} \cdot \chi \in \iota \mu \omega[\)
]! \(\quad \circ \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \gamma \in \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \cdot[\)
] \(\delta \epsilon\)
] \(]\)

Fr. 13.
]avá . [
] \(\epsilon \mu \nu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \alpha[\)
\(] \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \in \underline{o}[\)
] \(\pi о \eta \mu \mu \in \nu\).
5 ]є \(\quad\) баркаıка[ ] \(\mu \in \nu \cdot \pi\) одл! \([\) ]o[.] \(\epsilon \iota \alpha \iota \sigma o ̣[\)
\(\gamma] \hat{\alpha} s \quad \mu \in \lambda \alpha i v \alpha s\)
            ]
            ]énotot \(\nu \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota\)

10 ] к \(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi i \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \sigma\)
    ]
    \(\left.\alpha{ }^{\prime}\right] \mu \circ \theta \in \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \circ \mu[\)

    ] \(\nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \prime \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \eta[\)
\(\left.{ }^{5} 5\right]\)

            ] \(\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon[\sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
                \(] \in \iota\)
                ]
20
                    ] \(\iota \nu\) '́p \(\rho \alpha\) [
                    ] \(\chi\) є́ \(\rho \sigma \omega[\).
                    ] \(\gamma \alpha\)
                    ]
                '] \(p\). [

Fr. 12.
] \(\beta \lambda \alpha[\)

] \(\nu\) р́ \(\neq \theta\) os סокц[
] \(\eta \sigma \theta \alpha\)
5 ] \(\nu \alpha v a ́ \delta \eta \nu\) X ] \(\mu \eta \cdot \chi^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \omega[\nu\) ]ro८ \(\sigma \alpha \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \in \alpha\). [ ] \(\delta \epsilon\)
\(1 \tau i\)

Fr. 13.
[. . . . . . . .] \({ }^{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma\). [
\([. . . \delta] \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{[ }[\sigma \sigma \alpha\)
[... ä] \(] \mu \epsilon \epsilon\) є́ \(\nu \quad \nu \in o ́[\tau \alpha \tau \iota\) [. . . \(\epsilon\) ' \(] \pi o ́ \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \nu\),
 \([\epsilon \ell \mathcal{\prime} X 0] \mu \in \nu \cdot \pi o \lambda \iota[\)
\([\cdots \chi] o[\rho] \epsilon i ́ \alpha \iota s \quad \delta[\)

Fr. 14.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \epsilon \rho \omega \tau o \sigma \eta \delta \eta[ \\
& ] \\
& ] \tau \iota \nu \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta \omega \sigma[ \\
& ] \rho \mu \iota o \nu \bar{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \alpha v[. .]
\end{aligned}
\]
\(5] \xi \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \iota \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon i \neq[\cdot] \eta \nu\)
\(] \kappa \in \sigma\)
] \(\mu \iota \sigma \theta \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \cdot \tau 0 \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}!(\sigma[. ~.] \tau \grave{\alpha} \iota \sigma \hat{\alpha} \iota\) ] \(\pi \alpha ́ \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu \bar{\alpha} \nu\)

] \(\tau[.]. a \sigma \epsilon\)
] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \sigma 0 \times\) Өol \(\sigma\) ]г \(兀 \iota\)
\(] \nu v \chi \iota \sigma[.] \eta \nu\)
] [

Fr. 15 .
[.] • \(y \cdot[. . . . . . . ..] \epsilon \lambda о \mu \alpha \iota \sigma[\)
[. .] . \(\gamma v \lambda \alpha\). [. . . .] \(]\) өi \(\lambda \alpha \beta\) oı \(\sigma \alpha \mu \alpha\). [

\(\alpha \mu \phi \iota \pi о \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota\)

\(\epsilon \pi \tau 0 \alpha \iota \sigma^{\prime} \iota \delta 0 \_\sigma \alpha \nu \nu^{\bullet} \epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon \chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega\).
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\eta} \tau[. ~.] \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mu \phi[\)

[.] \(] \sigma \sigma \breve{\rho} \rho \bar{a} \mu a[\)
10 тоитот \(\omega\) [
[.] \(] \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha[\)
Fr. 16.
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(] \alpha \mu \epsilon \omega[\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] ]тьva[

Fr. 14.
```

                                    ] '\epsilon\rho\omega\tauos \eta้\delta\eta
                                    ]
    [..... .̀s \gamma\alphà\rho ä\nu]\tauiov \epsiloni\sigmaío \sigma[\epsilon
    [. . . . . . . . . . 'E]\rho\muióvą \tau\langle0\rangle\alphav́[\tau\alpha\nu
    ```



```

    [.....] \pi\alphaí\sigma\alpha\nu к\epsiloń \mu\epsilon \tau\hat{\alpha}\nu \mu\epsilon\rhoí\mu\nu\alpha\nu
    [. . . .]\lambda\alpha\iota\sigma' \alphȧ\nu\tau\iota\delta[. . . . .]Oo\iotas \delta\epsiloǹ
        ] \tau[..]a\sigma\epsilon
        ]ras obXOols
        ]r\alpha|\nu
        \pi\alpha\nu]\nuv\chii\sigma[\delta]\eta\nu
                        ] [
    ```

Fr. 15.
[.] . \(\nu \cdot[. . . . . . . .<k] \in ́ \lambda о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \sigma[\)

[ \(\gamma \lambda \alpha] \kappa \tau i \nu \alpha \nu \cdot\) бढ̀ \(\delta \eta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \theta o s ~ \tau\). [
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota\)


\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho \alpha u ̛ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \tau[o ́ \delta] \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \phi[\epsilon \tau \alpha i ́\) бoı \([K] u \pi \rho o \gamma^{\prime} \nu[\eta \alpha\).
\([\tau] \hat{\alpha} s \quad \alpha^{\prime} \rho \alpha \mu \alpha[\iota\)
10 тoûto т \(\hat{\omega}[\)
[ \(\beta\) ]ó̀ \(\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu \alpha [ \iota}\)
Fr. 16.
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(\theta \alpha \mu \mu^{\prime} \omega[\nu\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . ob ó] \(\tau \tau \iota \nu \alpha[s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
```

    [. . . . . . . . . .]\lambda ] |\sigma\tau\alpha\pi\alpha[
    [. . . . . . . . . .]
    5
[. . . . . . . . . .]'\gammaov\omega\mu[

```

```

    [. . . . . . . . . . .]\alpha\iota
    [. . . . . . . . . . .]\sigma\epsiloń'0\epsilon\lambda\omega[
    ı0 [. . . . . . . . . .]\tauо\pi\alphá⿱㇒日\eta\eta[
[. . . . . . .]\lambda\alpha\nu
[. . . . . .]\nuó\iota\delta\alpha

```

```

    [. . . . . . . . .] ¢\nu\alpha\mu[
    15 [. . . . . . . . .] ][

```

Fr. 17.
\(] \nu \theta a_{L}^{-}\)
] \(\omega 0 \mu[\)
\(] \omega \cdot \nu \nu \nu[\)
] \(\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau[\)
5 ]. \(\pi \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi\) [ ] \({ }^{\prime} \lambda \mu \bar{\alpha} \nu[\) ] \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega[\) ]ovє \(\chi\) [ ] \(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma[\)

Fr. 18.
] \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon\). [
] \(\pi \rho \circ \frac{1}{6}\) [
] \(\nu\) v́á \(\sigma \in \pi\) [
\(1 \beta \rho \alpha\).
5 ] \(\gamma \lambda \bar{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu^{\prime} \in \sigma \cdot \square\)
] \(\eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta\). [
]. \(\nu \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha[\)

Fr. 19.
] \(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda[\)
]. !.[.]op̣ \(\mu 0 \iota \sigma[].\} \epsilon[\)
]. [. . .]. [.] \(\omega\)
]. \(\alpha[\). . .]. [. .] \(\alpha \pi \sigma![\)

Fr. 20.
]
\(] \omega \nu\)
]
]
\(\left[\epsilon \hat{\dot{U}} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon, \kappa \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \imath \imath \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha ́\right] \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́[\nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\left[\delta \eta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \sigma^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu \tau \alpha\right] \iota\)
5 [. . . . . . . . . . .] \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau[\)
[. . . . . . . . . .] रóv \(\mu\) [
[. . . . . . . . . . .]o \(\mu^{\prime}\) ov่ \(\pi \rho[\)
[. . . . . . ... . . . .] \({ }^{\circ}\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(\sigma \epsilon \in \cdot ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega ~[~\)
1० [. . . . . . . \(\tau\) тои̂]то \(\pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta[\nu\)
[. . . . . . .] \(\lambda \alpha \nu \cdot \epsilon \in \gamma \grave{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon}[\alpha \nu \tau \hat{q}\)
[тои̂тo \(\sigma u ́] \nu 0 \iota \delta a\)

[. . . . . . . . .] \(\epsilon \nu \alpha \mu[\)
I5 [. . . . . . . . . \(]\) ]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 17. & Fr. 18. \\
\hline H & . . . \\
\hline ] \(\nu \theta \alpha[\) & ] \(\gamma \mu \epsilon \cdot[\) \\
\hline ] \(\omega 0 \mu\) [ & ] \(\pi \rho 0 \nu\) it \\
\hline \(] \omega \cdot \nu \hat{v} \nu[\) &  \\
\hline ] \(\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau[\) & \(\ddot{\alpha}] \beta \rho \alpha\), \\
\hline 5 ]. \(\pi\) ánт[ &  \\
\hline \(\tau] o ̂ \lambda \mu \alpha \nu\) [ & ] \(\chi^{\prime} \sigma \mu \in \theta\). [ \\
\hline \(] \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho[\pi\) & ] \(\nu \hat{v} \nu \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha[\mu\) \\
\hline \(] 0 \nu \in \chi[\) & . . . \\
\hline ] \(\pi \alpha / \sigma[\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 19.
] \(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda[\)
]. ![.] o้ \(\rho \mu о \iota \sigma[\cdot] \tau \epsilon[\)
]. [. . .]. [.] \(\omega\)
]. \(\alpha[.\). . ] . [. . \(] \alpha \pi o \iota[\)

Fr. 20.
]
] \(\omega \nu\)
]
]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 24. & Fr. 25. & Fr. 26. & Fr. 27. \\
\hline - . & - . & - . . . & . . . \\
\hline \(] \lambda \pi[\) & ] & \(] S[\cdot] \mu[\) & ] . . . [ \\
\hline ] \(\pi\) ¢ \(¢\) [. \(] \nu\) ¢ & ]. \(\epsilon \in \delta \alpha \phi[\) & ] \(\tau \in \sigma \chi\) Өo[ & ] \(\pi \alpha[\) \\
\hline \(] \in \lambda \iota \tau \iota \sigma \theta[\) & ] \(¢ \ll \alpha \tau \epsilon[\) & \(] \sigma \theta^{\prime} \epsilon[].\left[\sigma_{6}[\right.\) & ] \(¢ \xi \alpha[\) \\
\hline ] & ] \(\alpha \nu\) ¢́̇入o [ & ]. \(\alpha \sigma[\) & ] \(\mathrm{\nu}\) [ \\
\hline \(5] \mu \nu \nu \alpha\) & \(5]\) & 5 ] \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) & \(5] \omega[\) \\
\hline . . . & \(] \phi \underline{~}\) & . . . . & \\
\hline Fr. 28. & Fr. 29. & Fr. 30. & Fr. 31 \\
\hline ]Tпобт \(\tau \tau \div[\) & & - • \(\cdot\) & \\
\hline ]? \(\iota \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha[\) & ]... [ & ] \(\mu \alpha \lambda \iota[\) & ] \(¢ \alpha \sigma\) [ \\
\hline ]. \(\gamma\) ọ & ] \(\omega \nu \gamma \in \nu[\) & ]єข \(\quad\) vo[ & ] \\
\hline . . . & jor. & ] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mu \in[\) & \(]_{]} \theta_{\epsilon}\) \\
\hline & ] 4 & - . & ] \(\alpha \nu\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Fr. 21.
Fr. 22.
Fr. 23.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \nu[\cdot] \cdot[\cdot . \cdot] \cdot[ \\
& ] \cdot \iota \tau \hat{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \delta[ \\
& ] \tau \alpha \nu 0 \in \iota \alpha \alpha[ \\
& ] \pi \sigma[
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
]. \(\alpha \iota\)
\(\lambda] \epsilon \pi \tau \circ \phi \omega ́ \nu[\)
]. \(\alpha \tau^{\prime}[\)
5 да́к]аı \(\rho \alpha\)
]o.
lyov

Fr. 32.
Col. i.
] \(\pi \pi \pi\) ] \(\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon v[\) ] ]pop [

Fr. 35 .
[. .] • [
o. . [
\(\frac{\kappa v \pi[ }{\tau O v[ }\)
5 \(\phi[\)

Fr. 39 .
Fr. 40.
] \(\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon[\)
] \(\sigma \tau[\)

Fr. 44.
] \(\pi \in \varnothing[\) [
] T! [

Fr. 47.
] \(\in \cdot\). [
] \(\epsilon \gamma \omega \cdot[\)
]кат \(\alpha\) [
Fr. 36 .
\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma[\)
[. .] . [

Fr. 43.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \cdot[\) & \(] \pi \epsilon \delta[\) \\
\(] \nu \omega[\) & \(] \tau![\) \\
\(][\) &.
\end{tabular}

Fr. 33.
Fr. 34
]. a[. .] \(]\) at
]
Jou
]

Fr. 37.
Fr. \(3^{8 .}\)
\(] \cdot \alpha \tau \cdot[\)
\(] \sigma \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \cdot[\)
\(]!\eta \varphi[\)
] \(\rho \alpha\) [ ]al [ ]. [
]! \(\eta \varphi[\)

Fr. 4I.
Fr. 42.

Fr. 45.
Fr. 46 .

Fr. 48.
Fr. 49.
]ov[
]. \(\varphi \cdot[\)

\section*{Fr. 32.}

Col. i. Col. ii.
] \(\pi \pi \pi\)
] \(\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon v[\). .

] \(\rho o \nu\)
Fr. 33.
Fr. 34.
]. a[. ] ] \(a\) a
]
]ov
]
] \(] \alpha\)
Jos
]s
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 35. & Fr. 36. & Fr. 37. & Fr. \(3^{8 .}\) \\
\hline . & . . & . . & \\
\hline [. .] . [ & \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma[\) & ]. \(\alpha \tau\). [ & ] \(\rho \alpha[\) \\
\hline o.. [ & ف's \(\delta[\) & ]s \(\lambda \in \gamma \in \epsilon \tau \alpha L\). & ]aı \\
\hline \(K v \pi[\rho\) & [. .] [ & ] \(\tau \eta \nu[\) & ]. [ \\
\hline \(\tau 0 v[\tau\) & . . . & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(5 \phi[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 39. & Fr. 40. & Fr. 41. & Fr. 42 \\
\hline - . & - . & - . & \\
\hline \(]^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega\). [ & ] \(\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon[\) & ].. [ & ] \(\nu \tau[\) \\
\hline ] \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha[\) & \(] \sigma \tau[\) & ] \(\alpha \tau \alpha \delta[\) & \(] \in \sigma \theta[\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 43.
Fr. 44.
Fr. 45.
Fr. 46.
\(]\)
\(] \nu \omega[\)
\(]\)

Fr. 47.
Fr. 48.
Fr. 49.
] \(] \stackrel{\cdot}{ } \cdot[\)
]ov[
]. \(\nu \lambda[\)



Fr. 56. Plate II.
vขктT[. .]. [
\(\pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu o \iota \delta[\)
\(\pi \alpha \nu \nu \tau \chi \iota \delta \delta \mu[\)
ба⿱㇒日धєıסoı[
\(\phi \alpha \sigma \iota к о \lambda \pi \omega[\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \rho \theta \eta[\)
\(\sigma \tau \epsilon \backslash \chi \in \sigma o i \sigma[\)

\(\overline{\overline{\underline{Z}}} v \pi \nu o v[.] \delta \omega \mu \epsilon[\)
\(10 \quad \bar{\mu} \in \bar{\lambda} \omega \overline{\underline{y}} \bar{\alpha}[\)
\(\chi \eta \eta \eta \delta \delta\)
1231. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS

Fr．\({ }^{1}\) ．

］
］ov• \(\epsilon \hat{v}\) dè［
т］á又เซта［
\(5 \pi] \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \cdot \theta\) ө́o \([\)
］
］aveis ỏ \(\lambda[\)
］aík．［

Fr． 52.
Fr． 53 ．
］\(\lambda \alpha \iota\) र［
］\(\nu 0 \sigma[\)
］\(\delta \delta o s \mu[\)
］ ］\(\pi 0 \tau[\)
5 ］таuт \(\alpha[\)
Fr． 54.
Fr． 55.
］\(\sigma \cdot\) \(] \eta \sigma \tau[\)
\(] \pi \epsilon \sigma[\) ］\(\in \delta o ́ v \eta[\) ］\(\alpha \pi \alpha ́ \mu[\) ］\(\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \mu \epsilon\) ．［
\(5]\)
\(\left.{ }^{\prime}\right] \delta \alpha \iota \quad \zeta \alpha \phi[\)
］\(\mu\)［
．
．．． \(\left.{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right] \nu \theta \rho \omega[\pi\)

Fr．56．Plate II．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \nu u \kappa \tau[. . .] \cdot[ \\
& \pi \alpha ́ \rho \theta \in \nu 0<\quad \delta[\hat{\epsilon} \\
& \pi \alpha \nu \nu v \chi i \sigma \delta 0 \mu[\epsilon \nu \\
& \sigma \grave{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \in i ́ \delta o \iota[\sigma \alpha \iota \text { фı} \text { 人о́тата каi } \nu v ́ \mu \text { - } \\
& \phi \text { аs ioкó } \lambda \pi \omega \text {. } \\
& \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \rho \theta \eta\left[\tau^{\prime}\right. \\
& \sigma \tau \in i ̂ \chi \in \text { oois [ } \\
& \eta ้ \pi \epsilon \rho \text { ó } \sigma \sigma o v \text { al } \\
& \text { ún } \nu 0 \nu\left[{ }^{\prime \prime}\right] \delta \omega \mu \epsilon[\nu .
\end{aligned}
\]

> Fr. 50.
> [. . . .] ка̀ \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) [
> [.. \(\tau] l \nu \in S \quad \mu \in \mu[\)
> [. .] ऽá入є \(\xi \alpha{ }^{\circ} \kappa\) [
> [ä]ס \({ }^{2} \alpha \chi^{\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha[ }\)
> \(5[\sigma] \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{\chi} \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu\) रू̀ \(\rho\) [
\([\pi \alpha] \rho[\theta] \epsilon \operatorname{\nu ols} \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \pi[\)
[...]єv \({ }^{\epsilon} X\) Хоاє

Fr. 1. i. 1-6. These lines are on a detached fragment, the position of which is hardly certain, but is suggested partly by a strongly marked fibre on the verso, partly by similarities at the point of juncture on the recto. The length of the lacuna before \(\left.{ }_{\mu} \mu\right] 3 \beta \rho o \tau e\) is not a serious difficulty, the space being no greater than that before \(\dot{\alpha}[\lambda \lambda a ́]\) in 1.23 .
2. The first letter is apparently either \(a\) or \(\epsilon\).

9-10. Restored by W(ilamowitz)-M(öllendorff).
II. Doricha, whose name was recognized here by W-M, is not mentioned in the previously extant fragments. Her reappearance here gives fresh substance to the lines of
 накарєбто́v.

13-34. 'Some say that the fairest thing on the black earth is a host of horsemen, others of foot, others of ships; but I say that is fairest which is the object of one's desire. And it is quite easy to make this plain to all; for Helen observing well the beauty of men judged the best to be that one who destroyed the whole glory of Troy, nor bethought herself at all of child or parents dear, but through love Cypris led her astray. [Verily the wills of mortals are easily bent when they are moved by vain thoughts.] And I now have called to mind Anactoria, far away, whose gracious step and radiant glance I would rather see than the chariots of the Lydians and the charge of accoutred knights. We know well that this cannot come to pass among men . . .'
14. yầ \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \neq a u v a \nu\) : cf. Sapph. 1. 10, and Fr. 9.6 below, 1233. Fr. 1. ii. 17. But the gen. or dat. would be expected rather than the accus., and possibly \(\mu \epsilon \lambda a, v a \nu\) is a gen. plural in agreement with \(\nu \dot{\omega} \omega \nu\) (cf. e. g. Alc. 18. 2) and \(\gamma \hat{a} \nu\) an error for \(\gamma\) âs or \(\gamma \hat{a}\).

18-19. The reading here is very uncertain. At the end of 1 . \(18 \sigma_{\kappa}\) is followed by a rounded letter, \(\epsilon\), \(o\), or possibly \(a\); and next to this is a rather high stroke turning over to the left, which would suit \(\rho\) or perhaps \(\delta\); cf. \(\delta \delta \eta \nu\) in l. 30 . The termination may be either o \([\sigma] a\) or \(\epsilon[\sigma]\). Near the beginning of the next line an interlineated \(a, \delta\), or \(\lambda\) is more probable than a grave accent ; and below this are vestiges of what seem to have been round letters. The reading adopted gives a fair sense and suits the remains sufficiently well, if the left shoulder of the \(\pi\) in \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \sigma a\) be supposed to have scaled off ; \(\sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta o i[\sigma] a\), apart from the dubious form, has led to no satisfactory restoration. The omission of one of the lambdas of kád \(\lambda \frac{0}{}\) is a not unlikely error.
\(a\) before \(\gamma\) a \(\rho\) has been retouched or corrected.
23. \(\pi a \rho \dot{a} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon\) seems to be the right word, and \(\gamma\) a is possible, though not suggested by the very small vestiges remaining from the tops of the leters. Fr. 35 is not to be assigned to this stanza ; cf. the note there.
\({ }^{25}\)-6. These two lines apparently contained a general reflection on the weakness of human nature. єưv]a \(\mu \pi \tau o \nu\) was restored by W-M.
\({ }^{27}\)-8. W-M1's reconstruction of these two verses has been provisionally adopted, though it cannot be considered very satisfactory. The supposition of a corruption in a mutilated word is generally objectionable; moreover the \(\pi\) of ameoraas, though not impossible, is really more like \(\rho\), i. e. ] пapєoเनas, not \(]_{\eta}\) a \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma a s\), is the more natural reading. But it seems difficult to adapt this to the preceding remains and the apparent sense. If, as would rather be gathered from the gist of the whole poem, Anactoria was absent, ov must precede tapeoiaas, and there might also be room in the lacuna for another letter, e. g. кoi or \(-\sigma^{\prime}\) où. In 1.27 J \(\quad \epsilon \mu \nu a\) is suitable, but \(\mu \epsilon \mu \nu a\) is equally possible; of the \(\epsilon\) there is hardly anything left. For the marginal v. l. \(\mu \nu a \iota\) cf. the spelling \(\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu a \sigma \theta^{\prime}\), à \(\mu\) ниiซaı in the Berlin fragment (Klassikertexte, V. ii. 13. 2.8 and 10). At the beginning of the line \(\lambda\) is far from certain, and \(\sigma\) might well be substituted.

 Mid \(\eta \sigma i a\); the same person is doubtless meant.
29. \(\rho\) of \(\varepsilon\) fatov appears to have been corrected. The mark of length above the a may be due to confusion with ápatóv; cf. P. S. I. I23.5, where ধ́pátav has been written as a variant above ḋ́árav.
\(3 \mathbf{r}\). \(\bar{\eta}\) is for \(\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda_{o \nu} \boldsymbol{\eta}\) according to the not infrequent use with verbs implying preference.
 चû̃av \(\kappa \tau \lambda\).
32. intoo- was suggested by W-M. \(a\) in the termination is written through an \(\epsilon\).

33-4. Restored by W-M, who as a completion of the stanza proposes, exempli gratia,


 Fr. 1. iii. 2 and 5 ; cf. 1234. Fr. 2. ii. \(7-8\), Fr. 4. 9. A mark of short quantity seems to have been substituted for a mark of length above the first \(a\) of apar \(\theta a\).
ii. r-II. These lines correspond with the fragment, also from Oxyrhynchus, recently published in P. S. I. 123 , where rather more of the verses is preserved than here in 1231; their extent is shown by the brackets in the reconstruction above. The following tentative restoration by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) of \(\mathrm{ll} .2-\mathrm{II}\) is printed by Vitelli ad loc.:







```

    ои̉к є̇ồ́vaито,
    ```



This seems to express successfully the general sense, but some modification is at any rate required in ll. ro-I \(\mathbf{1}\), where a verb is essential in order to complete the sentence before \(\nu \bar{v} \nu \delta \epsilon\) in l. 12 ; \(\mu\) '́ \(\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu\) might be replaced by e. g. кá入 \(\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M})\) or \(\mu a ́ \lambda a \xi a \nu\).
1. Opposite this line in P. S. I. 123 the variant \(\tau \omega \mu \circ \nu\), attributed to Nt() ) (cf. 1174. iv. \(\mathbf{2 3}^{2}\), note), is entered in the left margin. There can be little doubt that this annotation referred to the preceding column, in accordance with the usual practice of scribes at this period. But since the relative lengths of the columns of P. S. I. 123 and 1231 is indeterminable, the line concerned cannot be identified in Col. i of 1231.
2. The \(\eta\) has been corrected from \(a\).
4. In P.S.I. 123 the unmetrical v. l. ধ̣́átav is written above d̀pítav.
8. тú[ []\(^{\circ}\) : so 7. 2; cf. von Wilamowitz, Textgesch. der Lyriker, p. \(5 \mathrm{r}^{1}\).
10. \(\Delta i \dot{a} v \tau \tau\), not \(\delta i a \nu \tau[\), is indicated by P. S. I. 123, where marks of short quantity have been added above both vowels.

20-1. Perhaps "H \(\mid \rho a\), as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) suggests.

Frs. 2-8. These smali pieces have been placed together here on account of certain similarities in the appearance of their versos and that of the first column of Fr. I ; but the resemblance may be misleading.

Fr. 2. 2. \(\mu\) едotaa: the \(a\) is likely to be the final letter of the line.
7. of c : or \(\epsilon] \hat{3}\).
8. Cf. Fr. 9. 20, and for the neglect of the digamma e. g. Sapph. 19. 3.
12. Either \(\epsilon \ddot{i \pi n}[\nu\) or \(\epsilon \ddot{i} \pi \eta\) [ or \(-\eta[5\).

Fr. 4. 6. The supposed grave accent may be part of an interlineated letter like \(a\) or \(\lambda\).
Fr. 9. 4. There seems to have been a marginal note opposite this line.

16. \(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda\). [ seems more likely than \(\pi о \mu \pi\). [, but neither is satisfactory.

3. סoloфvv is an unknown form, which may perhaps be connected with Hesychius' \(\delta_{o \lambda \phi o ́ s}^{=} \delta \delta \epsilon \phi\) ús. There is a dot above the second o, but this is presumably accidental, since the omission of the o would dislocate the metre.

Fr. 11. 2. An ink-mark above the vestige of the first letter suggests an interlinear correction or variant rather than an accent.

Fr. 12. 5. ]vaváo̊ \(\eta \nu\) is an obscure form.
6. Perhaps [ai \(\delta] \dot{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \eta(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M})\); but this will involve equally short supplements in the preceding and following lines.

Fr. 13. This fragment is evidently addressed to some of Sappho's companions. The length of the lacuna at the beginnings of the lines has been estimated on the basis of 1.6 ; with a longer supplement there the others would need to be proportionately lengthened.


 [кaì \(\kappa \tau \lambda\). This is attractive, but \(\pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda[\) aus is unsatisfactory owing to the straightness of the stroke following \(\pi o \lambda\), which has the appearance of the top of an ı. If \([\pi \dot{o} \lambda \lambda a \mu]^{\prime} \nu\) is adopted in 1.5 , a longer verb than \([\epsilon \not \approx \chi 0] \mu \epsilon \nu\) must follow.

Fr. 14. A fragment apparently concerned with one of Sappho's friends, who is compared to Helen. In estimating the length of the initial lacunae in 11.3 sqq. the supplement in 1.5 has been taken as the standard.
1. \(\eta \delta \eta\) is very uncertain; the two last leters might well be \(\lambda \gamma\), but these make an intractable combination.
3. \(\epsilon i \sigma i \delta \omega \sigma[\epsilon\) : or \(\epsilon i \sigma i \delta \omega[\sigma \iota\), the comparison with Helen then being attributed to others.
4. For the spelling teaitrav for toaítrav cf. 1233. Fr. 2. ii. 5, 1234. Fr. 2. ii. 10.
\(5-7\). The supplements at the beginnings of the lines were suggested by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\). That in 1. 7 , however, is rather shorter than is expected, and \(a\) or \(\lambda\) might be read instead of \(\mu\). The accent on tat in this verse was possibly intended for a circumflex. For the elision before \(\ddot{i}_{[ } \theta_{t}\) ? ] cf. 1232. Fr. I. ii. 8, note.


10. The marginal entry looks like a v.l., but the reading is uncertain.

Fr. 15. Part of a poem addressed, as was recognized by W-M, to Gongyla of Colophon, who is known from the notice in Suidas as one of the \(\mu\) äirptat of Sappho, and is named also in Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. 13. 2. (4) 4.
11. 2-8. ' Take your milk-white robe, Gongyla, and come (?). Love again flits about
your fair form ; for the sight even of the dress thrilled you. And I rejoice ; for Cypris has this reproach against you.'
2. An imperative such as \(\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \beta a \theta_{\iota}\) is expected after [「o] ryúda, but is not easily obtained, the \(\nu\) before \(\theta_{c}\) being certain. At the end of the line the name of some article of dress is wanted, and \(\mu a\), if rightly read, suggests \(\mu a \nu \delta \dot{a}\) or an allied form, but this seems to have been a masculine garment. The doubtful \(\mu\) might well be \(\nu\).
3. [ \(\gamma \lambda]\) aктivav W-M ; cf. \(\gamma \lambda\) актофáyos.
 7-8. Restored by W-M.
 \(\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu o i ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a\) бivovtal was recognized by \(W-M\), who suggested the restoration adopted in the text. Since the passage is quoted (Etym. Magn. 449.34) in illustration of the form \(\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega\), the omission of \(\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \eta \dot{\imath} \tau \epsilon\), or whatever the latter word was, would be natural enough. The supplement at the beginning of 1.3 is indeed slightly longer than would be expected from a comparison of \(\mathbf{l} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{2}\), where the reading is practically certain; but fourteen letters instead of twelve do not constitute a serious difficulty in a script of this irregular character.
9. \(\epsilon\) of \(\theta \in \lambda \omega\) was corrected from \(\lambda\).
\({ }_{11} 1 \mathbf{1} 2=\) Sapph. \({ }^{1} 5\) from Apollon. De pron. 324 b. Apollonius, who has \({ }_{\epsilon} \neq \omega \nu\), writes \({ }_{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime}\), aṽтa as in the papyrus (cf. also Fr. 23. i), both here and in Alc. 72 . Bergk thinks є́даи́ra more correct, but nevertheless prints \({ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu^{\prime}\) aűra in the latter place.
13. The supposed stop may be the vestige of a letter, e. g. \(\epsilon\).
15. What has been taken for the tip of an \(\epsilon\) is possibly a circumflex accent.

Fr. 18. 3. i \(\gamma\) ]vias W-M. The acute accent on \(v\) might perhaps be taken for a mark of length, but an alternative accentuation is more probable.

Fr. 21. 2. Perhaps ád \(\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \phi\) as.
Fr. 23. I. A mark of elision has very likely disappeared after \(\epsilon \mu\); at any rate the accent on \(\epsilon\) indicates the division \({ }^{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime}\) a vivi \(\tau\). ., as in Fr. I6. I 1 .

Fr. 32. This fragment appears to be in the same hand as the rest, and also to be written in stanzas; but ] \(\pi \pi\) ov (or ] \(\eta \pi o v\) ?) is difficult, and in the next line any letter following \(\sigma \epsilon v\) would be expected to be partially visible. For the marginal crosses in Col. ii cf. e. g. 841. A. iii. 3 I \&c., P. S. I. 123 . 12 . Fr. 33 also is doubtfully included here.

Fr. 35. A junction of two selides is apparently to be recognized in this fragment, which cannot therefore be assigned to Fr. I. i. 23 sqq.

Fr. 37. r. The \(\tau\) is separated from the \(a\) by a slight interval, and perhaps a stop followed the latter letter.

Frs. 50-5. These pieces are put together as having been found rather apart from the rest ; but combinations with them are of course not to be excluded on that account.

Fr. 52. This fragment possibly joins on above l. I of Fr. \(5^{1}\).
Fr. 56. Conclusion of an epithalamium.
I. The doubtful \(\kappa\) might be \(\mu\), but the stroke following is too short for \(\phi\).
4. ф८入ótaza каí W-M.
 \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \omega ~ \mu \eta \eta \pi i \lambda a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon, \quad\) ' \(\gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \theta \in[\) ls might also be read.

II. Similar stichometrical figures are found e.g. in P. Brit. Mus. 128, 732, and some of the Herculaneum papyri.

\section*{1232. Sappho, BOOk ii.}
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fr. I } 13.3 \times 29.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { Third century. Plate I } \\
(\text { Fr. } 1, \text { Cols. ii-iii }) .
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
\]

Parts of three columns from the end of a roll, written in rapidly formed sloping uncials of medium size, and dating probably from the first half of the third century. Stops (in the high position), accents, and other signs have been inserted with some frequency, as usual in lyrics. How far they are to be credited to the original scribe is not easily determined ; some of them may well have been added subsequently, especially if, as is quite possible, a second hand is to be recognized in the marginal adscript at Fr. I. ii. 3 .

The attribution to Sappho is given by the subscription at the end of Fr. I. iii, and is further confirmed by the coincidence of ii. 10 with a citation from the second book by Athenaeus; moreover, it was already known from Hephaest. p. 42 that that book consisted of pieces in the so-called Sapphic pentameter of fourteen

Fr. I. Col. i.
```

    ]
    ]\lambda\in\gamma\alpha\rho
]к\alpha\lambdaо\sigma

```
]. \({ }^{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \lambda о \nu \epsilon \iota\) ]каرатобф \(\rho \in \nu a[\llbracket]\) ]єк \(\alpha \tau \iota \sigma \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon[\). ] \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma เ \tau \omega \phi \iota \lambda \alpha!\) ] \(\alpha \gamma \chi!\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \in \rho \alpha\) ]
]
].
]
]
]
syllables (cf. Sappho \(32-7\) ), which is the metre of the present fragments. They consist of remains of two poems. Of the first, composed for some nightly festival (cf. i. 8-9), no more than a few words from the conclusion remains. The rest of Col. i is blank, with slight vestiges of ink in one spot at the edge of the papyrus. The natural explanation, that 11 . \(1-9\) were succeeded by some shorter verses in a different metre, is excluded by the statement of Hephaestion just referred to, unless the papyrus be supposed to have contained not a single book, but extracts from several ; cf. note on iii. 8. It may be suggested as an alternative that a title stood here in Col. i; and it happens that a portion of such a title, having the words \(\Sigma a[\pi \phi o \hat{s} \mid \mu] \epsilon[\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu\), was actually found, with other literary fragments, in company with 1232. Possibly that fragment is to be assigned to this position. Cols. ii-iii, in which is preserved part of a poem on the marriage of Hector and Andromache, will then have been added as an afterthought, perhaps from some other source.

We are indebted to Mr. E. Lobel for several good suggestions on the text of this papyrus.

Fr. 1.

10

Col. i.
        ] \(\nu\)
        \(] \lambda \epsilon \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
        ] к \(\alpha\) 入os

    ] ка́ \(\mu a \tau o s ~ \phi \rho \in ́ v \alpha s\)
    ] \(\kappa\) кат \(\iota \sigma \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \in[l]\)
    ] \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \not{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \iota \tau^{\prime}\), \(\hat{\omega} \phi i ́ \lambda \alpha \iota\),

]
    ]
    ].
    ]
    ]
    ]

\section*{Col. ii. Plate I.}

кขтро [ 22 letters ]a!.
\(\kappa \alpha \rho v \xi \eta \lambda \theta[.] \theta\). [. . . . . . . . . \(] \in \lambda \epsilon[. .\).\(] . \theta \in \iota \sigma\) -ob
\(\iota^{\prime} \delta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \in \kappa \alpha[.] \cdot[\cdot] \phi[\cdot.] \cdot \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \nu \sigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o \sigma \quad\) av凶
\(\tau \dot{\bar{\alpha}} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau[\cdot] \delta \epsilon . \alpha \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \phi \theta \iota \tau 0 \nu\).

-a.
\(\left.\theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \epsilon \xi \iota \in \rho \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \bar{a}[\cdot]\right] \nu \alpha \omega\)
\(\alpha \beta \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho о \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \iota \nu \alpha v ิ \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \mu \nu \rho \rho \nu\)
\(\pi о \nu \tau о \nu \cdot \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta[\). . .] \(] \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{v} \sigma \iota \alpha \kappa \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha \tau a\)
\(\pi о \rho \phi v \rho[-].[\alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha u ́ \tau[. ~.] \varphi \alpha \pi o ́!k!\lambda \alpha \theta v \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \cdot\)
\(10 \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho[\). . \(] \alpha \nu \alpha \rho[.] \mu \alpha[. . ..] \rho[. ~.] \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \phi \alpha ̆ \iota \sigma \cdot\)
\(\omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \circ \tau \rho \alpha \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \delta \alpha y \circ \rho \circ v \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau[..] \phi i \lambda o \sigma^{*}\)
\(\phi \alpha \mu \alpha \delta \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \tau \circ \lambda เ \nu \epsilon \cup \rho v \chi[\cdot\). .] \(\nu \phi \iota \lambda o \iota \sigma \cdot\)

\(\hat{\alpha} \gamma[\cdot] \nu \alpha \iota \mu \iota o \nu 0 \iota \sigma \cdot \epsilon \pi[]] \beta \alpha \iota \nu \in \delta \in \pi \alpha \iota \sigma 0 \chi^{\lambda o \sigma}\)

\(\chi^{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \delta \alpha v \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \circ \iota 0 \theta v \gamma[.] \tau \rho \in \sigma[\)
\(\iota \pi \pi[. ..] \delta \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma v ́ \pi \bar{\alpha} \gamma 0 \nu v \pi \alpha \rho[\)
\(\pi[. ..] \in \sigma \eta![\cdot] \in \sigma \iota \cdot \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega[\cdot] \tau \iota \downarrow\) [̣
\(\delta[\). . . .] . a \(\alpha \iota o \chi\) оıф [
\(20 \pi[. . . .!] \xi a \gamma o[\)

Fr. 2.
] \(\kappa \in \lambda o \Delta \theta \in 0[\) ]ayvovaoㅅ[
] \(\nu 0 \nu \in \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \circ[\) ] \(\tau 0 \nu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \nu v[\)
5 ] \(\omega \sigma \delta \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \rho[\)
] \(\nu \in \delta \in \boldsymbol{\sigma}\). . [

Col. ii. Plate I.

Kúmpo . [ 22 letters ]al,
\(\kappa \alpha ́ \rho v \xi \hat{\xi} \hat{\eta} \lambda[\epsilon] \quad \theta[\ldots . . . . . ..] \in \lambda \epsilon[. ..] . \theta \in i s\)
 \(3 a<\)













\({ }^{\prime} \pi \pi \pi[o \iota s] \delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s\) ü \(\pi \alpha \gamma o \nu\) ún' \({ }^{\circ} \rho[\mu a \tau \alpha-\cup \smile\)
\(\pi[\alpha ́ \nu \tau] \epsilon S\left\langle\alpha ̉\left\langle i[\theta] \epsilon 0 \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega[\sigma] \tau \iota \delta\left[{ }^{\prime}\right.\right.\right.\)
\(\delta[. . .\).\(] . ávíoxol \phi[\)
\(\left.20 \pi\left[. . .{ }^{\epsilon}\right]\right\} \alpha \gamma \sigma[\nu\)

Fr. 2.

> ] \({ }^{2} \gamma \nu 0 \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} o \partial[\lambda \epsilon \epsilon s\)
> ] vov és *I \(I\) co \([\nu\)
\(5] \omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime}\) a้p \(\alpha \pi a ́ \rho[\theta \in \nu 0\) !
] \(\nu \in \delta \in \sigma\). . [

Fr. I.

\author{
Col. iii. Plate I.
}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . .]cк } \alpha \sigma!\alpha \lambda \wedge \beta \alpha \nu 0 \sigma \tau о \nu \in \delta \ell \chi \nu \nu \tau о
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \delta \alpha \nu \delta \rho[\cdot] \sigma \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \bar{a} \alpha \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \circ \rho \theta \iota o \nu[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\text { I }}{\overline{=}} \nu \mu \nu \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau о \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \rho о \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \nu \theta \in о \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \rho[ \\
& \sigma \alpha \phi[\cdot]=\sigma \\
& \mu \in \lambda \eta[\text { ] }
\end{aligned}
\]
i. 3. \(\gamma\) ap: or \(\gamma\) at.
6. Dots above and below the \(\sigma\) of фןevas were apparently intended to cancel the letter.
 (Hoffmann, Gr. Dial. ii, pp. 384-5).
9. On the blank space below this line see introd. p. 45 .
 quantity above the initial letter is mistaken. Below this line there has been an omission of one or more verses, which were supplied in the space at the top of the column, as indicated by the marginal äv \(\nu \omega\). No doubt the oblique dash to the left of the line also refers to the omission ; cf. 852. Fr. I. ii. 8.
4. Restoration here is rendered difficult by the uncertainty of sense and construction. \(\tau[0 \cdot] \delta \varepsilon\) looks likely, but what is \(-a \nu\) ? \(\gamma^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu\) will hardly do. For the letter before \(\alpha \nu, \kappa, \lambda, \rho\), or \(\sigma\) would be suitable, besides \(\gamma\). W-M would boldly emend to ка̀к кле́оs.
11. 5-18. '". . . Hector and his comrades are bringing from sacred Thebes and Placia's everflowing streams fair bright-eyed Andromache on their ships over the salt sea, with many golden bracelets and purple robes and treasure of goodly broideries withal, and countless silver cups and ivory." Thus he said; and in haste his dear father started up, and the tidings went forth in the spacious city. Straightway the sons of Ilium yoked mules to the swift cars and all the company of the women and slender-footed maidens mounted thereon, while the daughters of Priam took their seat apart. And the men yoked horses to the chariots, even all the youths.'


iépas, v. l. iúpas: ipos has hitherto been regarded as the old Aeolic form (cf. 1233. Fr. 2. i. 25, 1234. Fr. I. 9), "̈f \(\rho\) os occurring only in later inscriptions (so too Theocr. xxviii. 7), while iapós is the Doric spelling, though also Bocotian. If iépas is the original spelling here,

Fr. I. Col. iii. Plate I.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . . .] }] \phi[\cdot] \alpha \text {. [.]o[. . .] } v \in \delta \in[. ~ .] ~ . ~ . ~ є \alpha \kappa[.] ~ . ~[~
\end{aligned}
\]

\author{
\(\sum \alpha \phi[0] \hat{v} s\) \\ \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta\).
}
it would substantiate the view that ipos is a contraction of \({ }^{\iota} \in \rho o s ;\) cf. Hoffmann, Gr. Dial. ii, p. \(3^{13}\).
 tion of an error in the papyrus, but nothing else suiting the conditions suggests itself. A letter marked as long must be either \(a\), \(t\), or \(v\); and this is followed by two dots above the line looking like the top of a \(v\) or a diaeresis. This combination points decidedly to ai ; and a horizontal stroke preceding may well be part of the top of a \(\pi,-\gamma, \zeta\), or \(\tau\) being alternatives. There would, however, be room for a letter, if wanted, between this supposed \(\pi\) and the preceding \(a\). A further objection to \(\left.a_{[i}^{[i}\right\rangle \nu\langle\nu\rangle\) ác here is the questionable propriety of this epithet in relation to a town or district.
 interesting instance of a crasis with a word beginning with a digamma, and is to be ranked
 oiv \(\theta a\); cf. Wilamowitz, Sappho und Simonides, pp. 94-5.

 on \(a v\), which, if \(a v \begin{gathered}\text { is } \\ \text { read, is incorrect unless an enclitic followed; but } a \tilde{v} \tau[\nu \nu]_{a} \text { is too weak, }\end{gathered}\) W-M condemns avi as otiose and considers that an adjective defining the material should precede \(\pi о i к \kappa \lambda a\). The position of the stroke above the line indicates that the scribe wrote \(\pi o \rho \phi v \rho a\), and the spelling of a apvipa in the following line was probably similar, though there


Io. d̀váp[ \(\theta] \mu a\). . . кä̀єф \(\dot{a}\) : cf. Sapph. \(6_{7}\), identified here by W-MI. In Athen. xi. 460 d , where the passage is cited by Athenaeus from the second book of Sappho, the
 \(\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda a\) comes from l. 8. There is however the difficulty that the accus. would be expected rather than the nominative, in continuation of the construction with "̈your' in 1. 5. But that is some way off, and the nominative is not unintelligible. There is no possibility of getting in another verb, unless the restoration of 1.9 is quite wrong.

 from the line above.

\author{
14. rimiouos was already attested in Etym. Magn. 452. 37; cf. 1233. Fr. 2. ii. I3 aiju \(\theta^{\prime} \omega \nu\), Hoffmann, Gr. Dial. ii, p. 420. \\ 16. For the single \(\rho\) in Пєра́ноь cf. e. g. Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. 13. 2. (2) 14

}

Fr. 2. This fragment from the bottom of a column is no doubt to be assigned to
 then have preceded; cf. e. g. Sappho 11. \(\quad \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho[\theta \in \nu 0 t\) in 1.5 is the natural antecedent of the

iii. r. The doubtful \(\phi\) may be any other long letter such as \(\rho\) or \(v\).
2. òvє \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi\) vuro : sc. \(\tau \grave{o} \pi \hat{\nu} \rho\) ? The supposed \(\delta\) is more like \(\lambda\), but this gives no word. It would be precarious in this uncertain context to emend \(\lambda_{\iota} \beta a v o \sigma \tau o \nu ~ t o ~ \lambda_{\iota} \beta\) aivotov.

3-6. 'And the elder women all uttered cries of joy, and all the men raised their voices in a sweet paean, calling on the Far-darter of the tuneful lyre, and sang of Hector and Andromache, peers of the gods.'

3 The reading of the text \(\epsilon] \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda v \sigma \delta[0] \nu\) accords better with the other imperfects than the superscribed variant - \(\xi a v\).
4. The mark of length above the cof caxov seems to have been drawn through a diaeresis.
6. \({ }^{v} \mu \nu \eta \nu\) as a \(3^{\text {rd }}\) person plur. imperf. lacks analogy in Aeolic, but seems a possible

 may be restored.
8. The doubtful \(\eta\) might be an \(\omega\), but a \(\nu\) following would be expected to be partially visible. That the number of the book was added is not very likely; and hence the possibility remains that the roll contained a selection from Sappho's works and that a poem in different metre preceded the Marriage of Andromache.
1233. Alcaeus.
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Fr. } 1 \quad 9.4 \times 17.3 \mathrm{~cm} . & \text { Second century. } \\
& \text { Plate III (Frs. 1. ii. 2, 8). }
\end{array}
\]

The identification of these pieces, apart from other clear indications of their authorship, is guaranteed by the coincidence of \(\mathrm{Fr} .32 .2-3\) with already extant verses of Alcacus. Like 1231, which belongs to the same find, they are much broken up, and efforts at combination have only been moderately successful. Nevertheless, Frs. I, 2, and 4, at any rate, provide substantial additions to the remains of the poet. The two columns of Fr. I are apparently in the same metre, the Sapphic pentameter of fourteen syllables exemplified in 1232. In Col. ii they are divided off by paragraphi into couplets; cf. Frs. 9-Io and Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. 12. 1. Col. ii. At 1. 8 a new poem begins, addressed to Melanippus, the friend to whom, according to Hdt. v. 95, Alcacus wrote the poem
describing his flight from a battle with the Athenians; cf. Alc. 32. That poem, however, the opening lines of which, apparently, have been preserved in a corrupt state in Strabo xiii. 6co, cannot be identical with the one here, in which Alcaeus admonishes his friend to resign himself to the prospect of death, remembering the fate of Sisyphus. Perhaps, as Wilamowitz suggests, Alc. 93, which refers to Tantalus and seems to be in the same metre, belongs to this context. Fr. 2. Col. ii contains four Sapphic stanzas, admitting of satisfactory restoration, in which a contrast is drawn between Helen and Thetis. The latter is again referred to in the first few lines of Fr. 3, apparently Asclepiads. These are followed by two incomplete Sapphic stanzas describing a resort of maidens at the mouth of some river. Fr. 4 preserves twelve lines from the beginning of a poem in Sapphics addressed to the Dioscuri ; cf. Fr. 12. 5-8, also Sapphic, where Aphrodite is invoked. Other metres are exemplified in Frs. 8, 32 (Asclepiads), I1 (cf. I3 and 17), and 22. There is therefore very considerable variety in these fragments, both of form and content. Little is known concerning the arrangement of the works of Alcaeus beyond the fact that they were distributed into at least ten books, with some regard to their subject-matter. Thus Book i contained hymns to the gods (Alc. I, \&c.), and Frs. 4 and 12 might well have been referred to this category, which, however, will clearly not suit, e. g., Frs. I and 32. It is a natural assumption that the present fragments are from a single book; but, if so, the principle of the grouping is here not easy to follow.

The papyrus is written in graceful upright uncials of medium size, to be assigned most probably to the second century. The hand is very similar to that of one of the Alcaeus fragments at Berlin (Schubart, Pap. Gr. Berol., Plate 29b); cf. also 1082, the Cercidas papyrus. \(v\) sometimes has the shape of Y , sometimes, though less commonly, of \(V\). As usual, strophic divisions are marked by paragraphi, while a new poem is distinguished by a coronis. Somé small corrections in the text have been introduced by a second hand, to which apparently the accents, marks of elision and of long or short quantity, and other signs are also due. In the punctuation, for which both high and medial dots are used, it is more difficult to distinguish, but this too, to some extent at least, is likely to be secondary. In Fr. 4. 4 a short oblique dash is used instead of a dot.

Fr．I．
Col．i．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]Ba[...].[ ] } \\
& \text { ] } \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha \mu \in \underset{\text { [ }}{ } \\
& \text { ]rove৯ı } \sigma \sigma \circ \mu[\text { ] } \\
& \text { ] } \sigma \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ] } \bar{\alpha} \kappa[[\alpha] \tau \tau \delta \iota \mu[. . . .] \alpha \downarrow
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \iota \sigma \alpha \pi v \kappa \text { ќкрıтаı } \\
& \text { ]тоутוขєк. [.] }\rceil \in \rho \omega \\
& \text { ]aтa入公 } \mu \psi \in \tau \alpha \text {. } \\
& \text { 10 ] } \rho \pi \text { оує }[\text {. . .] }] \tau \epsilon \sigma \\
& ] \varphi v \theta \in \mu[. .] o \iota \lambda v a \iota \sigma \\
& \text { ] } \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta[ \\
& \text { ] } \nu 0 \nu[. .] \delta о к \eta \mu[ \\
& \text { ]áкхєє • [. .] }{ }^{\nu} \theta^{\prime} \nu \nu \omega \\
& \text { ]. [. . . . . .] } \nu \\
& \text { ]. } \alpha \rho \in \sigma \cdot[
\end{aligned}
\]

Col．ii．Plate III．
```

    \epsilon..[
    то̣av\[
    ov\delta\ell\nu\nu
    \epsilon\gamma\omega\delta\alpha[
    5 \phi\epsilon\rho\eta\nu\.[
        тоуара[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [
    \int}0\epsilon0<\sigma![. . . . . . . . . .] ]\nu\omega\sigmaк[.] ]\epsilon\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma
    ```

```

    от }\mu\epsilon\in[.. .]\delta\iota\nuv\alpha\in\nu\tau\alpha\chi\epsiloń\rhoо\nu\tau \alpha\mu\epsilon\gamma
    10 \ăँ\beta\alpha\iota[. .]\epsilon\lambda\iota\omegatкó0\alpha\rhoо\nu\phi\alphao\sigma[
o\psi\epsilon\sigma0'\alpha\lambda\lambda\alphá}\gamma\iota\mu\eta\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\omega\nu\epsilon\pi
к\alpha\iota\gamma\alpha\rho\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigmauфо\sigma\alpha\iotao\lambda\iota\delta\alpha\iota\sigma\beta\alpha\sigmaí\lambda\epsilonv\sigma[

```

Fr. I.
Col. i.
] \(\beta \alpha[.\). .]. [
]o \(\alpha \iota s\) к \(\alpha i \quad \mu \in \lambda[\)
]rov é \(\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \mu[\) ]бтov \(\mu\) ѐ \(\nu\). [
\(5 \pi]\) ќктıठ॰ \(\mu[. . ..] \alpha \iota\)
]ov ó \(\nu \in i ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu\)

] \(\tau 0 \nu \tau \iota \nu\) ' \(є \kappa \alpha[\sigma] \tau \in ́ \rho \omega\)
\(\kappa] \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha{ }^{\mu} \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha{ }^{\circ}\)

\(\sigma] u \nu \theta \epsilon \in \mu[\epsilon \nu] 0 \iota \quad \lambda v ́ \alpha \iota s\)
\(\chi p] \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta[\nu\)
\(] \nu 0 \nu[\delta \epsilon] \delta \circ \kappa \eta \mu[\epsilon \nu\).
]áкхєє . [. .] \(]\) Өív \(\omega\)
\({ }^{15}\) ]. [. . . . . \(] \nu\)
]. \(\alpha \rho \in \sigma\). [

Col. ii. Plate III.
' . .
тóavt[ \(\alpha\)
oú \(\delta \in ́ v[\)
\({ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \delta^{\prime} \quad \alpha \quad \alpha\)
з фє́ \(\eta \nu \nu\) [
тò \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha_{\alpha}[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ..[\)



 oै \(\psi \in \sigma \theta^{\prime} ; \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi[\iota \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \in 0\). каì \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) Víouфos Aiodídaıs \(\beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon u s\) [ \({ }^{\epsilon} \phi \alpha\)
```

            \alpha}\nu\delta\rho\omega\nu\pi\lambda\epsilon\imath\imath\sigma\tau\alpha\nu\nu\eta\sigma\alphá\alpha\epsilon\nu0\sigma
            \alpha\lambda[[.]\alphaк\alpha[.]\pio\lambdav\iota\delta\rho\iota\sigma\epsilon\omega\nuv\pi\alphaкк人\rho[
    I5 [.. .l\nu\alpha][.]\nu\tau\alpha\chi\epsilon\rhoо\nu\tau\epsilon\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha\iota\sigma\epsilon }\mu
        [. . .]\omega\mu[. .]00\nuє\chi\eta\nuкро\nu\iota\delta\widetilde{\iota}\iota\sigma\beta\alpha[
        [. .]\lambda\alpha\iotay\alpha\sigma\chi\Oо́vo\sigma\cdot\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\iota\mu\eta\tau\alpha[
        [.. .]\tau\alpha\beta\alpha\sigmaо\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota\piотака\lambda\lambdaо\tau\alpha\nu[
    ```

```

    20 [. . . . . . . . . .] }\mu\sigma\sigma\betaop\iota\alpha\iota\sigma\epsilon\pi\iota
    ```
Fr. 2.

Col. i. Plate III.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 8 or 9 lines lost & & 1 \\
\hline \(10 \quad] \in \sigma[\). & & \(] \bar{\alpha}\) ¢ \\
\hline ] \(\nu\) & 25 & J \\
\hline ] & & ] \(i(\rho \alpha \nu\) [ \\
\hline ! . ] \(\tau \omega \nu\) & & ] \(\phi\) орєv[ \\
\hline 8 lines lost & & ] \(\in \frac{1 \nu}{}[\) \\
\hline ] \(0 \delta \alpha \ldots[\) ] & & ] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col, ii. Plate III.
\(\omega \sigma \lambda о \gamma o \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \alpha[\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha \mu \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha i \sigma[\) *
\(\epsilon \llbracket \xi \rrbracket] \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho \circ \nu \cdot \pi[\)
\(\rightarrow\) ı \(\iota \iota \nu i ́ p a \nu\).
5 outéávтаvaıaкıঠ́ \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \sigma \gamma \alpha \mu о \nu \mu \alpha\). [ \(\alpha \gamma \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \nu \eta \eta_{[.] .] \eta o \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu[ }\) \(\pi \alpha ́ \rho \theta \in \nu o \nu \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \nu\) \(\epsilon \sigma \delta \circ \mu о \nu \chi \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \omega \nu \nu \sigma \cdot \epsilon \lambda[\)
\(10 \zeta \varphi \cdot \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime} \nu \omega[\llbracket \iota] \phi \iota \lambda \rho[\)
\(\pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \rho \llbracket \eta]\) ïठ \(\omega \nu \alpha \rho i ́ \sigma \tau[\)
> \(\epsilon \sigma \delta \epsilon \nu i ́ a v \tau o v\)
\(\left.\pi \bar{\pi} \delta \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \iota \mu\right\rangle \theta \omega \nu[\)
o \(\lambda \beta \iota \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta[\)



 ［ \(\mu \epsilon] \lambda \alpha i ́ \nu \alpha s\) Х Өóvos．\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) äyı \(\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha[\) \([\kappa \alpha] \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu \in \nu\) аı́ \(\pi о \tau \alpha\) кӓ入入ота \(\nu[\)

\(20\left[. . . . . . \ddot{\alpha}^{\nu} \nu \epsilon\right] \mu o s\) ßopíaıs \(̇ \pi \iota[\)

Fr． 2.
Col．i．Plate III．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 8 or 9 lines lost & J \\
\hline ］\(\epsilon \sigma[\) ．．］ & ］\({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline ］\(\nu\) & \({ }^{2} 5\) \\
\hline ］ & ］\(\nu\) ip \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline ！．．\(] \tau \omega \nu\) & ］\(\phi\) ор \(\mathcal{\nu}\)［ \\
\hline 8 lines lost & ］\(\epsilon\) îl［ \\
\hline ］\(\sigma \delta \alpha \iota[\) ］ & ］ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col．ii．Plate III．

Пєрра́ \(\mu \varphi\) каѝ \(\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma[\iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \phi \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu\)

＂I \(\lambda_{\text {lov }}\) ípav．
5 oủ т〈0〉aútav Aiakió［als nót \(\quad\) ттov


\(\pi \alpha ́ \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \beta \rho \alpha \nu\)


Пŋ́入єos каi N \(\eta \rho \in i ̂ \delta \omega \nu\) ápíot［as，
є́s \(\delta\)＇évíautov
\(\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \nu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha i \mu \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu\)［ \(\kappa р \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu\)

```

    THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI
    I5 ol\delta\alpha\pi\omegá\lambdaov\tau'\alpha\mu\phi\epsilon[
        \}\kappa\alphal\pi0\lambda\iota\sigmaáv\tau\omega\nu
        \int\nu\nu\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilońv\nu\mp@subsup{K}{}{\prime}\epsilon\nu\nu\nu\epsilon\mp@subsup{K}{}{\prime}\epsilon[
        K[.]!\sigmav\nu\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha\nuo\iota\sigma\iota\nu\in[
        \eta\lambda0o\nu\chi\lambda\alphaiv\alpha\nu\epsilon\chi · [
    20 \tau\overline{\alpha}[.] . р\grave{\omega}\tau\widetilde{\alpha}\lambda\iota\alphal\piİ0\epsilon<[
        \tau[..]u\tau\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon\delta\epsilon\mu\eta\pi\pi[
        [. . . . '. . . ]! 
        [. . . . . . . .\\lambda\alpha\mu'́\nu . [
    ```
            Fr. 3.
                ]o[
                    ]. [
                    ] . . P[.] \(\cdot \alpha[\)
                    ] \(\nu!\kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \tau[\cdot] \phi \rho[\)
                ] \(\alpha \sigma \delta \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \alpha[\)
                ] \(\alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \cdot \alpha \delta \epsilon \gamma 0 \nu \omega \nu[\)
                ] \(\tau \omega \tau\) є́кєоб \(\mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \iota \nu[\)
        ]. \(\lambda!\) ! \(о \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho[\)
        ] \(\pi о \rho \phi \nu \rho \iota \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu[\)
        ]єvуoнє \(\operatorname{\nu o\sigma } \delta \alpha \lambda a \iota a v[\)
        ]. [. . .] \({ }^{\circ}\).
        ]то入入 \(\alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon\). [
        ] \(\lambda \omega \nu \mu \eta \rho \omega \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota{ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\epsilon}\) ? \([\)
        ] \(\alpha \cdot \theta \in \lambda \gamma\) оעт \(\alpha!\tau 0\). \({ }^{\bullet} \nu \omega \sigma \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota[\)
        ] \(\nu v \delta \omega \rho\)
            Fr. 4.
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] \(0 \pi o[.] \lambda i ́ \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon[\)
[. . . . . . . .] ] \(\mu o \iota \delta[..] \eta \delta \in \lambda \lambda \eta \delta \alpha \sigma\)
\([. . . .].[\iota] \theta v[\cdot] \omega[\iota] \pi \tau \rho[[..] \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \circ \rho\)
```

        1233. NEW CLASSICAL TENTS
    ```

```

        к\alphaì \pió\lambda\iotas \alphaư\tau\omega\nu.
    ```

```

        \kappa[\alpha]\imath \sigma\grave{v}v \gamma\in\rho\alphá\nuou\sigma\iotav 'ी[
        j}\lambda0o\nu X X \lambda\alphaiv\alpha\nu 㒸X\omega[
    ```

```

    \tau[ó\alpha]v\tau' \hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon \delta'\epsilon \mu\grave{\eta}\pi[
    [. . . . . . . .]\iota \mu\eta\deltaঠ̀ \tau[
    [. . . . . . .]\lambda\alpha \mu}\mp@subsup{\mu}{}{\prime}\nu.
    ```
        Fr. 3.

\section*{]o[}
]. [
] . \(\rho[\cdot] \cdot \alpha[\)
 ] \(\alpha \sigma \delta \omega \nu\) є́ка́ \(\lambda \eta N \alpha ́[i ̋ \delta \alpha\)
 ] \(\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \in \in \kappa \in \sigma S \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu เ \nu\) [
]. \(\lambda \cos \pi о \tau \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho[\) \(\epsilon i s]\) торфирía \(\theta\) \(\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\)
 ]. [. . .] \(<\). ] \(\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime} \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \epsilon\). [ \(] \lambda \omega \nu \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \chi^{\epsilon} \rho[\sigma \iota\)
 ] \(\nu\) vै \(\delta \omega \rho\)

Fr. 4.
[ \(\left.\Delta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} O \lambda \nu \mu \pi o \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho\right] o \pi o[\nu] \lambda i ́ \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon[s\)
[ \(\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s\) i' \(\phi \theta] \stackrel{\mu}{ }\)
\([\ldots \omega]\) 论 \([\mu] \omega \pi \rho \circ[\phi \alpha ́] \nu \eta \tau \epsilon\) Ká \(\sigma \tau о \rho\)
```

                \(\kappa \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda \cup \delta \epsilon[\cdot] \kappa \epsilon \sigma l\)
    5 оьк \(\alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \alpha[. . . . . ..] \kappa \alpha \iota \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\)
        \(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \chi \in[..] \cdot[. . ..] \delta \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu\).
        \(\rho \eta ́ \alpha \delta \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega[. ..] \theta \alpha[.] \alpha \tau \omega \lambda u ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\)
        \(\frac{\zeta \alpha к \rho v o є \nu \tau о \sigma}{v}\)
        \(\epsilon \in \delta[.] \omega \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau[. . . ..] \alpha ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \omega \nu\)
                            \(\pi \rho \circ[\)
    ```

```

        аруа入 \(\epsilon \bar{\alpha} \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \nu v \kappa \tau \iota \phi[. . . . \cdot] \rho о \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma[\)
        \(\nu \propto \ddot{\mu} \mu[\cdot] \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \iota \cdot\)
        [. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(v \sigma[\)
        [. . . . . . . . . . . . .] \(] o \sigma[\)
        Fr. 5
        ] \(\epsilon \rho \propto \nu \delta\) [
        \(] \omega \nu\)
            \(] \epsilon \mu \pi \omega[\)
        ] . . . \(\nu \gamma \epsilon[\)
    $5] \delta \in \cup \kappa \in \sigma[$
] $\pi \alpha \rho \pi о \tau[$
]. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \circ \iota \mu \in l \chi y[$
]. $\rho \alpha \nu \nu 0 \iota \sigma$ [
] $\pi$ ó $\alpha \sigma \pi[$.$] [ [$
$10] \alpha \cdot \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \lambda[$
] $\alpha \nu \in \lambda \theta \in \tau \in[$
] $\nu \tau \epsilon \sigma[$

```

Fr. 6.
\(] \_\delta \eta \mu[\) ]. \(\nu^{\prime} \iota \pi \pi o^{-}\) ] \(\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho o[\) ] \(\epsilon \tau \alpha \nu!_{[ }^{\prime}\) \(5 \quad] \sigma \alpha \sigma[\)

Fr. 7.
] \(\eta \rho \alpha \tau \alpha[\)
]. \(\epsilon \in \epsilon \in\)
] \(\lambda \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma\)
] \(\rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon[\)

Fr. 8. Plate III
] \(\phi\). \(\alpha \sigma \iota[\)
\(\underset{\text { ]єцататочта }}{\mu} \cdot\).
\(\kappa \alpha i ~ П о \lambda \tilde{\delta} \delta \epsilon[v] \kappa \in \varsigma\),



§акриóє \(\frac{1}{}\)

Іо \([\tau] \eta{ }^{2} \lambda о \theta \in \nu \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \rho о \iota \pi \rho о \tau о[. . . ..] \nu \tau \in S\),

\(\nu \alpha\) âi \(\mu[\epsilon] \lambda \alpha i v a ́\).
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(] v \sigma[\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . .]oor[

Fr. 5 .
\(] \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \delta[\)
\(] \omega \nu\)
\(\pi] \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega[\)
\(] \ldots \nu \quad \gamma \in[\)

5
] \(\pi \alpha \rho \pi \circ \tau[\)
]. \(\tau 0 \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \chi \nu[\)
т]upávขoıs
] \(\pi\) oías \(\pi[\cdot] 0[\)
\(10 \quad] \alpha \cdot \pi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s \lambda[\)
] \(\alpha \nu\) ย゙ \(\lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) [
] \(\nu \tau \in s\) [

Fr. 6.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \iota \delta \eta \mu[ \\
& \text { ]. } \nu \text { ín } \pi \% \text { [ } \\
& \text { ] } \mu \alpha ́ к \alpha \rho o[s \\
& \text { ]єг } \alpha \nu[\text { [.' } \\
& 5] \sigma \alpha \sigma \text { [ } \\
& \text { Fr. } 7 . \\
& \text { ] } \eta \rho \alpha \tau \alpha[ \\
& \text { ]. }{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \in \iota \\
& \lambda \alpha i ́] \lambda \alpha \pi o s \\
& \text { ] } \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 8. Plate III.
```

\pi\alpha]\rho\phi\alpha\sigma\iota[
]\epsiloń\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha \tauои̂\tau' \alpha .. [

```
```

        ]\epsilonU\tau\epsilon\mu\epsilon\gamma\hat{\eta}\rho\alpha\sigma\tau\tau\epsilon[
        ]ro\lambda\alphá0\epsilon[. .]a!\\[.]@[
        ] \delta\omega\nu\alpha\pi\alpha\lambda\omega\nu\sigma\nu\mu\nu[
        ]\tau\alpha\iota\pi0\lambda\iotaá\tau\alpha\nuó\lambda\iota\gammaov\sigmaф¢[
        &
    ]\tauо\gamma\alpha\rho\epsilon\mu\muо\mu\in\nuо\nu0\rho[
    ]@\iota\sigma\alpha\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\sigma\iota\tauо\iota\sigma\gamma\epsilon\iota\nuо[
]\alpha\sigmaóфо\sigma\etâк\alpha\iotaф\rho\in\sigma\iota\pivк\nu\alpha[
]\sigma\pi\grave{\alpha}\rho\alpha\muо\iota\rho\alpha\nu\delta\iotaっ\sigmaov\delta\&\tau\rho\iotaX[
]о́v\tau\epsilon\sigma\alpha\sigma\alpha\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon.[
] . \phi\epsiloń\rho![.]\sigma0 . [.]\betaְ\alpha0v[

```

Fr. 9.
\(\alpha \underset{\sim}{\gamma}\)
\(\frac{\alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa[ }{\theta \cdot[ }\)
\(\frac{\epsilon[ }{\mu[\cdot]}[\) بáт. [ \(\nu v \mu \phi[\)
\(\int\lfloor\kappa \in ́ \tau \in \nu[\)
>) -
\(\int є \ldots \epsilon \kappa[\)

Fr. 10.

Skoor
\(\int \delta \delta \in u p[\) \(\alpha \beta \alpha \llbracket l] \sigma[\) \(\epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \omega[\)
\(5 \pi \lambda \epsilon \eta \nu[\) \(\overline{\alpha \iota \delta} \epsilon \kappa \epsilon[\)

Fr. 11.
]. . [
] \(\varphi \alpha[\)
]
]a! \(\cdot\)
\(5 \quad] \alpha . \omega \mu \alpha \nu\)
] \(\alpha \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(\iota \omega \llbracket \nu \rrbracket \phi \in \rho \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\).
\({ }^{\kappa} \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \phi \in \rho о \iota \tau о\) ]акатаүрєє
10 \(] \beta \alpha \beta \nu \lambda \omega \nu \sigma \sigma t p \alpha \sigma\) ] \(\nu \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \alpha\) ] \(v o \in \nu \tau \in \gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \nu\). ] \(\nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha к \rho \alpha \sigma\). \(] \tau \epsilon \kappa \bar{\alpha} \sigma \lambda o \nu\)
15 ] \({ }^{2} \alpha i ̈ \delta \alpha o \delta \omega \mu \alpha\)
] \(\lambda \omega \nu\) о́ \(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota\)
] \(\epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \iota\)
\(] \tau \alpha \nu \tau[]] \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\)
]o. •.] \(] a \hat{\tau} \tau 0 \iota\)

> ] \(\epsilon \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha s \quad \tau \epsilon[\) ] тò \(\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \in[\sigma \theta] \alpha \iota \quad \chi[.] \rho[\)
> \(] \delta \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \nu[\)
] đò \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) ' \(\epsilon \mu\) о́ \(\rho \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\) ó \(p[\)
] \(\alpha \iota\) 挽 \(\nu \delta \rho \in \sigma \iota\) тoís \(\gamma \in \iota \nu 0[\mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \nu\)
]s \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \mu o i ̂ \rho \alpha \nu ~ प i ́ o s ~ o u ̛ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \rho ı X[~\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. } \phi \in ́ \rho[\epsilon] \sigma \theta \alpha[l] \beta \alpha \theta v[
\end{aligned}
\]

5

Fr. II.
\({ }^{\alpha} \gamma[\)
\({ }^{\alpha} \kappa[\)
\(\theta\). [
є
\(5 \mu[\cdot] \rho[\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ \tau\). [
\(\nu \nu \mu \phi[\)
¿кє́т \(\tau v\) [
' \(E . . \epsilon \kappa[\)

Fr. 10.
коб[
\(\Delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho[0\)
\({ }_{\alpha} \beta \alpha{ }^{\circ}\) [
\({ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha{ }^{2} \omega\) [
\(5 \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta \nu\) [
\(\alpha i \delta^{\prime} k \in[\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \ldots[ \\
& ] \nu \alpha[ \\
& ]
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\text { ] } \alpha \text {. }
\]
\(5 \quad] \alpha . \omega \mu \alpha \nu\) ] \(\alpha \nu \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\)
] \(\iota \omega\) ф́́ \(\rho \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\),

] \(\alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \rho \in \iota\)
ı0 ] \(B \alpha \beta \dot{\gamma} \lambda \omega \nu\) os ǐp \(\rho s\)
] \(\nu\) ' \(А \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \alpha\)
кр] \(\frac{0}{} \epsilon \nu \tau^{\prime}\) є́ \(\gamma \in \rho \rho \eta \nu\), \({ }_{j} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nprec \kappa \rho \alpha s\), ] \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \lambda o \nu\)
\(\left.I_{5} \epsilon i\right] s\) ' \(A i ̂ \delta \alpha o \delta \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha\)
] \(\lambda \omega\) ขó \(\eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
\(\sigma \tau] \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \omega \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \iota\)
] \(\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha\)
]o.[.] айтоц
```

$\epsilon \iota \sigma i ́ \rho \alpha \nu[$
20
]. $\delta \in \nu[$
$\kappa \alpha \nu \omega \chi[$
$\int \mu \in \nu \omega[$
5

```

Fr. 12.
] \(\alpha \iota \sigma\)
] \(\alpha \delta \in \theta v \mu[\)
]кíO \(\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \delta[\)
]
5 ] \(\mu \in \nu \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \chi \circ \sigma[\) ]ори́фагтó入ךоб
 ]


Fr. 13.
] \(\delta \alpha\). [
] \(\nu \tau 0 \lambda \omega \pi\) o [
]єт८үvïa \(\phi[\) ]то入аıфоб[
5. ] \(u v \delta \iota \delta \eta o[\) ]ọ́ \(\mu \in \nu 0 \sigma \delta[\) ] \(\pi \omega \mu \circ \nu \cdot[\) ]! \(\cdot \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \lambda .[\)
] \(\pi\) [

Fr. I5.
 ] \(\tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \omega[\)
]aขтоб \(\alpha[\) ]

Fr. 18.
\(] \beta \rho[\)
] \(\cdot \xi_{[ }[\)
] \(\epsilon \bar{\alpha} \iota \kappa[\)
] \(\ell \iota \pi \pi[\)
5 ]каíб \(\sigma[\)

Fr. 16.
] \(\epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi[\)
\(] \pi o \nu \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{} \mu\). [
]є \(\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \alpha ́ \epsilon \sigma\)
]т \(\alpha \mu \phi \alpha \phi[\)

Fr. Ig.
]
]
]. \(\frac{1}{\alpha} \lambda \cos\)
]á \(\nu \omega[\)
].
].

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 20. & Fr. 21. & Fr. 22. \\
\hline - & - & - • \\
\hline ]pтato[ & ] \(\alpha \downarrow\) & ] \(\uparrow \rho \omega\) \\
\hline ]. 0 ov[ & ] \(\alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha\) & ]. \(\mu \mu \tau\) \\
\hline ] \(\eta \nu \lambda \alpha\) [ & ] \(\square^{\text {a }}\) & ]л̀̀̀iátāv \\
\hline ] \(¢ \sigma \cdot[\) & \(] \omega \sigma\) & ] 0 o \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 23.
]vayv[ ] ] \(\nu \nu \alpha[\)

Fr. 24.
] \(\quad \alpha \check{\iota} \sigma \mu[\)
] \(\mu[\epsilon]\) ¢ \(\kappa \rho \rho[\)
] \({ }^{\boldsymbol{c}} \in[\)

Fr. 25
] \(\sigma \sigma![\)
\(] \in \mu[\) ] \(\sigma \pi[\)

Fr. 26.
] \(\mu \alpha \sigma \delta[\)
] \(\phi\) प́[

Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
]atıa[
] \(\theta a\) Jou

Fr. 30.
\(] \pi \rho[\)
[ ]
] \(\alpha \nu \in \chi[\)
] \(\alpha \nu!\mu 0[\)
5 ]avסpat[
] \(\alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho[\)
] \(\phi[\)

Fr. 31.
\(] \nu \in[\)
] \(\subseteq\). \(i \sigma[\)
] \(\epsilon!0 \%[\)
] \(] \underset{\alpha}{ } \sigma \theta[\)
5 ] \(\quad\) aı \(\rho\) [

Fr. 32.
[. .]p[. .] \(]\) !ot 70 . . [
] \(\alpha \pi \tau \tau \sigma \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi[\)

Fr. 20.
] \(\rho \tau \alpha \tau о[\)
] \(\nu o u v[\)
] \(\eta \nu \lambda \alpha[\)
] \(\omega \sigma\). [

Fr. 23.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \nu a \dot{a} \\
& ] \\
& ] \nu \nu \alpha[
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
] \(\nu \nu a[\)

Fr. 26.
Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
Fr. 29.
] \(\mu \alpha \sigma \delta[\)
]. [
Fr. 24.
. .
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \mu[ \\
\sigma] \mu i \kappa \rho \rho[ \\
] \lambda \epsilon[
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr. 22.

> ] \(\tau \rho \omega\)
> ] • \(\mu \mu \iota\)
> ] \(\pi o \lambda \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu\) ] \(\cos\)

Fr. 25.
\[
] \sigma \sigma \iota[
\]
\(] \in \mu[\)
] \(\sigma \tau[\)
] \(\phi\) ú
]. \(v \mu[\)

\section*{] \(\alpha \tau \iota \alpha[\)}
j \(\theta \alpha\) Jov
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 30. & Fr. 31. \\
\hline - . . & - . \\
\hline \(] \pi \rho[\) & ] \(\nu \in[\) \\
\hline [ ] & ] \(¢ \lambda i \underline{\sigma}\) [ \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \nu \in \chi\) [ & ] \(\epsilon \circ 0 \cdot[\) \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \nu \dot{\mu} \mu\) [ & ] \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta\) [ \\
\hline 5 ] \(2 \sim \nu \delta \rho \alpha \sim[\) & 5 ] \(2 \alpha \iota \rho[\) \\
\hline \(] \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \pi 0[\) & \\
\hline ] \(\phi[\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 32 .
[. .]p[ . .] \(]\) тоוто . . [

```

Jк\alpha<\kappa\alpha\tau\tau\omega\pi0\lambda[
\pi\omega\nuó\nu\tau\omega\nu`к\alphaк\alpha[
5 \epsilon\deltao\sigma\alpha\nu}\cdot\pi\epsilon\delta\alpha\delta\alpha\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega
[.]\nu0[.]\omega\pi\omega\nuo\delta\epsilon\mu\eta\phi[
[.]! ! [[. .] |\alpha\hat{\imath}\sigma\mp@subsup{0}{}{\prime}\alpha\pio\lambda\

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 33. & Fr. 34 \\
\hline \[
\}
\] & \({ }_{a j}\) \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \mu \mu[\) & \(\phi[\) \\
\hline ] \(\delta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \underline{ }\) & \\
\hline ]о \(\mu \in \nu \alpha\) [ & \\
\hline ] & \\
\hline 5 ] \(\sigma \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu[\) & \\
\hline ]о́лтобєк[ & \\
\hline ]genóx \(\omega\) [ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 1. i. With the exception of the two final letters of l. 5 this column is contained on a detached fragment, but its position is rendered almost certain by the correspondence of the fibres of the papyrus.
3. Either \(\dot{\epsilon} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu[\epsilon \nu\) or \(-\mu\lceil a \iota\) or \(-\alpha \mu[a \nu\) is possible.
14. The letters after akð have been corrected and what was intended is uncertain; perhaps \(\eta\) was originally written.
ii. 8-17. ' . . . How can you suppose that, when you have crossed Acheron's whirling stream, you will thereafter see the pure light of the sun? Come, seek not after high things. For king Sisyphus son of Aeolus, most cunning of men, thought to escape death ; yet for all his wit he was stricken by fate and twice passed over the whirling stream of Acheron, and the mighty son of Cronus set for him a heavy task below the black earth.'
\(8-9\). A new poem begins at 1.8 ; the first letters may be divided in various ways, of which \(\tau i \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \in\) is perhaps the best, though \(\hat{\omega} \nu\) for oủy lacks authority in Aeolic. For Melanippus of. introd. p. 50. In 1. 9 there is some error, as the metre shows; the defect may be cured by the transposition of \(\delta \iota \nu \nu a \in \nu \tau\) ', but the apparent recurrence of this epithet in \(1 .{ }_{5} 5\) is somewhat suspicious, and there may be a deeper corruption. The general sense, however, is evident. At the end of 1.9 the doubtful \(\gamma\) may be \(\eta\), hardly \(\pi\).

10-16. The restoration is for the most part due to W-M.
10. The iota adscript in \(a \epsilon \lambda \iota \omega t\) must be erroneous ; cf. Fr. 2. ii. 10 and Fr. 4. 3, where iotas have been deleted. The Doric form кóOapov is here first attested for the Lesbian dialect; cf. \(\sigma \tau \rho o ́ t o s, ~ o ̈ \nu, ~ \& \& c\).
```

    \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к \grave{\alpha} \tau ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi о \lambda[i ́ \omega \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma s\)
    \(\pi \omega \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha ~[\)
    $5{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \delta \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu^{\cdot}, \pi \epsilon \delta \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega[\nu$

```

```

[.] $] \nu[..] \quad \phi \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda[$

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 33. & Fr. 34. \\
\hline ] & \({ }^{\alpha}\) [ \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \mu \mu[\) & \(\phi[\) \\
\hline ] \(\delta \bar{\alpha} \lambda \alpha[\) & \\
\hline ] \(0 \mu \in \nu \alpha[\) & \\
\hline \(5] s \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu[\) & \\
\hline ] ơ刀тобє к[ & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

12. Aio入iôats: so 16 Kpopí̂ats, \(20 \beta\) opíats.
 ả \(\nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu \kappa \tau \lambda .\), Schol. Pind. Ol. i. 97 , Eustath. 1701.50.
 \([\kappa a] \pi a \beta a \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu a \iota\) is unlikely in this context. At the end of the line \(\gamma\) or \(\pi\) could be read instead of \(\nu\).

Fr. 2. i. 22-8. These remains are on a detached fragment which is conjecturally placed here on the strength of a junction between two selides. In l. \(2 ;\) the mark of length on \(a\) is doubtful.
ii. I-16. 'Through thee, it is said, there sprang from evil deeds a bitter end for Priam and his sons, and thou didst consume with fire sacred Ilium. Unlike to thee was the fair maiden whom the son of Aeacus, inviting all the blessed ones to the marriage of his desire, took from the halls of Nereus and led home to the house of Chiron. And the chaste love of noble Peleus and the goodliest of the daughters of Nereus loosed her maiden girdle, and in the space of a year she bore a son, mightiest of demigods, happy driver of chestnut steeds ; but the Phrygians perished for Helen, they and their city.'

I sqq. Of these verses, of which the general sense is evident, some, e. g. ll. 6-7, I4-I 5, can be completed with practical certainty; of the others a restoration exempli gratia has been made by W-M.
3. \(\sigma^{\prime} \theta \in \nu\) : sc. Helen. Cf. Horace, Odes iii. 3.20 et mulier peregrina vertit in pulverem.
4. For the diplê in the margin here and at l. 12 cf. e. g. 659. 17,841 . IV. 35 , \&c., and, in prose texts, 1241. v. 5, \&c., 1248. 1 I5.
5. For the spelling тєav́тal cf. 1231. Fr. 14. 4, note.
\(9-\mathrm{ro}\). In the restoration adopted it is assumed that a dot above the \(\iota\) of \(\pi a \rho \theta \in \nu \omega t\) was a mark of deletion supplementing the stroke through the letter. But this dot might also be regarded as a stop, which would require some such supplements as \(\epsilon \lambda\left[v \sigma \epsilon \delta^{\circ}\right.\) aṽтtє . . .
 There is not room for \(\zeta \omega \mu \mu a\) and perhaps \(\zeta \omega \sigma \mu a\) was written.
13. \(\gamma\) of \(\gamma \in \nu \Delta a \tau\) seems to have been altered from F. For \(a i \mu \mu \theta_{\epsilon} \omega \nu\) cf. 1232. Fr. x. ii. 14, note
17. The paragraphus below this line and the apparent unsuitableness of the words as the opening of a poem suggest that there is some dislocation here. It would be easy to suppose that the verse is out of its true position, having perhaps come in from the margin of an earlier copy; but this is an insufficient remedy, since l. i8 also makes an unsatisfactory commencement of a new poem.
18. A disyllable would be rather expected before \(\sigma v v^{\prime}\), but the \(\kappa\) is quite certain and there can be little doubt that \(\kappa[a] i\) was the first word ; the metre of 1.20 may be the same. At the end of the line above the doubtful \(\epsilon\) there is a vestige which would suit a grave accent, but is too small to be clearly identified.
20. . \(\omega \omega\) тàia is perhaps a proper name. \(\rho\), the top of which has been rewritten by the corrector, is preceded by part of a vertical stroke which would well suit \(\pi\). The curved stroke below the line shows that the letters are to be combined in a single word; cf. e. g. 852. Fr. 1. ii. 22, 1082. Fr. 1. ii. 18. For \(\pi i \in \epsilon\left[\left\{s c f\right.\right.\). the Homeric forms \(\pi \iota \theta^{\prime} \sigma \omega\), \(\pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s\). The \(\pi\) has been converted from a \(\sigma\).

Fr. 3. 4-7. The supplements suggested by W-M proceed on the supposition that the reference is to Thetis, who appeals to Zeus to vindicate Achilles. In \(1.4 \epsilon \rho\) might be read instead of \(\phi\).
8. A new poem is marked by the change of both metre and subject. The first stanza describes a river flowing out to the sea, the second the maidens who resorted thither.
10. The last five letters have been written over something else which has been washed out. گa入aua may be regarded as another form of \(\zeta \dot{a} \lambda \eta \nu\) or as an adjective derived from that substantive.
12. Perhaps \([\check{\epsilon} \nu \theta a] \pi o \lambda \lambda a \iota\). At the end of the line \(\pi \epsilon\) is followed by the tip of a vertical stroke which would be consistent with \(\gamma, \kappa, \pi, \nu, \rho\). \(\pi \pi^{\prime} \kappa[\rho \nu \tau a t\) would not be out of place, and
 not account for the apparent stop in 1 . 14 , which rather implies a preceding participle, or else \(\theta\) é \(\lambda\) youtar \(\tau^{\prime}\).
14. \({ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon\left[{ }_{c}[\phi a \rho \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{M}\right.\). тó \(\theta \in \nu\) is very doubtful, but the remains suit o and \(\epsilon\) better than anything else. \(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \nu\) is inadmissible and \(\tau^{\prime}\) dzàivos would be unsatisfactory.

Fr.4. 1-12. 'Come, mighty sons of Zeus and Leda, leave flashing Olympus and appear . . ., O Castor and Polydeuces, ye who come over the broad earth and all the sea on your swift steeds, and lightly save men from chill death, leaping on the tops of the wellbenched, ships, shining afar . . . and bringing light to the black ship in the stress of night.'
1. This line, of which the opening words were restored by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), was no doubt the first of the poem. For \(\dot{a} \sigma r \dot{\epsilon} \rho]\) ort \([\nu]\) he refers to Arcadius, p. 67.

3. The genitive has been substituted for the dative by the deletion of the iotas adscript,
 \(\theta \dot{\mu} \mu \varphi)\), which however hardly fills the lacuna, besides leaving the correction unexplained.

5 sqq. For the Dioscuri as preservers from peril by sea cf. e.g. the Homeric Hymn

 9-12 might even be supposed to contain a reference, unparalleled at this carly period, to the phenomenon known as St. Elmo's fire. Cf. the fragment of a romance in Hermathena,



 navium partious . . . insistunt ut volucres sedem ex sede mutantes, graves, cum solitariae zenere, . . geminae autem salutares et prosperi cursus praenuntiae . . . et ob id Polluci et Castori id numen adsignant, cosque in mari deos invocant. кєрaia, кархŋ́бюov, \&c., in these
 omission of \(\pi \rho o\) - being due to the preceding \(-\pi \rho o\). But the uncertainty as to the nature of the insertion makes any restoration very doubtful.
7. The corrector's variant \(\dot{\rho} \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\) is perhaps preferable to \(\lambda \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon\).

Frs. 5-7. These three fragments are placed here on account of a similarity in the condition of the papyrus to Fr. 4. But the metre shows that Frs. 5 and 7, at any rate, come from a different poem, even if they belong to the same column.

Fr. 5. 7. The doubtful \(\nu\) could be \(\lambda\) or \(\mu\).
II. The \(\theta\) has a slightly inclined stroke through it, the scribe apparently having begun to write some other letter.

Fr. 6. 2. Not Me \(]^{2}\) ávinto \({ }^{[ }\).
4. The supposed acute accent may well be a circumflex on a letter further away ( \(v\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[ } & \therefore . \\ .\end{array}\right)\)

Fr. 8. Fragment of a poem in greater Asclepiads ; cf. Alc. 37, 39, \&c., and Hephaest.


 from 0 .
9. [kaì \(\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau] a\) W-M.

Io. 'Nota hair is lost but by the will of Zeus' must be the sense, a remarkable early parallel to Matt. x. 30.

Ir. [èvevk]óvzes, which W-MI suggests, would not fill the lacuna if [kai mávr]a is right in 1. 9 .

Fr. 9. This fragment from the top of a column can hardly belong to the same column as Fr. I. ii, owing to the different texture of the papyrus. Line 7 might be Alc. 85 .

Fr. 10. Two dark fibres on the verso prove that this fragment is not from the same column as either Fr. i. ii or Fr. 9. Lines \(2-9\) form a single short poem.
范avos apparently occurs.

Fr. 11. 10. There may be a reference here to Alcaeus' brother Antimenidas, who when exiled from Mitylene went to Babylonia; cf. Alc. 33. A low dot after the \(\sigma\) of \(\beta a \beta v \lambda \omega \nu \sigma \sigma\) is probably unintentional.
12. \(\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu\) or some similar word is probably to be supplied before \(\kappa \rho]\) vóevza; cf. e. g.
〔ak]pvócut', as W-MI suggests, might well be restored.

Fr. 12. A fragment in Sapphic metre. Lines \(5^{-8}\) are evidently an invocation to
 хриботтє́ \(\phi] \nu^{\prime}\) 'Aфро́óıta might be restored, as in Sapph. 9.

Fr. 13. The metre is perhaps that of Fr. II, but the colour of the papyrus is different. In l. 5 W-M supposes ]uvoioino[s to be a proper name formed like חeveiid pos (1234. Fr. 6. 10), Tvppádón (Alc. 94).

Frs. 14-15 are apparently in Sapphics. The former is from the top of a column; \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a[\nu\) cannot be read in 1. 4.

Fr. 16. 3. W-MI suggests \(\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma a\) from a form \(\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \epsilon \iota s\), not otherwise found. Cf. Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. 12. 2. 19, where \(i \lambda \lambda\) áevtı \(=i \lambda a \rho \oplus ̣\).

Fr. 17 does not join on either to Fr. 11 or Fr. 13.
Fr. 18. 5. The last letter is probably \(\sigma\), not \(\epsilon\).
Fr. 20. 2. Spots of ink above or may represent a circumflex accent, which would point to oiv [os.

Fr. 23. This fragment might well belong to the same column as Fr. 12.
Fr. 24. 2. A thin diagonal stroke through the \(\varepsilon\) was probably intended to delete that superfluous letter.

Fr. 29. That this scrap belongs to 1233 is not certain.
Frs. 30-4. These fragments were found separately from the rest, Frs. 30-1 on different occasions, Frs. 32-4, which are rather darker in colour than the other pieces, together.

Fr. 32. \({ }^{2}-3=\) Alc. 42. The tail of a coronis opposite l. 3 indicates that these verses were the beginning of a new poem.
4. \(\pi \omega \nu \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu\) : cf. Alc. \(20 \pi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \nu, 52 \pi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta s\).
7. Perhaps \([k] \bar{\eta} \nu[o \nu]\), as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) suggests.

Fr. 33. The metre is again Sapphic.
Fr. 34. This fragment is hardly to be combined with Fr. 33. 6-7.

\section*{1234. Alcaeus.}

Fr. \(214.3 \times 27.3 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century. Plate IV (Fr. 2).
The following fragments are written in a fine upright script which may be assigned with much probability to the latter half of the second century. It is a specimen of the oval type of uncials, much resembling 665 (Part IV, Plate 1); cf. also 7 (Part I, Plate 2), which, though the letters are more sloping, is in very
similar style. The date suggested is further supported by the cursive marginalia, which are perhaps more likely to fall within the second century than the third; the hand in which these are written is much like that of the annotator in 841, the Paeans of Pindar. Whether the author of the scholia was also the diorthotes who has occasionally corrected the text is not clear, neither is the responsibility for the accents and other lectional aids, which seem at any rate in part to be subsequent additions; they are of the same character as in 1231-3, but include an example of the diastole in Fr. 2. i. 6.

The bottoms of six columns are preserved, the order of which is not definitely fixed except in the case of the two columns of Fr. 2; but it seems probable that the columns were consecutive, and the arrangement adopted is suggested by the appearance of the papyrus, which deteriorates in condition as the later columns are reached. The relative position of Frs. 4 and 6 is quite uncertain. That the author is Alcaeus is at once evident from the style and the personal allusions, and is implied by the scholium on Fr. 2. i. \(\mathrm{I}_{4-15} 5\), in which the name of the poet is expressly mentioned. In subject these fragments are much more homogeneous than 1233, having for the most part an obvious political bearing, and so coming into the category of \(\Sigma_{\tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ . ~ F r . ~ I ~ c o n t a i n s ~ r e m a i n s ~}^{\text {a }}\) of four Sapphic stanzas, describing some opponent as a 'shameless one' and a 'cunning fox 'who 'hoped to escape detection', and referring to an understanding with the Lydians, who had offered a sum of 2,000 staters to assist the party of Alcaeus to 'enter the sacred city'. Whether the 'cunning fox' is Pittacus is not evident ; he, however, is certainly the subject of the poem of which the conclusion is preserved in the first column of Fr. 2. This was written during the ascendancy of Pittacus, no doubt during the exile of the poet, who hopes that the fortunes of war may yet be reversed and peace thereby restored to the state. Allusion is made to the aristocratic marriage of Pittacus and to discreditable relations with the tyrant Myrsilus. The piece is written in stanzas of four verses of which the second and fourth are regular lesser Asclepiads (cf. Alc. 33), while in the first and third the first choriambus is replaced by \(\cup-\backsim \simeq\), a variation described by Hephaestion, p. 34 , under the name of ' \(А \lambda \kappa\{\mu\} a i ̈ \kappa \grave{v} v \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta o v\) and illustrated by Alc. 62 ; in the three remaining instances of the third verse \(\cup--\cup\) only appears, but that may well be accidental. This is followed by the two opening lines of an Alcaic poem addressed, according to the marginal note, to a favourite of Alcaeus; it is the only one represented in the papyrus where a more or less direct political reference is not apparent, but of course something of the sort may easily have been developed in the sequel. At any rate personal antipathies are prominent again in the next column, which is in the same metre and dwells, in rather obscure terms, upon the ignoble birth of a man who had
risen to high station. Probably the person meant is Pittacus, whose Thracian origin (Suidas s. v., Diog. Laert. i. 74) would lend itself to a diatribe of this kind. Fr. 3, again in Alcaics, is closely similar to extant fragments of Alcaeus (18-19) in which the imagery of a ship in stress on a stormy sea is applied to civil discord. The poet's concluding invitation to a friend to drown care in the wine-cup is analogous to Alc. 35, and illustrates afresh his tendency to combine festivities
Fr. I.
Fr. 2, margin.
[. . . . . .] . [. . .] ]. .] . . [
[. . . . . .] \(]\) व́ \(\rho є \iota\)
[. . . . .] \({ }^{\frac{1}{\alpha} \beta о \lambda o \nu \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi}[\)
[. . . . . .] \(] \kappa \kappa \eta \nu \omega \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha[\)
5 [. . . . . .] \(\omega \nu \alpha ́ \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau 0 \sigma \in \pi\) [ \([\)
[. . . . . .]ıтроv.
[. . . .] \(] \tau \in \rho \cdot \lambda \tilde{v} \delta o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \in \pi \alpha[\)
[. . .] . [. .]paloı \(\delta \iota \sigma \chi \in \lambda i o \iota \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha[\)
[. . .] \(\mu[.\). ] \(] \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \iota к \in \delta \nu v \alpha ́ \iota \mu \in \theta^{\prime} i \rho[\) [
1० [. . .] \(] \lambda[\cdot] \nu \in \lambda \theta \eta \nu\).

ov \(\delta \epsilon[\cdot] \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \cdot \circ \delta^{\prime} \omega \sigma \alpha \lambda \omega \pi \pi \bar{\alpha}[\)
\(\pi о \kappa \kappa[.] \lambda o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \alpha \pi \rho 0 \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \alpha[\)
ท́八 \(\lambda[\cdot] \tau 0 \lambda \frac{1}{\alpha} \sigma \eta \nu\)

Fr. 2. Col. i. Plate IV.
[. . . . . .] . . x . . \(\mu\) [
. [.] \(]{ }^{a} \omega t \tau \cdot 0 . \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \pi \eta \nu 0 \delta \omega \rho \cdot[\quad] \cdot[\cdot] a a p[\)
\(\alpha \epsilon!\cdot \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \chi \chi \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \pi o \sigma \iota \omega \nu[\)
\(\beta \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \sigma \cdot \phi \backslash \lambda \omega \nu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \delta \bar{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \mu[\)
\(\epsilon \nu \omega \chi \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \sigma a ́ v \tau о เ \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha[\)



with politics, and the close connexion of the Stasiotica and the Scolia. Frs. 4-6, which like the two preceding columns are in Alcaic stanzas, are in an inferior state of preservation, though enough remains to show that they too had a controversial and political character. A tantalizing allusion to an event which happened in the poet's childhood occurs in Fr. 6. 7-8.

\section*{Fr. 1.}
[. . . . . .]. [. . .] \(][\). .] . . [
[. . . . .] \(] \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \iota\)
[... \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta o \lambda o \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \in \rho, \dot{\alpha} \pi[\)


\(\left[\cdots \not \ddot{\alpha}^{2} \lambda\right] \iota \tau \rho о \nu\).

[...].[..]paı \(\sigma \iota \delta \iota \sigma \chi \in \lambda i o \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́[\tau \eta \rho a s\)

10 \([\epsilon \in s \pi] o ́ \lambda[c] \nu{ }^{\prime} \notin \lambda \theta \eta \nu\), oú \(\pi \alpha ́[\theta] \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon S\) oư \(\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \omega\) ' \(\sigma \lambda o \nu\) oú \([\delta \in ̀ \nu\)


\(\eta ้ \lambda \pi[\epsilon] \tau 0 \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \nu\)

Fr. 2, margin.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jaı } \\
& ] \cdot v \ldots . \\
& ], \text { rov̂ }[.] \cdot \text { oov } \\
& \text { jג . }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. v ino } \\
& \text { jal } \\
& \text { ] wpotaro } \\
& \sigma \kappa] 0 \pi \sigma \chi^{\prime},(\varepsilon v) \mathrm{cs}
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 2. Col. i. Plate IV.
[ . . . . . ] . . \(\chi \cdots \mu[\)

\(\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota\). \(\epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu[\cup-\)
\(\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \sigma \rho, \phi i \lambda \omega \omega \nu \omega \nu \pi \in \delta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \mu[\alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \cup-\)




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
\(10 \overline{\chi^{\alpha}} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \grave{\bar{\alpha}} \sigma \theta \bar{v} \mu \circ \beta o ́ p \omega \delta v \alpha \sigma\) \\
\(\epsilon \llbracket{ }^{\mu} \nu \rrbracket \phi \frac{\dot{u} \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \mu \alpha ́}{} \chi \alpha \sigma \cdot \tau^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma 0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi!\varphi \nu}\) \\
Є́ \(\nu \omega \rho \sigma \epsilon \delta \alpha \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
т. . ук[.....]/ єІбтатарабкеvаб
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. ii. Plate IV.
Fr. 3, margin.
[. . .]. \(\lambda a[\)

\(\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \cdot[. . ..] \pi \bar{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \bar{\alpha}![\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \cup ́ к т \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \phi \lambda[.] \cdot[. ..] \cdot \alpha \chi \theta \in \nu\)
]т \(\eta \cup \in \phi\)
]кogซuv


\(\omega \nu \eta \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu 0 \nu \in ́ \tau \rho \circ \pi \epsilon\).
\(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho о \nu \nu \omega \rho \bar{\nu} \nu \in \nu \cup \cup к \tau \alpha \sigma\).
\(\tau \grave{\omega} \delta \in \pi i ́ \theta \omega \pi \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \kappa\) ' \(о \pi \dot{v} \theta \mu \eta \nu\).
10 \(\overline{\sigma v \delta} \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \bar{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \kappa \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\) '́ \(\chi \eta \sigma\)
- \(\alpha \nu \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \nu o ́ \iota \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \iota\)
\(\epsilon \sigma \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau 0 \llbracket \nu \rrbracket \eta \omega \nu\)
Fr. 3.
\(\pi \alpha \nu \phi о \rho \tau\lceil[.] \nu \delta[\)
\(\delta^{\prime}\) о́т \(\tau \iota \mu \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{0}\). . [
каєки́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \iota \pi \lambda \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma \in!\sigma[\)
\({ }^{\circ} \mu \beta \rho \omega \mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \chi\) • [
5 фаî \({ }^{\prime} о v \delta є \nu \bar{\iota} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \eta[\)
ס'є́ \(\rho \mu \alpha \tau \tau \tau \cup \pi \tau о \mu\)


\({ }_{\epsilon} \mu \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha s\) тáv \(\tau \iota \varsigma\) 'O \(\lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ \omega \nu\)




\({ }^{15}\) каì Xoîpov. oưтढ тоиิтo \(\nu о \mu i ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) \(\mu a \tau[a \ldots \tau]\) oîs \(\gamma(\dot{d} \rho)\) ) \(\xi\) '́vous \(\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}\)



\section*{Col. ii. Plate IV.}
```

        [. . .] . \lambda\alpha[
    [\lambda\alphá]\beta\rho\omegas \delta`̀ \sigmavv\sigma\tau\epsilon[[. . . . . ]\epsilon\iota\alpha\pi\alpha . [
    ```

```

    к\alphaì \nuúкт\iota \pi\lambda\alphá\alpha\lambda[\alpha]\sigma[\mu0८ \sigmaú]\nu\alpha\chi}\mp@subsup{}{}{0}\in
    5 \epsilon}\nu0\alpha \nuó\muos 0\alpha\mu\epsiloń\omegas [. .]\nu\nu\eta\nu
    \kappa\etâ\nuos \delta`€ \tauoú\tau\omega\nu oủк €̇\pi\epsilon\lambda\alphá0\epsilon\tauо
    \omega้\nu\eta\rho \epsiloń\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\età \pi\rho\omegaิ\tauo\nu ỏ\nu\epsiloń\tau\rhoо\pi\epsilon,
    \pi\alphaí\sigma\alpha\iotas \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho ò\nu\nu\omegá\rho!\nu\epsilon \nuv́к\tau\alphas,
        \tau\hat{\omega} \delta\grave{\epsilon}\pií0\omega \pi\alpha\tau\alphá\gamma\epsilon\sigma\kappa' ó \piv́0\mu\eta\nu.
    10 \sigma\grave{v} \delta\grave{\eta} \tau\langle0\rangle\alphaú\tau\alphas \epsilońк\gamma\epsilon\gammaóv\omega\nu \epsilon'\chi\eta\
\tau\alphà\nu \deltaó\xi\alpha\nu oí\alpha\nu 足\nu\delta\rho\epsilons \epsilon'\lambda\epsilonv́0\epsilon\rhoо\iota
'\epsilon\sigma\lambda\omega\nu \epsilonЄо\nuт\epsilonS \epsilońк ток\etá\omega\nu

```

\section*{Fr. 3.}
\(\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \phi^{\circ} \rho \tau<[0] \nu \quad \delta[\)
\(\delta^{\prime}\) óт \(\tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\) \(\sigma \alpha ́ o\). [
каì ки́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \in \iota \sigma[\alpha \quad \beta \quad\) рикти́тє!
\({ }^{\circ} \mu \beta \rho \omega \quad \mu \alpha ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \chi \in\left[i ́ \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \rho i ́ \omega\right.\)


\(\kappa \dot{\eta} \nu \bar{\alpha} \mu \in \nu \epsilon \nu \tau o ́ v \tau[\)
\(\tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \lambda \in \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega \nu \omega \phi[\)
\(\sigma \cup ́ \nu \tau^{\prime} \cup \mu \mu \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \pi\) [
10 к \(\alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha \beta\) v́кхı \(\delta о \sigma \alpha u \theta\). [
\(\left.\tau \bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \llbracket{ }^{\psi} \phi\right] \epsilon \epsilon \rho \nu \alpha[\)
аікд́ıть \(\sigma \alpha \phi[. . ..] . \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau[\)
[.]є \(\chi \chi \nu \nu \nu \tau \epsilon[\)

Fr. \(4 . \quad\) Fr. 5.
]! \(!\pi \circ \lambda!\varphi[\)
] \(\nu \in \nu \nu \epsilon[\)
]... [
] \(\tau \in \rho[\)
5 ]. \(\nu!\tau \omega \tau \alpha![\cdot.] \nu[\) ] \(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha!\pi \alpha\). [. .] \(]\). . . [
] \(\quad \eta \omega \nu \epsilon \sigma \phi\). . кро . . [ \(] \in \lambda[\cdot] \pi \tau \in \sigma \epsilon \nu, \alpha \sigma[\cdot] \cdot[\) ]кєобךбко́vєкточ[
10 \(] \pi о т \nu \beta \rho \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \theta \epsilon[\cdot] \pi[\cdot.] \epsilon!\cdot[\)
]т \(\alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho \in \sigma \delta \rho \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \lambda,[\)
] \(\nu \kappa \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa^{\prime} о ́ \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu[.] \delta \epsilon[\)
a
\(] \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \epsilon[\cdot] \phi \alpha \lambda \eta[\cdot.] \nu\)
] \(\nu[.] \rho \theta \omega \theta \eta \mu \epsilon[\)
\(15] \mu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon!!\kappa \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega[\)
] \(\lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha} \iota \tau \iota \delta \alpha![\)

Fr. 6.
```

].[ illegible
]á\lambda . [
]\delta\omega\nu\in\nu\rho\in[
]\tau\epsiloń\iota\nu[.]\pi\rho\rho0. . }\delta:\delta\iota\chi\mu\in\nu0\nu
illegible
scholia

```

\(\tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu \lambda \in \lambda \alpha ́ \theta \omega \nu, \widehat{\omega} \phi[i \lambda \epsilon, \beta o ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha \iota\)
\(\sigma u ́ v \quad \tau^{\prime} \quad v^{\prime} \mu \mu \iota \tau \epsilon \in \rho \pi[\epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \cup--\)


\(\alpha i ́ \kappa \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \dot{\alpha} \phi[. . .\).\(] . \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau[\)
[.] \(\epsilon^{i} \chi \nu v \nu \tau \epsilon[s\)

Fr. 4.
[. . . . . . . . .] \({ }^{\text {] }}\) тó入ıv [
[. . . . . . . . . . .] \(\nu \in \nu \nu \epsilon[\)
[. . . . . . . .] . . . [
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(\tau \in \rho[\)
5 [. . . . . . .]. \(\nu \iota \tau \omega ~ \tau \alpha \iota[. ~.] \nu[\)
[. . . . . . . .] \(\gamma \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha ~ . ~[. ~]. к \nu ~ . ~ . ~[~\)

[. . . . . . . \(] \in \lambda[.] \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu\). \(\alpha \sigma[\).\(] . [\)
[. . . . . .]кєоs \(\hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa\) ӧ óєктоע.
ıо [. . . . .] \(\pi о \tau^{\prime} v^{\prime} \beta \rho \iota \nu\) к \(\alpha \grave{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \quad \theta \epsilon[.] \pi[. \quad.] \epsilon \iota\). [


\([\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi o] \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota s ~ \epsilon \in \sigma] \phi \alpha ́ \lambda \eta[\mu \epsilon] \nu\).
\(\left.\left[\alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota s \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle[\omega] \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon}[\nu\)
\(1_{5}[. . .]^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \mu \iota \kappa \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega[\)
\(\left[. . .{ }_{\alpha}\right] \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \underset{c}{\alpha} \tau \iota \delta \alpha \iota[\)

Fr. 5 .
] . [

Fr. 6.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. [ } \\
& \text { ] }{ }^{2} \lambda \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ] } \delta \omega \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \in
\end{aligned}
\]


Fr. 1. 3-4. For \(\pi\) úrep here and \([\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \mathrm{v} \pi]\) átep in 1.7 cf. Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. 12. 1. Col. i 2 (Alcaeus) \(\& \pi \dot{\alpha}[\tau \epsilon \rho\) followed by aìròs K \(\rho o v i \delta \partial a[s\) in the next line.

8-9. oтá[ \(\tau \eta \rho a s\) W-M. [ä \(\mu] \mu^{\prime}\) ', as he further suggests, is tempting for the next word, but the admissibility of the elision is open to question; \(v^{\nu} \mu \mu \iota\) is elided in Homer K 55 I . The spelling \(\delta \iota \sigma \chi \in \lambda\) iots is noticeable, \(\chi^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda\) tos being the form both attested by Grammarians and found in inscriptions; cf. Hoffmann, Gr. Dial. ii, pp. 486-7. In the marginal note opposite these lines \(]_{\omega \rho \sigma \theta a u \sigma}\) is an impossible combination, but neither \(] \omega \rho o v\) nor \(] \omega \rho o v a u\) seems admissible.

Fr. 2. i. 2. тóde is a common v. 1. for tádé or vice versa; cf. e. g. 1231. Fr. 2. 12. For the elision before the digamma cf. 1232. Fr. г. ii. ro, note.
3. a \(\epsilon\). \(\epsilon\) : the first \(\epsilon\) is unusually close to the preceding \(a\) and seems to have been inserted after the next letter had been written. The very slight vestiges of this are consistent with \(\iota\), and it is thus natural to suppose that there was an alteration of \(a \iota\) to \(a \epsilon\). Beyond this there are tips of two strokes at the top and bottom of the line which would suit a \(\kappa\), i.e. úiket, but this would leave the construction very obscure.
4. \(\beta\) á \(\sigma \mu\) os \(=\beta a \theta \mu o o^{\prime}\) occurs in a Mytilenean inscription C.I. G. \(2189 . \phi i \lambda \omega \nu\) is an unknown form explained by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) as equivalent to \(\phi_{\eta} \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s\), which is commonly spelled фi入ijt \(\quad\); cf. 1084. ii. 3 , note.
\(6-\mathrm{I}_{3}\). ' But let him in the pride of his marriage with the lineage of Atreus devour the city even as he did with Myrsilus, until Ares be pleased to restore success to us ; then would we forget this wrath, and will rest from this soul-consuming pain and strife with kindred which some one of the Olympian gods has stirred up among us, bringing the people to ruin, but giving to Pittacus the meed of glory.'
6. к \(\hat{\eta} \nu o s: ~ s c . ~ P i t t a c u s . ~ \gamma a \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon t s ~ i s ~ a ~ n e w ~ v e r b ~ a k i n ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~ t o ~ t h e ~ H o m e r i c ~ \gamma a i \omega ~\)

 seems irreconcilable with the remains; the supposed \(\delta\) (or a) after \(a \pi\) o\%ovor has apparently been altered from a \(\tau\). Penthilus, from whom the Penthilids traced their descent, was the


8. Aâs is a vox nihiliz, of which us \(=\tilde{\epsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}\) (W-MI) is a simple correction; cf. Sapplı. 24 ,

5
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho] o ́ \tau o \nu \tau \alpha s \quad[\alpha \backslash \hat{l} \sigma \chi \text { os } \\
& \text { ] } \rho \in \sigma \theta^{\prime} \text { ג่ } \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \alpha \\
& \mu \epsilon ́] \mu \nu \alpha \iota \mu \text { ', }{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \iota S \\
& \text { ] } \omega \sigma \mu i \kappa \rho[0] s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \delta \alpha \nu 0 \nu, \\
& \text { ] } \nu \text { oi } \alpha \text { a } \tau \iota \mu[.] \text {. } \\
& 10 \quad] \Pi \epsilon \nu \theta i ́ \lambda \eta o[s] \\
& \text { ] } \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime} \text { on } \pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \tau \rho[\alpha \pi \epsilon \\
& \tau \grave{o}] \nu \text { какота́т } \rho \delta \delta[\alpha \\
& \text { т] } \cup \rho \alpha \nu \nu \in \cup ́-
\end{aligned}
\]
. . pa. on \(\delta v v_{\text {...p. . }}\)
\(\cdots a[\ldots] \delta \in[.] \lambda \ldots\left[{ }^{2}\right.\)
\(\cdots[]. v \cdot[\ldots ..] \cdot \nu \mu() \tau\)

\(\ldots \lambda_{\eta} \ldots \sigma 0 . \phi[\)
a[.] evєpyoṽa \(\tau\). .
[.] тері̀ тои́тo[v [.-] evkav_
\(\cdot[\cdots],] \ldots[\)

Theocr. xxix. 20. In the following word a circumflex accent has been substituted for an acute and a mark of short quantity, which have been enclosed between dots, as e. g. in 1174. ix. 12. At the end of the line \(\epsilon^{\prime \pi} \boldsymbol{i} \tau \in \dot{\chi} \chi \in a\) looks probable, but this would not account for the traces nor give a really satisfactory sense; to bring about a change, Ares must not merely incite the oligarchs to arms, but give them the victory. Hence W-M suggests émirev́ \(\begin{gathered}\text { as from }\end{gathered}\)

10. \(\chi a \lambda\) á \(\sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu\) may be regarded as future or \(=\chi^{a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu}\); but the preceding lines indicate that Alcaeus is dwelling on the eventual results of success rather than making an appeal for peace.
12. ảárà for ar \({ }^{\text {ááàa }}\) is scanned as in Pindar, Myth. ii. 28, iii. 24.
13. The spelling Фitтaкos is found on a Lesbian coin in Mionnet, Suppl. vi, p. 64, no. 82. The power of Pittacus rested on popular support, as Alcaeus himself says (37) то̀̀ какота́трьঠа
 do \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon\).

14-15. The first verse of the new poem was originally omitted, and has been supplied by a corrector who enclosed in brackets the line originally written and repeated it in its proper position, tacitly emending xopoıv to xoเpov. There is some appearance of letters having been washed out where this verse stands. The marginal note explains that the


 if a tall stroke just before the lacuna may be regarded as belonging to the line above. In 1.3

ii. 3-4. \(\grave{\text { kt } \rho \dot{a} \tau[\iota \sigma \mu o \nu}\) and \(\pi \lambda a ́ \phi \lambda[a] \sigma[\mu o \iota \sigma \dot{u}] \operatorname{la\chi } \theta \epsilon \nu\) were restored by W-MI. The latter word, if right, must be regarded as a dialectical variation of the Attic \(\pi a \phi \lambda a \sigma \mu \sigma^{s}\); for
 to be shared by Aeolic with Doric, though not occurring in the previously existing remains of the Lesbian poets. éctáध \({ }^{2} \sigma a \nu\) is used in Caph. 53.
5. \(\theta a \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{s}\) for \(\theta\) ápa is unexpected here and perhaps wrongly read ; the doubtful \(\omega\) might
 first supposed \(\nu\) may be al. The marginal note is too much mutilated to be of material assistance.
i-s. For oreipone of. Ft. z. i. o spósr. The doubled \(n\) in onverpue is analozous to Alc. 1S. I ivivétul, which should perhaps be writen cirvertur cf. 1232. Fr. I. ii. 6 and
9. zariogere': cf. FI, 4. 9 and is fork'. fore occurs in Alcman ;2, but the iterative form is alien both :o Aeolic and Doric: cf. Kühner-Blass, i, 2, p. Si.
10. - folaitas: sc. yoris. For the spelling reares cf. 1231. Fr. I4. 4. note, and for


12. romar: yornar was the reading of the first hand.

Fr. 3. 3-10. ' Stricken br a thunderous wave she avows the desire to fight no more against the rains:orm and the ferce tempest. but to srike a hidden reef and be wrecked. Such are the seas whereon she is tossed; but I rould forget this. mr friend, and find pleasure with rou and [keep company 汭 with Bacchus.'

3-i. The restoration is mainlr due to W-M. Uncer the fisure of the distressed ship the sate is no doub: described as in Alc IE-19: of. Heraclid. Alus. Hom. 5



5. Gui-' : so Sapph o6. The personifcation is assisted by the fact that the real subject is \(i\) modus ; ci. the previous note.

S. For \(\lambda\) dedater cf. the note on Fr. 2. ii. Io. cif is rert uncertain and ri. migh: be read instead.
10. Bicuses: so Alic. 35. 3 Btintw At the end of the line ai 6ajuiosor. e. En mar be supplied. het the letters are very uncertain: op3. [is an alternative.
11. Tar: sc, vair.
12. Perhaps ás [par] marra; the lines. however, are too much muthated for satisiactory restoration.
13. [تleipurees: Ci 1233. Fr. 5. 7. But the form is unknonn.

Fr. 4. 1-2. The leaters ir and \(\%\) immediately below are on a separate fragment rather coubsully placed bete.

 of 1 . Io mar be g or a preceded by a narrow letter after Af.
12. [róre] Wi-M.
14. Res:ored br W-M.
15. मemerar: the frs: \(\mu\) is most uncertain. and the second could well be \(r\).

Fr. 5. This lietle piece probably belongs to Fr. f, coming perbans from the becinnings of \(11.6-8\) : bu: there is no erident junction.

Fr. 6. The righ:-hand margin opposite and above \(11.1-\frac{1}{4}\) is filled with a long and much mutilated no:e of no:es of which coly a few letters can be distinguisbed here and there.
5. amEntórertas IV-M.
 apparently oricinall? mritien, the alteration being probably due to the seoond hand. In
the marginal note opposite, the suspended \(\mu\) may perhaps be a relic of another line above.

Io. \(\Pi \in \nu \theta_{i} \lambda \eta_{0}\left[s=\Pi \epsilon \nu \theta_{i} \lambda_{\epsilon t o[s}\right.\) from \(\Pi\) éveidos. Cf, note on Fr. 2. i. 6.
 above in the note on Fr. 2. i. 13. т] vpavevé \([\) ovara is to be restored at the end of the last line.

\section*{1235. Arguments of Menander's Plays.}
\[
25 \times 17.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early second century: }
\]

Remains of three consecutive columns, written in a rather large informal hand which appears to be not later than the first half of the second century. Stops and other signs are rarely used ; there is one not very clear instance of a high point (1. 105 ), but the usual method of indicating a pause was a short blank space, sometimes accompanied by a marginal paragraphus. An angular mark of the usual shape is once added at the end of a short line (1.62), while conversely the final letter of longer ones is occasionally suspended.

Of the first column very little is left, no more than a few letters from the ends of the lines, but the two columns succeeding are in fair preservation. These are for the most part occupied with an account of the plot of Menander's 'Iép \(\rho\llcorner a\), that of the " \(I \mu \beta \rho \iota o l\) commencing towards the end of Col. iii. The title of the piece is here followed by its opening words, the quotation being marked, as often happens, by the projection of the lines into the left margin. This is succeeded by a short historical note concerning the date and circumstances of the production of the play (11. \(105^{-12}\) ), then comes the story of the drama, and finally, apparently, a brief appreciation of its qualities (cf. \(11.95-102\) ). Such presumably was the scheme throughout ; and on the analogy of Col. iii, the position in Col. i of the
 security at points where blanks in the papyrus indicate unusually short lines (11. I3-I +, 2I). A single play thus occupied about two columns, and if all Menander's comedies, which numbered over one hundred, were treated on the same scale, the work was an extensive one, and must have occupied two rolls at least ; the presence of a strengthening strip of papyrus on the back of Col. iii may perhaps be interpreted as an indication of a lengthy roll. Since the " \(I \mu \beta \rho \iota o \iota\) follows the 'I'f \(\rho \in\llcorner a\), the arrangement of the plays was presumably alphabetic, as suggested by Körte in the case of the plays of Cratinus, to whose Dionysalexandrus in 663, containing the argument of the play, is assigned the number 8. The comedy preceding the ' \(\mathrm{l} \epsilon \rho \in \iota a\), and described in the upper portion of Col. i, may accordingly be supposed to be the Єparvג' \(\omega \nu\) r, but the very slight remains in the papyrus afford no confirmation of this hypothesis.

Concerning the plot of the 'I \(\epsilon \rho \in \iota a\) practically nothing was previously known, the short passage on religious superstition upon which Meineke based some inferences being of a general character, and giving no real clue to the structure. The play was largely concerned with the favourite subject of the discovery of a relationship, but the loss of practically the whole of the first twelve lines of the \(\dot{v} \pi \delta \theta \in \sigma i s\) obscures the earlier development. An elderly man, who seems to have formerly been the husband of the priestess, had lost his son; the cause of his wife's separation from him and the manner of the son's disappearance remain in doubt. Reference is made in 1.36 to the burial of something, but the bearing of this incident upon the plot is also problematical. The son had been brought up as their own by some neighbours with a younger boy, their genuine child, and the real father discovers his whereabouts through the ingenuity of a slave, who gained the confidence of the priestess by pretending to be possessed ; but mistaking the identity of the two young men he at first claimed the junior, and the latter misled his reputed brother by declaring that the old man was mad and was recognizing a lost son in every youth whom he met. Accordingly the brother who was the true son rejects his father's advances when offered to himself. Here lacunae occur in the papyrus, and the immediate sequel is uncertain; but eventually the misunderstandings were cleared away and the comedy closes in the usual happy fashion, the reinstated son marrying his foster-sister, the younger brother marrying the daughter of the priestess, and the old man apparently being paired off anew with the priestess herself. No names are mentioned, and whether 'Póor , which occurs on an extant fragment, belongs to the priestess or to one of the other women in the piece, is not clear.

Of the plot of the \({ }^{*} I \mu \beta p i o l\) only the first few lines remain, showing that it was concerned with two poor residents of Imbros who were close friends and partners, and married two sisters. The title of the piece is thus quite sufficiently accounted for without any reference to the proverb \({ }^{\text {" }} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \rho \rho\) tos \(\delta i \kappa \eta\), which Kock has connected with it (iii, p. 7I). But though we learn little of the story, some interesting information is gained concerning the date and production of the piece. This was one of Menander's later works, probably the 7 ISt, \(73 \mathrm{rd}, 76 \mathrm{th}\), or 79th (ll. 106-7), but possibly the 74 th or 75 th ; it is said to have been written in the archonship of Nicocles, i.e. 302-301 B.C., and intended to appear at the Dionysia (of that year), but to have been obstructed by the Tyranny of Lachares. These statements appear to be mutually conflicting, for the domination of Lachares is now commonly brought down to the spring of 295 B.C. on the strength of an inscription indicating a political change in that year (C. I. A. ii. 299, Wilamowitz, Antigonos (Phil.-Untersuch. iv), p. 238, Beloch iii. 2, pp. 197-8, Ferguson, Hellcnistic Athens, pp. 132-3) ; and the name Nicocles, as Wilamowitz
suggests, may be supposed to be a mistake for Nicias, the archon of \(296-295\) B.C. Textual corruptions have to be recognized in one or two other places in the papyrus ; cf. notes on \(11.4^{8-9}, 5^{8-63}, 66\). It should, however, be noticed that the attribution of the " \(I \mu \beta \beta \iota o c\) to the year 296-295 is not entirely free from objection. Menander died in 292-291, probably in the latter part of the year, and the total number of his plays is stated as from 105 (Apollodorus) to 109 (Gellius, N. A. xvii. 4.4 ; Suidas and others make it 108). The Imbrians was at most the 79th, and therefore during the last four and a half years of his life the poet must be credited with at least twenty-six plays, nearly six a year. His first piece was brought out in 321 , so that his average down to 295 was only three a year. So far then as the question of literary output goes, the earlier date assigned, e. g., by Clinton to the Lachares incident, 299 B. C., would have been more suitable, giving an even average throughout Menander's productive period.

\section*{Col. i.}


Col. ii.
```

[. . . . . . . .]l\tau[. . . . . .]\lambdavv\sigma\alpha

```
[. . . . . . . .] \(] \tau\). [. . . . .] \(]\) кац
\([\cdots \cdots] \rho \nu \quad \eta \delta \quad \iota \in \rho[\epsilon \iota \alpha \pi o] \lambda \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu\)
G 2

[. . . .] кат \(\omega \rho v \xi \in \nu \quad o[. ~ . ~.] . ~ \sigma \eta\)
[....] \(] \eta \lambda \lambda \theta \nu \nu\) ol \(\pi \alpha[\iota \delta \epsilon] s\) o
\([\delta \epsilon \tau 0 \quad \pi \rho] 0 \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon[\nu 0] \mu \in \nu 0 S\)
\([\tau \eta S \quad l \in \rho \epsilon \iota] \alpha s\) \(\alpha \nu \eta \rho \nu \cdot[..] \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha\)
[. . . . . .] \(\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau[0 \mu] \in \nu \eta S\)
[. . . . . . .] \(] 0\) os \(\pi \alpha[. . ..] \sigma \alpha \sigma\)
[. . . . . .] . [.] \(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot[. . . . . . ..] \alpha\)
\([\ldots . . . \alpha \nu] \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \lambda \alpha s ̧ \eta \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\)
\([\epsilon \pi \epsilon]\) X \(\epsilon!\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\) тov \(\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0^{\nu}\)

\(\pi \rho \circ s \tau \eta \nu\) lє \(\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) ws \(\theta \in о \phi о\)
pov \(\mu \in \nu\) os \(\theta \in p a \pi \epsilon \iota a s\) ıva a
\(\xi \iota \omega \theta \eta \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha l \tau \eta \nu \delta \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \iota\)
\(\alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu O S \in \xi \in \iota \chi^{\nu} \in \nu\)
\(\sigma \epsilon \nu\) o \(\delta \epsilon \tau \eta S \quad v \pi \circ \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon\)
\(\nu \eta s\) тov viov \(\alpha u \tau \eta[s] \gamma \nu \eta \sigma l o s\)
\(\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha к \iota \sigma к о s ~ \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta S\) lєрєє
as \(\operatorname{\theta v\gamma } \alpha \tau \in \rho \alpha\) \(\gamma \eta \mu \alpha \iota \pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho o v\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu 0 S \in \iota \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \quad \mu \eta\)
\(\tau \in \rho \alpha \delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda \in \xi \circ \mu \in \nu \eta \nu \quad \pi \rho o s\)
\(\tau \eta \nu \quad \iota \in[\rho] \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho t\) avtov \(\lambda \alpha\)
\(\lambda o v \sigma \omega \nu\) \(\delta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu\) \(\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega \nu\)
\(v \pi 0 \psi \iota \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu\) ка८ \(\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma\)
\(\theta\) vто тои \(\theta \in \rho a \pi o v i t o s ~ \delta t\)
\(\delta \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \iota s \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega \iota \quad \delta \iota \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \nu\) тоע \(\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \omega^{\nu}\)
रıтоv \(\omega \nu\) vov \(\omega\) є єavtou>
\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu \in \ell\) y
vos avtov то \(\delta<\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta\)
\(6_{5} \quad \mu \alpha\) тоv \(\alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi \circ \nu\) тро \(\delta \iota \alpha\) \(\sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon\) \(\nu \alpha \iota\) тоv \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta \nu\) к \(\alpha \ell \pi \alpha v\)
tas tous veous vious amo
фаıve!v avtov סıo каl \(\mu \epsilon\)
```

    \tau\alpha \tau\alpha\nu\tau\alpha \tau\eta\nu \alpha\lambda\eta0\epsilonla\nu \epsilon
    \xi\in\tau\alpha\sigmaа\nu\tauоs тоv \gamma\epsilonpo\nu\tauо家
    ка\iota то\nu \pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\betav[\tau\epsilon\rhoо\nu] \pi\rhoо\sigma
    \phi\omega\nuov\nu\tauos yo[\nu \omega]]s \mua\iota\nuo
    \mu\epsilon\nuо\nu \epsilonк\epsilon![\nu0S a\piо]\pi\epsilon\mu
    \pi\epsilon\iota а\muа \delta о т[.........]s
    v\piо тov 0\epsilon\rho\alpha[\piо\nuтos...
    ```

Col. iii.
\(\pi о\).
\(\tau \alpha \tau[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~] ~] ~ T.[~[~\)

\(\alpha \nu![. . . . ..] . \gamma \alpha \mu[\).
\(\pi \alpha \gamma[. . ..] \mu \in \nu 0 s \alpha[\).
\(\alpha \pi \alpha[. . . ..] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta[. . . . . . .\).
\(\operatorname{kov}[. . . ..] \omega \nu \delta \in \epsilon[\).
\(\epsilon \lambda \theta[. . . . .\).\(] ! \mu \epsilon \nu \pi[\rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta s\)
\(\tau 0 \nu \varphi[\iota 0 \nu \quad \alpha \pi] o \lambda \alpha \beta \omega[\nu \quad \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota\)
\(\tau \eta \nu[\iota] \epsilon[\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) o \(\delta \epsilon v \iota o s\) avтov
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu[\epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\theta \rho \epsilon \psi \alpha \nu[\tau \omega \nu\) o \(\delta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \nu \eta \sigma[\iota \rho \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \iota \tau 0 \nu \omega \nu\)
vıos \(\lambda \alpha \mu[\beta \alpha \nu] \epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu[\tau \eta S \quad \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota\)
as \(\eta \nu\) ทуапп \(\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\) ка[८ поtov
тає \(\gamma \alpha \mu о \iota \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu\) [. . . . .
\(\epsilon \rho \omega s \pi \rho o v \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \quad \epsilon \ell \delta[. .\).
\(\tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota \delta o \nu \tau \omega \nu\) ov \(\delta[\ldots\)
\(\overline{\tau \alpha}[\mu] \epsilon \varphi[o v \nu] \tau \eta s \quad v \pi \rho \theta[\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s\) \(\epsilon \sigma[\tau \iota \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha] \tau 0 \delta \epsilon \delta[\rho \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\) \(\alpha[\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \in \chi] \epsilon \iota \delta \in \pi \rho[\cdot\)
\(\epsilon \varphi[. . . . ..] \nu \nu \in \alpha \nu \nu[. . .\).
\(\phi \iota[\). . . . .] \(]\) es oıкє \(\eta \eta[\). . . .
\(\lambda o[. . . . . ..] \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu[. .\). \(\epsilon \cdot[. . \epsilon \in] \pi \iota \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau[. .\).

Unplaced fragments.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. & 2. & 3. \\
\hline \(1 \tau \eta \nu \quad \delta \in[\) & \(1 \theta_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma}\) & ]. \\
\hline ]ккккац o[ & ] \(\nu \in \varphi \underline{\text { [ }}\) & ]Tovo [ \\
\hline  & ]? \(\eta \nu\) โ & \\
\hline ]o[ & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
11. 37 sqq. 'The former husband of the priestess . . . having recovered tried to seek out the son whom he loved. His servant was persuaded to be brought to the priestess under pretence of being possessed, in order that he might be accorded treatment ; and he secretly obtained information and discovered the truth. The true son of the mother of the supposititious child desiring to marry the daughter of the priestess sent his mother to speak with the priestess about him. While the women were talking [the old man, who] had become suspicious, and especially in consequence of the information of his servant that
there was a difference in personal appearance, addresses the younger of his neighbour's sons as his own. The youth discerning his mistake intimidates his brother in advance by saying that the old man was mad and was declaring every young man to be his son. Accordingly when the old man subsequently learned the truth and addressed the elder as his son the latter sends him away as being mad. At the same time . . . the old man having recovered his son marries the priestess, and the son receives the daughter of his fosterparents and the younger and true son of the neighbours receives the daughter of the priestess whom he had loved, and the marriages of all three pairs are celebrated ... Such are the incidents of the plot. The play is one of the best and . . .

The Imbrians, commencing "For how long a time, Demeas, I.. . .". "My good man, I . .." This he wrote in the archonship of Nicocles, being his \(7[\).\(] th play, and issued\) it for production at the Dionysia; but it did not take place on account of the tyrant Lachares. The play was subsequently acted by the Athenian Callippus. The plot is as follows: Two poor men who were friends lived in close association at Imbros and married twin sisters; and sharing all their possessions too they worked industriously both on land and sea . . .'

32-42. A fragment containing the central portions of these lines at no point joins directly on to the main piece, but its position, which is commended by the suitability of the restorations resulting in \(11.37-40\), is confirmed by the external evidence of both recto and verso.
39. \(\nu 0[\sigma \eta \sigma] a s\) (cf. 1. 43) could hardly be got into the space.
45. The oikeтクs is doubtless identical with the \(\theta \in \rho a \pi \omega \nu\) of 11.59 and 76 , and is the servant of the old man, whom he assists in the discovery of the lost son.

48-9. The transposition \(\lambda a \theta p a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu\) seems to be necessary, as well as W-M's emendation of \(\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s\) to \(\pi \epsilon \pi v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma\).
\(5^{8-6} 3\). Here again the papyrus text is unsatisfactory. The subject of this sentence must be the old man, and probably o \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \eta s\) or an equivalent expression has dropped out. In l. 60 also \(\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu\) causes difficulty and is well emended by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) to \(\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\), though the addition of a subject, e. g. aüroús, is still desirable. The meaning will then be that the two young men differed in appearance, one of them not taking after the rest of the family.
66. \(\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu a \iota\) is clearly to be corrected with W-MI to \(\mu \epsilon \mu a \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu a \imath\); cf. 11. 73-4.
72. o in the termination of \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu\) оиथтos was corrected from \(a\).
75. \(\pi[\rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u \tau \eta]\), as W-MI proposes, looks obvious, but a \(\pi\) is hardly to be read. What remains of the first letter is a horizontal stroke which suggests only a \(\tau\), for though the upper stroke of \(\pi\) sometimes projects slightly to the left of the upright, this projection is nowhere else in the papyrus so long as it would be here.
77. The vestige of the letter after o points to \(v\) or \(\psi\) (viowrav?) or possibly \(\nu\).
\(78-85\). The fragment containing the middles of the lines, like that at the top of the preceding column, is detached, but its position here, though not so securely fixed, is nevertheless probable. Some dark fibres on the verso serve as a guide to its relative place in the column, and satisfactory supplements in 11. \(84-5\) are thus obtainable. At the end of the latter line yaut is an inference from l. 92.
\(87-9\). Restored substantially by W-M. \(\tau \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \tau o \nu \omega \nu\) rather than \(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\) is required to fill the lacuna.

93-4. \(\epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{s} \pi \rho \sigma v \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon\), as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) remarks, hardly sounds like prose and \(\pi \rho o v \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon .\). \(\tau \omega \nu \delta \delta \delta o \nu \tau \omega \nu\) would fit into a tetrameter; but the latter parts of the lines do not readily lend themselves to restoration. In I. 93 є may be \(\sigma v\) and the \(\delta\) is possibly a \(\beta\).
\(95-7\). W-M's restoration is convincing.

\begin{abstract}
98. Possibly \(\tau \eta] \nu \nu \epsilon a \nu \kappa[\omega \mu \omega \delta i a \nu\), but a \(\kappa\), though possible, is hardly so suitable as \(\nu\).
 \(\pi a \rho a \phi \omega \nu \eta \epsilon \nu\) would be still less satisfactory. \(\tau \omega[i]\) could be substituted for \(\tau \rho[\nu]\)
104. The insertion of the omitted \(a\) of \(\Delta \eta \mu \epsilon a\) may be due to the original scribe. The following letter, if not \(\tau\), must be \(\psi\).
106. \(\left.\left.\left.\pi \rho \omega]{ }^{2} \eta \nu, \tau \rho\right]\right] \tau \eta \nu, \epsilon \kappa\right] \tau \eta \nu\) or \(\left.\epsilon \nu a\right] \tau \eta \nu\) are best suited to the space.

109-IO. Restored by W-M. o of tvpavvo[ \(\nu\) is not very satisfactory, but has perhaps undergone some correction.

II 2 . The scribe apparently began to write \(\eta \delta v \pi \sigma \theta \in \sigma\) is in this line.
II 8 . \(\pi\) ja \(a \nu \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}\).
\end{abstract}

Fr. 3. This fragment does not well suit 1l. \(75^{-6}\); that it belongs to 1235 is not absolutely certain.
1236. Menander, Epitrepontes.
\[
9 \times 15.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
\]

A useful addition to the extensive fragments already extant of the 'E \(\pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon^{-}-\) movtes is made by the following fragment, part of a vellum leaf inscribed in well-formed sloping uncials of medium size. Though smaller in scale this script shows a gencral similarity to those of 1011 and 1225 , and is likely to be of approximately the same period. The MS. is thus not far removed in age from the Cairo papyrus, the comparatively late date of which M. Lefebvre now recognizes. Accents, breathings, and marks of elision are added here and there, some by the original scribe, others by a second hand which employed a blacker ink and has also made textual corrections. Stops in two positions, high and medial, occur, and double dots mark changes of speaker, but by a natural extension this symbol has also been sometimes used as a quotation mark to distinguish words put by the speaker into his own or another person's mouth, c. g. recto 8 , verso 7. Presumably marginal paragraphi were also employed. but they are no longer discernible where they are expected on the damaged surface of the verso. The recto of the leaf was ruled both horizontally and vertically with a hard point.

Parts of twenty-two lines are preserved on each page, the breadth of which is consistent with the supposition that about an equal number has disappeareda supposition probable for reasons to be stated below. The lines on the recto correspond with Epitrct. \(459-80\) (Körte, ed. 2), and make three small contributions to the text, the correct completion of 1.465 and probably of \(1.4^{6} 4\), and the confirmation of a reading concerning which there was a division of opinion ip 1. 476 . The contents of the verso are of more importance, for these, with an exception to be referred to presently, are novel ; but unfortunately this side of the leaf is badly damaged, and decipherment is in places difficult and uncertain.

Considerable lacunae occur in the Cairo MS. at a distance of about twenty lines both before and after the passage found here on the recto, and either of these lacunae is therefore available for the new lines of the verso ; but it is clear from 11. 8-9 that Charisius is there the speaker, and there can be little doubt that Prof. Körte is right in supposing that we here have the conclusion of the monologue which begins at 1.487 . At first sight, indeed, the double dots in 1.7 and the vocative \(\Sigma_{\mu \iota \kappa \rho i \nu \eta}\) suggest a conversation in which Smicrines was taking part, but that is inconsistent with the context, and the dots are easily explained on the analogy of recto 8 as marking a speech within a speech. At l. io the slave Onesimus, who in fear of his master's violent mood had left the scene at 1. 486, reappears, and on being observed by Charisius, who suspects him of eavesdropping, engages in a dialogue which is carried on through the remainder of the page and to which P. Cairo Fr. U ( \(11.5 \mathrm{OI}^{1-6}, 5^{10^{1-6}}\) ) in all probability also belongs.

But the contribution of 1236 to the reconstruction of this portion of the play does not end here. Further progress becomes possible through the recognition of the coincidence between verso 12 sqq. with P. Cairo Fr. \(\beta^{1}\), part of a double leaf hitherto wrongly assigned to the Пєрєкєเро \(\epsilon \in \nu \eta\) (Körte, ed. 2, p. 93). That attribution rested on the supposed occurrence of the name \(\Pi \circ \lambda\left(\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \omega \nu\right)\) in the margin, but this must be a misreading, which can be corrected only by the aid of the original. If, then, Körte is right, as seems likely, in supposing P. Cairo Fr. H to have been the third sheet of a quire (ed. 2, p. xviii), \(\beta\) must have been the fourth, and Fr. Q, which, if part of the fourth sheet, belonged to its first leaf (Körte, l.c.), must be the bottom of \(\beta^{1-2}\). \(Q^{2}\) contains remains of 9 lines, \(\beta^{1}\) remains of 18 lines ; and, since the first verse of \(\beta^{1}\) coincides with the twelfth of 1236 verso, II more lines at least preceded \(\beta^{1}\) I. A total of 38 lines for this first page of the fourth sheet is thus arrived at, which is the largest number of lines found on a page in the Cairo papyrus. This total might be slightly reduced by a combination between the last lines of \(\beta^{1}\) and the first of \(Q^{2}\), e.g., as Körte proposes,

The abruptness of the intervention of Habrotonon, who according to the current reconstruction takes up the dialogue at this point, would however be a difficulty, but, as Wilamowitz remarks, the restoration of her name in 1.510 is highly conjectural, since all that remains is its last syllable and of this the first \(v\) is hardly justified by the facsimile. More probably the dialogue is carried on with Onesimus alone. In any case it is clear from the foregoing figures that 1236. verso ifollows very closely, if not immediately, after Epitrep. 501. On the supposition that there
was no interval, the number of lines to the page in 1236 works out at 43 . The lower part of the verso and \(\beta^{1}\) usefully supplement each other, while on \(\beta^{2}\) a choral song, the occurrence of which hereabouts had been already suggested by Körte (p. xxix), is marked, and the proof of the division of the Epitrepontes into five acts is thus obtained. This indication of a choral ode is a deciding factor in fixing the position of Fr. U, for since there is no extra space between any of the lines on the recto, it follows that these-if they belong to the same leaf as \(\beta^{1-2}\)-must either all precede the eleventh line of \(\beta^{2}\), where the direction Xopov stood, or all follow it. The latter alternative is inconsistent with the apparently close relation of \(\beta^{1}\) and \(Q^{2}\). \(\mathrm{U}^{1}\) therefore probably forms part of the dialogue of Onesimus with Charisius ; the appearance of Chaerestratus may naturally be placed in the next scene, and \(Q^{1}\) will accordingly follow \(\beta^{2}\). No convincing combination however has at present been obtained either of 1236. verso \(16-2 \mathrm{I}\left(=\beta^{1} 5^{-10}\right)\) with \(\mathrm{U}^{2}\) or of \(\beta^{2}\) with \(\mathrm{U}^{1}\). A further examination of the papyrus might be helpful.

Recto.

тov [ \(\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma o \tau \eta \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega\) X \(\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \chi^{0 \lambda \eta}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota[\nu \alpha \pi \rho o \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \eta\) тolouto \(\tau \iota\)


5 Xроขоข \(\delta \iota \alpha \kappa v \pi \tau \omega \nu \quad \in[\nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \nu\) а \(\theta \lambda \iota o s\)
- \(\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S \nu v \mu \phi \eta S \tau![\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau 0]\) U \([\pi] \rho[\alpha \gamma \mu a \tau 0 S\)



Io \(\alpha \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \sigma \phi 0 \delta \rho \alpha\)


\(\omega[s]\) \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) ठ८акоvбаs \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega\) тотє


\([\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu\) то८]ovto[ \(\nu \quad \epsilon \rho \gamma 0] \nu \in \xi \in \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ S\)
[avtos \(\gamma \in \gamma o] \nu \omega s\) ! \([\epsilon \pi \alpha l] \delta \iota o v\) vo \(\theta_{0 v} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho\)
[ouk \(\epsilon \sigma \chi \circ{ }^{\prime}\) ov \(\left.\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma u\right] \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \circ s\)
```

    [ov0\epsilonl' a\tauv\chiov\sigma\etal \tau\alphav\tau \epsilonк\epsilonl]\nu\eta. \betaa\rho\beta\alpha\rhoos
    20 [\alpha\nu\eta\lambda\epsilon\eta\s \tau\epsilon \lambdaol\deltao\rho\epsilont\tau \epsilon\rho\rho]\omega\mu}\mu\nu\nu\omega
[\alphav\tau\omega\iota \beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\epsilon\epsilon\iota 0 vф\alpha\iota\muo\nu] \eta\rho\epsilon0\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu[os
[\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\iotaк \epsilon\gamma\omega \mu\epsilon\nu \alphavos \epsilon\iota\mu\iota \tau]\omega \delta\epsilon\epsilon\iota [
Verso.

| 28 letters | ] ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| " | ]. $\beta$ 人р $\beta$ 人¢ 0 |
| . | $\alpha v \tau \eta$ бoфف̂s |
| . . . . . | $\delta_{l} \alpha \quad \tau \in \lambda$ ous |

[...... \tau\omega\nu \delta\alpha\iota\mu]o\nu\omega\nu \tau\iotas. о \delta\epsilon \pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho
[. .] . . єढ\tau\mp@code{\tau' \alphav\tau\etaS X\rho\etá\sigma\epsilon\tau\alphal. \tau\iota \delta\epsilon \muol \pi\alpha\tau\rhoos}
[\epsilon\rho]\omega \delta!\alpha\rho\rhoр\eta\delta\eta\nu: \epsilon\muо\iota \sigmav \Sigma\mu\iotaкрív\eta

```

```

[\tau]! ovv \tau\alpha\rho\alpha\tau\tau\epsilon\iotaS к\alpha\iota ßִ\iota\alphá\oint`\eta П\alpha\muф\iota\lambda\eta!
O\nu
10[\tau]! \sigma \alpha\cup \beta

```


```

    \epsiloń\sigma\tau\etaкаs ï\epsilon\rhoó\sigmav\lambda \epsilon\mu\muov [: \mu]a \tauovṣ 0\epsilonovṣ
    \alpha\lambda\lambda` \alpha\rho\tau\iota\omegas \epsilon\xi\eta\eta\lambda0o\nu}\alpha\mp@code{\alpha[.....] \lambda\alpha0\epsilon\iota\nu [
    I5 €\sigma\tau\alpha\iota \sigma\epsilon \pi\rho\alpha . . \mu\iota\alpha . . [. . . .] . \eta\sigma . . [
\pi\alpha\nu\tau' є\pi\alphaк\rhoо\alphá\sigma\epsilon\iota: }
[\epsilon\gamma]\omega@ \sigma\epsilon \lambda\alpha\nu0\alpha\nu\epsilon!\nu \pi!v\
[\beta\rhoo]<br>tau\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\boldsymbol{~}: \delta\iota\alpha, .\epsilon[
[\alpha\lambda\lambda ov ] 0\epsilon\nu o\phi | | \sigma\sigma\epsilon[l
20 [\tau\iota\varsigma \epsilon\iota\sigma..]. \alpha
[ovk o\iota\sigma . . .]> . : . [
[ovk \eta\nu є\muо\nu...]. [

```
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Recto. 3. \(\epsilon[\) cкaбєtє \(:[\epsilon \epsilon \pi] a \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) P. Cairo, the letters doubtfully read. The restige here of the letter after \(\tau\) is well suits \(\epsilon\).
6. \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma] v[\pi]_{\rho}[\) aүرиatos: \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota\). [P. Cairo. The vestiges in 1236, though slight, are sufficient to exclude most of the proposed restorations; they indicate letters descending somewhat below the line, like \(\rho, \tau, v, \phi, \psi\). Croiset's tov \(\pi \rho \dot{\text { áquaros }}\) is thus suitable, and in the Cairo papyrus a \(\tau\) after \(\pi \epsilon \rho t\) is not impossible.
7. \(\sigma o \kappa^{\prime}\) ' o \& [P. Cairo, completed by Wilamowitz [ \(\omega\) s \(\pi v \kappa \nu\) í. Above the deleted \(\iota\) there is an oblique mark, the purpose of which is not evident.
8. The corrections are due to the second hand, which also rewrote the accent of \(\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau\) ', probably altering it from a circumflex.
9. The accents on otous are probable, though not very distinct.
10. \(\tau^{\prime}\) a \({ }^{2} \epsilon \pi a r a \xi \xi \in\) : the reading of P. Cairo, for which Headlam and van Leeuwen proposed to substitute \(\theta^{3} a \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \pi a ́ r a \xi \epsilon\), is confirmed.
11. \(\tau \epsilon: \delta \epsilon \mathrm{P}\). Cairo, probably rightly.
12. Apparently yovaıкa was originally written and has been altered by the second hand to yovaux'. A mark precisely similar to the elision sign also stands above \(\imath\); possibly it is a miswritten accent.
18. Van Leeuwen's suggestion \(\left.\sigma v \gamma \gamma v{ }^{\prime} \omega \mu\right]_{\eta s}[\mu \epsilon \in] \rho o s\), accepted with hesitation by Körte, is happily confirmed. \(\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu[\). .] \(\eta s(\) or \(-\nu)[\). . \(] \omega \omega s\) (or ofor \(\omega\) and \(\nu\) for s) Lefebvre, \(\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu\). \(\mu \eta \nu\) - \(\rho \omega \nu\) doubtfully Jensen.

Verso. 2. Bapßapo .: cf. Epitrep. 477.
5. W-MI remarks that \(\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota\) in 1.4 suggests \(\delta a \mu \mu] o \nu \omega \nu\) rather than \(\gamma \epsilon \tau]]_{0 \nu \omega \nu}\).
6. If [. .] . . є є \(\sigma a \tau^{\prime}\) is an adverb, as seems to be the case (not \([\pi \rho \rho] \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau^{\prime}\), apparently),


7. [ \(\epsilon]_{]}\)W W-MI and Körte.
 uncertain and perhaps non-existent, but \(\beta a n \zeta \eta \eta\) is a rather more suitable reading than \(\delta a a \zeta \eta\), and moreover the accented \(a\) is then correct. The commencement of the next line is very doubtful, but \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega\) seems more likely to refer to the appearance of Onesimus on the scene than to stand in connexion with the preceding sentence, and \([\tau]\) c \(\sigma a v\), which was suggested by W-M, suits the remains sufficiently well.

10-12. Körte thinks that these verses belong to Charisius, the double dots after \(\gamma \omega\) only marking the close of his imaginary address to Smicrines, and o \(\nu \eta\) standing for 'O\({ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}\) \({ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \chi \in \tau a l\). This may be so, but the more natural interpretation of the passage as here written is to give таvv какшs . . єүкаталıтทs to Onesimus, and the words are moreover in

 certain, would be in favour of Körte's view, but it is quite possible that they were written and have disappeared (a hole in the vellum would have removed the upper one at any rate), although the \(s\) and the following o of ov os are rather close together.

In l. i1 ro[v, if right, refers to the illegible name at the end of the line, but the reading is very doubtful, and the first letter may be \(\tau\). The o is followed by a vestige which could belong to a \(v\) or another \(\tau\), but is perhaps due to the penetration of ink from the recto. a \(\mu a t\) was apparently preceded either by \(\epsilon\) or \(\sigma\). After rourots, \(\epsilon\) may possibly be a single letter, \(\mu\), and it is not clear that the supposed \(u\) following was the final letter of the line. The second \(\kappa\) of какшs in 1.10 is a correction by the second hand from \(\lambda\).

12 sqq. From this line onwards Fr. \(\beta^{1}\) of the Cairo papyrus (Körte, ed. 2, p. 93) is available for comparison, and the beginnings of 11. 17-22 are restored from that source. In that fragment paragraphi occur below the verses corresponding to \(11 . \mathbf{1}_{3}, 16,18,19\), 20, 21, 22.
13. \(\mu\) ]a tovs \(\theta\) єovs \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), who further proposed «єробидos: ov ктл., which, however, is unsatisfactory, partly because the termination is apparently \(-\lambda \epsilon\), not \(-\lambda\) os, partly because ov \([\mu] a\) insufficiently fills the space. A negative is unnecessary with aג入’ following, and \(\epsilon \mu\) ov, though extremely uncertain, is a possible reading. The traces of writing after \(\theta\) eous may be attributed to penetration from the recto.
14. \(\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o \nu^{*}\) : or possibly \(\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o \nu\) :, the lower dot having disappeared in a hole ; but there is no paragraphus in \(\beta^{1}\).
. I5. The remains are very puzzling : the letter before the supposed \(\mu\) looks more like a \(v\) than anything else. Since \(\pi a v \tau^{\prime}\) ' \(\pi a \times \rho o a \sigma \epsilon t\) in the next line clearly belongs to Charisius, there should be a paragraphus in \(\beta^{1}\) below \(\epsilon \sigma \tau a\), if ll. 14 and \(\mathrm{I}_{5}\) both belong to Onesimus; cf. the preceding note. A paragraphus below єбтat is rather suggested by the Cairo facsimile (Plate xlvi), but this may be deceptive.
1237. Menander, Colax.
\[
7.7 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
\]

That the following fragments belong to the Colax of Menander is established by the coincidence of the first two verses of Fr. I with \(11.52-3\) of 409 . Below 1. 53 in that papyrus there is a coronis, and a short line of about twelve letters follows, after which the dialogue is continued. It was supposed both by ourselves and subsequent editors that no more than the conclusion of the defective verse had dropped out; but the view of Wilamowitz that there was a more considerable lacuna is now confirmed, for in \(\mathbf{1 2 3 7}\) sixteen lines succeed the two already extant verses without any further coincidence with 409. Of the height of the column in 1237 there is no indication, and perhaps the passage inveighing against flatterers, which in the previously published text follows 1.53 , stood in the present papyrus at the foot of Fr. I, Col. i ; the introduction at ll. 16-17 of Gnatho, evidently a parasite, suggests that he was its occasion; but the lacuna in 409 may have been of still greater extent. This name Gnatho is unexpected, for though in the Eunuchus of Terence, a play based on the Colax, the parasitus Colax is called Gnatho, in Menander's play, as is shown by an extant fragment (Kock 293, Körte 2 ; cf. Plut. De adul. 13), this role was filled by Struthias. Unless, therefore, Gnatho be regarded as a nickname of Struthias, more than one parasite figured in the Colax; perhaps, as suggested by Wilamowitz, Struthias was the dependant of Bias, Gnatho of Phidias. It is noticeable that the two names are connected by Lucian, Fugit. 19 кодакєías ধ̇vєка тòv Гva日
 dramatis personac is \(\Delta\) âos (Fr. 1. i. 16, ii. 3), who is presumably to be identified as the elderly slave with whom Phidias carries on the dialogue of 409. ii. The \(\pi\) орvoßórкоs alluded to in Fr. 1. ii. 2 is the speaker of 409. iii. 78 sqq.

The hand of the papyrus is a rather small sloping semi-cursive, dating
probably from the third century. A single dot in the high position is used as a stop, and the usual double dots in combination with paragraph denote alternations in the dialogue, the name of the individual speaker being sometimes added above the line. A mark of quantity and a sign of elision occur once each. All these adjuncts are to be credited to the original scribe.

Fr. i.

\section*{Col. i.}
```

\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\sigma]\epsilon\cdot \tau\iota \delta\iota\delta\alpha\sigmaк\epsilon\iotaS как\alpha.
\alpha\pi]0@\beta\alpha!!\nu\epsilon\iotaS \tau\overline{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu:
]\mu\epsilon:\nu\alpha\iota:
]. к\alphal \pi\epsilon\pi \rho\alpha,\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}\alpha\rho\alpha

```


```

        ]\nu\eta\nu \delta\eta\piov.
        ] }€\\omega\cdot\mp@code{\tau0}\delta\epsilon\gamma\kappa\alpha[\lambda\epsilon\iota
        ]. \mu\epsilon\cdot \omegas ov \delta\epsilonov
        ] }
        \epsilon]\mu\beta\epsilon\beta\rhoо\nu\tau\eta\sigma\alpha\iota \pi\\alpha\\alpha\ell!
                ]к\lambda\iota\nu\omega\nu \mu\alpha\tau\eta\nu
                    ]a!s X\in\rho[. . .]
                    ] \tauov\tauov!
                ]s \lambda\alpha\mu\beta\alpha\nu\epsilon\iota\nu:
                        \Delta\alphaos
                        :] ovкov\nu \Gamma\nu\alpha0\omega[\nu
                    ]o\iota\gamma\epsilon: \omega \Gamma\nu\alpha0\omega\nu [
                            ] }\mu
    ```
5
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Fr. 2.
                                    Fr. 3.
                                    Fr. 4.


```

5].\sigma\epsilon \deltaо\rhoа\iotas [
]. \gamma\alpha\rho \sigma\epsilon\iota\tau . [
] 0\epsilon\lambda\epsilon[

```

Fr. 5.
Fr. 6. ]. . oro \([\)
    \(] \pi o \tau \omega[\)
 doubtfully read. \(\phi\) of a aroфaives, which in the facsimile looks uncertain, is clear in the original ; in the present passage, however, \(\phi\) is unsuitable, and amoßavels seems to have been
 \(\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma[\delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \bar{\eta} s]\); but \(a \pi o \beta a v e \epsilon s\) is more likely to be a mistake for \(\dot{d} \pi \rho \phi\) aivets than vice versa.
6. Or ]e кelvov \(\mu \in \nu \omega\).
13. au \(\sigma \kappa \epsilon[0 \nu\). \(]\) might also be read.
ii. 7-8. The fragment containing the beginnings of these two lines was detached, and its exact distance from 11. I-4 is not certain.
9. The marginal entry is probably the name of one of the speakers; the initial letter is perlaps \(\beta\).

Fr. 2. 6. Apparently not \(\gamma \epsilon \tau \tau 0[\nu\).
Frs. 5-6. That these fragments belong to 1237 is hardly certain. In Fr. 5 there is a short blank space between the supposed \(\lambda\) and the preceding letter.

\section*{1238-40. Fragments of Comedies.}

Three minor pieces from unidentified comedies, the two former in the style of the New Comedy, the last belonging to an older age, may be conveniently grouped together.

1238 is a fragment containing the beginnings of a few lines from the top of a column, written in round upright uncials of rather above the medium size, and evidently of an early date in the Roman period; they may be assigned to about the middle of the first century. Changes of speaker within a line are denoted by blank spaces within which the usual double dots are inserted, marginal paragraphi being also employed; and for the sake of greater clearness the names of the speakers have been added in small cursive letters, probably by a different and somewhat later hand, either in the margin or above the line. One of these names, Theron, is known as that of a parasite in a play of Menander from Aelian, \(N . A\). ix. 7 , and though this coincidence is of course insufficient to determine the authorship, a Menandrean origin for the present fragment is likely enough. Another of the dramatis personae was Malthace, a well-established name in comedy, and the initial letter of a third was apparently \(\Pi\) (1.9).
1239. This is the right-hand portion of a short column of twenty-one lines (cf. note on 1. 2I), written in medium-sized sloping oval uncials of a common third-century type. \(a\) is sometimes practically indistinguishable from \(\lambda\). High
and medial stops are used, the former occasionally taking the shape of a short oblique dash; a colon at the end of 1.14 indicates, as usual, a change of speaker. Rubbing and discoloration have in places effaced or obscured the text, but the general drift of the fragment, in spite of its mutilation, is tolerably clear. Lines i sqq. are a sententious discourse upon the advantages of independence: detachment is necessary for happiness; if a man laughs with friends he must also weep with them, and his life becomes exposed to constant change. This theme is then dismissed, and preparations follow for some festal occasion (1. I3). Here too the suggestion is natural that Menander was the author, but its confirmation is still to be found.

1240 consists of four fragments written in a small informal upright script dating probably from the first half of the second century. Names of speakers have been inserted in the margin in a sloping cursive, apparently by a different hand; to which writer the occasional accents and marks of elision in the text are due is questionable. Frs, \(\mathrm{I}-3\) contain beginnings of lines, and it is quite likely that Fr. 1 and Fr. 3 should be joined, in which case there would be a loss of about nine lines between l. 〕, and 1. 11, and Fr. 2 may partially fill this gap. The indentation of some of the lines, which indicates variety in metre (cf. also Fr. 4, from the end of a line), as well as the participation of the Chorus in the dialogue (1. II), point clearly to a comedian of the older school, and both Wilamowitz and Körte have suggested that Mvpeviô( \(\eta s\) ) should be read in l. I and the fragments referred to the Demes of Eupolis, of which some substantial pieces have lately made their appearance in Cairo (cf. Körte, Hormes, xlvii. pp. 276 sqq.). This is an attractive hypothesis, but unfortunately the initial \(\Pi\) in 1. I seems indubitable. It is not credible that the name was intentionally disguised by Eupolis, especially as the new fragments have shown that Myronides figured in the play as a dead, and not a living person (cf. Körte, op. cit., p. 303). Possibly the \(\Pi\) is a mere blunder; but the name \(\Pi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega v\) is well attested, and Пvpoviồ \(\bar{s}\) occurs in Lucian, \(V . H_{\text {. i. 20. The proposed identification thus }}\) remains highly conjectural. The other character mentioned, an oikє́ ins (ll. 9, I5), gives no assistance towards a solution of the problem.
1238. \(10.3 \times 7.3 \mathrm{~cm}\). First century. Plate V.
```

\mu\eta \zeta\eta\lambdaо\tauv\pi\omega\nu }\mu
\Theta\eta\rho\omega
\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha \pi\alpha\rhoov\sigma\alpha: \tau[
\tauои\tau\iota \tau\epsilon\tauо\lambda\mu\eta\kappa\epsilon[\nu
Ma\lambda!
\pi\epsilon\ell\sigma0\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha: }\quad\epsilon\mu0\iota\pi

```

5 Ма入？какоs какшs ато入［оוто
\(\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \iota \quad \lambda \in \lambda[\alpha] \lambda \eta \kappa \quad \alpha \nu[\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s\)
\(\tau \rho[\nu \quad \alpha] \nu \delta \rho \cdot \alpha[\cdot] \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \sigma[\)
［．．．．］\(\pi \alpha \rho \in[X] \in \tau \epsilon \tau 0[\)
！̣［ ］
［．．．．］\(\sigma \iota \nu[:\) oc \(] \mu \omega \varsigma \epsilon[\)

3．Or \(\tau \in \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \kappa\) o［．
4．The fourth letter of the speaker＇s name is most probably \(\theta\) ，not \(a\) ．Since the next line is attributed to the same person， 1.4 was thrice divided．

6．\(a v[\theta \rho \omega \pi o s\) is suggested by W－M．
7．The stop is doubtful ；if it is right，the next word may be e．g．\(a[\pi] \epsilon \epsilon \mu^{\prime}\) or \(a[\gamma] \in t \mu^{\prime}\) ．
```

                    1239. 16.5 \ 10.1 cm. Third century.
                        ]\nu. \alpha\lambda\lambda o\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma \epsilon\lambda\alpha\\iota\sigma\tau\eta\eta\nu €X\epsilon\iota
                        ] }\mu\epsilon\rho\iota\delta\alpha \mu\alpha\llbracket\rho\rrbracket\rrbracket\kappa\alpha\rho\iota\omega\tau\alpha\tauо
                        ] \epsilon\iota \delta\epsilon \sigmav\mu\beta\epsilon\beta\etaкот\omega\nu
                        ]. !\sigma\epsilon\nu ov\delta\epsilon \epsilon\iotas \piot\epsilon.
    ```

5

I 5
```

                    \tau]ovs \phi\iota\lambdaous. к\lambda\alpha\epsilon\iota\nu}\mp@subsup{\nu}{}{*}\gamma\in\lambda\alpha
                    ]. \epsilon\pi!0[v]\sigma\alpha\nu \eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu
                    ] . . T !! \mu[\epsilon]T\tau\alpha\betao\lambda\eta\nu
            ] \pio\lambda\lambda\alpha \betaov\lambdao\mu\epsilon\nuOs \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon!\nu
            ]!\lambda\eta\eta\nu! . . \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilonL\nu ор\omega.
            \epsilon]!\sigma\ddot{̈}\nu\nu,\nu[\nu]\nu \epsilon\nu0\alpha\delta\epsilon
            \omega]\nu \tau\iotaS* €! \delta\epsilon \tau\eta\nu \epsilon\mu\eta\nu
                ]\rho\alpha . [. . . . . . . .] ]\eta
            \delta\epsilonv]\rhoo \tau\iotas \sigma\tau\tau\epsilon[\phi]\alpha\nuovs \tau\alpha\chiv
            ]\rhoo . . . [. .]. \phiє\rhoє\iota :
            ]l\pi\rho[. . . . .] \gamma}\boldsymbol{\gamma\epsilon
            ] \sigma\kappav0 . . [. . .] \epsilon\mu\epsilon.
            ]s. o九 }\tau\epsilon.[....]o\iota
            ]s. or т\epsilon філокало\iota.
            ]. \alpha\tau . [
    ```


2. The superfluous \(\rho\) was enclosed by dots on each side (that on the right lost), and a third was placed above.
9. If the letters are rightly read, \(\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \mathrm{l} \downarrow \lambda \dot{\eta}\) roos in some form, as Körte remarks, seems indicated ; \(\epsilon \pi]\) ] \(\lambda \eta \nu\) vo is possible.
13. The line may be completed, as proposed by Körte, \(\pi a i ̂ ̀ \ell \epsilon s, ~ ф \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \omega ~ \nu i ̂ v . ~\).

I6. \(\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega[\pi \omega s]\) naturally suggests itself, but though the papyrus is much damaged it is difficult to suppose that the tail of a \(\rho\) has entirely disappeared. On the other hand some case of \(\Sigma_{k v} \theta_{\eta s}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}\). \(\Sigma_{k v} \theta_{o v}\), which would suit sufficiently well, is not very satisfactory here.

21 . Below this line there is an interval of \(1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~cm}\). before the papyrus breaks off. If this was a complete column, it was abnormally short in comparison with its breadth, though an analogy may be found in P. Rylands 16, which, however, was a MS. of a much more sumptuous kind. But possibly l. 21 was the conclusion of an act, or even of the whole play.
1240. Fr. \(1 \mathrm{I} 5.8 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}\).

Second century.

Fr. 1.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 令 } \pi \circ v \mu^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \text { o }[ \\
& \zeta \eta \cdot[ \\
& \text { коб } \mu \text { os } \cdot \text { [ } \\
& \text { [.] . [ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fir. 2. \([\epsilon] \mu \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \underset{\sim}{\pi} \alpha[\) \(\kappa \omega \mu a \zeta\) ото! \(\omega[\) [. . . . . ] . \(\iota \sigma[\)

Fr. 1. 0\(]\) ! \(\kappa \epsilon \tau \eta\) [
10 ]fat tou[

Fr. 3.
Xo(pos) \(\epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \in \phi(\underset{\sim}{[ }\)
к \(\alpha \iota\) ф \(\downarrow\). . [
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \nu v \nu\). [
\(\left.1_{j} \quad \quad 0 \kappa \kappa\right] \epsilon \tau \eta(\mathrm{s}) \quad \phi \in \rho \quad i \delta \omega \frac{\tau \rho[ }{\pi \lambda \alpha \kappa[0 v \nu \tau \alpha}\)

2. A circumflex has been substituted for an acute accent over \(\eta\); of. 1174. ix. 12, note.
II. The marginal \(\mathrm{Xo}(\mathrm{pos})\) can hardly be doubted, though rather above and to the left of the o there is a short vertical stroke which remains unexplained.
\({ }^{5} 5 . \pi \lambda a \kappa[\) ovvтa \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\); the \(a\) is followed by a vertical stroke which is sufficiently consistent with a \(\kappa\).

\section*{1241. Chrestomathy.}
\(22 \times 43.6 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century.
Of the six consecutive columns remaining of this papyrus the four central ones, though damaged in parts, are in a state approaching completeness; the last is broken vertically, while of the first only the ends of a few lines are preserved. The script is a careful uncial, round and upright, rather similar to that of the British Museum Hyperides (fragments in Kenyon's Palaeography, Plate xvi), though more regular and ornamental and probably somewhat later in date ; it may be referred to the first half of the second century. Other hands of much the same character are 220, P. Berl. 6845 ap . Schubart, Pap. Graec. Berol. 19c. The few corrections which occur are due to the original scribe. Punctuation is effected by a high point, accompanied by marginal paragraphi; at the close of a section the paragraphus is replaced by a coronis. There is some variation in the length of the lines, and short ones have been sometimes, but by no means always, filled up with the usual angular mark. Diaeresis is frequent with an initial \(\iota\) or \(v\); a rough breathing apparently occurs in vi. Io. A diplē is placed in the margin opposite a line at v. 5, 24, vi. 25 (cf. 1233. Fr. 2. ii. 4, note, P. Rylands 55.33 , note), and double dots, one above the other, occur in a similar position at v. 4 (cf. 16, where the two dots are divided by a horizontal stroke).

The work here partially preserved is a treatise containing historical and mythological information collected in summaries and lists. In Col. i there were short catalogues of famous sculptors, statuaries, painters (ll. I-5; cf. notes), and grammarians; Col. ii opens with an account of the Alexandrian librarians, and then at l. 2 I warfare is abruptly introduced, and this subject is continued through the remainder of the fragment, ii. 2I-iv. Io recounting the persons, mostly
mythological, supposed to have been first responsible for various acts of war, while from iv. 10 onwards the inventors of different weapons are specified. The earlier part of the fragment recalls the Ptolemaic papyrus published by Diels under the title of Laterculi Alexandrini (Abh. Berlin Akad. 1904), and the second portion is closely analogous to the excerpts from the catalogues of inventors embedded in the writings of certain Greek and Latin authors of the Imperial period, e.g. Clement of Alexandria, Pliny, and Hyginus; cf. M. Kremmer, De Catalogis Heurematum. A rather marked similarity to a passage in Servius is noticeable at vi. 19-25; see the note ad loc. Though the name of the compiler is unknown, the class to which this treatise is to be referred is thus clear; it is a characteristic product of the Alexandrian erudition which exercised itself in antiquarian research and tabulation. Its age is fixed within well-defined limits, on the one hand by the historical allusions in Col. ii, on the other by the date of the papyrus ; it must have been put together, if not towards the close of the Ptolemaic period, under one of the earlier Emperors.

The section dealing with warfare and weapons is, as might be expected, of no great importance, though it includes some mythological and historical details which are not without interest, besides occasional citations of older authorities ; Hellanicus (v. 3), Philochorus (v. 6), and perhaps Aristotle (iii. 2) are named. But the most valuable part of the papyrus is the list of Alexandrian librarians in Col. ii, which at last determines the order of the holders of the office under the earlier Ptolemies, and supplies fresh evidence for the much-discussed chronology of Apollonius Rhodius. With him the list begins, the name of Zenodotus having of course preceded towards the end of Col. i. Apollonius, who is said to have been the tutor of Euergetes I ( \(\pi\) рӧ́tov in 1.5 must be a clerical error for т \(\quad\) írov), was succeeded by Eratosthenes, and Aristophanes of Byzantium, Apollonius \(\dot{o}\) eiòoypáфos, and Aristarchus followed. After the death of Philometor occurred the dispersal of the Alexandrian scholars by Euergetes II (Athen. 184 c ), and it is highly significant that the next name is that of a military officer, Cydas \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda о \gamma \chi \circ \phi \circ \rho \rho \omega \nu\), who is otherwise unknown. His mention leaves no room for doubt that it was a definite official position, i. e. the chief librarianship, of which the successive occupants are here enumerated, if this was not already sufficiently evident. Who the successor of Cydas was is not expressly stated; we are next told that under the 9th Ptolemy the grammarians Ammonius, Zenodotus, Diocles, and Apollodorus 'flourished', and the compiler thercupon turns to another topic.

While placing Apollonius Rhodius in the position indicated by his relations to Callimachus and Theocritus, the papyrus explains the mistake in the tradition which brings him down a gencration or so later. Suidas describes him as
a contemporary of Eratosthencs and Timarchus, and the successor of the former at the Alexandrian library, and similarly the second Life of Apollonius (Westermann, Biogr. 50) records a tradition that he eventually returned from Rhodes to Alexandria and became librarian then. These statements may now be traced to the subsequent appointment of a second Apollonius, \(\delta\) єiòoरpúфos, and a confusion of this person with his more famous predecessor not improbably also
 Zquóóotos, \(\epsilon \delta \grave{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \delta \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\) aùtòv 'A \(\bar{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \rho \chi o s: ~ i f ~ o n e ~ A p o l l o n i u s ~ w e r e ~ c o u n t e d, ~\) Aristarchus would be the fourth from Zenodotus, if two, the fifth. Apollonius Rhodius, therefore, must have been of nearly the same age as his reputed master Callimachus (cf. ii. 2-3, Gercke, Rhein. Museum, xliv. 252-3). That he was a learned grammarian as well as a poet was already ascertained. He may have become the teacher of Euergetes about 270 B. C., -not earlier, since the marriage of Philadelphus and Arsinoë I did not occur before about 285 B. C. His retirement to Rhodes may then be placed, with Knaack (Pauly-Wissowa, RealEncycl.), about 260. But here we are confronted with a difficulty. It might naturally have been supposed that Callimachus filled the post vacated by his discomfited rival, whereas the papyrus asserts that the next holder of the librarianship was Eratosthenes, who according to Suidas owed his appointment to Euergetes, and in 260 B. C. was not more than about fifteen years old ( \(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \chi \eta \eta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}\) \(\rho \kappa \varsigma\) 'O入.) ; the statement of Strabo (i. 15) that he was a pupil of Zeno of Citium would indeed imply a greater age. Wilamowitz suggests that the office remained in abeyance during the lifetime of Apollonius, but this can hardly be considered a satisfactory explanation. There was no interregnum after the retirement of Zenodotus, who, if he was succeeded by Apollonius before the latter's departure to Rhodes, and if, as Suidas says, he survived to be the teacher of Aristophanes of Byzantium, withdrew from his office many years before his death. Is it possible that after all the tradition is correct which represents Apollonius as having returned to Alexandria and become librarian comparatively late in life ? If Zenodotus and Callimachus both died early in the reign of Euergetes, Apollonius might then have been recalled by his former pupil and have held the librarianship for some years immediately before Eratosthenes. It would then be unnecessary to assume that Zenodotus retired long before his decease, and the unexplained interval between Apollonius and Eratosthenes would disappear. Perhaps this may prove to be the easiest solution.

Col. i.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\(\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda] \mu \alpha \tau о \pi о \iota\) \\
[oı . . . . . \(\Phi_{\epsilon \iota \delta \iota] a s ~ A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota ~}^{\text {a }}\) [os av 0 plavtot] 0 ool \(\delta \epsilon\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
［ Подиклєוтоs \(\Pi u \theta]\) ауораs \(\Sigma_{\text {ккo }}\)
}

5 ［ \(\pi \alpha s\) § \(\omega \gamma \rho \alpha \phi о \iota\) Подvy］．\(\omega \omega \tau\) 8 lines lost？
\(] p[0]\) s \(\quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu\)
\({ }_{15}[\mu а т \iota к о . . . . . ..] \phi і \lambda о\) ．\(\stackrel{\text { I }}{I}\)
［．．．．．．．．．\(\gamma \rho \alpha] \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota\)
\(\left[\kappa \ldots . . . . \Phi_{l \lambda a]} \ldots \in \lambda \phi o v\right.\)

Col．ii．
\(\nu[\imath] 0 s \sum_{i} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega s\) A \(A \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \nu s\)
－［ \(\kappa] \alpha \lambda o v \mu \in \nu\) os Poóos K \(\alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda[\iota] \mu \alpha \chi\) оv \(\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \mu\) оs ovтоs

5 ．трштои \(\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega S^{\cdot}\) тоитоу
\(\delta[l] \in \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \tau о\) Eратоб \(\theta \epsilon \nu \eta\) s
\(\mu \epsilon \theta\) ov Apıoтофа⿱亠巾s \(A \pi \epsilon \lambda\)
入ov Bu§аитוos кає \(A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \rho\)
X०s• \(\epsilon \iota \tau ~ A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \iota o s ~ A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu\)
ro \(\delta \rho \epsilon \nu\) s o ïסoypaфos ка入ov \(\mu \epsilon\) vos．\(\mu \in \theta\) ov ApıбтapXos \(A \rho \iota\)
\(\sigma \tau \alpha \rho X 0 v A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \nu S\) av \(\omega\)
\(\theta \epsilon \nu \delta \in \sum \alpha \mu 0 \theta \rho \alpha \xi \cdot\) outos каı
\(\overline{\delta \iota \delta}[\alpha] \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o s[\epsilon] \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon[\tau 0] \tau \omega \nu\)

\(\overline{\mu \epsilon \theta}\) ov Kv \(\delta \alpha s \in \kappa \tau \omega \nu\) 入oү \(\chi^{\circ}\)
\(\phi[0] \rho \omega \nu \cdot \in \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \iota \in \nu \alpha \tau \omega\)
\([\beta \alpha] \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad \eta \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \quad A \mu \mu \omega\)
［ \(\nu \iota]\) оs каь Z \(\eta \nu\) о［סотоs］каь \(\Delta \iota 0\)
\(20[\kappa \lambda] \eta s\) к \(\alpha \iota ~ A \pi о \lambda \lambda о[\delta] \omega \rho o s \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu\)
［ \(\mu \alpha] \tau \iota к о \iota[\cdot]\) бтратот［ \(\epsilon] \delta o \nu \pi \rho \omega\)
\([\tau 0] \nu \quad \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha[\iota \quad \lambda] \epsilon \gamma \in \tau \alpha \iota A\)
\([\sigma \iota \alpha] s\) a \(\phi\) ov кає \(\tau \eta \nu[A] \sigma \iota \alpha \nu \quad \phi \alpha\) \([\sigma \iota \nu] \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \epsilon \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \cdot \sigma \tau \rho \alpha\)
```

25[To]\nu \delta \epsilon\xi\alpha\gamma\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu A\piis o Фo
[\rho\omega]\nu\epsilon\omegas \xi}v\lambdao!ṣ к\alpha\iota \delta\epsilon\rho\mu
[\sigma\iota o]\pi\lambda\iota\sigma\alphas tovs }\mu\in0\mathrm{ 人vтov.
[\pio]\lambda\epsilon\muo\nu \delta \epsilon\nuфv\lambda\iotao\nu \pi\rho\omega
[\tauov] €[\xi\epsilon]\nu\epsilon\gammaк\epsilont\nu \lambda\epsilon\gammaov\sigma\iota\nu
30 [A\gamma\eta]\nu[0]\rho\alpha \piо\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha \sigmavv\alpha\gamma\alpha
[\gammaо],\nu\tau\alpha к\alpha\iota П\epsilon\lambda\alpha[\sigma]\gamma\omega\iota \epsilon\pi\iota
[0\epsilon\mu]\epsilon\nuо\nu \epsilonк\delta\iota\omega\xi\alpha\iota точто\nu.
[\pio\lambdal]\nu \delta\epsilon \pio\rho0\eta[\sigma]\alpha\iota E[\]<br>!\eta\nu\iota

    [\delta\alpha] т\rho\omegaTov A\mu\phi[\iota\tau\rhov\omega]v\alpha
    35[\sigmav\nu] K\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\omega\iota \tau\omega[l A0\eta\nu]\alphal
[\omegal ]

```

Col. iii.
ovs \(\epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota\) Taфıovs [ \(\tau \eta s\) K \(\epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda\) \(\lambda \eta \nu \iota \alpha s \chi^{\omega \rho \alpha s}\). \(A \rho![\sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta s\) \(\overline{\delta \epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \Pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \eta[\nu \quad \phi \eta \sigma \iota \pi \rho \omega\) \(\tau \eta \nu\) тоуто \(\sigma v \mu \beta \in \beta[\eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota\)
5 गlves \(\delta \epsilon\) ou \(\mu 0 \nu 0 \nu[\epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha\) \(\pi о \delta \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \quad \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau\left[\eta \nu^{\nu} \Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta\right.\) \(\nu \eta \nu \ddot{\nu} \pi о\) К \(K \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \nu[\) [ous oт \(\epsilon\) \(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \in v \sigma \in \nu \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \quad \sum!\epsilon[v \omega \nu \iota\) \(\omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) к \(\alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha s ~ \gamma v v \alpha \iota[\kappa \alpha s\) av
10 \(\tau \omega \nu\) k \(\alpha \iota \tau \alpha s\) \(\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha[s \quad \alpha \iota \chi \mu \alpha\) \(\lambda \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha s \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha[\pi о \rho \nu \epsilon v \theta \eta\) \(\nu \alpha \cdot\). \(\nu \in к \rho o v s ~ \delta ~ v \pi![\sigma \pi o \nu \delta o u s ~ a ~\)
 Hp[ \(\alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha\). .]. [. . . . . . . . . . .
\({ }^{15}\) т.. [................ \(\tau \omega \nu \in\) \(\nu \alpha \nu \tau[\iota] \omega \nu \quad \phi \alpha \sigma!.[. . . . .\). \([\epsilon] \xi \underset{\iota}{ } / \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi[\). [. . . . . .] \(\kappa \alpha[\tau] \alpha\). [. . . . . . . \(\pi \cdot[\cdot.] \nu \tau \alpha \cdot\). [. 20 [. .] \(] \uparrow T \eta \mu \alpha[.] \eta \lambda \omega\). [. . . . . .


\([\kappa] \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu . \epsilon!\nu\). . . . [.
\([\alpha \nu] \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \quad \Theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \alpha\) [
\({ }_{25}\) троs \(\Theta \eta \beta \alpha l o u s\) отє \(\tau \omega \nu \epsilon\)
\(\pi \tau \alpha \in \pi \iota \Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha s \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \omega \nu\) ov \(\tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \in \alpha \psi \epsilon \nu \cdot \phi о\)
Г \(\nu 0 \nu\) є \(\mu \phi \cup \lambda \iota \circ \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau о s \pi \epsilon\)
\(30 \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) Alт \(\quad\) गоs
\(A \pi \iota \nu\) tov Ïous aтоктє[l]vas

\(\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu A \zeta \alpha \nu 0 s \alpha \theta \lambda \omega \nu \alpha\)


\(\phi u \lambda \iota v \quad \phi \circ \nu[0] v \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \alpha\)

Col. iv.
[токтєا] \(\nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) Hıоує \(\alpha\) тоע
[ \(\pi \in \nu \theta \in \rho o \nu\) ]
\([\epsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \epsilon] \delta \epsilon\) тous \(\epsilon \mu \phi u \lambda \iota o u s\)
\([\epsilon \nu\) dıкаб] \(] \eta \rho \iota o \nu \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha s\)

 \(\left[\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\right.\) ф]ovous \(\epsilon \nu\) © \(\Theta_{\eta} \beta\) als \(\ddot{I}\)
\([\sigma \mu \eta \nu \circ]\) к кає K入aんเтоv \(\tau \omega \nu \Omega\)
\([\kappa \epsilon \alpha \nu o] \geq\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ~ M \epsilon \lambda \iota \alpha s ~ \tau \eta s ~ a ~\)
10 \([\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi] \eta S^{\cdot}\) om \(\lambda \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha\)
\([\kappa \alpha \tau] \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ v \sigma \iota \nu\)
[ol] \(\mu \in \nu\) A \(\eta^{\cdot}\) тועєs \(\delta \epsilon\) Kvкл \(\omega\)
\([\pi \alpha] s \in \nu \quad \tau \omega \iota \in \nu\) Evßoı \(\alpha \iota \sigma \pi \eta\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}\lambda \alpha \iota] \omega \iota & \text { o } & \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota & T \epsilon[\llbracket] \rrbracket \chi \chi^{\nu}{ }^{\nu} \nu .\end{array}\right.\)
\({ }_{15}[0 \pi] \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \nu \quad \tau \in \nu \chi \epsilon\)
[ \(\sigma \iota \nu\) ] Bрıарє \(\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon\)
[ \(\rho 0 \nu\) ] \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu\) סopaıs то \(\sigma \omega\)
\([\mu] \alpha \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \alpha\} \quad \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot \omega S \delta \epsilon \tau \iota\)
\([\nu] \epsilon \varsigma\) ï \(\sigma \tau о \rho о \nu \sigma \iota \nu ~ A \rho \eta \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda o \iota \delta \epsilon\)
20 [ \(\phi \alpha \sigma] \stackrel{\nu}{ }\) oт \(\lambda \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu\) арทї \(\alpha\)

\([\kappa \eta \iota \pi] 0 \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \stackrel{\varrho}{\bullet} \varphi, \varphi[\pi o] \quad A \lambda \omega \epsilon\)
\(\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}\omega \varsigma & \kappa \alpha]! \\ \tau & \tau \nu \\ \pi \alpha \alpha & \delta \omega[\nu\end{array}\right] \epsilon\right] \theta \eta\)
\([\nu \alpha \iota A] \pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon \quad x \pi[0 \kappa] ? \epsilon \iota\)
\({ }_{2} 5\) [ \(\left.\nu \alpha\right] \nu \tau \alpha\) тovtous \(\rho v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \alpha v\)
\(\tau o \nu \cdot \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau o u s \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha}\) oт \(\lambda \alpha \in \nu \delta \nu \nu \alpha \iota \phi \alpha \sigma \iota\)
\(\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s\) Kovp \(\eta \tau \alpha\) є \(\epsilon\)

\(3^{\circ}\) тovs \(\mu \epsilon \theta\) avt \(\left.[\omega]\right\rangle . . . \tau \ldots\)
Kv \(\mu \iota \nu \delta \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu\). . . . . [.] \(]\)
\(\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\) к..... . \(\nu \circ[\). .]
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda o t \delta A \iota y u \pi \tau \iota[\) ous \(\lambda \in\) уovol \(]\)
oп \(\lambda \alpha \tau \in \pi \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho p \omega \pi[\) [ovs \(\pi o \iota \eta]\)
\(35 \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) к \(\alpha \iota\) סор \(\alpha \iota\) s \(\sigma \kappa[\epsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha]\) l


Col. v.
 \(\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 u s \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma[\alpha \iota \quad \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho] \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon\)
ол \(\lambda \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau о s E \lambda \lambda[\alpha] \nu[l] \kappa \sigma s \kappa \alpha\)
\(: \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \in \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \Sigma \alpha \nu \in \nu\)
\(5>\nu o \nu \sum_{\kappa v}{ }^{2} \omega \nu\) ov \(\alpha \beta \alpha[\sigma] \lambda \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\alpha \cdot \Phi[\iota] \lambda o \chi o \rho o s ~ \delta \epsilon ~ к \alpha \theta о \pi \lambda \iota\)
\(\sigma \iota \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota\)
\(\epsilon \pi \iota\) Kєкротоs \(\delta о \rho \cup\) кац \(\delta \in \rho\)
\(\mu a \tau o s\) ауpıov \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta\) од \(\eta \nu \cdot \ddot{v}\)
io \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \delta\) oт \(\eta \delta \eta\) ßoєs \(\epsilon \theta v\)
ovтo \(\beta\) oєas tous \(\in \nu\) т \(\eta \iota A \tau\)

тькך८ \(\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \cdot \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \geqslant\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \text { os } \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \Delta \alpha \nu \alpha \\
& \text { os } \epsilon i s \text { Apros кощlбal ws } \delta \epsilon
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { катєбкєvабато } A \kappa p \iota \sigma \iota o s \\
& \epsilon \nu \text { A } \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \text { к } \pi \rho o s \\
& \text { Проוтоу тоע } \alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi \circ \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \text { о८ } \\
& \overline{\delta \epsilon} \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \text { ov тov } A \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota o \nu \alpha \lambda \\
& 20 \lambda \alpha \text { Проtтоv } \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta x s \in \nu A \rho \\
& \gamma \in \iota \text { кат } \alpha \sigma \kappa є \nu \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \text { ка८ } \tau \alpha v \\
& \text { Tas } \xi v \lambda \iota \nu \alpha s^{\circ} \text { ol } \delta \epsilon X^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \nu} \alpha \\
& \overline{\sigma \pi} \iota \alpha \alpha \text { } \pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { точтоу } \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \in \nu о \pi \lambda_{\iota}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \iota \delta \alpha \xi \alpha![\tau \alpha]!!\quad \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \iota \nu \text { o } \chi^{\alpha} \\
& \text { } \overline{\nu \alpha} \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon[\tau \epsilon \rho] \quad 0 \pi \lambda \alpha K \alpha \rho \alpha s \quad \lambda \epsilon \\
& 30 \gamma \in \tau \alpha \iota \text { ката } \alpha \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha l \cdot \omega S \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \\
& \nu \in S \text { ї } \sigma \tau о \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \text { tas } \\
& \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta \alpha \text { s } \pi \epsilon[\rho]!\text { tous } \omega \mu \text { ous } \pi \epsilon \\
& \rho[\ell] \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \quad A \rho \gamma \in \epsilon \circ \circ \pi \rho \omega \\
& \text { то८ } \pi о \rho \pi \alpha \kappa \alpha[s] \kappa \alpha \iota \text { оХ } \epsilon \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \\
& \text { 3. } \overline{-} \text { Oєvtєs } \epsilon \iota S ~ \tau[\eta \nu \quad \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau] \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \\
& \rho \iota \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \cdot \tau o v[. . . . . .] \pi 0 \text {. [. . .] }
\end{aligned}
\]

Col. vi.
```

    [. .]\nu o\tau \epsilon\pi[l . . . . . \epsilon\sigma\tau\rho\alpha (?)
    [\tau]\epsilonчov то[. . . . . . . . . . .
    [. .]\eta\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon[\nu . . . . . . \pi\alpha\rho
    [\mu]!\eta\nu ü\pi I\lambda\lambda[v\rho\iota\omegav . . . . .
    ```

```

    \tau\omega\nu \delta\eta\mu\alpha . [. . . . . . . \Sigma \Sigma \alpha
    \muo0\rho\alpha\iotaк\etas \sigma[\alpha\lambda\pi\iotayyas \delta\epsilon
    ```
```

    \pi\rho\omega\tauovs ф\eta\sigmai[\nu к\alphaт\alpha\sigmaк\inv\alpha
    \sigma\alpha\sigma0\alpha\iota Tv\rho\rho\eta\etav[ous
    Io Tup\rho\eta\nuov [! . . . . . . . . . .
    A\rho\delta\eta\lambdaov [. . . . . . . . . A\rho
    \delta\eta\lambdaov [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .
    A\rho\epsilon\omegas [. . . . . . . . . . . . . 
    \pi\rhoо\betaо\lambda[. . . . . . . . . . . . \delta\iota
    I5 \
    \nu\omega\nu. \epsilon\tau\epsilon[\rhoo\iota \delta\epsilon \lambda\epsilon\gammaov
    \sigma\iota}\delta\eta o\pi\lambda[
    \tau\eta\nu \delta\epsilon к\alpha\lambda[0v\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu \pi\epsilon\lambda\tau\tau\eta\nu
    \ddot{\piо \Theta\rho\alpha\iotaк\omega[\nu єv\rho\eta\sigma0\alphal \xi\iotaфоS}
    20'\delta\epsilon \pi\rho\omega\tauо\nu к\alpha[\tau\alpha\sigmaк\epsilonv\alpha\sigma\alpha\sigma0\alpha\iota
    \phi\alpha\sigma\iotav \Lambdavк\alphaov\alpha [\tauо\nu \Pi\epsilon\lambda\alpha
    \sigma\gammaov to \delta\epsilon \xi\iota\phio\delta[\rho\in\pi\alpha\nuov o
    \delta\eta \tauו\nu\epsilons к\alpha\lambdaо[v\sigma\iota\nu \alpha\rho\pi\eta\eta\nu
    \Pi\epsilon\rho\sigma\epsilon\alpha [\tau]o\nu \Deltalos ¢[\tau\epsilon\rhoо\iota
    25 % ' %\epsilon ПП\eta\lambda\epsilon\alpha то\nu A![\alphaкоv
\mu\alpha\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha\nu \delta\epsilon \epsilon\pi A A \mu\epsilon!\nu! [८\nu
\alpha[.] . . [
5 lines lost.
\chi[

```
i. 1-4. Restored by W-MI cf. Diels, Laterculi Alex. vii. 3-9, where Pheidias, Praxiteles, and Scopas are grouped together as áyan \(\mu a \tau o \pi o \iota o ́\), and Myron, Lysippus, Polycleitus, and Phyromachus follow as ìvopaavootoooi.
 Pliny, N.H. vii. 205, Quintil. xii. 10. 3.

14-17. There can be little doubt that this fragment belongs to Col. i, but its exact position is hardly certain. The place assigned to it is suggested chiefly by a dark fibre passing below l. I4 and continued in the margin of Col. ii.
ii. \(\mathrm{I}-20\). '. . . Apollonius son of Silleus, of Alexandria, called the Rhodian, the disciple of Callimachus; he was also the teacher of the third king. He was succeeded by Eratosthenes, after whom came Aristophanes son of Apelles of Byzantium, then Apollonius of Alexandria the so-called Classifier, and after him Aristarchus son of Aristarchus, of

Alexandria, but originally of Samothrace ; he became also the teacher of the children of Philometor. He was followed by Cydas, of the spearmen; and under the ninth king there flourished Ammonius, Zenodotus, Diocles, and Apollodorus the grammarians.'
1. The name of the father of Apollonius is given both as \(\Sigma i \lambda \lambda \epsilon u\) s and 'I \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon\) és ; cf. Vit. I and 2 (Westermann, Biogr. 50, Keil Schol. Apoll. Rhod. p. 532). Suidas, like the papyrus, gives only \(\Sigma_{1} \lambda \lambda\) és.
 Ka \(\lambda \iota \iota \dot{\chi} \chi \omega\) '่ \(\nu\) ' \(A \lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a\), and introd., p. IOI.
5. \(\pi \rho \omega \tau \sim v\) is an obvious mistake for \(\tau \rho \iota \tau \boldsymbol{}\), i. e. Euergetes I.
8. кaı Apıбтap才os is doubtless an interpolation, since Aristarchus recurs with a full description in ll. \(1 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{r} 5\).




 \(\Phi t \lambda о \mu \eta\) тороs is palaeographically the easier correction. Entфavovs will better suit the plural \(\tau \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu\), for it is likely enough, as Busch, De bibliothecariis Alex., p. 53, has argued, that Aristarchus taught Philometor as well as his brother Euergetes.
16. We have not found another instance of the use of \(\lambda o \gamma \chi^{\circ} \phi\) ópos as a military technical term in Egypt.

17-18. tov єvatov \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega\) is expected ; moreover Euergetes II, if he is here meant, is usually called the seventh or eighth Ptolemy.
 \(\sigma v v \eta \theta\) cias, to whom H. Schrader would assign also other works mentioned by Suidas, s.v.
 (so W-M), or Z. of Alexandria, may be supposed to be meant, -if indeed these two grammarians are to be distinguished; cf. Susemihl, Alex. Litt.-Gesch. ii, pp. 14-15, 192-3, 7 II.
\(\Delta\) toк \(\lambda \eta\) s: this may be the grammarian cited in Schol. A on N ro3, Schol. BT on X
 the same person is doubtful. There would not be room for \(\Delta \omega \gamma \in \nu \eta s\).
20. Apollodorus of Athens was, like Ammonius (l. r8), a disciple of Aristarchus.

2I-iii. I4. 'The first man to establish a camp is said to have been Asias, after whom Asia is supposed to be called; while Apis son of Phoroneus is said to have led forth an army, arming his followers with clubs and hides. Intestine war was first begun, it is said, by Agenor, who collected some shepherds together, and, attacking Pelasgus drove him out. A Hellenic city was first sacked by Amphitryon with Cephalus of Athens, (their foes) being 'Taphians of Cephallenia ; Aristotle however states that this first happened at Pellene, and some say that not only was Pellene enslaved by Cleisthenes when he marched against it with the Sicyonians, but that the captive wives and daughters were reduced to prostitution. The first to restore the slain under a truce is said to have been Heracles . . .

 apparently novel. In l. 24 the stop is not certain.

24-7. According to Apollod. ii. I. I Apis was a Bíaos rípavos, who was conspired against by Thelxion and Telchin.

The second \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \xi a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon u\) seems to have been corrected from \(t\), and the \(\nu\) also shows signs of alteration.
\(\bullet 30-\) r. 1. поoutvas. Agenor and Pelasgus were brothers according to Schol. Eurip. Orest. 920 and Hellanicus \(a p\). Eustath. Г 75. Their conflict is apparently not elsewhere recorded.

33-iii. 2. For the expedition of Amphitryon and Cephalus against the Taphians cf. Apollod. ii. 4. 7, Strabo \(456, \& c\). The construction of the sentence is harsh, and probably something has dropped out. At the end of \(1.35 \Delta \eta\) iovos cannot be read, and the remains suggest ]aı rather than \(] \omega \iota\); moreover there would hardly be room for \(\tau \omega[\iota\) A \(\theta \eta \nu a l] \omega \iota\). We have therefore supposed that there was an incomplete line at the bottom of the column ; cf. iv. 2, where a similar blank occurs. This view may be supported by two considerations, ( I ) the awkwardness of ous \(\epsilon\) evai Ta申ıovs, which must refer back to [ \(\left.\pi 0 \lambda_{i}\right]\), and (2) the fact that
 in the original. \(\mathrm{E}[\lambda] \lambda \eta \nu[\delta a]\), which is doubtless right, was recognized by W-MI.
iii. 2. The name of the authority cited unfortunately remains doubtful. A name beginning with Ari- is probable, but the letter before the lacuna may also be \(\gamma, \kappa, \nu\), or possibly another \(\rho . \quad \eta\) and \(\pi\) are unsuitable. 'A \(\rho[\sigma \tau 0 \tau \in \lambda \eta\) s is a probable restoration (cf. e. g. Clem. Alex. Strom. i. ı6. 77, Schol. Pindar, Pyth. ii. 127, Pliny, N. H. vii. 195, 197, \&c.), especially as Aristotle wrote a treatise on the constitution of Pellene.

3-8. Cf. Zenob. i. 57 in the version of MS. Bodleianus 207 'A \(\pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda a i ̂ o t ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \omega \theta\) éveєs à \(\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}\)
 xliv. 474).
11. кaтa[ \(\left.\pi о \rho \nu \in v \theta_{\eta}\right]\) vat was restored by W-M.



22-iv. 9. 'The first to recover the slain in war was Theseus in the affair with the Thebans, when he received and buried the bodies of the Seven who had marched against 'Thebes and remained unburied. The first to shed kindred blood is said to have been Aetolus, who killed Apis son of Io when competing against him at the games celebrated by Cepheus in honour of Azan; but some say that the original shedder of kindred blood was Ixion, who killed his father-in-law Eioneus. A trial for murder of kindred was held by Phoroneus son of Inachus, who constituted a single court. It is said that the first murder of brothers took place at Thebes when Ismenus and Caantus the sons of Oceanus fought on account of their sister Melia.'





The arrangement of the beginning of the sentence is doubtful. \(\delta \in \nu\) ยкрovs can certainly not be read at the end of 1.23 , nor is \(\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \nu_{\nu}\) satisfactory, the fourth letter after \(\pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu\) being apparently \(\nu\) not \(\mu\). \(\quad \epsilon \theta 0[\iota \delta \epsilon \ldots \kappa a \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o[\nu s\) also suggests itself, but this again is, to say the least, unconvincing, and the verb in 1,22 was at any rate not \(\sigma \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \sigma \theta a u\).

 Schol. Pindar, Ol. iii. 19. The name of the person who instituted the games is not given in these passages; W-M's restoration of [K] Пфєus is plausible, though that personage does not seem to have occurred elsewhere in connexion with the story of Azan. That Apis is described in 1.3 I as the son of Io is no doubt to be traced to the Greek identification of the Egyptian Apis with Epaphus (cf. Hdt. ii. 153 ).
34. twes ôe: e.g. Pherecydes ap. Schol. Apollon. Rhod. iii. 62, Pindar, Pyth. ii. 31-2.
iv. 3-9. The restoration is largely due to W-M. In 11. 3-4 a satisfactory sense is obtained by the supplements adopted, though possibly the blank in the previous line points to some dislocation ; cf. ii. 35 and note ad loc. For Phoroneus as крıтís cf. Pausan. ii. I5. 5, where he is represented as having been the arbiter in a dispute between Poseidon and Hera. Here he seems to figure as the founder of the Argive tribunal for homicide.
7. It seems clear that фovovs stood in the papyrus. Kגaaurov is a corruption of Kaavrov or Kauyou, for whom cf. Pausan. x. 9.5. According to the Theban story there given, Caanthus was slain when seeking to recover his sister Melia from Apollo ; the version of the papyrus apparently eliminated the god and represented Caanthus and Ismenus as having engaged in a fratricidal combat.
ro-v. 35. 'Weapons of war according to some were constructed by Ares, according to others by the Cyclopes in the cave in Euboea called Teuchion ; and the first person to employ armour, it is said, was Briareos, while previously men protected their bodies with skins; some, however, state that Ares was the first. Others say that weapons were first made in Thrace by Enyalius son of Zeus, who was bound by Aloeus and his sons and rescued by Apollo, who killed them. According to others weapons of bronze were first made and worn by the Curetes in Euboea, who equipped their followers and [attacked] Cymindis queen of [the Chalcideans?]. Others again say that the Egyptians made offensive armour and protected themselves with skins and also wove garments and constructed sails and sheets and so navigated. Hellanicus says that Saneunos king of Scythia first constructed weapons of iron, while Philochorus states that arms were first made in the time of Cecrops and consisted of a spear and a covering fashioned of the skin of wild beasts, but afterwards when oxen came to be sacrificed the inhabitants of Attica made shields of ox-hide. Shields are said to have been first brought by Danaus to Argos; but according to the account of some Acrisius first constructed them at Argos when he fought against his brother Proetus, while according to others it was not Acrisius but Proetus who constructed shields at Argos, and these of wood ; others state that a bronze shield was first made by Pyrrhis (?) son of Thermaeus, a Cretan living in the island of Ophiussa, and that it was he who taught the Eteocretes the war-dance. Handles for shields, and other implements, are said to have been introduced by the Carians; some, however, narrate that whereas formerly men had hung their shields on their shoulders, the Argives first supplied them with loops and bars and put them on the left arm.'



 statement about the Euboean Cyclopes. In connexion with the reference of the Cyclopes and Briareos to Euboca W-M notes that in Hesych. s.v. Titavióa Euboea figures as the daughter of Briareos. Briareos is included among the Cyclopes in Schol. Theocr. i. \(6_{5}\). The latter frequently appear as metal-workers in association with Hephaestus in ancient works of art ; cf. also e. g. Pliny, N. H. vii. 197 acrariam fabricam alii Chalybas alii Cyclopas (monstrasse putant) . . . fabricam ferream invenere Cyclopes, Apollod. i. 2. 1, Tatian, Ad Gr. s. \(x\) of \(\tau \epsilon 0 \chi\) ov seems to have been altered as well as the \(\kappa\); probably \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota o \nu\) was first written.

19-25. The suggestion of W-MI that the story of the imprisonment of Ares by the Aloadae was in this passage transferred to Enyalius was confirmed by a subsequent decipherment of the latter half of 1.22 ; the correctness of this reading, in spite of the scantiness of the remains, can hardly be doubted. Since Enyalius is here in accordance with
the later mythology differentiated from Ares, it is curious that he is still described as the son of Zeus; elsewhere he appears as the son of Ares or of Cronus (Schol. Aristoph. Peace 456, Eustath. 944.55 , Hesych. s. v.). \(\epsilon \nu \Theta \rho a[k \eta i]\) is in accordance with the apparently Thracian origin of the myth of the Aloadae ; cf. Eustath. 673. 50, where a Thracian Enyalius is said to have been killed by Ares. In 1.23 the obvious \(\delta \epsilon] \theta \eta \nu a t\) hardly fills the space, and some other verb may have been used.

26-32. For the Euboean Curetes as the first to employ bronze armour cf. Steph. Byz, S. v. Aîòך廿оs, 'Ета
 Eỉßoia, Servius, Aen. ix. 503 scuta aerea gestare Curetes primi invenerunt.

The name Kípuvoss, which was recognized in 1.3 I by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}\), is apparently a variant of Kó \(\mu \beta \eta\), a word probably derived from the same root. According to Diodor. iv. \(7^{2}\), Steph.


 clear, the wording of 11. 29-32, which are in parts almost effaced, remains doubtful;
 кає \(\pi\) pas is not impossible, the supposed \(\tau\) being perhaps part of a \(\pi\); but in 1 . 3 r it is difficult to reconcile the vestiges with \(\bar{X} a \lambda \kappa \iota \delta \epsilon \omega \nu\), and in 1.29 it is not certain that one or two letters, e. g. \(\delta \epsilon\), did not follow каӨопльбаутаs.
 \({ }^{\prime}\) Eג \(\lambda\) njuas. According to Pliny, N. H. vii. 200 proeliunn Afri contra Aegyptios primi fecere fustibus quos vocant phalangas; cf. Hygin. 274. 29. \(\epsilon \pi\) av \(\theta \rho \omega \pi[0 v s\) is not very satisfactory; o might be read instead of the \(\theta\), and the succeeding letters are quite doubtful. In 1.35

\(3^{6-v .2}\). Line \(3^{6}\) was restored by W-MI. Cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. i. xvi. \(7^{6}\) é \(\pi i \pi \epsilon\)
 Aegyptii textilia, Martian. Cap. ii. 58 Isis in Aegypto lini usum . . . monstravit, and for the Egyptian origin of sails, Hygin. 277. 37 velificia prima invenit Isis, Cassiod. Var. v. 7 hoc velum Isis rati prima suspendit.
v. 2-5. Since bronze arms have already been dealt with and Scythian is a familiar epithet of iron (e.g. Aesch. Theb. 817) W-M's \(\sigma \iota 0 \mathrm{\eta} \rho]\) a is attractive, though the space is somewhat narrow. \(\left.\chi^{a \lambda \kappa]}\right]\), however (cf. e. g. Pliny, N. H. vii. 197 aes conflare et temperare Aristoteles Lydum Scytham monstrasse), would be no improvement in this respect. Saneunos in 1.4 is otherwise unknown.
\({ }_{12-13}\). Cf. the note on iv. 33-5-




22-8. \(\Pi v \rho \rho \iota \nu\) is presumably a misspelling of \(\Pi \nu \rho \rho \iota \chi o \nu\), but the patronymic \(\Theta_{\epsilon \rho \mu a i o v ~ i s ~}^{\text {in }}\) novel and also the connexion with Ophiussa, which will be the island in the neighbourhood of Crete mentioned by Pliny, N. H. iv. 61. According to Nicol. Damasc. ap. Stob. Flor. xliv. 4I, Pyrrichus was a native of Cydonia. For his invention of the war-dance see e.g.

 49 sqq. Others derived the \(\pi v \rho \rho i \chi \eta\) from Pyrrhus, e. g. Etym. Magn. 699. I, Lucian, De salt. 9 .

 Schol. A Homer, Ө 193. In l. \(29 \in[\tau \epsilon \rho]\) omגa is not very satisfactory, some specific device
corresponding with oैXava being rather expected；moreover，there is barely room for the three letters in the lacuna．The first letter was，however，certainly either \(\epsilon\) or \(\theta\) ，and the \(o\) is fairly secure，the only possible alternative being \(\omega\) ．In l． \(3 \mathrm{I} \tau \omega \nu\) is wanted before тротєро⿱亠䒑 ．The last few lines of this column are disfigured by extraneous marks which have made \(\pi \iota\) in 1.32 look like \(\tau \epsilon \sigma\) ．
 W－M for \(\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \tau \eta \nu)\) é \(\xi \in \hat{\nu} \rho o \nu\) ．

5－6． \(\operatorname{Avp}[\)［ov（W－M）looks probable，but it remains to find in other sources a connexion with Samothrace．According to Clem．Alex．1．c．the Gvpeós was the invention of the Samnite Itanus；cf．Athen． 273 f．In l． \(6 a\) of \(\delta \eta \mu a\) ．［ is written over an o．

7．\(\sigma[a \lambda \pi t \gamma\) as is the obvious restoration（cf．Aesch．Eum． 568 ，Diod．v． 40 ，Athen． 184 a， Pausan．ii．21．3，Schol．T Homer，\(\Sigma 219\) ，Clem．Alex．Strom．i．i6．74，\＆c．），though this invention comes in somewhat awkwardly at the present point．In l．io the rough breathing can hardly be evaded；an overwritten \(\epsilon\) is inadmissible．

I I．Ap \(\delta \eta \lambda\) os is apparently not otherwise attested．He cannot be identified with＂Apoa入os son of Hephaestus，the discoverer of the flute，in whose name，as W－M remarks，the \(a\) is certainly short．

 Hdt．vii． 75 ，Dion．Hal．\(A\). R．ii． 70 ．äp \(\pi \eta \nu\) is wanted in 1.23 below and so cannot be restored here．

19－25．Cf．Servius，Aen．ix． 503 Lycaon Arcas gladium longiore lamina produxisse narratur．Peleus primus machaeram dicitur invenisse．harpen，id est curvum gladium in modum falcis，a Perseo inventam multi dixerunt．The similarity of this passage to the papyrus suggests a common source．For \(\xi_{\imath} \phi \circ \delta \rho \epsilon \pi a \nu o \nu\) cf．Hesych．\(\xi_{\imath} \phi \circ \delta, \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\) ã \(\rho \pi \eta\) ，and for the äpa \(\eta\) of Perseus，with which he is commonly represented，cf．Pherecyd． 26 and Apollod．ii．4．2，who says that it was given him by Hermes．

26．If \(A \mu \in u[\) Lav is right there was a reference here to the legend of Narcissus，who according to the account of Conon c． 24 sent a sword to the disdained lover Ameinias．The letters \(a \mu\) ，though imperfect，are very probable，and the slight vestige of the final letter suits \(\nu\) sufficiently well．

\section*{1242．Greeks and Jews before Trajan．}
\[
15.8 \times 53.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early third century }
\]

This interesting and instructive text，describing an audience by the Emperor Trajan of rival Greek and Jewish emissaries from Alexandria，is another fragment of the Alexandrian anti－Scmitic and＇nationalist＇literature，of which several specimens have already made their appearance．Those published prior to I909 have been conveniently put together and studied anew by Wilcken in Ablandl． d．phill．－hist．Kl．d．k．Sächs．Gesellsch．d．Wissensch．xxvii． 2.3 ；a recent addition is 1089，which is probably to be referred to the same class．To one member of the extant group 1242 stands in an especially close relation．In P．Par． \(68+\) Brit． Mus．I（i，p．229），of whose contents B．G．U． 34 I is a second recension（Wilcken， op．cit．，pp．807－22），a chief part is played by a certain Paulus，and another speaker is Theon；these two names recur in 1242，and Paulus is described as the
professional advocate on the Alexandrian side. Nevertheless P. Par. 68, \&c., and the present papyrus cannot refer to the same occasion. In the former, as the references to the Dacian war (i. 13), to the praefect Lupus (i. 5, iv. 3), and to hostilities in Egypt ( \(\pi\) ó \(\lambda \epsilon \mu 0\) s, ii. 3-6) and a Jewish 'king' (i. \(5^{-6)}\) ) show, the date must be subsequent to the great Jewish outbreak which began in Egypt and Cyrene in A. D. 115. In his first discussion in Hermes, xxvii. 464 sqq., Wilcken supposed that the proceedings in question took place before Trajan at Antioch shortly before his death ; but subsequently he adopted the more probable view of T. Reinach that the Emperor concerned was Hadrian. In 1242, on the other hand, not only is the Emperor expressly named as Trajan, but the scene is Rome, to which city Trajan did not return after his departure to the east in A.D. il4. The proceedings here described are therefore prior to that event, and thus necessarily prior also to those of P. Par. 68, \&c. That the persomel of these two Alexandrian missions was to some extent identical is no cause for surprise, if they were dispatched within the space of a few years. If in the meantime there had been a change of Emperor, there would perhaps have been the less reason for an entire change of envoys. In what circumstances the present mission originated is unknown; it is clear, however, from the Emperor's language in 11. 35-7 that hostility to the Jews at Alexandria had assumed an active form.

Parts of four consecutive columns remain, the first three in good preservation so far as they go ; but the tops of the columns are lost throughout, and the number of lines thus missing cannot be determined. This loss is the more unfortunate because it is clear from the broad blank space ( 7 cm .) in front of Col. i that that column was the first of the roll, and its opening sentences would have been of particular interest. As it now stands, the papyrus commences with an account of the members of the Alexandrian mission, the names of eleven persons remaining, including two gymnasiarchs, a gymnasiarch-elect, and a distinguished ex-official, besides Paulus, who had volunteered his services as advocate for the party. The Jewish mission, which was appointed as a counterweight to that of their rivals, consisted of seven persons onlya number perhaps selected on account of its mystical associations. The two parties then set out, each carrying with them, it is surprising to read, 'their own gods' (i. \(17-18\); cf. the note \(a d\) loc.) ; and they arrived at Rome at the beginning of spring. A place was appointed for the audience, and meanwhile, we are told, the Empress Plotina displayed an active sympathy with the Jewish cause, and under her influence Trajan adopted at the outset an anti-Alexandrian attitude. The next column reports an exciting dialogue between the Emperor and Hermaïscus, a man of high birth (ll. 44-5), whose name does not occur among those of the Alexandrian envoys preserved in Col. i but presumably preceded.

He boldly accuses the Emperor of Jewish bias; sweat is seen to break out on the image of Sarapis carried by the Alexandrians; and for a time panic and confusion reign. Here the papyrus fails; from the scanty remains of the next column little can be extracted beyond a mention of the Emperor Claudius, where it is natural to see a reference to the earlier Alexandrian mission of which a partial account is preserved in B. G. U. 511 and P. Cairo 10448 (Wilcken, op.cit., pp. 800-6).

The literary character which has come to be recognized in documents of this class is in this latest example especially evident. In the account of the preliminaries to the hearing, the formal phrases which must have stood in the original account of the proceedings are entirely dropped; details concerning place, time, and the council in attendance upon the Emperor disappear, and from a bare statement that a place was fixed the writer proceeds at once to a picturesque description of the entry of the envoys. 1242 here differs widely from B. G. U. 511 , where the protocol-form is maintained ; nevertheless the third person is still used and not, as in P. Par. 68. i. 8-10, the first. Similarly in the pro-Jewish activity attributed to the Empress and the introduction of the portent at the end of Col. iii, the hand of the artistic redactor is unmistakable, as well as the party bias with which he wrote. It may, however, still be maintained that, as Wilcken holds, though manipulated for political purposes, the basis of this literature was the authentic official records.

The text was written on the verso of the papyrus in an upright, semi-cursive hand, probably near the beginning of the third century. Some corrections have been introduced by the copyist into his work, but inaccuracies and corruptions remain. A high stop is occasionally employed. \(v\) at the end of the line sometimes takes the form of a horizontal stroke above the preceding vowel. A comma-like mark is inserted between two gutturals in 1.35 . On the recto are parts of threc columns, numbered \(34^{-6}\), in second-century cursive, containing copies of contracts of lease ; a date in the reign of Antoninus is mentioned in Col. I.

\section*{Col. i.}


Пá \(\sigma \tau \omega \rho\) रv \(\mu \nu \alpha \sigma i ́ a \rho \chi o s\), 'Iov́лıos Фаvías,


 ro \(\alpha \dot{v} \theta \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \tau o s ~ \sigma v \nu \eta y^{\prime} о \rho o s ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho\) ' \(A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu\) \(\delta \rho \epsilon ' \omega \nu\). т \(\alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \theta\) óvtєS oi 'Iovסaíov
 \(\tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \chi \in \iota \rho \circ \tau \circ \nu o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota\) ठ̀̀ \(\Sigma^{\prime} i \mu \omega \nu\),




§ovтєs toùs idious \(\theta \epsilon o u ́ s, ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i\) is
5. бàovios ïov \(\left[\lambda_{2}\right]\) os \(\sigma a \lambda\) ovios Pap. 6. iov \(\lambda_{l o s}\) Pap. 8. A high point after \(\chi\) os at the beginning of the line may be accidental. Io. au of av \(\theta a \iota \epsilon \tau \sigma\) written above \(\epsilon\), which is crossed through. \(\quad \ddot{\pi \epsilon} \rho\) Pap.; so in l. 16. Ir. ïvouaov Pap.; so passim.
 Pap. 16. бvıच̈үopos Pap., perhaps unintentionally ; but cf. e. g. B. G. U. 5 II. ii. i.

Col. ii.
[. . . . . . . . . . \(]_{\rho[\text { [. . . . . . . . . . }] \tau![[. ~ . ~ . ~ .] ~ . ~[. ~ . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}\)
[. . . . . . . . . .] \(/ \sigma\). . [. . . . . .] . [. . .] \(] \omega[. ~ . ~] g v p.[\)







тоîs 'Iovঠ[ \(\alpha\) ]íoıs \(\beta\) оך \(\theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota\). каi \(\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \iota ~ \epsilon i ̉ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v-\)
тєS oi 'Iovסaîol d́ \(\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \oint o \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ A u ̉ \tau о к р \alpha ́-~\)
30 тора T T \(\alpha \iota \alpha \nu o ́ \nu\), ò ס̀̀ \(K \alpha \imath ̂ \sigma \alpha \rho ~ \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \alpha u ̛-~\)


§оขтє т[ò]ע Aúтокра́тора, ó ס̀̀ oủk à \(\pi \eta \nu \tau \eta\) -
\(\chi^{\alpha ́ \nu} \nu \nu \tau[\epsilon s]\) тô̂ \(\chi^{\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\alpha}} \tau 0 \lambda-\)
\(\mu \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon[s]\) 'Iovסаíots; \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) тор \(\quad \hat{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) каì
25. \(\tau \epsilon\) added above the line. 28. a of \(\beta\) on \(\theta \eta \sigma a t\) corr. from \(\epsilon\). \(\omega\) of \(\pi \rho \omega \tau o \iota\) written
 \(\pi \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \nu \eta s^{-}\)Pap. Second \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi\). of one deleted. 32. atous = av̉roús, as often. 33. l. à aлá̧ovтat. 34. avтократора.
Pap. 35. \(\tau v \gamma^{\prime} \chi^{\alpha \nu o v \tau[\epsilon s] ~ P a p . ~ 37 . ~ 1 . ~ \pi о \rho \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon . ~}\)

Col. iii.
[. . . . . . . . . . . \(]<\sigma[\)





















Col. iv.
```

    [. .]?[
    \kappa\alphaì \[
    \pio\tau[
    \kappa\lambda\nu[
    60 oov • [
va\iota T[
. . \epsilon[
\delta\epsilon\sigma[
.. [
65 T\epsilon\sigma[
\omega\sigma[
\alpha\pi[
n[
\mu[
\tau० \alphȧ\lambda\lambda[.]. \eta каі \tau\eta\lambda\iotaк\alphaú\tau\eta[
\tau\alphas. K\lambda\alphaú\delta\iotaos 'AӨ\eta![ó\delta\omega\rhoos (?)
\epsiloń\pii \tauô̂ 0\epsilono\hat{v K\lambda\alphav\deltaíov [}
\lambda\epsiloń\gamma\in\iota 'A\nu\alphá\xi`\iotaol \mu\epsiloǹv!![
gọv\sigma\iota\nu \gamma\grave{\alpha}p \alphaià\nu \lambdao\iota\deltaop[
75 \pi\omega\nu \epsilonîva\ell к\alpha\pi
ov \tau\etâS oै\psi\epsilon\omegaS [. . .]¢[ < \alpha
\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilonîS ơ\nu\tau\epsilons [

```
71. Before \(\kappa \lambda a v \delta\) os a blank space. seems to have been crossed through. which is crossed through.
73. ava \(\xi \in 10\) was originally written, but the \(\epsilon\) 74. 1. e̛áv.
77. є of ovees written above o,

Fragments.
I. . .
2. .
] \(\kappa[\)
] \(¢\)
] \(]\) [
] \({ }^{[ }\)
]. [
11. 3-18. '. . . [?Dion]ysius, who had held several procuratorships, Salvius, Julius Salvius, Timagenes, Pastor, gymnasiarch, Julius Phanias, Philoxenus, gymnasiarch-elect, Sotion, gymnasiarch, Theon, Athenodorus, Paulus, a Tyrian by birth, voluntary advocate for the Alexandrians. On learning this the Jews also selected envoys on behalf of their own race, their nominees being Simon, Glaucon, Theudes, Onias, Colon, Jacob, and Sopater, by birth of Antioch, advocate for the Jews. Thereupon they started from the city, each party taking their own gods, the Alexandrians [a bust of Sarapis, the Jews . . .'
11. 22-37. ‘. . . and at the end of the winter they landed at Rome. The Emperor learned that envoys of the Jews and Alexandrians had arrived, and appointed a place for hearing them both; and Plotina approached the senators so that they might appear against the Alexandrians and assist the Jews. The Jews were the first to enter and greeted the Emperor Trajan, who greeted them very affably in his turn, having been already won over by Plotina. The Alexandrian envoys next entered and greeted the Emperor, who did not return their salute, but said "Do you give me greeting like men deserving to receive one, when you are guilty of such outrages to the Jews? Begone and . . ."'
11. 40-55. '" . . . presumably] you are studying how to die, being so contemptuous of death as to answer me insolently." Hermaïscus said, "We are distressed that your council chamber has been filled with godless Jews." The Emperor said, "See, I tell you a second time, Hermaïscus, you are answering me insolently in reliance upon your birth." Hermaïscus said, "What insolent answer am I making, mightiest Emperor? Explain to me." The Emperor said, "Because you describe my council as dominated by Jews." Hermaïscus : "So the name of the Jews is irksome to you? You ought then to turn round and help your own people, and not to defend the godless Jews." While Hermaïscus said this, sweat suddenly broke out on the bust of Sarapis which the envoys carried, and Trajan seeing it marvelled; and presently there were tumults in Rome and many shouts were raised, and all fled to the high parts of the hills . . '

9-10. Пaì̀os . . . avvíyopos: probably Paulus occupied the same position on the occasion described in P. Par. 68, rather than that of the leader of the mission, as supposed by Wilcken, op. cit., p. 815 .

16-18. Thisstatement that the Jews as well as the Alexandrians took with them 'their own gods' is extraordinary. The sentence must obviously have continued ' \(A \lambda \epsilon \xi a v \delta \rho \epsilon i s ~[\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) . . . 'Iovoaiou \(\delta \grave{\epsilon}\). . . It would have been very interesting to know what divine symbol accompanied the Jewish envoys. That of the Alexandrians, as appears later (1.5I), was a bust of Sarapis.
\(2 \mathrm{I}-2\). The remains would suit avrovs rather better than avoos, and the preceding \(\sigma v \nu\) is also very doubtful.
\(24^{-6}\). Some emendation is necessary here. In I. \(24 \pi a \rho[\epsilon \epsilon \sigma 1]\) seems to be wanted and may just be squeezed in, since \(\rho\) is a narrow letter and \(\epsilon\) and \(\sigma \iota\) need not occupy more space than \(\epsilon\) and \(\sigma\) alone; it is unlikely that \(\pi a \rho \iota \sigma\) was written. The \(\rho\) is represented by a slight
 ả \(\mu \phi\) отє́ \(\rho \omega \downarrow\) ảкои́ \(\epsilon \sigma \theta\) aı may be restored ; or perhaps, as Wilcken suggests, \(\tau \epsilon\) is for \(\tau \hat{\eta}\), i. e. \(\dot{\eta}\), with
 satisfactory sense ; the preliminary arrangements would naturally not rest with the envoys.

26. The interest of Plotina in the affair, attested here and in 1l. \(3^{1-2}\), has its analogue in that of Agrippina on the occasion of the embassy to Claudius ; cf. B. G. U. \({ }_{5}\) I I. ii. 7 - 8
 where uovoauo has been written for oo. ovyк入ךтıкoi attended Claudius according to B. G. U., l. c.
 \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota\).

53-5. Cf. 33. iii. 8-14, where the condemnation of Appianus is represented as the occasion of a tumult, though there is not the same rhetorical exaggeration as here.

7I. That the name begins a new sentence is indicated by the preceding blank space; it is however possible that this blank is due to the scaling of the ink. ' \(A \theta \eta v[00 \delta \omega \rho o s\) is suggested by l. 9, but the absence there of Kえav́otos makes it very doubtful whether the same person is meant.
73. Between \(\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma_{\epsilon}\) and avagtot there is a short space in which a slight trace of ink is discernible, and perhaps \(\lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon\) should be read.

Fragments. These two unplaced fragments are narrow strips containing incomplete letters.

\section*{III. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS}
1243. Apollonius Rifodius, Argonantica iii.
\[
11.6 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century }
\]

These few lines from the bottom of a column are written in an informal uncial script very similar to that of \(841 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}\) (P. Oxy. V, Plates i-ii), and no doubt of about the same period; it is likely to fall well within the second century. Stops in the high position are used, and accents, breathings, and marks of elision have been freely inserted, apparently by a diorthotes who has made corrections in 1. 1062, and whose ink in comparison with that of the text is of a rather stronger black. An otherwise unrecorded variant occurs in 1. IO58.

As in 841, the literary text is on the verso of the papyrus; the recto contains the ends of a few lines apparently from a second-century survey-list.
```

1055 [\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\rhoо\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu o\phi\iotaOS \delta\nu]0\phi
[\alpha\ell к\epsilon\nu o\rho\iota\nuо\mu\epsilon\nuous \pio\lambda]\epsilon\alpha[S \nu\epsilon\iotaolo \deltaок\epsilonv\sigma\eta\
[\lambda\alpha0\rho\eta] \lambda\hat{\alpha}\alpha\nu [\alpha]\phi\epsilon[S \sigma\tau\iota\beta\alpha\rho\omega]\tau\epsilon\rhoo\nu
[\kappa\alpha\rho\chi\chi\lambda]\epsiloń\alphal кv\nu\epsilonS \dot{\omega}[\sigma\tau\epsilon \pi\epsilon]\rho\iota \beta\rho\omegá[ [\mu\etaS o\lambda\epsilonкоl\epsilon\nu
[\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\lambda]ous к\alpha[l] \delta' [\alpha]u\tauos \epsilon\pi\epsilon[l]\gamma\epsilono \delta\eta\ddot{O}[\tau\eta\tauоS

```

```

[0\iota\sigma\epsilon\alphal]\epsilon\xi A\iota\etaS \tau\eta\lambdaov̂ \pio0l \nu\epsilońl\sigma\epsilono \delta' \epsilon\mu[\pi\etaS

```

```

[\omegas \alpha\rho \epsilon]\phi\eta к[\alpha\iota] \sigma\hat{\imath}\alpha \pio\delta\varrho\varrho\varrhoy \pi\alpha\rhoos o\sigma\sigma[\epsilon \beta\alpha\lambdaov\sigma\alpha

```

1058．карХа入］єєı：кархалє́оь MSS．，кархарє́о九 Et．Mag．493．I．The rough breathing and accent on the two omegas are probable，but not quite certain．

1059． 1 of \(\delta \eta\) เo \([\eta 7\) Tos is joined to the preceding \(\eta\) by a diagonal stroke，which is not easily accounted for．It is hardly likely that \(\delta \iota v\) was first written．

1060．There is a spot of ink，perhaps accidental，at the top of a hole in the papyrus between \(o\) and \(\gamma\) of roo \(\gamma\) ．

106I．\(\nu \in \sigma \sigma \sigma\) is also the spelling of Laur．Guelf．
1062．\(\eta\) ：so Laur．Vatt．，Merkel ； \(\bar{\eta}\) Vrat．Pariss．，\(\epsilon i\) Guelf．vulg．\(\nu\) of \(\epsilon a \nu \delta \in \nu\) was deleted by the corrector who substituted \(a\) for \(\epsilon\) in \(a \phi o \rho \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \varepsilon[\tau \iota\) ．

\section*{1244．HERODOTUS i．}
\[
\text { Fr. I } 16.6 \times{ }^{1} 3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early second century. }
\]

The following fragment from the top of a column，with the ends and beginnings of a few lines from the columns immediately adjoining it，is written in irregular upright uncials of medium size which appear to date from the earlier part of the second century．A somewhat similar，though much better formed，hand is seen for example in 220 （P．Oxy．II，Plate vi）．The columns have a pronounced slope to the right．Diacritical signs are scarce；the diaeresis takes the form of a horizontal stroke in 1．3I，and a stop in the medial position apparently occurs in the same line．The text displays a tendency to omission of words，but is otherwise good ；a reading adopted by Hude from 18 is supported （1．3），and a commonly accepted emendation of Schaefer also finds confirmation （l． \(3^{\mathrm{T}}\) ）．

On the verso are some incomplete lines from the ends of two columns written in round informal uncials which are also likely to fall within the second
 \(\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu(?)\) are mentioned，and the Latin word \(\nu^{\omega} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \rho\) occurs twice in the plural．

Col．i．
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\sigma \iota & \tau 0 \\ \iota \rho 0 \nu & \tau 0 & \epsilon \nu \\ \hline\end{array} \sigma\right] \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \iota \quad 105\)

［ \(\nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \quad \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \psi \epsilon] \eta \theta \epsilon\)
［os \(\theta \eta \lambda \epsilon \alpha \nu \nu 0 v \sigma o \nu] \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\)
5 ［aرa \(\lambda \epsilon\) yovaı \(\tau \epsilon\) ol \(\Sigma\) ］\(] \kappa v \theta \alpha \iota\)

Col．ii．
\(\pi \epsilon \rho\) кац \(\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\) кац \(\tau \eta \nu\) \(\tau \epsilon\) Nivov \(\epsilon i \lambda o \nu\) ws \(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \lambda o \nu\) \(\epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \tau[\epsilon \rho 0]![\sigma]!\) 入oyoı \(\sigma \iota \quad \delta \eta \lambda \omega\)
\({ }^{15} \sigma \omega\) каL \(\tau[0]\) us \(A \sigma \sigma u p l o u s ~ v \pi о\)
\(\chi \in \iota \rho l o u s \in \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \nu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{l}\delta \iota \alpha \\ \text { тоито } \\ \nu о \sigma \epsilon] \epsilon \iota \nu\end{array} \kappa \alpha \iota\right.\) о [ \(\rho \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho\) є \(\omega v \tau]\) ]oᆭ॰ \(\tau 0 u s\) [ \(\alpha \pi \iota \kappa \nu \epsilon о \mu \epsilon \nu\) ous \(\epsilon S\) \(\tau \eta \nu]\)
[ \(\Sigma_{\kappa \nu}{ }^{2} \iota \iota \eta \nu \quad \chi^{\omega} \rho \eta \nu\) ] \(\omega s \delta_{\iota}\)
10 \([\alpha \kappa \epsilon \alpha \tau \alpha l\) tous \(\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon]\) ov \(\ell l \in\)
[vapєas ol \(\Sigma \kappa \nu \theta \alpha l] \in \pi[l] \quad \mu \in \nu \quad\) ro6

Col. iii.
\(\nu о \mu\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \eta \nu \\ K \alpha \mu \beta v \sigma \eta s \\ \tau о \nu\end{array}\right.\)
\(\epsilon \nu[\rho \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon\) oıkıŋs \(\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \circ \nu\)
\(35 \tau \alpha[\alpha y \alpha \theta \eta s\)
```

$\alpha] \mu \pi[\epsilon \lambda o \nu$
$\epsilon] \pi![\sigma] \times[\epsilon \iota$
$\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha], \nu \dot{\delta}[\omega \nu$
$\nu] \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon[\mu \in \nu 0$ os
40 oעє $\llcorner\rho 0] \pi 0 \lambda[0 \iota$
\alpha]\mu\pi[\epsilon\lambdaov
\epsilon]\pi![\sigma]\{[\epsilonLv
v]\pi\epsilon\rho }0\in[\mu\in\nuO

            ov\epsilonLpo]\pio\[0\iota
    ```

\(\mu[\epsilon \tau] \alpha \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha K v \alpha \xi[\alpha] \rho \eta S\)
\(\mu \in \nu \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha{ }^{s} \tau \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \alpha\)
20 коข \(\tau \alpha \in[\tau \epsilon \alpha] \sigma v \nu\) то८б८ \(\Sigma_{\kappa v}\) [ \(\theta \alpha \iota] \quad \eta \rho \xi \alpha \nu\) \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \in \kappa \delta \epsilon\) \(\kappa \in \tau \alpha[l] \delta \epsilon A \sigma \tau v \alpha \gamma \eta s\) о \(K v \alpha \xi \alpha\) \(\rho \in \omega[\pi] \alpha,[s] \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \eta \ddot{\eta} \nu\) к人ь ol \(\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \tau \circ\) [ \(\theta] \cup \gamma \alpha \tau \eta[\rho\)
\({ }_{2} 5 \tau \eta[0] v \nu[0] \mu \alpha \quad \epsilon \theta \in \tau[0 \quad M \alpha \nu\)
\(\delta \alpha \nu \eta[\nu] \tau \eta \nu \in \delta[0] \kappa \in \epsilon[A \sigma \tau v\)
\(\alpha \gamma \eta S \in \nu \tau \omega!\quad v \pi \nu \omega\) ! o \([\nu \rho \eta\)
\(\sigma[\alpha]!\omega \sigma[\tau \epsilon] \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \nu[\tau \eta \nu\)
\(\epsilon \omega v \tau 0 \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \nu \in \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha\) [
\(30 \kappa \lambda[\nu] \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu\) A \(\sigma \iota \eta \nu \pi \alpha\) [ \([\sigma \alpha] \nu \cdot \bar{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \delta[\epsilon\) \(\tau[\omega] \nu \quad \mu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \quad \tau[0] \iota \sigma \iota \quad o \nu \in[\iota\)

Unplaced fragment.
3. \(\eta\) : so 18. II and Longinus, Hude; \(\delta\) MSS.
6. oфєas seems to have been omitted after rovro; the lacuna is of the same size as that in the following line.
8. The papyrus is preserved at the end of this line, but the ink has entirely disappeared.
27. rooovton was apparently omitted after o[vp \(]\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\end{array}\right]\) c. The remains of that word are not
securely identified, but \({ }^{[ }[v \rho \eta\) sufficiently fills 1.27 , and \(\sigma[a]\) c suits the vestiges at the beginning of 1.28 , while \(\tau[0] \nu\) does not. Cf. 1. 6.
31. Schaefer's correction of the MSS. reading \(\boldsymbol{i} \pi о \theta_{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu 0 s\) is confirmed.
\(3^{6-40}\). The position of this fragment in the column is uncertain, and therefore the restoration is not carried beyond the completion of imperfect words.

\section*{1245. Thucydides i.}
\(25.3 \times 22.6 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Fourth century.
A fragment from a papyrus roll, well written in medium-sized upright uncials of the square so-called Biblical type. This style is now known to go back to the beginning of the third century, if not to the end of the second (cf. 661, P. Rylands \(16^{1}\) ), but the present papyrus is probably not to be reckoned among the earliest examples, partly on account of the formation of some of the letters, partly of the colour of the ink, which is of the brown colour common in the Byzantine age. 1245 is therefore more likely to belong to the fourth century than to the latter part of the third. The ends of lines are not kept very even, and the angular mark which is elsewhere often used to disguise irregularity is not here employed. Some corrections have been introduced by a second hand, to which the occasional high stops are apparently also due.

Textually the papyrus is of no special interest. A few variations from the mediaeval MSS. occur, both by way of addition (ll. 7, 12) and omission (ll. 49, \(8_{4}\) ), but they are unimportant. Some agreements with C and CG are noticeable in 11. 100,110 , and 123 . The scribe was weak in orthography, being particularly liable to the confusion of at and \(\epsilon\), and these errors have sometimes been passed over by the corrector. \(\xi v v\) stands side by side with \(\tau \tau\), for which \(\sigma \sigma\) has once been substituted (1. II3). Iota adscript is usually written, and sometimes obtrudes where it is not wanted.

\section*{Col. i.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mu \eta & \epsilon \mu \pi r o \delta \iota o v] ~ \epsilon t \nu a t ~\end{array}\right.\) & 139. 4 \\
\hline \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau 0 & \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha & \epsilon \iota \rho \eta\end{array}\right]\) & \\
\hline \([\nu \eta S\) a \(\lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon] \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\) & \\
\hline \(\left[\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \text { П } \Pi_{\epsilon \rho l}\right]_{k} \lambda_{\eta}\) & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. ii.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \xi[\nu] \nu \epsilon \sigma[\epsilon] \omega S \quad \mu \epsilon[\tau] \alpha \pi o \iota \\
& {[\epsilon \tau \sigma \theta] \alpha \cdot \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad \gamma \alpha \rho} \\
& \tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha S & \xi
\end{array}\right] \nu \mu \phi o[\rho] \alpha s \quad \tau \omega \nu \\
& 35 \pi[\rho \alpha \gamma \mu] \alpha \tau \omega \nu \text { ov } \chi \eta \tau \\
& [\tau o] \nu \quad a \mu \alpha[\theta] \omega s[x] \omega \rho \eta \sigma \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket] \alpha \iota \\
& {[\eta] \kappa \in[\tau] \alpha s \text { } \delta \iota \alpha \nu o[l] \alpha \Omega \text { тov }}
\end{aligned}
\]
\({ }^{1}\) These two papyri have been strangely confused by Gardthausen in the new edition of his Palacographic, ii, pp. 131-2. It is not, of course, the Oxyrhynchus papyrus, but P. Kylands 16, which has on the verso the dated letter of Heroninus.
［Xpovov \(\pi \rho \omega \tau o] s \omega \nu\)
\([A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma] \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \epsilon\)
［ \(\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu] \delta v \nu \alpha\)
1० \([\tau \omega \tau \alpha \tau 0 S \pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota] \nu \epsilon \iota \tau 0 \iota\) ［ \(\alpha \delta \epsilon \tau \eta S \mu \epsilon \nu] \gamma \nu \omega\) \([\mu \eta S \quad \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho \in S \quad A] \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota\) ［ \(0 \iota \alpha \in \iota \quad \tau \eta S \quad \alpha \nu \tau \eta] s \in \chi^{\circ}\) \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \alpha \iota & \mu \eta & \epsilon \iota \kappa \in \iota \nu \\ \hline\end{array}\right] \in \lambda o\) \({ }^{5} 5\)［ \(\left.\pi 0 \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota o \iota s k \alpha \iota\right] \pi \epsilon \rho\) ［ \(\epsilon \ell \delta \omega s\) tous \(\alpha \nu \theta] \rho \omega\) ［nous ov \(\tau \eta \iota\) avt］？！op \([\gamma \eta \iota \quad \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \circ \mu \epsilon] \nu o v s\) \([\tau \epsilon \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha l] \epsilon \nu\) \(20[\tau \omega \iota \in \rho \gamma \omega \iota \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma] 0 \nu\) ［ \(\tau \alpha s \quad \pi \rho o s ~ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau \alpha s ~ \xi] \cup \mu\) ［фораs каl \(\tau \alpha s \quad \gamma \nu] \omega \mu \alpha s\)
［ \(\tau \rho \in \pi о \mu \in \nu 0 v s\) o］\(\rho \omega \iota\) \(\left[\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \nu v \nu \quad\right.\) о \(\left.\mu \iota \_\right] \alpha \kappa \iota\)
\({ }_{2} 5\left[\begin{array}{ll}\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha & \xi v \mu \beta] o v\end{array}\right.\)
［ \(\lambda \in \nu \tau \in \alpha\) ноl ovт \(\alpha\) к人l］tovs
\([\alpha \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \theta о \mu \in \nu 0 \nu s][\eta \eta \rrbracket \mu \omega \nu\)
 \(\left[\begin{array}{lllll}\xi \\ \xi & \sigma \iota \nu & \eta \nu & \alpha \rho \alpha & \tau \iota\end{array} \kappa \alpha \iota\right] \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda\)
\(3 \circ[\lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \nu] \eta \mu \eta\)
\([\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \circ \rho \theta o v \nu \tau \alpha] s \quad \tau \eta s\)

Col．iii．
［ка८ \(A \iota \gamma \iota \nu] \alpha \nu\) аvто \(\nu \circ[\mu \circ \nu \quad \alpha] \phi \iota \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\({ }_{5}\) то \(M[\epsilon] \gamma \alpha[\rho \epsilon] \omega \nu \quad \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma\) \(\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha[\iota] \rho \in \iota \nu^{\bullet}\) ol \(\delta \epsilon\) \(\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha\left[\iota \circ \_\right.\)］oı \(\delta \epsilon \eta \kappa о \nu\) \(\tau \in S\) кає т［ov］s E入入r \(\mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{\nu} \alpha\) s троауорєvovaı้
\(\alpha[\nu \theta] \rho[\omega \pi o] v \quad \delta \iota \quad\) o \(\pi \epsilon \rho \quad \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\tau \eta \nu \tau v \chi \eta \nu \quad \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu\)
\(40 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\)［ \(\lambda 0\) ］\(\gamma 0 \nu \xi v \mu \beta \eta \iota\)
\(\epsilon \iota \omega \theta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha_{[ }^{[l]} \tau \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\).
\(\Lambda \alpha \kappa[\epsilon] \delta \alpha \mu\) оуاоь \(\delta \epsilon \quad\) 140． 2
\(\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \eta \lambda о \iota \eta\)
\(\sigma \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o u \lambda \epsilon v o \nu\)
45 TєS \(\eta \mu \epsilon \iota \nu\) кац \(\nu v \nu\)
\({ }^{o v \chi} \eta \kappa \iota \sigma[\tau] \alpha \quad \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon\)
\(\nu 0 \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) סıкаs \(\mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \phi[0 \rho] \omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda \eta \lambda o \iota s[\delta i \delta o \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon]\)
\(50 \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \in \epsilon[k] \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho o u s\)
\(\alpha \in X \circ \mu \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu & 0\end{array}\right] v \tau \epsilon \alpha v\) то८ \(\delta \iota \kappa \alpha[s \pi \omega]\) ！\(\rceil\rceil \sigma \alpha \nu\) v
oтє \(\eta \mu \omega[\nu] \delta \iota \delta o \nu \tau \omega \nu\)

\(55 \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \iota \mu \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \in \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)
\(\eta\) 入oyoıs \(\delta \iota \alpha \lambda v \in \sigma \theta a \iota\)

\(\eta \delta \eta\) ка८ оикєт८ аlт८
\(60 \omega[\mu] \epsilon[\nu] 0 \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \cdot\) По \(\quad 140.3\)
\(\tau \epsilon[[\delta \alpha \iota \alpha s \quad \tau \epsilon] \quad \gamma \alpha \rho[\alpha] \pi \alpha \nu\)
ï \(\sigma \tau \alpha[\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad k \in \lambda] \epsilon v o v \sigma[\iota]\)
Col．iv．
кат \(\alpha \sigma \tau \eta[\sigma \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon\) аuтols
\(95 \alpha \pi!\) тov \(\grave{i}[\sigma o v \quad v \mu \in \iota\)
\(\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi[\rho] \rho \sigma \phi[\epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
\(\alpha \cup \tau o \theta \in \nu \quad \delta \eta[\delta i \alpha \nu o \eta\)
\(\theta \eta \iota \tau \quad \eta v[\pi] \alpha \kappa о[\nu \epsilon \iota \nu\)
\(\pi \rho \iota \nu \tau \iota \beta \lambda[\alpha] \beta \underline{[ } \eta], \nu[\alpha \iota \eta \in \iota\)
\(100 \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma[0 \mu] \epsilon[\nu \quad \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\)

70 avtovouovs \(\alpha \phi \in \iota\) \(\epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \ddot{u} \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \iota s \quad 1+0 .+\) \(\nu, 0 \mu \iota \sigma \eta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon\) os \(\alpha \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \tau 0\) \(M \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha\)
\(75 \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \lambda o \iota \mu \in \nu\) о
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ v\)
\(X{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \iota \quad \kappa a[\theta] \epsilon \rho \epsilon\)
\(\theta \epsilon \iota \eta \iota \mu \eta \quad \alpha \nu \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \in \sigma\)
\(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) тоע \(\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \nu\)
8o \(\mu \eta \delta[\epsilon], v \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha\)
tors altıav viont
\(\left.\pi \llbracket{ }^{\eta} \rrbracket\right] \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) ws \(\delta_{\iota} \alpha\) uıкроv \(\epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon\). то \(\gamma \alpha \rho \quad\) 140. 5
\(\beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu\) тоуто \(\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(85 v \mu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota\) т \(\eta \nu \quad \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota\) \(\omega \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta s\) \(\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s\) ots \(\epsilon l \xi v \gamma \chi^{\omega}\) \(\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon K \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \iota\) \(\mu \epsilon i \zeta O \nu \in v \theta u s \in \pi \iota \tau \alpha\) \(90 \chi \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\) ws \(\phi \circ \beta \omega \iota\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau[о]\) то ขтакои \(\sigma \alpha \nu \tau[\epsilon]\) s. \(\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \chi v \rho \iota \sigma \alpha\) \(\mu \in \nu[\iota] \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon S \quad \alpha \nu\)
\(\epsilon \mu \cap \iota \gamma \epsilon \alpha \mu\left[\epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \delta_{0}\right.\)
\(\kappa \in \iota \quad \epsilon l \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha[\iota \in \pi \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha\)
\(\lambda_{\eta \iota} \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \quad \beta \beta \alpha[X \epsilon \iota \alpha \iota\)
о \(\mu \circ \omega \omega s \pi \rho \circ \phi[\alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \mu \eta\) єь
\(105 \llbracket \eta \rrbracket \rrbracket \xi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon S \mu \eta \delta[\epsilon \xi v \nu\)
\(\phi \circ \beta \omega t\) є \(\xi 0 \nu \tau[\epsilon S\) a \(\kappa \epsilon\)
\(\kappa \tau \eta \mu \in \theta \alpha \cdot \tau \eta[\nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v\)
\(\tau \eta \nu\) סuvataı [ \(\delta o v \lambda \omega\)
\(\sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta[\tau] \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma[\iota \sigma \tau \eta \quad \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(110 \eta \epsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \delta[\iota \alpha \iota \omega\)
\(\sigma \iota s\) a \(\pi о \tau \omega \nu\) [ \(o \mu o \omega \omega \nu\)
\(\pi \rho o \delta_{\iota \kappa \eta s} \tau \rho[\iota s \quad \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha s\)
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \llbracket \tau \tau] 0 \mu[\epsilon \nu \eta \quad \tau \alpha \quad\) 141.2
\(\delta \epsilon \tau o v \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu[0 v \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\({ }_{115} \tau \omega \nu\) єк \(\alpha \tau \epsilon \rho 0[\iota s \quad v \pi \alpha \rho\)
Xovt \(\omega \nu \omega[s\) ovk \(\alpha\)
\(\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho[\alpha \quad \epsilon \xi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu\)
 \(\alpha к o v[o \nu \tau \epsilon S\) аутovp 141. 3
\({ }_{120}\) रot \(\tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \in \iota[\sigma \iota \quad \Pi \epsilon \lambda \circ\) тоข \(\eta \eta \sigma \iota \circ[\iota\) кац ov

\(\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau[\alpha] \in \sigma[\tau \leqslant \nu, \epsilon \pi \epsilon L \tau \alpha\)
\(\chi \rho o \nu \iota \omega \nu \pi[n \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu\)
7. \(\omega \nu\) : om. MSS.
12. It is clear from the size of the lacuna that the papyrus agreed with Dion. Hal. Thuc. iud. 920.14 in inserting aropes, which the MSS. omit.
26. The supplement is rather longer than what a comparison of the preceding and following lines indicates, and \(\mu o t\) was perhaps omitted.
36. s of a a a \(\theta\) ws was corrected by the first hand from \(\nu\). The alteration of \([\chi] \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon\) to \(-\sigma a t\) is due to the corrector.


60. \(\pi\) ]арєєб८: so ABDEFG; тápetгь» C, Hude.

Пote[ [8aas: so Hude ; Пotiठ. MSS. But the spelling of the papyrus counts for little.
78. 1. \(\gamma \iota \gamma^{\nu \epsilon \sigma} \sigma a\) a.

81．1．vio \(\lambda \iota \pi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon\) ．It is curious that the corrector，while substituting \(\eta\) for \(\epsilon\) ，has left the termination untouched．

84．Bpaxv：Bpazv rı MSS．
87．\(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon\) has been corrected by the second hand from \(\sigma\) ．
89．© of \(\epsilon \pi \tau\) was lengthened by the second hand．
93．av：so MSS．，though AEF have катабт \(\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon\) ，whence Madvig conjectured＇＇\(\gamma\) кara－ \(\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) ，Richards \(\delta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}\) кaтa⿱宀т门бєтє．But the future form is easily explicable as originating in the common interchange of \(\epsilon\) and \(a v\) ，of which this papyrus offers several examples．

100．\(\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho(\mathrm{CG})\) fills the lacuna better than \(\cos (\mathrm{ABEF})\) ．
110．\(\eta\) ：so CG；om．ABEF．
123．The papyrus evidently agreed with C in omitting aủrois which is added after évotv by ABEFG；cf．Syrianus aủroís éqтıv，Lex．Vindob．єỉruv aùroîs．Hude omits aủroîs，Stuart Jones retains it．

1246．Thucydides vii．
\[
9.6 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early second century }
\]

This small fragment from the seventh book of Thucydides is written in the hand of 844 ，the long papyrus of Isocrates，Panegyricus．The round upright uncials are of the same size and formation，the column is of the same width，and the diminution of the letters at the end of longer lines，which was a feature of 844， also reappears here．There is indeed this point of difference，that in 1246 stops in the high position only occur ；but it cannot be inferred from so small a specimen that this was the only stop used，and，moreover，the punctuation of 844 was probably not entirely original．

The fragment is not sufficiently extensive to show the quality of the text but an agreement with BH against older MSS．is noticeable in l．9；cf． 1247.

Col．i．

\(\left[\begin{array}{cc}\alpha \mu \alpha & \alpha\end{array}\right] \pi o \quad \tau \omega \nu \tau \in[\iota X \epsilon \omega \nu\)
\(\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \cdot \tau \eta \delta \quad v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \alpha\) 2
oь \(\mu \in \nu\) इvракобьоє \(\eta\)
\(5 \sigma v \chi \alpha\} o \nu\) ou \(\delta \epsilon \nu\) \(\delta \eta \lambda o u \nu\)
\(\tau \in S\) oтоוov \(\tau \iota\) то \(\mu \in \lambda\)
\([\lambda] o \nu \pi o \iota \eta[\sigma] 0 v \sigma \iota \cdot\) o \(\delta \epsilon N[\iota\)
\(\kappa \iota \alpha s \quad \iota \omega \nu \quad \alpha \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha\)
[ \(\tau \alpha] \tau \eta s \nu[\alpha v \mu \alpha \chi \iota \alpha] \gamma \epsilon\)
\(10[\nu 0] \mu \in \nu \alpha\left[\begin{array}{ll}\kappa \alpha \iota & \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta\end{array}\right] \omega \nu\)
[ \(\alpha v \tau 0] u s \quad a[v 0 t s \in \pi t]]^{\in \epsilon}\)
```

[\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu] \tauous \tau\epsilon \tau[\rhol]\eta
[\rho\alpha\rho\chiovs] \eta\nuа\gammaк\alpha[\zeta]\epsilon\nu
[\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\kappa]\epsilonv\alpha\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu \tau\alphas [\nu]\alphavs
I5 [\epsilon\ell \tau\iotaS \tau]! \epsilon\pi\epsilon\pi%o\nu\etaк[\epsilon\iota
[\kappa\alpha\iota o]\lambdaк\alpha\delta\alphas \pi\rhoo\omega[\rho\mu\iota
[\sigma\epsilon \pi\rhoo] \tauov \sigma[\phi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoоv

```
9. \([\tau a] \tau \eta s\) : so BH, Stuart Jones ; om. Hude with other MSS.

Col. ii. Since the height of the column is unknown, it is impossible to guess the position of this solitary letter.

\section*{1247. THUCYDIDES viii.}

\section*{Height 23.4 cm . \\ Second century.}

The upright uncial hand of this papyrus shows so close a resemblance to that of 1082, containing the Mcliambi of Cercidas, that the conclusion can hardly be avoided that the two MSS. were written by the same scribe. The only noticeable difference is that \(v\) tends to be broader than in 1082, and that the a regularly has a rounded loop, whereas there both the rounded and angular forms were used. These distinctions, however, are insufficient to counterbalance the numerous strongly marked similarities, among which the long fine shaft of \(\tau\) and \(v\), the low-looped \(\omega\), and the small bent head of \(\sigma\) are prominent. Stops in two positions, high and medial, are found, and are apparently due to the original scribe, but since two other hands have made marginal insertions, their origin is hardly certain.

Of the text, which is accurately written and of good quality, the most interesting feature is a distinct tendency to agree with B, the Vatican MS. of the eleventh century; cf. 11. I-2, I8, 31. Westermann's commonly accepted addition of \(\epsilon\) es before \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau a\) in 10.3 is confirmed (1.40). In three places slight divergences from the traditional order of words occur (11. 29-30, 32,54), one of them recorded by a second hand as a variant at the bottom of a column. Another marginal variant has been inserted at 1. \(4^{2}\), but the original reading is unfortunately obliterated.

Col. i.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 入ov €Xo]ugı } \eta \text { tas [vaтєpov } \epsilon \pi \iota \\
& \delta \iota \alpha \phi \in \rho o] \mu \in \nu \alpha s \text { [ } \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \text { тоv }
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\pi \lambda o u \nu]\) т \(\alpha v \tau \eta \iota\) [ \(\epsilon \kappa\) тоv \(\pi \rho о \phi \alpha\)
5 vous \(\epsilon \pi о]\) เouvтo к[aт \(\alpha \phi\) рои \(\eta\)
\(\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S \quad \tau] \omega \nu \quad A \theta \eta \nu[\alpha \iota \omega \nu\) a \(\delta v\)

\(\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi] 0 \lambda v \pi \omega\) [ \(\epsilon \phi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \circ\) \(\omega s\)
\(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \delta 0] \xi \in \nu \quad \alpha v \tau[0 \iota s\) каı \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa о\)

\(\nu \alpha u s\) ol \(] \delta \epsilon K_{o \rho \iota \nu[\theta \iota o \iota}\)
9. I

Col. ii.
\([\pi \omega \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon] \mu \iota \circ \nu \quad \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \iota \nu \tau \iota\)
[ка८ \(\sigma \sigma \chi \nu \rho \circ] \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \tau o v[s]\)
[Пєлотор] \(\nu \eta \sigma \iota o v s\) оикєт८ \(\pi \rho о \sigma\)
\(15[\delta \epsilon \chi\) Х \(\mu \epsilon \nu]\) ] \(\eta \xi \epsilon \iota \nu^{*}\) от८ \(\delta \iota \epsilon \tau \rho \iota\)
\([\beta\) о \(\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon]\) тоит \(\omega \iota \tau \alpha \mid \sigma \theta \mu \iota \alpha \in\) Iо. I
[ \(\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau о\) ка] ol \(A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota o \iota ~ \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma\)
\([\gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu] \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \alpha \iota \cdot \epsilon\)
\([\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v \nu \in s] \alpha v \tau \alpha\) к \(\alpha \ell\) кат \(\alpha \delta \eta \lambda \alpha\)
\(20[\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu \alpha v] \tau o \iota s[\tau] \alpha \tau \omega \nu X \iota \omega \nu\)
[ \(\epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \eta \quad \kappa \alpha l] \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon X \omega \rho \eta\)
\([\sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma] \kappa \in v \alpha \S о \nu \tau 0\) єvӨvs
[oт \(\omega s \mu \eta\) ] \(\lambda \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu\) avzous

\(25[\alpha \phi \circ \rho \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \iota]\) !! \(\delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu\)
[ \(\epsilon \circ \rho \tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \circ \nu] \tau 0 \mu \iota \alpha \iota \quad \kappa[\alpha \iota \epsilon \iota\)
[коб८ \(\nu \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu \in s \quad \tau \eta] \nu\) Xıov \(\alpha[\rho \chi\) о
\([\tau \alpha A \lambda \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \in \chi \circ] \nu \tau \epsilon S[\kappa \alpha \iota\)
[avto]ls ol \(A \theta \eta[\nu \alpha \iota o]\) ï \(\sigma \alpha \iota s\) [тo
\(3 \circ[\pi \rho] \omega[\tau 0] \nu \nu a[\nu \sigma \iota \pi] \rho o \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu\)
\(\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s\) v \(\pi \eta[\gamma 0 \nu]\) єs \(\tau 0 ~ \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha\)

ко入ovӨ \(\left.\quad \sigma \alpha \nu\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[ } & \Pi\end{array}\right] \in \lambda\right]\) ]oाov
\(\nu \eta \sigma \iota \circ \quad[\alpha \lambda] \lambda \alpha[\pi \epsilon \tau \rho] \alpha \pi о \nu \tau 0 \cdot \epsilon\)
```

35\pi\alpha\nu\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\eta\sigma\alpha\nu к\alpha\iota o\iota }A0
\nu\alpha\iotaot. \tau\alphas \gamma\alpha\rho \tau\omega\nu X\iota\omega\nu \epsilon\pi\tau\alpha
\nu\alphavS \epsilon\nu \tau\omega\iota \alpha\rho[\iota]|\mu\omega\iota }\mu\epsilon\tau
\sigma\phi\omega\nu \epsilon\chi[0]\nu\tau\epsilonS ov \pi\iota\sigma\tau\alphas \epsilon\nuO
\mu\iota\zetaov. \alpha\lambda\lambda v\sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoo\nu [\alpha\lambda]\lambda[\alpha]S
40 \pi\rhoо\sigma\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma \epsilon؟ [\epsilon]\pi\tau\alpha
к\alpha\iota \tau\rho[\iota]]\alphaко\nu\tau\alpha \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\lambda\lambda\epsilon0[\nu]\tau\epsilon\varsigma
\alphav\tauovs к[\alpha\tau]a\delta<[[\omegaкоv\sigma\iota . . . .] \epsilon!s П\epsilon!pa:ov )

```

```

    \lambda\iota\mu\eta\nu єр\eta\muоs к\alpha\iota \epsilon[\sigma]\\alpha\tauоS
    4 5 \pi \rho o s ~ \tau \alpha ~ \mu \in \theta o \rho \iota \alpha ~ \tau \eta s ~ E \pi t \iota \alpha \alpha v
\rho\iota\alphas. к\alpha\iota }\mu[\imath]\alpha\nu \mu\epsilon\nu \nu\alphav
\nu \omega[s \delta\epsilon ouk \epsilon\pi\imath \pi]o\lambdav \epsilon\pi\eta\kappaко[\lambdao]v0\eta\sigmaav

```

Col. iii.

T[ \(\epsilon \mathrm{S} \epsilon \pi \iota\) tas vavs каl ov \(\pi 0 \lambda\) II. 2
\(\lambda[\omega \iota\) v \(\sigma \tau \in \rho \circ \nu\) к \(\alpha l\) ol \(\alpha \lambda \lambda o \iota \pi \rho o \sigma\)
50 X[ \(\omega \rho \circ \iota\) ка८ ор \(\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu \phi v\)
\(\bar{\lambda}[\alpha \kappa \eta \nu \in \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho \iota \omega \iota \in \rho \eta \mu \omega \iota \in \pi \iota \pi \circ\)
\(\nu[0 \nu\) ov \(\sigma \alpha \nu\) \(\eta \pi\) ropov̀ к \(\alpha \iota\) є \(\pi \epsilon \nu 0\)
, \([\sigma \alpha \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu\) кат \(\alpha \kappa \alpha v \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha s\)
\(\nu[\alpha v s\) \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha\) \(\delta \epsilon\) avtols \(\epsilon \delta 0\)
\(55 \xi[\epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \tau \omega \iota \pi \epsilon \xi \omega \iota\)
\(\pi[\rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \in \nu 0 v s \quad \phi \quad \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu\)

\(\delta \iota[\alpha \phi u \gamma \eta \in \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \in \iota \alpha \in \pi \epsilon \mu\)
\(\overline{\psi \in}[\delta\) avtols
r-1r. Since both the beginnings and ends of the lines are lost, the point of division between the lines is only conjectural.


\(\mu \epsilon \nu a s(S t u a r t ~ J o n e s ~ w i t h ~ B) ~ s t o o d ~ h e r e ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ \delta \delta a \phi \epsilon \rho o] \mu \epsilon \nu a s ~(o t h e r ~ M S S ., ~ H u d e) . ~\).
18. a \(\sigma \pi o v \delta a \imath\) : so B; om. other MSS., Hude.
23. \(\lambda \eta \sigma o v \sigma t \nu:\) so \(\mathrm{C}(-\sigma \iota)\); \(\lambda \eta \sigma \omega \sigma t\) ABGM.

24．\(\kappa \epsilon \gamma \chi \rho \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\) B．
28．The size of the lacuna appears to be in favour of supposing that the papyrus agreed with the MSS．in reading \(\mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa а \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu\) ，not \(\mathrm{A} \lambda_{\kappa} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\) ．
 a corrector？）above 1.29 have some reference to the order of the words（ \(\bar{i}\) is used to denote transposition in mediaeval MSS．；cf．Hermes，ii，p．248），but their purport is not entirely clear．

31．vinүov：so B and schol．（íєєх＇́pov̀），Hude，Stuart Jones；\(\epsilon \pi \eta \gamma o \nu\) ACEFGM．
32．The original text agrees with that of the MSS．A later hand has recorded a different order at the foot of the column，calling attention to the variant by the word к⿱㇒日勺儿（ \(\omega\) ） in the margin ；cf．e．g．852．I．ii．8，note．For the sign preceding this adscript and following that at l． \(42 \mathrm{cf}\). 16．iii． 3 ，\＆c．

40．Westermann＇s insertion of és before émrá is here confirmed．The letters are imperfectly preserved，but may be regarded as practically certain．
 since the marginal adscript ets \(\Pi\) tipatov would suggest a more important difference than merely \(\epsilon\) sf for \(\epsilon\) ．Perhaps \(\epsilon \mathrm{s}\) ．\(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \iota o \nu\) was written，as conjectured by K．O．Müller．It is indeed just possible that this is really the reading in the margin，but the second letter is more like \(\iota\) than \(\sigma\) ．The hand of the adscript is different from that of the variant entered at the foot of the column．

54．If the initial letters of this and the preceding lines have been rightly identified， autots preceded \(\epsilon \delta 0 \xi \epsilon \nu\) instead of following it as in the MSS．

1248．Plato，Politicus．
\(32.4 \times 25.8 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．Late second century：
This papyrus，which was found with 1241，is written in rather small and neat， though not particularly regular，round uncials，which may date from the middle or latter part of the second century．Alternations in the dialogue are generally marked， as usual，by double dots，but these were for the most part，at any rate，a subsequent addition，the original scribe having been content with marginal paragraphi and short blank spaces in the line．The double dots may well be due to the corrector who has occasionally made small modifications in the text，and it is likely that the other stops，which are found in three positions，though apparently without any definite distinction of meaning，proceeded from the same source．This corrector objected to the practice of the first hand of representing \(v\) at the end of a line by a dash over the preceding vowel，and has in several places inserted the \(\nu\) ．The tall columns（ \(25 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．）lean over considerably to the right．Owing partly，perhaps，to the great height of the roll it was found necessary to support it by sticking patches on the verso，and fragments of other literary papyri have been utilized for this purpose．Some of these are of sufficient extent to be of value，and will be dealt with in a later volume．

Textually the papyrus is undistinguished；some small points of interest are found in ll． \(7,39,53,63,68\).

Col．i．
\(\theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha[\nu] \quad \alpha[\mu] \nu \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \quad X^{\epsilon \iota} \quad 280 \mathrm{e}\)
\([\mu] \omega \nu \omega[\nu]\) є \(\rho \in \circ \nu \pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \eta\) \(\mu \alpha \tau o s \in[\rho] \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \cdot 0>\) \([\nu] \circ \mu \alpha \delta[\epsilon] \quad v \phi \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu\).
5 \(\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu\) ：єо८кє \(\gamma \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) ： ouv \(\alpha \lambda \lambda\) ouk \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \omega\)＞ \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \omega \pi \alpha \iota \tau[0 v \tau] 0[\tau] 0\) \(\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\) ：\(\gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \nu\) \(\alpha \rho \chi \eta \tau \eta s \tau \omega \nu \iota \mu a \tau \iota \bar{\omega} v\)
 точขaขтוov vф \(\quad \delta \rho \alpha \nu \quad 281\) \(\phi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota: \pi \omega s: \tau 0 \quad \mu \epsilon \nu\) \(\tau \eta s \quad v \phi \eta s \quad \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \eta\) tis є \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi o v: \nu \alpha l: ~ \tau 0 ~ \delta \epsilon\)
\({ }^{1} 5 \gamma \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \omega v\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \bar{\omega} v\) \(\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta\) ：то тоьov \(\delta \eta\) ： то \(\tau \eta \mathrm{T}\) тоv \(\xi \alpha เ \nu 0 \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \epsilon\) \(\chi^{\chi \nu} \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu \cdot \eta \tau \eta \nu \xi \alpha \nu\) \(20 \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \in \nu\) vфаขтıкך к кає тоv＞ \(\xi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \omega s\) ov \(\tau \alpha \quad v \phi \alpha \nu\) \(\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\) ：ov \(\delta \alpha \mu \omega s:\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \alpha v \sigma \tau \eta\) \({ }_{2} 5 \mu 0 \nu 0 s\) єрүабтוк \(\eta \nu\) каı крокךs єl тוs vфаע тєкך \(\boldsymbol{\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о р є \cup є \iota}\) \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \xi o \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \psi \in \nu\) Sos ovo \(\alpha \alpha \lambda \in \gamma \in L[:] \pi \omega s \quad 28 \mathrm{I}\) b \(30 \overline{\gamma \alpha} \rho\) ov：\(\tau \iota \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \nu \alpha \phi \in u \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} v\)

Col．ii．
\(\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha\) ठокєเข \(\chi\)［ \(\rho \eta\) то \(\gamma \epsilon\) ．ou．
ovvaltıas єıval \(\pi[\rho o \sigma\) \(\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \pi[\alpha] \nu \tau o s[v\)
55 ф \(\sigma \sigma \mu \alpha\) оs：ор \(\theta[0] \tau \alpha \tau \alpha\) ：
тотє \(\rho 0 \nu\) ouv \(\eta \mu L \nu \quad\) о
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \eta s\) vфа⿱亠兀єкךs \(\lambda_{0}\)
yos ov \(\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \iota \lambda о \mu \epsilon \theta a\)
\(\mu \epsilon \rho o u s\) ıка \(\nu \omega S\) є \(\sigma \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(60 \delta \iota \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \Omega^{\cdot} \in \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \alpha v\) \(\tau \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\) отобає \(\pi \in \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu \in \rho \in \alpha \nu\) \(\epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha \in \iota \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda\) \(\lambda \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \mu \in \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad\) 281 d
\(65 \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu \quad \tau \iota \theta \omega \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \lambda \epsilon\) रoı \(\mu \in \nu \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \in s^{\circ}\) ov \(\mu \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon S \quad \gamma \epsilon\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) \(\lambda \epsilon o v \pi \rho \iota \nu\) av каl \(\tau \alpha v\) \(\tau \alpha s\) aut \(\eta s \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha s\) \(\pi \epsilon p l\)
\(70 \in \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\) ：op \(\theta \omega s\) ：ovкоv Ta
\(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha v \pi o \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \circ \nu\) o
\(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \stackrel{ }{ } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \phi \in \xi \eta\rangle\)
\(\eta \mu \iota \nu\) o \(\lambda\) oyos \(\downarrow \pi \omega s\) \(\bar{\delta}\) ov．\(\pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \mu \in \nu\) тои
\(75 \nu v \nu\) סvo тєХขas ovбas \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \quad \delta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon\) \(\nu \alpha \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha: \tau \iota \nu \alpha s:\)
\(\tau \eta \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s\)
ovбav ovvaltiov т \(\tau \nu\)
\(80 \delta\) аvт \(\eta \nu\) aıтıav：\(\pi \omega s\) ：
\(\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta[\nu] \alpha\) \(\kappa \in \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \quad \pi о \tau \in \rho \alpha \mu[\eta]\)
\(\delta \epsilon \mu \iota \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\)
\(\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \nu \alpha \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\)
\(35 \epsilon[\sigma \theta] \eta \tau \varphi[s] \quad \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \kappa[\alpha \iota\) \(\tau[\alpha v \tau \alpha s] \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha s\) \(\omega s\) vф \(\alpha \nu\) ［ \(\tau \iota \kappa \alpha S\) 入 \(\lambda] \xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu\) ：ov \(\delta \alpha>\) \(\overline{\mu \omega[s: ~} \alpha \lambda \lambda] \alpha \mu \eta \nu \tau \eta s \gamma \epsilon\) \(\overline{\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha} \alpha[l]] \alpha \alpha \quad \alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \eta\) \(\eta_{\sigma}\)
40 тоvб८้ \(\alpha u \tau \alpha!\sigma u \mu \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta S \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \eta S\) \(\tau \omega \nu \iota \mu \alpha \tau \iota \omega \nu \tau \eta \quad \tau \eta S\) \(v ф \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{2} \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota\) ． \(\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \circ s\)

 \(\nu \epsilon \mu о y \sigma \alpha \iota: \pi \alpha \nu v \gamma \epsilon: \pi \rho o s 28 \mathrm{I}\) c тоlvv̀ тavтals \(\epsilon \tau \iota\) тas＞ \(\tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \gamma a[\lambda] \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu \iota\) 50 oupyous \(\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha s\) \(\delta t \omega \nu \alpha\) \(\pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \llbracket s \rrbracket\rceil \eta s v \phi \eta s\)

Col．iii．

oб \(\alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \nu\) то \(\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu\) 281 e то \(\mu \eta\) бोцlovpyovols
таıs \(\delta \in\) ठ \(\eta \mu\) tovpyovoals
oруаva \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \zeta о v\)
\(85 \sigma l \nu \cdot \omega \nu \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu 0\) \(\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\) оик а \(\nu\) тотє \(\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \eta\) то \(\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon\) \(\tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\) єк \(\alpha \sigma \tau \eta>\) \(\tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon[\mathrm{X} \nu \omega \nu] \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s\) \(90 \mu \in \nu \sigma v \nu[\alpha \iota \tau]\) Lovs．\(\tau \alpha s \delta \alpha v\) －o то \(\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha a\left[\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \xi_{0}\right.\) \(\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha S\) altıas．\(\epsilon \chi[\epsilon \iota\) jouv入оуov：\(\mu \in \tau \alpha\) т［очто \(\delta \eta\)

95 к \(\alpha \iota\) к \(\epsilon \kappa \iota \delta \alpha s\) к［ \(\alpha \iota\) отоб \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) opy \(\alpha \nu \alpha\) \(\tau \eta s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) \(\tau \alpha \alpha \mu \phi \iota \in \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha[\gamma \in \nu \epsilon\) \(\sigma \epsilon \omega S\) коı \(\nu \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha S\) \(\sigma v \nu \alpha \iota \tau l a s\) \(\epsilon \iota \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\) ．
\(100 \tau \alpha\) \(\delta \epsilon\) аuта \([\theta] \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon v o u>\) \(\sigma \alpha s\) кац［ \(\delta \eta] \mu\) lovpyov \(\alpha \alpha\) \(\alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \subseteq\) ：\([o \rho \theta o \tau \alpha \tau \alpha]: \tau \omega \nu\) \(\tau \eta s \quad \kappa \in \rho \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa[\eta s \quad \eta \mu \iota\) ィ 30 бv ка८ об \(\sigma \alpha\) \(\sigma v \nu \kappa \epsilon![\mu \epsilon\) \(\nu \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \quad \alpha \phi[\iota \sigma\) \(\tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \nu\) тоито \(\omega[s\)
\(\epsilon \nu \quad \phi \rho \alpha \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \eta[S \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha\)
бוovpylas autทs \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota\)［
\({ }^{1} 35\) Tov ка८ \(\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha\) тıvє［
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \eta \mu[\iota \nu] \quad \eta[\sigma \tau \bar{\eta}\)
\(\tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu \alpha} \eta[\sigma \nu \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau] c k \eta\)［
\(\tau \in \kappa \alpha \iota \delta[\iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \tau \iota \kappa] \eta\) ：\(\nu \alpha[\iota:\)
\(\mu \eta \nu \quad \xi \alpha[\nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta \quad \gamma \in \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\mathrm{II}_{5}>\nu \eta \sigma \tau \iota[\kappa] \eta[\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu\)
\(\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota[\tau \eta \nu \pi o \iota \eta\)
\(\sigma \iota \nu \alpha v i \eta \nu \tau[\eta s \in \sigma \theta \eta\)
Tos \(\eta s \quad \lambda \in \gamma o[\mu \in \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \eta\)
\(\mu \iota \alpha\) TוS \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota[\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta\)
\({ }^{120} \tau \omega \nu \nu \pi 0 \pi[\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\omega^{\top} v\)
\(\lambda \in \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \llbracket \alpha[] \eta \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota\)
oup \(\gamma \iota \kappa \eta[: \pi \omega s\) \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) ov:
\(\tau \eta s \delta \in \tau \alpha[\lambda \alpha \sigma \iota o u \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \eta s \quad 282\) b
סvo \(\tau \mu \eta \mu[\alpha \tau \alpha\) єбтov
125 Kal Toutol \([\nu\) єкатєpov
\(\alpha \mu \alpha\) ठvoıv \(\pi \epsilon \phi[\nu \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu\)
\(\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \eta\) : \(\pi[\omega s: \tau 0\)
\(\overline{\mu \epsilon \nu} \xi \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \circ[\nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau о\)

I \(40 \eta \tau \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \theta \iota \kappa \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \nu \bar{u} v\)
\(\delta \eta \rho \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \cdot \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \in \nu\) eplots 282 c
\(\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha\)
крıтькך кєркьঠь \(\mu \in \nu\)
\(145 \alpha \lambda\) 入ov трото人 \(\gamma\) ( \(\gamma \nu 0\) >
\(\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \cdot \chi \in \rho \sigma \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \in[\tau] \epsilon\)
pov \(\epsilon \sigma \chi \in \nu\) oб \(\alpha\) а \(\rho \tau \iota[\omega] s\)
оขо \(\mu \tau \alpha\) є \(\rho \rho \eta \eta \eta \pi \alpha\)
\(\nu v \mu \in \nu\) ouv \(\alpha v \theta_{l s} \delta r_{1}\)
\({ }^{1} 50 \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu\) бvvкрıтוкךs \(\mu_{0}\)
 EV
[ \(\sigma \iota]\) ]ovplias avt \(\eta\) \(\gamma / \gamma \nu 0\)
\([\mu \in \nu \omega \nu] \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \mu \in \nu\)

Col. iv.
```

    [\nu\alphal \tau]o[v\tauоv \delta\eta \tauо \mu\epsilonv 282 e
    r 55 \alpha\tau\rho[\alpha]\kappa\tau\omega [\tau\epsilon \sigma\tau\rho\alpha\phi\epsilon\nu к\alpha\iota
\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\epsilonO\nu v[\eta\mu\alpha \gamma\in\nuO
\mu\in\nuO\nu \sigma\tau\eta[\muo\nu\alpha }\mu\in
\phi\alpha0l \tauо \nu\eta\mu[\alpha \tau\eta\nu \delta\epsilon а
\pi\epsilonv0v\nu0v\sigma[\alpha\nu \alphav\tauo \tau\epsilon
160 \chi\nu\eta\nu\nu \epsiloniv\alpha\iota [\sigma\tau\eta\muovo\nu\eta
\tau[l]\kappa[\eta]\nu: o\rho0\omega[S: o\sigma\alpha \delta\epsilon \gamma\epsilon
\alphav [\tau\eta\nu] \mu\epsilon\nu \sigma[v\sigma\tau\rhoоф\eta\nu
X\alphav\nu
\tau\eta \delta\epsilon \tau[0]ч \sigma\tau[\eta\muovos \epsilon\mu
165 \pi\lambda\epsilon\xi[\epsilonl \pi\rhoos \tau\eta\nu \tau\etaS \gamma\nu\alpha
\psi\in\omega[s

```
5. The double dots are wrongly placed after yap instead of ovv.
7. \([\tau]_{o}\) : om. MSS.
8. \({ }^{2}\). \(\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu\).
30. \(\gamma \nu a \phi \epsilon u \tau \kappa \kappa \eta\) represents the usual Egyptian spelling; киаф. BT.
34. \(\tau \iota\) of \(\tau i v a\) was corrected from \(\nu\).
39. a \(\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta_{\tau \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu}\), the reading of BT, has been superscribed over that of Ven. 8, Vind. 3 I , and originally Ven. \(18 \frac{1}{4}\), a \(\mu ф \sigma \beta \eta \tau o v \sigma \iota\). Whether the insertion is due to the first or second hand is uncertain.

5I. The superfluous \(\sigma\) was lightly crossed out, and a dot was also placed above it.
53. The reading of the first hand, ovvaltas, is that of the MSS. ; cf. l. 99.

66. \(a \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon S}: a \not \nu \tau \tau a \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} s\) MSS.
68. av: so BT ; «̈ \(\nu\) Ven. 189, Vind. 31 , Burnet.
99. ovvaitias: ovvaitiovs MSS. ; cf. 1. 53.

1оо. тa: l. тas.
102. The lower of the double dots has disappeared both before and after [o \(\rho\) Өorata].
\({ }^{115}\). For the diplê opposite this line cf. 1241. v. 5 , note. Its meaning here is uncertain.
 reference to the dubious reading. Whether the papyrus had the nominative or accusative cannot be determined. Or possibly there was an error at the end of this line, e. g. aava \(\mid\) тavтa; \(\pi a \nu \mid \tau\) av makes a rather short supplement.
123. \(\delta \epsilon: \delta \eta\) MSS.
127. (of тєұvaty was a later insertion, perhaps by the second hand.
\({ }^{1} 33 . \tau \in\) may have been omitted ; five letters would make the line of normal length.
1 36. \(\eta \mu[\nu]] \eta[\sigma \tau \eta(\nu)\) : or possibly \(\eta \sigma[\tau] \eta[\nu\), omitting \(\eta \mu \nu\).
140. 1. छаขтıкๆ.

15 I. ins was apparently intended to be cancelled by dots placed above the letters ; cf. 1. 5 1. Om. \(\tau \eta \mathrm{s}\) MSS.
1249. Babrius, Fables.
\(9.5 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century. Plate V.
This small fragment is of considerable importance for its bearing both upon the date of Babrius and the history of the text of the Fables. It is a piece from the top of a column, neatly written in rather small round uncials, which can hardly be put later than the end of the second century, and may easily be appreciably earlier. A hand of the same type in the present volume is seen in 1241 ; cf. 211,220 , recto ; 412, written about A.D. 250 , shows a posterior stage of development, as also, probably, does 656. But if the close of the second century is on a liberal estimate the downward limit for 1249 , the poet himself, whom Crusius would place near the beginning of the third century (PaulyWissowa, Real-Encycl. ii. \(265^{8}\); cf. id. De Babr. aet.), must have lived well within the second, if he does not go back to the first. This period, i.e. about A. D. 100, was adopted on metrical grounds by Christ, Gr. Litt. 1905, p. 651. Babrius has, indeed, often been referred to the Hellenistic age, but a second-century papyrus does not, of course, substantiate that improbable view. With regard to the text two points are of especial interest. That the alphabctical order of the Fables
which is found both in the Codex Athous (A) and the paraphrases of Babrius is unlikely to be original was recognized, although its antiquity is attested by P. Amh. 26 , where a similar arrangement appears. In 1249, on the other hand, nothing of the sort is to be found. The four fables here partially preserved are xliii, cx, cxviii, and \(x x v\), beginning respectively with the letters \(E, M, \equiv, \Gamma\). Secondly, while the prose epimythia attached in A to cx and cxviii are, naturally, here absent, the metrical epimythium of xliii stood in the papyrus, which thus carries back the tradition of its class a stage beyond the Assendelft tablets (third cent.) and P. Amh. 26. The question of the genuineness of some of these epimythia may now have to be reconsidered. Compared in detail with \(A\), the papyrus shows verbal variations in cx. 4 and cxviii. 8 , and omits cxviii. 5 , a line on which suspicion had already fastened.

The end of each fable is marked by a paragraphus and the first letter of the next projects slightly to the left of the column. A mark of elision in 1.5 is the only diacritical sign occurring.
```

            \sigma\phi\alpha\lambda\lambdaov\sigma\iota\nu \eta\mu\alpha[s є\nu\iotao0 \alpha\iota \pi\epsilon\pio\iota0\eta\sigma\epsilon\iotas xliii. 19
            \mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda}\omega\nu o\delta\epsilon\cup\epsilon\iota\nu [\tau\etaS кU\nuOS \tauוS \epsilon\sigma\tau\omega\sigma\etaS c..
            \epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\nu \tau\iota \chi}\alpha\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iotaS [\pi\alpha\nu0 \epsilonто\iota\mu\alpha \sigmaо\iota \piоו\epsilon\iota
    ```

```

5 \sigma\alpha\iota\nu0v\sigma' \epsilonф\eta\sigma\epsilon \pi[\alpha\nu\tau \epsilon\chi\omega \sigmav \beta\alphaр\deltav\nu\epsilon\iota\
\xiov0}\eta\quad\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\delta\omega\nu}\eta\quad\pi[{\rhoo<kos \alpha\nu0\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu cxviii
\epsilon\alpha\rhoos }\kappa[\alpha]\lambda!\eta\nu\nu \epsilonv0[\epsilon\tau\iota\zeta\epsilon\nu \epsilon\nu \tauol\chi
o\pio[v] \gamma\in\rhoо\nu\tau\omega\nu o[lkos \eta\nu \deltaik\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\omega\nu
как\epsilon\iota \nu\epsilonо\sigma\sigma\omega\nu \epsilon[\pi\tau\alpha \gamma\iota\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota \mu\eta\tau\eta\rho\rho 4
IO o\phi\iotas \delta\epsilon \tauovious \epsilon\lambda\pi
\alpha\pi\alpha\nu\tau\alphas \epsilon\xi\etaS \epsilonфа\gamma[\epsilon\nu \eta \delta\epsilon \delta\epsiloni\lambda\alpha\iota\eta
\pi\alpha\iota\delta\omega\nu \alpha\omega\rhoous \sigma[v\mu
O!\mu\muO\iota \lambda\epsilon\gammaov\sigma[\alpha \tau\eta,s є\mu\etas є\gamma\omega \muо\iota\rho\etas
[0]\piov \nuо\muo\iota \gamma\alpha\rho [к\alpha\iota 0\epsilon\mu\iota\sigma\tau\epsilonS \alpha\nu0\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu Io
I5 \epsilon\nu |\epsilon\nu X }<\lambda\epsilon\iota\delta\omega[\nu \eta\delta\iota\kappa\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta \phi\epsilonv\gamma
[\gamma\nu]\omega}\mu\eta \lambda\alpha\gamma\omega\sigma[us \epsilon\iotaX\epsilon \mu\eta\kappa\epsilon\tau\iota }\omega\epsilonl\nu, x.\v. I

```

\footnotetext{
1. The Assendelft tablets ( T ) agree with the papyrus in having the epimythium, which was first deleted by Lachmann.
4. \(\eta \xi \in\left[\iota s\right.\) : so A ; \({ }^{c} \xi \in \epsilon\) Nauck.
}
5. \(\sigma a \iota \nu o v \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon_{\emptyset \eta \sigma \epsilon: ~ " „ p a \sigma a ́ ~} \phi \eta \sigma \iota\) MSS. For the accus. with \(\sigma a i v \epsilon \iota \nu\) cf. Schol. Theocr.

7. єapos: j̄pos A.
9. The papyrus omits 1 . 5 oü \(\pi \omega \pi \tau \epsilon \rho i \sigma \kappa o \iota s ~ \pi о \rho ф \nu \rho o i ̂ s ~ \grave{~} \pi a \nu \theta o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\), which was rejected by Gitlbauer (temere, Crusius thinks) and transposed, with emendations, after l. 6 by Seidler and Bergk.
10. \(\epsilon \lambda \pi[v \sigma a s\), if right, is for \(\epsilon \rho \pi[v \sigma a s\), an example of the not uncommon interchange of \(\lambda\) and \(\rho\) here. The \(\lambda\) is probable, though \(\chi\) is not excluded, but the \(\pi\) is very uncertain.
12. aшpous: \(a \omega \rho \omega \nu \mathrm{~A}\).
13. 1. оног.
1250. Achilles Tatius, Clitophon and Leucippe ii. \(24.4 \times 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Early fourth century. Plate VI. (Cols. i-ii).

Of the extant Greek romance-writers only Chariton has hitherto been represented in the papyri (1019, P. Fay. 1). We have now to add Achilles Tatius; and the following fragment containing three consecutive and nearly complete columns of the Clitophon and Leucippe, besides making valuable contributions to the text, supplies, like the Chariton papyri, important evidence for the date of the author. Rohde (Griech. Roman, p. 472) on the strength of supposed imitations of Musacus placed Achilles Tatius in the middle of the fifth century, while W. Schmid (Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Encycl. i. 245) brings him down to the sixth. Such, estimates are no longer tenable, for the present papyrus is certainly anterior to the fifth century. It is written in round upright uncials of medium size, and of a style which is seen at a glance not to be that of the later Byzantine age. Some resemblance may be observed between this hand and that of 412 , which was written not later than about A. D. \({ }^{2} 50\). 1250, however, is no doubt not so early as this, but an attribution to the first half of the fourth century is not likely to err in respect of the downward limit. The composition of the romance cannot then be put much after the year A.D. 300, and Achilles need not be supposed to have lived more than a generation or two later than Heliodorus, who is assigned to the latter part of the third century (Rohde, op. cit., pp. 465-6, Schmid, 1.c.) ; and there is no longer any chronological difficulty in the statement of Suidas, which Rohde rejects, that the romancewriter was also the author of the astronomical work Пє \(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{i} \sigma \phi\) aí \(\rho a s\) of which some extracts are preserved.

As was to be expected from a witness standing so close to the author, the papyrus shows a number of small discrepancies from the mediaeval MSS., and in several places is manifestly superior to them. Two conjectures are corroborated (1l. 35, 120), and unsolved difficulties are removed in 11. 44, 58, and 108 ; no doubt in other instances of disagreement the papyrus is not seldom right, though
as l. I, e. g., warns at the outset, it is by no means impeccable. On the other hand, in other passages which have been regarded as corrupt the traditional text is reproduced (cf. 11. \(40-1,48,54,63-4,76,92,121\) ), and in particular the drastic methods of Hercher meet with no support. But the most striking feature in the new text is the entirely different order of Chs. 2 and 3.I-2, which are here inserted between Chs. 8 and 9. Some slight changes in the transitional phrases are made, so that the passage as it stands runs quite smoothly. But the last section of Ch. 3 would not join on to the end of Ch. I, and there must have been a larger modification at this point. The abruptness of that section had already been observed by Jacobs, who suggested that something had fallen out. These remarkable divergences of the papyrus from the current version seem capable of two explanations. Either there were two redactions of the romance, a view which was suggested long ago by Salmasius, but was vigorously contested by Jacobs (pp. xliii sqq.) ; or possibly a leaf in the archetype from which the mediaeval MSS. were derived was copied in a wrong position, and the dislocation has been concealed by subsequent patching. The omission in some MSS. of the
 of Ch. 2 might be taken to point in that direction.

With regard to palaeographical details, there is little that calls for notice. Punctuation is rare; a paragraphus is inserted below 1. 7, and a high point in 1. 100. Short lines have been sometimes filled with the ordinary angular sign, and \(v\) at the end of a line here and there takes the form of a horizontal stroke to the right, and about on a level with the top, of the preceding vowel.

\section*{Col. i. Plate VI.}
```

1250. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS
$\nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ка८ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau 0 s \in \lambda \pi \iota \delta \omega \nu \eta$
$\sigma \theta о \mu \eta \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v$
${ }_{15} \mu 0 \iota$ тоv фі $\eta \mu \alpha \tau о s$ ws $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$
тоS ка८ єфu入a $\sigma \sigma o \nu ~ a \lambda \eta \theta \omega s$
ws $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \rho o \nu ~ \tau о ~ \phi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha ~ \tau \eta \rho \omega^{-}$ $\eta \delta o \nu \eta s$ от $\epsilon \rho \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \nu$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$
［ $\gamma$ ］$\lambda$ кки кац $\gamma \alpha \rho$ атто тоv ка入入८бтоv 8．2
$20[\tau] \omega \nu$ тоv $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ оs ор $\alpha \nu \omega \nu$
$[\tau] \iota \kappa \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha$ $\gamma \alpha \rho \phi \omega \nu \eta s$
［o］pyavov $\phi \omega \nu \eta \delta \epsilon \psi v \chi \eta s$ $\sigma \kappa \iota$
［ $\alpha$ ］$\alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu$
$[\beta] o \lambda \alpha \iota ~ к \iota \rho \nu \alpha \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon \kappa$
${ }_{2} 5[\pi] \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ кат $\omega \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \delta о$
$[\nu] \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \lambda \kappa o v \sigma \iota \nu$ тas $\psi v \chi \alpha s$ $\alpha \nu \omega$
［ $\pi$ ］．pos $\tau \alpha$ фı $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha \alpha$ ovк oi $\delta \alpha \delta \epsilon$
［ov］т $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta s$ т $\eta s$
$[\kappa] \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha s$ кає тотє $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \quad \epsilon \mu \alpha$
$30[\theta] o \nu$ oт $\frac{0}{}$ ov $[\delta] \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \iota \xi \epsilon \ell \pi \rho o s$
［ $\eta] \delta o \nu \eta \nu$ фı $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ єр $\omega \tau \iota \kappa[\omega]$
$[\epsilon] \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha s \quad \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s \pi \alpha \lambda \iota^{-}$
［o］$\mu \circ \iota \omega s$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \nu \gamma \alpha \rho$
$[\epsilon о] \rho \tau \eta$ тротриуаıov $\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma o v$
35 ［тот］є то⿱ $\gamma \alpha \rho$ Aıovvбov Tvpioı
$[\nu o] \mu \iota \xi o v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ каı
［то］$К \alpha \delta \mu о v ~ \mu \nu \theta_{o \nu} \alpha \delta o v \sigma \iota \nu$
```

［ \(\pi \alpha \tau] \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu v \theta o \nu\) oıvov ovк \(\epsilon \iota\)
\(40[\nu \alpha l] \pi \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi 0 \iota s\) о \(\pi \rho[v]\)
［ \(\mu \eta \pi] \omega \pi \alpha \rho\) avtots ov тоע \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha\)
```


## Col．ii．Plate VI．

$\nu \alpha$ тov $\alpha \nu \theta o \sigma \mu \iota \alpha \nu$ ov Tov $\tau \eta S$
$B \iota \beta \lambda[\iota] \alpha \varsigma \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ ov ov тоע $M \alpha[\rho \omega$

 $\sigma \iota \omega \tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Tovtous $\mu \in \nu \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$ aтоıкous $\epsilon เ \nu \alpha \iota ~ T \nu \rho!\omega^{-}$ $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu$ $\pi \alpha \rho$ avtols $\tau \omega \nu$ olv $\omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon$
50 ра єוval $\gamma \alpha \rho$ єкєl $T I \nu \alpha$ $\phi \iota \lambda o \xi \epsilon$

 $\epsilon \nu \tau \alpha v \theta \alpha$ тои $\mu v \theta o v \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$［ $\pi \alpha \nu$ oбov ATтıkov єival סo

55 кє८ $\epsilon \pi \iota$ тоvтoע $\eta \kappa \in \nu$ o $\triangle \iota o \nu[v$ $\sigma o s[\tau о] \nu$ ßоико入ov o $\delta \epsilon \alpha u \tau[\omega]$ ， $\pi \alpha \rho[\alpha \tau l] \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad$ o $\alpha \alpha$ $\gamma \eta$ трєфєו каı $\mu \alpha \S[0 l]$ ßow $\pi$ отоv $\delta \epsilon \eta \nu[\pi] \alpha$ $\rho$ avtols otov kat o ßous $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu\left[\epsilon^{-}\right.$ 60 ou $\pi \omega$ रap to $\alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu 0 \nu \eta \nu$［ $\kappa \alpha l$（？）
－पlovvoos $\epsilon \pi a \iota v \epsilon t ~ \tau \eta s$ фi入o［
 $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ тротєเขl кv入ıка філотך бlav to $\delta \epsilon$ тотоs olvos $\eta \nu$［o
$65 \delta \epsilon \pi \iota \omega \nu[\nu] \phi \quad \eta \delta o \nu \eta s \beta \alpha[\kappa \chi \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ $\tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota[\lambda \epsilon \gamma] \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \circ s \quad \tau \sigma[\nu \quad \theta \epsilon 0 \nu \pi о$ $\theta \epsilon \nu \omega[\xi \epsilon] \nu \epsilon$ бol $\tau 0[v \delta \omega \rho$ тоито то $\pi о \rho \phi \cup \rho о v \nu \pi 0 \theta[\epsilon \nu$ out $\omega s$ $\epsilon \cup \rho \epsilon s$ aıرа $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v$ o［v $\gamma \alpha \rho$ єбтוv
7० єкєเขо то $\chi$ Х $\mu \alpha \iota ~ \rho[\epsilon о \nu$ то $\mu \in \nu$ ，
$\gamma \alpha \rho \in s \quad \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau[\alpha \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \quad \tau \eta[\nu \quad \eta \delta o \nu \eta \nu$ тоито $\delta \in ~ к \alpha[l] \pi \rho o ~ \tau о[v ~ \sigma \tau о \mu а т о S ~$

75 T $\mu \epsilon \nu$ 廿uхpov $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \iota^{\prime}[\epsilon \iota S \tau \eta \nu$ $\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ $\delta \epsilon$ кат $\alpha$ Oор［оv $\alpha \nu^{\prime} \alpha$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \delta[0 \nu \eta s \pi v \rho$ кац o $\Delta$ lovvaos єф $\left[\right.$ тоvт є $\sigma \tau \iota^{-}$ $o \pi \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$ v $\delta \omega \rho$ тovт［o $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ al


Col. iii.
$\tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda 0 \nu$ т $\operatorname{\tau ov}\left[\tau 0 \quad \mu \in \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota^{-}\right.$
$85 \epsilon \phi \eta$ то $\ddot{v} \delta \omega \rho$ тov $[0 \quad \delta] \epsilon[\eta \pi \eta \gamma \eta$
o $\mu \in \nu$ ouv oivos outc[s $\epsilon \in \mathcal{S} \alpha$
$\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ous $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ [ $\omega$ s o Tupı
$\omega \nu$ 入oyos єорт $\eta \nu \delta \in[a \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$
$\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ [ $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \omega$

ovv o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi[\alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup$
$\alpha \sigma \alpha S$ єS $\tau 0$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu 0 \nu \in \tau v \chi[\epsilon \nu \pi o$
$\lambda \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ к $\alpha \iota$ кр $\alpha \tau \eta \rho[\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon$
Өךкато їєроу тои $\theta \epsilon о[v$ тодขтє
$95 \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ тор Глаvкоv то[v Xєiov
§єvtєpov vє入ov $\mu \in \nu$ [то $\pi \alpha \nu$
єрүор ор $\omega \rho v \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$; [кик $\lambda \omega$
סє $\alpha \nu \tau 0 \nu \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda 0 \iota \pi \epsilon \rho[\iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$
фоv $\alpha \pi$ avtov тоv $\kappa[\rho] \alpha \tau \eta[\rho o s$

$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \rho \in \mu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu[0 \iota \quad$ о $\mu$
$\phi \alpha \xi \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$ avt $\omega \nu$ єкабтоs $\left[\begin{array}{l}\epsilon \phi\end{array}\right.$ o

¿є $\epsilon \gamma \chi \epsilon \eta$ S olvov ката [ $\mu \iota к \rho о \nu$
$10_{5}$ о $\beta$ от $\rho \cup s$ итотєрк $\alpha \xi \epsilon \tau[\alpha \iota$ кає
$\sigma \tau \alpha \phi u \lambda \eta \nu$ тоע орфака [по८є८
$\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma o s ~ \delta \epsilon ~ \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau v \pi \omega \tau[\alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu$
$\beta о т \rho \nu \omega \nu$ i $\pi \eta \sigma \iota \circ \nu$ เva [ $\tau \eta \nu$
$\alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ o८v $\omega \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma[\eta \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$
1 IO $\delta \in$ tov Totov каlpos $\eta \nu[\omega \nu$ о


```
    \epsilon\ell \pi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha \epsilon[\rho]\omegaт\iotaKO\nu \epsilon\nu[\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\sigma
    \sigma\epsilonl т\alpha \epsilonкт\omega\muат\alpha к\alpha\iota то \mu[\epsilon\nu \epsilon
    \muо\nu т\eta кор\eta \pi\rhoотו0\eta\sigma\iota [то \delta\epsilon
II5 \epsilonкє\iota\nu\etaS \epsilon\muO\iota к\alpha\iota \epsilon\gammaX\epsilon\omega[\nu \alpha\mu
    фот\epsilon\rhoо\iotas к\alpha\iota к\in\rho\alpha\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu[оs
    \omega\rho\in\gamma\in\nu \epsilon\gamma\omega \delta\epsilon \epsilon\pi\epsilon\tau\eta\rho[\eta\sigma\alpha 9.2
    то \mu\epsilonроs тоv єкт\omega\mu\alphaт[оs \epsilon\nu0\alpha
    [\tauо X\inו\lambda]os \eta \pi\alpha\rho0\in\nuos [\piו\nuоv
I 20 [\sigma\alpha \pi\rhoo\sigma\epsilon0]\etaK\in\nu \in\nu\alpha[\rho]\muo[\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon
    [\nuOS \delta\epsilon \epsilon]\nu\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\nuO\nu а\piо[\sigma\tauо\lambda\iota
    [\mu\alpha\iotaо\nu \tau]оvто ф\iota\lambda\eta\mu\alpha то[\iota\omega\nu к\alpha\iota
    [\alpha\mu\alpha к]\alpha\tau\epsilonф८\lambdaоv\nu то єк\pi[\omega\mu\alpha
    [\eta
```

1. 2. катєпаเสоע.
1. кає: $\mu \eta$ MSS.
aypıavŋs: so MSS.; ảypıávŋ H(ercher).
2. $\epsilon \nu$ : so MSS. ; кảд H.
3. єiХєע: om. MSS.

4. $\omega s$ : $\bar{\omega} \tau \pi \epsilon \rho$ MSS.
5. a $\lambda_{\eta}$ ews: àkpıß̄̄s MSS.

6. v of $\psi v \chi \eta$ s was corrected.


7. ทбӨєє
8. $\sigma v[\delta] \epsilon \nu: \mu \eta \delta \in \varphi, \operatorname{MSS}$.
9. [ $\epsilon] \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho a s ~ \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s: ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ той $\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu о \nu$ каирòs $\hat{\eta} \nu$ MSS.
10. $\eta \nu$ रap ктд. : the papyrus here reverts to Ch. 2. I of the ordinary text.
11. тоу $\gamma$ ap: the papyrus confirms Jacobs's transposition, which is adopted by H.
$40-\mathrm{r} . \pi \omega$ : so Mlon. Angl., $\pi о \tau \epsilon$ Flor. and others, H. At the end of the line the papyrus seems to have agreed with the reading of Mon. Angl. Mediol. ö $\pi o v \mu \eta \pi \omega$. The choice lies between ono[v] and oтє, and öтє oü $\pi \omega$ was actually conjectured by Jacobs. But $0 \pi \circ[v]$ appears to suit the remains slightly the better, and, since that reading is already attested, it has the stronger claim. nüm $\begin{gathered}\text { Flor., omitting ömov. H following Cobet rejects }\end{gathered}$ oü $\pi \omega \pi a \rho$ ' aủroìs.
12. Bi $\beta \lambda[\imath] a s:$ so MSS. ; Bi $\beta \lambda i \nu \eta s \mathrm{H}$.
13. Xєוov: so MSS. ; H inserts tón, which was desiderated by Jacobs.
 which is applied to vina by Pliny, N. H. xxiii. 1. 22.
14. 15. Iкapov: the $\imath$ probably came in from I. 52.
1. a $\nu \rho \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ : so MSS. ; nj $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{H}$ with Jacobs. It may be noticed that Jacobs's other
suggestion that $\dot{\alpha} \nu(\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi) \omega \nu$ might have arisen from oiv $\hat{\omega} \nu$ is put out of court by the papyrus， since $\dot{\alpha} \nu(\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi) \omega \nu$ would not occur in a non－theological MS．of this date．

49．avtous：à̉toís фûvau MSS．


52．каи тоу：каї тойтоу MSS．
54．тау ．．．סокє七：so Flor．Mon．Angl．；тatépa ．．．סoкєî̀ Vat．Mediol．Thuan．H reads $\pi a \tau \notin \rho a$ and ejects öซoע ．．．סокєir．

57．т $¢ є \phi \in t: \phi \bar{\rho} \rho \epsilon$ MSS．
58．$\mu a \xi[0 \tau]$ ：the MSS．have $\tilde{a} \mu a \xi n$ ，which is clearly a corruption ；it is singular that no one seems to have thought of $\mu$ coós before．

60．$\alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu o \nu$ ：Jacobs strangely preferred $\dot{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \iota o \nu$（Mediol．）；ä $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ Flor．There would be room for kat，which is not in the MSS．，at the end of this line．Possibly the кає which Flor．inserts before $\epsilon \pi a v \epsilon t$ has been misplaced．

62．тоцнеуа：ßочкó入oд MSS．
63．тротєiv：so MSS．；тротivє H with Cobet．
64．тoros：cf．Flor．，in which os is written above $\pi$ orov．
68－9．$\pi \circ \theta[\epsilon \nu \ldots \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v:$ so MSS．；om．H．
76．ava］$\pi \nu \in \iota$ ：so MSS．；àvá $\tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ Cobet，H．
77．$\eta \hat{\delta}$ ou $\bar{s} \pi v \rho: \pi \hat{\imath} \rho \hat{\eta} \delta$. MSS．
80．Botpvos：ßotpv́wv MISS．H omits toîtó évtıv aîma ßotpv́wr．

92．єs：so Flor．Vat．；whether the papyrus had es or $\epsilon$ ts in 11.7 .5 and 86 cannot be determined．This line is somewhat short even with the $\nu \bar{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{v} \nu$.


94．«єрои：ті̀v $i \in \rho$ ．MSS．
$\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon] \lambda \eta$ ：om．MISS．
96．vєגov：vá̃́дou MSS．
99．a avtov rov：so Mon．Angl．，H ；änò tô̂ Flor．Mediol．Vat．Thuan．

102．$\epsilon \phi$ ？o］$\sigma$ on ：öroo MSS．，but this does not sufficiently fill the line．
103．$\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v:$ 别 MSS．
104．olvov：oivou MSS．
ro6．тоע：so Mon．Angl．；тív Flor．Vat．Mediol．，H．
107．$\delta \in: \tau \in \mathrm{MSS}$ ．
ro8．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma t o v$ ：om．MSS．＇Praepositionem excidisse suspiceris，＇Jacobs．
109．olva ：om．MSS．
At $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ the papyrus goes on to Ch． 9 of the ordinary text．Possibly $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ was read instead of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ ，which makes the line a little long．


III－I2．$\pi o t]_{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a: \pi \iota \pi \neq \epsilon \in \hat{\imath}$ MSS．omitting $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a$ ；there would perhaps be room for $\tau \iota$ before $\pi o t$ at the end of l．ini．

II 2．$\epsilon \nu[a \lambda \lambda a \sigma] \sigma \epsilon \iota: \delta \iota a \lambda \lambda \kappa i ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ MSS．；cf．$\epsilon \nu \eta \eta \lambda \lambda a \xi \in \nu$ a few lines lower，where Mon．Angl． have $\delta \delta^{\prime} \eta \lambda \lambda a \xi \in \nu$ ．

117．$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \rho โ \eta \sigma a: ~ \epsilon ं \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ MSS．
 120－1．The MSS．have évap $\mu \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ë̃ $\pi \nu \nu \nu$ ，but this does not suit the papyrus，
in which $\nu$ is clear before $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. Since the finite verb $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \rho[\eta \sigma a$ was written in $1.117, \delta \epsilon$ is required after the participle. As an alternative to the supplement adopted $\epsilon \nu[\rho] \mu 0[\sigma a s \delta \epsilon \mid$ то $\epsilon \mu$ о] may be suggested.
121. amo[бтодıцаıov: so MSS.; émıбт. H with Cobet.

1251. Cicero, In Verrem II. ii ANd Pro Caelio.

Pro Caelio Fol. I $28.7 \times 22.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fifth century.
These fragments evidently belonged to the same MS. as 1097 -part of a leaf from a papyrus book containing the end of the De Imperio Cn. Pompei and the beginning of the In Verrem II. i. The new pieces are fortunately both more extensive and of greater intrinsic valuc. A small fragment from the commencement of the Second Verrine is comparatively insignificant, but there are also considerable remains of two consecutive lcaves from the Pro Caelio, a speech which is to the textual critic of unusual interest. For this oration the prime extant authority is a Paris MS. of the ninth century ( P ), from which are derived, perhaps with a few additions from other sources, three others of the twelfth or thirteenth centuries (e, g, h; $\pi=$ the consensus of these). Numerous variants from another early MS., now lost, which was in the Cluny monastery, have been preserved, as Clark has recently shown (Anecd. Oxon., Classical Series x, and the preface to his Oxford edition of the speech), in Parisinus 14749 ( $\Sigma$ ), and some extracts made by Bartolomaeus de Montcpolitiano from the Cluny MS. have also survived (B). Thirdly, there are fragments of two palimpsests, at Milan (A) and Turin ( T ), which appear to have stood in close relation to the Cluny text (cf. Clark, Anecd. Oxon. x, introd. p. 29). We have thus two main streams of tradition, one represented by a Caroline MS. of early date, the other by a witness which was in all probability pre-Carolingian (Clark, op.cit., p. 17), and at any rate nearly allied to the old palimpsests, which go back to the fourth and fifth centurics. What is the relation between these and the papyrus?

A priori this might have been expected to show a strong affinity with $\Sigma$ and the palimpsests, but this expectation is realized only with considerable limitations. As is so often seen in papyri of extant Greek authors, the text of 1251 proves to be of a remarkably mixed character. Of the certain agreements with $\Sigma$ (or B) against P and its congeners, the more striking are 1.7 probem (probabam P ), 1.21 et copiose (om. P), 1. 73 facis . . . arguis (om. P), 1. 77 pracceps (praccipiti P), 1. 107 ut (om. P), l. I to libet (liquct P), 1. I 47 quoniam (quandam P), l. 166 labor affendit (labove fiendi P), 1. 171 nikihe (nikil P). On the other hand notable coincidences with P against $\Sigma$ occur in 1. 28 ne (tam ne ご), 1.40 sed (terum $\Sigma$ ), 1.87 parasti (paratos $\mathbf{\Sigma B}$ ), 1. 94 disce (dissice У), 1. 117 aliqua (alia $\mathbf{\Sigma T}$ ), 1.120 dicendi (verbo-
mem $\mathbf{\Sigma}), 1.146$ iam (hoc $\mathbf{\Sigma}), 1.154$ quae vestra prudentia est (quae vestrae si prudentiae $\Sigma$ ), 1. 167 hic (om. इ), 1. 212 evat (fuit इ), 1.219 illa (alia ע), 1. 229 non (om. $\Sigma$ ). In 1.22 the reading of P, habeat, is written above habet, the reading of $\Sigma$. With regard to T, three readings hitherto peculiar to that MS. are found, 1. 128 putaverunt (putabunt), 1. $23+$ locisque (locisvc), 1.238 L. Luc(c)ei testimonium (test. L. Luccei), but these are compensated by divergences in 11. 97, 10.5, 112 , 237. In a few places, too, variants hitherto dependent on one or more of the later authorities (the second hand of P and the members of the $\pi \delta$ group) are reproduced, 1. 25 de praevaricaitione (e), 1. 75 acta ( $\pi \delta \delta), 1.80$ tuis ( $\left.\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta\right), 1.99$ effregit ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta \delta$ ), 1. I 37 rei (eg), 1. 158 disputo ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$ ), 1. 201 L. Luc $(c) e i\left(\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta\right)$. In several others, traditional lections which have been emended by modern critics reappear; cf. $11.3,4,3^{8}, 78,83,99,209$. The readings peculiar to the papyrus are singularly unimportant. Apart from the more obvious errors, of which there is a fair sprinkling (cf. $11.19,23,29,35,40,47,86,103,108,144,165,172$ ), they consist mainly of variations in the order of words (ll. 18, 23, 26, 54, 85, 86-7, 95, 97, $97-8,22 \mathrm{I}-2$ ) and omissions (11. 35, 47, 48, 74, 75, 94, 100, 110, 134, 161 (?), 210). There remain 1. I5 eruant (evertant), 1. 38 voluit (potuit, the MSS. reading, is superscribed), 41 virtute (prudentia), 1. 51 mallet (malit), 1. 90 ac (atque), 1. 92 nequaquam velis (nequiquam velim), 1. 94 decede (dide), 1. 96 cessisse (decessisse), 1. 97 ista maledicta (tam maledica), 1. 165 etiam (om. MSS.), 1.205 in (ob $\Sigma \delta$, ad $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi$ ), none of which carry conviction, though etiam in 1.165 might be worth consideration.

To sum up these results, the text of the papyrus is not distinguished by its accuracy, being especially prone to omission ; neither is it at all remarkable for valuable readings unknown from other sources. Its salient characteristic is its heterogeneousness. While sharing not seldom the excellences of $\Sigma$, it has side by side with these a number of distinctive $P$ readings, some good, others bad, and occasionally carries back to the fifth century the tradition of still later authorities. The high antiquity of the bulk of the variants is the chief lesson of the papyrus.

A description of the script of this MS. has already been given in the introduction to 1097, and it is now only necessary to add a few palaeographical details disclosed by the new fragments. The height of the leaf was there estimated at about 29 cm. ; and this is approximately the measurement of Fol. I, though the margins remaining at the top and bottom are probably not of the full depth, and the leaf may originally have been well over 30 cm . in height. Its breadth is rather greater than was supposed in the case of 1097 , being about 23 cm ., while the column of writing has a width of about 17 cm . There is a corrsiderable variation in the length of the lines, which are irregular not only at the ends but to some extent also at the beginnings; on the verso of Fol. I the column leans over
markedly to the left, whereas on the recto there was apparently a strong tendency in the opposite direction. The scribe was at surprisingly little pains about an even appearance, and would commence one line a couple of letters in front of its predecessor. Owing to these irregularities, the point of division between two lines, when beginning and end are both missing, is often very uncertain. Another characteristic of the writer was a tendency to write $a$ and $u$ above the line ; c.g. 1l. 40. $42.47,64,75,78,81,160,172$, and 1097. 60 -an instance which in the light of 1251 can now be understood. This suspension of $a$ and $u$ is found in Latin cursive from the fourth century onwards, and was thence adopted by the ' national' Latin hands. A few abbreviations not already exemplified by 1097 are found, the most noticeable being $t \bar{m}$ for tamen, $t \bar{b}$ for $t i b i$, and $i g$. for igitur. It may be remarked that the spelling -es, not $-i s$, is regularly used in the accusative plural of $i$-stems of the third declension. This and other minor orthographical details like adque, inmensa are not, as a rule, noticed in the appended collation, for which the Oxford cdition has been used, supplemented occasionally by that of Baiter-Halm.

In Verrem II. ii.

Recto.
rel i frumentariae
Car'taginc deleta
quo's victoria pr] $R$,
conlocaret

Verso.

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 \text { potucrit qui } \\
\text { ] propugnatore }[s] q^{\circ} \\
\text { ] appareret } \bar{n} \text { tian } \\
\text { ] provinciam } \\
\text { ] milua }[12
\end{gathered}
$$

Compared with Peterson's text, the only variant is the spelling Car]tagine for Carthagine.

## Pro Caelio.

Fol. 1. Recto.
tio illa silvestrịs ante 'es $t$ instituta quam lumanitas adq> leges si quidem $\bar{n}$ modo nomina de
fermit inter se sodales sed ctidam commemorant sodalitatem in accusando ut ue quis id
[forte nesciàt timere zideatur iscd hacc omitto] add illa quae me magis moverunt re
[spondeo deliciarmm obiurgatio fuit longa elt ca [enior plusq> disputationi]s hara‘buit qua]?

5 [atrocitatis] quo etiam audita $\bar{e}[$ atte $] u t i \overline{[ } u s \quad]$ nam $[P$. Clodius amicus meus $c[u] m$ se gravissime
[vehem]enit [iss]?ineq, iactaret et omn[ia i]nflamma[tus ageret tristissimis ve]rbis voce
[maxi]ma tametsi probem cius eloquentiam tamen [non pertimescebam aliquot] cnim in causis [
$[e u m]$ videram frustra litigante[m $t] \overline{\bar{b}}$ a[u]tem Balbe [respondeo primut]?̣ [pre]cario si lice[t
[si fas] est defondi a me eum q[ui mullum con]vivium ![nierit qui ung]?enta sumpserit qui
10 [Baias vi]derit equid multos e[t vidi in hac civit]ate et [audivi] no[n modo q]ui primoribus labris [
§ 28
[gusta]ssent genus hoc vita[e et extremis ut] dicit[ur digiti]]s at [tigissent se]d qui totam adu
[lesce]utiam voluptatib. d[edissent emersiss]e aliqu[ando et se ad bonam f]rugem ut dicitur rece[ $[p$ is
[se gravesq>] homines [atq>i]nlust [res fuissc] datur en[im concessu ommi]um huic aliqui
[ludus aetati] et ip[s]a na[tura] prof [undit adule]scentiae culpiditates quae si it]a erumpunt ut
${ }_{15}$ [mullius vitam lab]ef[acte]nt [nullius] domum eruant [faciles et tolerabilcs ha]beri solent
[sed tu] milui vid[ebare ex commun infam]? iurentutis ali[quam invidiam Caelio veljle con
flare itaq> o[mne illud silentium quold è orationi tr[ibutum tuae fuit ob cam cautșam quod
uno proposit[0 reo de multorm vitios co]gitabamus [facile est accusare luxurie]n dies iam
me confociat si [qu]ae [dici in eam senten]tiam poss[unt coner expromere de cor]ruptelis [de]
20 [adut $]$ ter $[$ iis $] ~ d[e p]$ roter $[$ vitate de s]umptib inmensa o[ratio est ut tibi reum neminem] sed vitia [. .]
[prop]onas res tiñ ipsa et copiose et graviter accusari pote[st sed vestrae sapientiae iud? est $\bar{n}$
[abduci ab reo wec] quo[s ac]uleos habéet severitas grav[itasq, vestra cum cos accusator erexcrit
[in rem in vitia in] mor[e]s in tempore in hominem et in re[um emittere cum is Iu suo crimine sed mul
[torum vitio sit in quo]ddam odium iniustum vocatus it [aq> eqo severitati tuae ita ut opor
${ }_{25}$ [tet responder]e $\bar{n}$ audeo erat enim meum de pracvarica[tione adulescontiae vent?
[q> petere non inquam aude]? $\bar{n}$ perfugiis utor aetatis concessa [o]m! $[$ ibus $i] ?[r a$ d] ㄹmitto tant[um
[peto ut si qua $\bar{e}$ invidia com]munis hoo tempore aeris alieni petulantiae libidinum iu[vent]
[tutis quam video $\overline{e e}$ magn]am he huic aliena peccata ne actatis ac temporum vitia
[noceant atq> ego idem qui k]aec postulo quae in criminib. quae in lunc proprie feruntur
30 [diligentissime respondeam $\bar{n}$ rec]uso sunt autem duo crimina auri ct veneni in quib. una
[adq- eadem persona versatur a]urum sumptum a Clodia venenum quesitum quod
[Clodiae daretur dicitur ominia sulnt talia $\bar{n}$ crimina sed maledicta iurgii petulantiss
[magis quam publicae quaestionis aldulter inpudicus sequester convicium è non accusatio
[nullum è cnim fundamentum ho]rum criminum mul[l]ae sedcs voces sunt contumeliosae
35 [temere ab irato accusatore emis]so horum duorum criminum aideo fontem § 31
[video auctorem video cortum nome]n et cap[u]t auro opus fuit sumpsit a Clodia sumpsit sine
[teste habuit quamdiu voluit maximum vi]deo signum cuiusdam egregiac familiari
[tatis necare candem voluit quaesivit $]$ vencnum sollicitavit quos $\llbracket \stackrel{p}{\nu}] \mid \sigma[i] / 2 u$ it paravit
[locum constituit attulit magnum ru]rsus odium video cum crudelissimo discidio exstissc
40 [res $\bar{e}$ ommis in hac causa nobis iud cu]m Clodia mulicri non solum nobili sed etiam nota de $q^{u} a$
[ego nihil dicam nisi depellendi crimin]is causa sed intellcgis pro tua praestanti virtute § 32
[Cn. Domiti cum hac sola rem $\overline{e e} n$ lobis quac si $\llbracket s]$ se aurum Caclio commodasse $\bar{n}$ dicit si venenentm
[ab hoc sibi paratum $\overline{c e}$ non ar]g̣[ui]t petulanter facimus si matrem familiain secus quam
[matronarum sancti]ta[s] post[u]lat nominamus sin ista mulicre remota nec crimen
$u l l![u m]$ nec opes ad opp[u] gnand[u] $[$ Caelium illis relinquun[t]ur q̣[uid ē ali]ud quod nos patroni
facore debcamus nisi ut cos qui insectantur repellamu[s qui]od q[uidem face]rem vehementius
nisi inter[ced]erent inimicitiae cum istis mulieris viro fra[trem volui dicere s]emper hic er [ro] $n^{u l n c}$
[agam modice nec long]?[u]]s [prog]rediar quam mea fid[es et causa ipsa coget nec enim mulicbres $i$ ]ni
[micitias mihi gerendas puta]vi praesertim cum ea q[uam omnes semper amicam ommium]
50 potius quam cuiusquam inim[ica]m putaverunt. se[d tamen ex ipsa quaeram prius utrum me sccu]m § 33
severe et gravitcr et prisce age[re] mallet an remiss[e et leniter et urbane sin illo austcro more ac]
modo aliquis milii ab inferis cxcitandus est ex ba[rbatis illis non hac barbula qua ista delccta
[tur sed illa horrida qua]?̣ in statuis antiquis adq[> imaginibus videmus qui obiurget mulierem
[et qui pro me loquatur ne mih] ]i forte ista suscenseat [exsistat igitur ex hac ipsa familia aliquis
55 [ac potissinum Caccus ille] minim[u]m enim dolorem [capiet qui istam non videbit qui profecto si
[exstiterit sic aget ac sic l]oquetu[r m]ulier quid to cu[m Caelio quid cum homine adulescentulo
[quid cum alicno cur aut t]am [familia]ris huic fuis[ti ut aurum commodares aut tam ini
[mica ut vencnum timeres no]n pa[trem tuum] videra[s $\bar{n}$ patruum $\bar{n}$ avum proavum atavnm audieras
[consules fuisse ì deniq, modlo te [Q. Mete]lli matrimonium tenuisse sciebas clarissimi ac § 34
60 [fortissimi viri patriae]? ama[nt issimi qui sim[ui ac pedem limine extulerat omnes prope
[cives virtute gloria digni]tatc superabat cum [ex amplissimo genere in familiam claris
[simam mupisses cur tib]i Caelius tam coniunctus [fuit cognatus affinis viri tui familiaris niluil
[corum quid igitur fuit nisi] quaedam temeritas a[c libido nonne te si nostrae imagines viriles $\bar{n}$ com
[movebant ne progenies quidem] mea Quinta illa C]lauddi[a acmulam domesticae laudis in

65 [gloria muliebri esse admo]nebat [non vir]go[i]!! a Vestalis Clandia quac patrem complexa
$\left[\right.$ triumphantem ab inimico tr$\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ pl de [curru detralki passa $\bar{n}$ est cur te fraterna vitia po
[tius quam bona paterna et avita] et usq> [a nobis cum in viris tum in feminis repetita moverunt ideonc ego pacem
[Pyrrhi diremi ut tu amorum tu]rpissim[ormm cotidie foeder a fer ires ideo aquan adduxi
[ut ea tu inceste utcrere ide]o via[m munivi ut cam tu alicnis viris comitata celebrares sed

## Verso.

7o [quid cgo ind itagravem personam induxi ut verear ne se idem Ap]pius repente convertat et Caclium
[incipiat accusare illa sua gravitate consoria sed videro hoc p]osterius adq> ita iudr> ut vel severissimis
$d[$ iscept $]$ ato $[$ ribus $M$. Caeli vitan me prob]atur $[1 m e \overline{e c}]$ cónfida]n tur vcro mulicr ilam enim ipse tecum
mulla pe[r]sona [introducta loquor si ca qu]ae facis quae dicicis] quae insimilas quac moliris quiae arguis
probare co[gitas rationem tantac fam]e[l]iaritatis tantac consuctudinis reddas $a[d q>$ exponas
necesse ess[t accusatores quidem llibidines ad[u]ltievi]a Baias acta convivia ب! . . [ comu? [ssationcs
cantus s[j]mp[honia]s nave[igia iactan]t idemq. sig[nificant nihil se t]c invita dicerc quac [tu quoniam
mente nescio qua cffrenata adq[> pra]eceps in forum deforri indiciumq, zoluis$t[z]$ au[ $t$ diluas oportct
alt falsa esse doc[eas aut nihil] neq. [crimini $]$ tho [neq, testimonio c]redendum $\overline{c e}$ fatcare s[in autem
urbanius me [agere mavis si]c ag̣am tec]un? te[movebo illu]m scnom durnm ac pacnc agrestom
So cx his igitur tu[is sumam aliquem ac potissimu] minn[imum fratrem] qui cst [in is]to gencire urbanissimus
qui te amat plutrimum qui propter] nescio quan [credo timidi]tateme c]t no[cturnos quosdani ina
nes metus [tec]u[ 1 semper pusio cum maiore sorore cubitaitit cu]miputato tccum loqui quid th
multu[aris soror quid insanis quid cla]more exorsa ver[bis parvam rem magnam facis vicinum
adulesce[ntulum aspexisti candor huius t]e et proceritas v[oltus oculiqs pepulerunt sacpizs videre
85 voluisti [fuisti $\bar{n}$ numquam in isdem hortis] vis mulier un obilis illum filium familias patre parco et
tenaci h[abere tuis copiis devinctum $\bar{n}$ potes $]$ calciat $r[\operatorname{lesp}]$ uit.t $\bar{n}[p u t a t ~ t u a ~ d o] n a$. ẹe tanti confer alio
to hab[cs hortos ad Tibcrim ac diligenter] eo loco parasti quo omnis iube[n]tus [natandi causa venit
hinc lijcet condiciones cotidie legas cur] huic qui te spernit molesta e[s redeo munc ad te Caeli
$v[i c i s s i m$ ac mihi auctoritatem patria] $n$ scveritatemq, suscipio sed dub $\overline{[i t o}$ quem patrem potissimum
90 s[u]ṇ[am Caeciliamume aliquem $]$ vehementem ac durum munc en $[$ im demum mihi animus ardet
[nume menm cor cumulatur ira aut i]llum o infelix o sceleste ferrei sun $[t]$ i $[s] t i$ platres egone quid dicam
[quid velim quae tu omnia tuis foed] is facis ut nequaquam velis vix fe[rendi diceret talis pater cur
$[t] e$ in $i[s t] a m$ v[icinitatem mer]etrician contulisti cur inlecebris $[\operatorname{cognitis} \bar{n}$ refugisti cur alienam
ullam mulier[e]m u[os]ti decede ac disce per me si cgebis ṭ dolebịt $[m i h i$ sat est qui actatis quod reliqu
951 um est oblectem meae huic seni $[a x]$ tristi ac derecto responderet Cacelius se mulla cupiditate inductum
 fuit fama quotus
quisq, istam potest affugere in ista maledicta civitat[e vicinum cius mulieris male audisse
miraris cuius frater germanus sermones iniqnorum [effugere non potuit leni vero et clementi
patri cuius modi ille est fores effregit restituentur di[scidit vestem resarcietur filii causa est
100 expeditissima quid enim esset in quo se facile defe[nderet nihil iam in istam mulierem dico sed si esset
aliqua dissimilis istius quae se omnib pervo[l]garet [quae haberet palam decretum semper aliquem
cuius in hortos dommm Ḅaias iure suo libidines omn[ium co]mm[earent quae etian alerct
adulescentes et parsimonia patrum suị[s su]mpt[ib. suste]ntiaret si vidua libere proterva petu
lanter dives effuse libidinosa meretricio more v[ivere]t adulternm ego putarem si quis hanc
105 paulo liberius salutasset dicet aliquis haec ig. $\bar{e}$ tu $[$ a discip $] l$ lin $n a$ sic tu instituis adulescentes
§ 39
ob hanc causam to hunc puevum parens commendavit [et tr]adid dit] uit in amore adq> in voluptatibus
adulescentiam suam collocaret et ut han $[c]$ tu vita[n a]dq[? hale[c studia defenderes ego si quis
iud hoo robore animi adq haec indole virtutis adq[r] con[tin]e[ntiac fuit ut respueret omnes
voluptates omnemq. suac vitae cursum in labore corporis a[d] $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$in animi contentione conficeret
no quem $\bar{n}$ quies $\bar{n}$ remissio $\bar{n}$ aequalium studia $\bar{n}$ ludi $\bar{n}$ conviv[i]a $[$ delectarent nisi quod esset
cum laude et cum dignitate coniunctum hunc mea sententio di[vi]? [is quibusdam bonis instructum
$a d q$, ornatum p[uto ex hoc] genere illos fuisse arbitror Camillo[s] Fab[ricios Curios omnesq> eos qui
hae ex minim[is tanta] fe[cer]unt verum haec genera virtu[tum non solum in morib nostris § 40
sed vix iam in li[bris reperintutu]r chartac quoq> quae illan [pristinam severitatem continebant
$1_{5}$ [obsoleverul ${ }_{2}[t$ neq, solum apud nos qui h]ane sectam ration[emq, vitae re magis quam verbis secuti
[sumus sed etiam apud Graecos docti]ssimos homines quib. [cum facere non possent loqui tamen et
[scribere honeste et magnifice licebat] aliq[u]a quaedam [mutatis Graeciac temporibus praccepta ex
[stiterunt itaq, alii voluptatis causa o]mn[i]a sapientes fac[e]re diprerunt neq, ab hac orationis
§ 41
[turpitudine cruditi homines refugermin $]$ alii cum voluptate $d[$ ignitatem coniungen
120 [dam putaverunt ut res maxime inter se r]epugnantes dicendi fa[cultate coniungerent
[illud umum derectum iter ad laudem cut] labore qui probaberu[nt prope soli iam in scholis
[sunt relicti multa enim nobis blandime]nta natura ipisa g]cnuit [quib. sopita virtus coniveret
[interdum multas vias adulescenti]ac lubricas [ostendi]t qq[uib. illa insistere aut ingredi
[sine casu aliquo ac prolapsione vix p]osset mult[arum revu] [iucundissimarum varieta
[tem dedit qua $\bar{n}$ modo haec actas sed etiam ia]m corr[oborata] cap[eretur quam ob rem si quem
§ 42
[forte inveneritis qui aspernetus ocul]is pulchritu[din]enu [rerum $\bar{n}$ odore ullo $\bar{n}$ tactu
[ $\bar{n}$ sapore capiatur excludat aurib. omn]em suavitat $[e] m$ hulic homini cgo fortasse et pauci
[deos propitios pleriq autem iratos pu]taverunt ergo [haec deserta via et inculta
$[a d q$, interclusa iam frondib. et vir]gultis rel[in]quatur detur aliqui ludus aetati sit adu
[lescentia liberior $\bar{n}$ ommia voluptatib. de]ne[g]en[tur $\bar{n}$ se]mper [superet vera illa et derecta
[ratio vincat aliquando cupiditas voluptasq, 1$]$ ation[em dum modo illa in hoc genere
[praescriptio moderatioq, teneatur parcat iuve]utus [pudicitiae suae ne spoliet alienam
[ne effundat patrimonium ne faenore trucidetur] ne in[curvat in alterius domum $a d q$,
[familiam ne probrum castis labem integris infam]iamb[onis inferat ne intersit insidiis scelere

Fol. 2. Verso.
135 [careat postremo cul] par[uerit voluptatib. de]derit aliquid temporis ad ludum aetatis
$[a d q>$ ad i]nanes [hasce] adulesc[e]n[tiae cupiditates r]ev[occt se aliquando ad curlaṇ rei do
[mest $]$ abiecisse
[expe]riendo contempsisse vide[at]ur a[c multi et nostra et patrum maionumq, memoria iud sil]mmi ho
[min]es et clar[i]ssimi cives fue[ru]nt quorum [cum adulescentiae] cupp[idita]tes [def]ervissent
${ }_{4} 0$ e[xi]miae virtutes frmata iam aetate exti[terunt ex quib. neminem mi]hi libeet] nominare
v[os]met vobiscum recordamini nolo e[nim cuiusquam forti]s adq inlus[tri]s s. vir [i] ne
[min]imum $\overline{q d}$ crratum cum maxi[ma laude coniungere]. quod si facere vellem multi
a [m]e summi adq ornatissim[ $i$ airi praedicarentur quo]rum pa[rtim n]imia liber
[ta]s in adulcscentia partim p[rofusa luxuries] mag[nit]udo a[eris alieni su]mptib. libi
${ }^{4} 5$ [din]es nominarentur quac m[ultis postea virtut]ibus obiccta adulescentia]e qui vellet
$e[x c] u s a t i o n e ~ d e f o n d e[v e t]$ at ver $[0$ in $M$. Caelio dica $] m$ enim $i[$ am confidentint $]$ s de studiis
$e[i u]\}[$ [ 2 ]one[stis] quoniam [aude]o qu[aedam fretu]s vestra s[apientia libere confiter]i nulla
[luxuries reporietur n]]ulli $[$ [sump]tu[ s mullu] $]$ aes alicnum [nulla conviviorum ac lustro]rum
[libido quod qat vitium ventris ct gurgitis $\bar{n}]$ modo $\bar{n}$ min[uit aetas hominib. sed ctiam alugct
${ }_{150}$ [amores autem et deliciac quae vocant]ur quae firmiore animo pracditis diut izt
[molestae $\bar{n}$ solent $\overline{e e}$ mature enim et cel]eriter de[florescunt numquam lunc oclau
[patum impeditumq> temuenunt aud]istitis c]um pro se [diceret audistis antca cum ajccu § 45
[sarct defondendi hacc causa $\bar{n}$ ] gloriandi loquor [genus orationis facultatem copiam
[sententiarum adq, verborum q]uac vestra pruden[tia c]s[t perspexistis adq, in co $\bar{n}$ solut $]$
1:5 [ingenium chucere cius v]ide[b]atis quod sacpe etiam si in[dustria $\bar{n}$ alitur valet tanco ip]s $[4]$ ]
[suis virib sed incrat nisi] me [p]ropter benivolentiam fortc fallebat ratio et bonis artib•]
[instituta ct cur]a ẹt [vigiliis claborata atqui sci[toto iud. eas cupid]itates
[quae obiciuntur Caelio a]dq; [ha]ec studia de quib. disputo $\bar{n}$ facilc in $[c o] d[\mathrm{~cm}$ hlomine
$[\overline{e c}$ posse ficri cnim $\bar{n}$ poltes u[t a]nimus libidini deditus amore des $[i c] d e r i o$ cupidate
16o [sacpe nimia copia inopia] et [ia]m nu m[mquam inpalitus hoc quidquid est quod nos facimts
[non modo agcndo verum ct $\operatorname{ia}[m$ clogita[nd] 0 possit sustincre an vos aliam causam
[esse ullam putatis cur i]n [tant is p[rac]?nizi[s] cloquentiae tanta voluptate dic [e]ndi
[tanta laude tanta gratia tan]to honore tam sint pauci semperq. fuerint qui in hoc
[labore vorsentur obteve]n[dac sulut omnes voluptates reliquonda studia delectationis
${ }_{165}$ [ludus iocus convivium s]er[mo es]t paene etiam familiarum desevendus. qua re in hoc
[genere labor offendi]t $h[$ omi]nes a st[ud]ioq> [d]eterret non quo out ingenia def $[i] \operatorname{cian}[t]$
[aut doctrina puevil] $i[s$ an:hic $s[i]$ se [is]ti vita[e d]edisset consṣularem] hominem ad
§ 47
[modum adulescens i]n [iudici]um vo[ca]visse[t] hic si lab[orr[em fugeret] si o[b]st [rictus
[iohoptatib. tencretur in ha]c a[c]ic co[ti]die v[er]saretur a[ppeteret ini] $]=[i-$ citias in
170 [iudicium vocarct subirct pcir $[i]$ culut $[m]$ capit $[i s]$ ipse insp $[$ cctante $p>R>$ tot iam menses aut
[de salute aut de gloria dimi]caret [nihi]la[e i]g. illa vic[initas redolet nihilne hominum
[ fama nihil Baiae deniq-ipsac loqua]nt[ur] illae [ve]ro $\bar{n}$ loqu $^{21}$ a[ntur solum verum ctiant
[personant huc unius mulicri]s lib[id]inem $[\overline{e e}]$ prolapsa[nı ut ea $\bar{n}$ modo solitudinem
[ac tencbras adq> haee flagiti]oru[mi integu[m]cnta $\bar{n}$ q[uaerat sed iin turpissimis
${ }^{175}$ [rebus frequentissima colelbr[i]-[a]te et c[la]issima l[uce lactetur vorum si quis
§ 48
[est qui etiam meretricios am]or $\left[i \sigma^{\circ}\right.$ i]utcr[dic]tum iun[ventuti putet est ille qui
[dem valde severus negare $\bar{n}$ p]os[sum] sed a[bh]orret $\bar{n}[$ modo ab huius saeculi licen
[tia verum etian a maiorum co]ns[uetu]din[ $[$ a]dq> con[cessis quando enim hoc in factum $\bar{e}$
[quando reprehensum quando $\bar{n}$ permissum q]uan[do] deniq> [fuit ut quod licet non lice
so [ret hic ego iam rem definiam mulierem niullam [nominabo tantum in medio relin
[quam si quae $\bar{n}$ mupta mulier domum suam pate] fecerit om[nium cupiditati palamq.
[sese in meretricia vita conlocarit viroru]n alienissimornm convivios uti in
[stituerit si loo in urbe si in hortis si in Ba]iaru[m illa celebritate faciat si deniq.
[ita sese gerat $\bar{n}$ incessu solum sed ornatu adq. c]omit[atu ì flagrantia oculorum $\bar{n}$
185 [libertate scrmonum sed etiam complexu osc]ulatio[ne actis navigatione convi
[viis ut $\bar{n}$ solum merctrix sed etiam proterva] m[eretrix procaxq, vidcatur cum hac si
[qui adulescens forte fuerit utrum hic tibi $L \cdot H] e[r e m i$ adulter an amator expugnare
[pudicitiam an explere libidinem voluisse v]id[catur

## Fol. 2. Recto.

[sunt enim crimina una i]n mu[liere summorum fa]cin?ọum auri quod sumptum
§ 51
200 [a Clodia dicitur et vencni] quiod ciusdem Clodiae $]$ neca[n]]dae [c]aus[a parasse Cae
![i]u! ${ }^{2}$ [criminantur aurum slum? per quos Ale
xandrimu[s Dio qui tum apud Luccium habitabat $]$ ne[caret]ur magnum crim[en vel in
legatin insidia]ndiṣ [wel in servis ad hospite]ṇ[do]mini n[ec]andum solli[c]itandis plentum sce
le[ris co]nsili ume pleminn audaciac quo qd i]n crimine primum illud re[qui]?[o] dixeritne Clodiạe [ $\$ 52$
205 qu[a]m in rem aurum [sumeret an $\bar{n}$ dixcr]it si $\bar{n}$ dixit cur dedit si dixit codcnu se
conscientiae scelcre devinxit tune aurum ex] armario tuo promere ausa es tu Vencrem
illan spoliarre or]namenti[s spoliatricem cetoror]um cum scircs quantum ad facinus
aurum hioc quaererc]tur a[d necconi [legati ad L. Lucei s]anctissimi hominis adq, intcgerrimi
labem s[celeris sempite]rni huic facinori tanto tua] mens liberalis conscia tua domus
aro popular[is ministra tua dleniq, hos[pitalis illa I'c]nus adintri]x $\overline{c c}$ deb[uit ] vidit lioc Balbus
 !]udoru[m
a[urum quaerere si tam fa]miliaris era[t Clodiae quam tu $\bar{e}[\bar{e}$ vis cum de libidi]ne eitus tam
minulta dicis dixit pro]fecto quo v[ellct aurum si tam familiar]is in er $[$ at $\bar{n}$
$d[$ edit ita si verum tibi Caeliuls dixit [ 0 immoderata mulier sciens $t]$ aumm a[d faci
${ }_{215} \quad u[u s$ dedisti si $\bar{n} \bar{e}$ ausus dicere $] \bar{n}$ dedi[sti quid ego munc argumentis huic crimini
[quae sunt innumerabilia re]sistam poṣsum [dicere mores $M$. Cacli longissime
[a tanti sceleris atrocitate $\overline{e e}$ di]siunctos minime $\overline{e p} \quad \underset{c}{[r e d e n d u m ~ h o m i n i ~}$ tam in
[genioso tamq prudenti $\bar{n}$ v]enisse in mentem rem [t]an[ti sceleris ignotis alie
[nisq. servis $\bar{n} \overline{e e}$ credenda]m possum etiam illa et $c[e] t e[r] o r u[n$ patronorum et mea con
220 [suetudine ab accusatore] perquireve ubi sit congressus cum servils Lucei Caelius qui ei
fu[erit aditus] si per se qua temeritate si por alium per [quem possum ommes suspi
cio[nu] $n_{n}$ [la]tebras peragrare dicendo $\bar{n}$ causa $\bar{n}[$ locu $]$ s $\bar{n}[$ facultas $\bar{n}$ conscins $\bar{n}$ perfi
ciendi $\bar{n}$ occultandi maleficii spes $\bar{n}$ ratio ulla $\bar{n}$ v[estiginm maximi facinoris reperietur
sed haec quae sunt oratoris propria quae [miki $\bar{n}$ propter ingenium meum sed propter $\$ 5+$
${ }_{225}$ hanc exercitationem usumq> dicend[i] fructum [aliquem ferre potuissent cum a me
ipso elaborata proferri viderentur bre[vitatis causa relinquo ommia
habeo enim iud quen vos socium vestrae re[ligionis iurisq. iurandi facile
[èe patiamini L . Luceiun? sanctissimum grav[issim] $]$ ?m [qui tantum facinus in famam adq
[in] fortunas suas neq, $\bar{n}$ audisset [i]n [la]tum [a] Cael[io ne]q[? neglexisset neqtulisset an
${ }_{230}$ [ille vir i]ll a hum]anitate p[raeditu]s illi[s studiis artib adq. doctrina illius ipsius
[periculum quem propter] haec ip[sa s]tudia [diligebat negligere potnisset et quod

```
    2 lines lost.
234 [neglegeret quod in agris] locisq> p[ublicis
                            2 lines lost.
237 [ipsius iurati religione]!n auc[tor]itat[emq> percipite adq> omnia diligcnter
    testi
                                    §55
    [monii verba cognoscitc recita] L[ L L]ucei testim[onium ' quid cxpectatis
    [amplius an aliquam vocem] putati[s ip]sam p[ro se
                            3 lines lost.
    [ex inimica ex infami ex c]rudcli ex facin[crosa ex libidinosa domo domus autem
        illa quae tem
    [ptata \overline{ee scelcre isto nefa]}i[o] dic[itur}
    [ 2I letters ]......[
```

                                    Fragments.
    I. Recto.

2. Recto.

2. Verso.

3. Recto.

3. Verso.

4. Recto.

3. videatur: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta \delta$; videantur Abram, $\mathrm{C}($ lark $)$.

The $a$ supposed to belong to ad may well be assigned to omittam, the reading of $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; omitlo $\Sigma$.
4. e]t ea: so P $\pi \delta$; et co Kayser, ctiam C. Shas alienior for lenior.
7. probem: so $\Sigma$; probabam other MSS., C.
9. That the papyrus agreed with $\Sigma e g$ in reading inierit is uncertain, but the shortness
of the letter after con]vivium is in favour of $i$ as against $r$; renuerit C . with $\mathrm{h} \delta$, reminierit P .
qui in hortis fuerit, which is added by Donatus before qui unguenta sumpserit, was evidently omitted as in $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
12. dedissent ( $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ) suits the size of the lacuna much better than d[edidissent (Ascens. $3, \mathrm{C}$.). bonam f]rugem: frugem bonam MSS.
15. eruant : evertant MSS.
18. proposific reo: reo proposito MSS. But possibly reo was omitted altogether.
19. confociat is a curious corruption of deficiat. The papyrus may, however, be taken to support $P$ in the subjunctive as against the deficiet of $\pi \delta$.
20. ipsa added by $\Sigma$ after vitia (ista C.) seems to have been omitted, as in $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
21. The abbreviation of tamen is uncertain, the stroke above being lost and the $m$ especially not clear.
et copiose: so $\Sigma$; om. $\mathrm{P}_{\pi} \delta$.
iud(ices) est: the traditional order is confirmed ; est iudices Halm.
22. habet, as originally written, is the reading of $\Sigma$, habeat $\mathrm{P}_{\pi \delta}$; an $a$ has apparently been added above the line in darker ink.
23. tempore: 1. tempora. In the accepted text emittere precedes in hominem.
24. That the papyrus agreed with $\Sigma$ in inserting ego and reading ita ut oportet (ut oportet ita $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ) is of course uncertain.
25. de praevarica[tione: so e (prev.), deprecationem g ; deprecari vacationem $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}$. That the papyrus had depraevaricari for deprecari is less likely.
26. $n(o n)$ perfugiis : perfugiis non $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$, perfugiüs nihil $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$.
28. In omitting tamen the papyrus agrees with $\mathrm{P} \pi 8$; tam $\Sigma$, tamen Wrampelmeyer, C.
29. quae in criminib(us): 1. quin criminib(us).
32. ut ( $\Sigma$ ) may well have been omitted, as in $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
talia: so $\Sigma$; alia other MSS. C., illa Ernesti.
petulantis: the final letter, if it was written, has almost entirely disappeared; perhaps it was omitted.
34. There is little doubt that mullae ( $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$. ) not mulla ( $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ) was the reading of the papyrus, for though the $e$ is indistinct, mulla does not fill the space.
35. The text was apparently corrupt here. The ordinary reading is ab irato accusatore (arbitratu accusatoris $\mathrm{b}^{2} \psi$ ) nullo auctore emissae. This, however, is much too long for the lacuna, and the homoeoteleuton may easily have caused the loss of mullo auctore; and ]so is clear where ]sae is expected. Possibly an attempt at construction was made by the omission of $a b$.

35-6. fontem [video auctorem: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; auctorem video fontem C. with $\Sigma$.
38. The alteration of voluit to potuit was apparently made by the original scribe. potuit is the reading of $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$, voluit being unattested elsewhere. C. adopts Bährens's servos, potionem for quos potuit.
39. The reading of $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ completely fills the lacuna; $\Sigma$ has quam before locum, whence C. restores clam attulit. 1.exstitisse.
40. 1. muliere. sed is also found in $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; verum C . with $\Sigma$ and Quintilian.
41. virtute : prudentia MSS.
43. Whether a mark above the final $m$ of familiam is rightly interpreted as an inter-
lineated $s$ is very doubtful ; familias MSS.
45. Caetium: so apparently the papyrus, with $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta ;$ M. Caelium $\Sigma$, C.
46. $q u]$ od $q[u i d e m$ : or perhaps $q] u o d[q(u i) d(e m)$, with a space after repellamu[ $[$.
47. istis is a slip for istius. mihi, which the MSS. add after intercederent, is omitted.
48. mea : me mea MSS.
muliebris umquam is the ordinary reading, but this seems to be too much for the lacuna, and some omission is likely, the space at the beginning of the next line being sufficiently filled by the words in their usual order. It is fairly certain that miki did not precede inimicitias as in $\mathrm{\Sigma}$.
49. cum ea: om. Quintilian.

5r. mallet: malit MSS.
54. There is room for $q u i(\Sigma)$ after $e t$, but the papyrus may have agreed with $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ in omitting it.
forte ista: ista forte MSS.
57. huic: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; hutius $\Sigma \mathrm{B}$, om. Severianus, C .
58. Considerations of space make it likely that the papyrus was here in agreement with $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$. $\Sigma \mathrm{B}$ have non proavum non atavum non; non proaz. non abavum non atav. C.

67 . The line is abnormally long even with the omission of etiam, which is the only word that can well be spared. It is thus pretty clear that the papyrus had no longer verb than moverunt, which has been suspected.
73. facis . . . arguis : so $\Sigma \mathrm{b}^{2} \psi^{2}$ (quae before moliris om. $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, quae insimulas om. $\psi^{2}$ ); om. $\mathrm{P}^{1}$; facis quae dicis quae in sororem tuam moliris quae argumenta $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$. Halm's condemnation of facis . . . arguis as a 'pannus intolerabilis' constructed by 'homines Itali' out of the reading of $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$ was not happy.
74. The papyrus omits tantae coniunctionis which the MSS. read before reddas.
75. l]ibidines: libidines amores MSS. If quidem was abbreviated $q \bar{d}$, amores may have preceded libidines.
acta : so $\pi \delta$; actas P, C.
At the end of the line some alternative for or correction of comissationes was apparently interlineated.
77. pra]eceps: so $\Sigma$; praccipiti others, C .
78. aut : so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; ac C ., with Halm.
80. his igitur tu[ is: so $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$; om. tuis $\mathrm{P}^{1}, \mathrm{C}$., istis tuis Madvig.
82. Above the supposed $m$ of $e u] m$ there is a mark rather like an $a$, but this is unintelligible and may be due to accident.
83. cla]more: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; clamorem Ribbeck, C .
85. zis: so $\mathrm{P}^{1}$, C. ; visa $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$.
mulier u[obilis: nobilis mulier MSS.
86. calciat: 1. calcitrat; cf. $\mathrm{P}^{1}$, which has calcitat. The remains of letters further on in the line are doubtfully identified, but the omission of repellit ( $\Sigma B$ ) after respuit appears probable. esse dona is the order of $\Sigma$.

86-7. alio] te: to alio MSS.
87. parasti: so P ; pracparasti $\pi \delta$, paratos $\Sigma \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$. For the spelling iube $[n]$ tus cf. 1.12 I probaberu[nt.
90. ac: atque MSS.
91. The line is sufficiently filled without egone, which is repeated by $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ before quid ielim and was removed by Spengel ; but that egone was omitted in the papyrus is of course wholly uncertain.
92. nequaquam zelis: nequiquam velim MSS.
93. alicnam, which $\Sigma$ omits, apparently stood in the papyrus.
94. deccde: dide MSS. (dede $\mathrm{P}^{2}$, dideac g , dï deae e ).
disce: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; dissice $\mathbb{E}$ Puteanus, C .
por me: per me licelit P , per me licet others, por me tibi licet Francken, C .
The termination of dolebit is doubtful, the $b$ especially being questionable. The
following lacuna is well filled without Francken's addition non mihi after dolebit. At the end of the line the division of reliquum is curious, but there is no known variant.
95. seni tristi ac derecto: tristi ac dericto seni MSS.
96. l. cessisse : decessisse MSS.
97. quisq(ue) : so C. with $\mathrm{BP}^{2} \pi \delta$ ( $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ omits se mulla . . . in tam ) ; quisque est qui T .
potest effugere : praesertim effugere potest $\mathrm{\Sigma B}$, effugere potest other MSS.; effugere potest, praesertim C., effugere possit Halm.
ista maledicta: tam maledica MSS.; maledica is obviously right.
97-8. male audisse] miraris : miraris male audisse MSS.
99. patri: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; patre Schwartz, C.
effregit: so $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$; etfresit $\mathrm{P}^{1}$, ecfregit Müller, C.
100. facile: non facile MSS.; cf. 1. 2 1о.
103. 1. parsimoniam. sustentaret seems to have been the reading of the papyrus, not sustineret, which C. adopts from $\Sigma$.
105. ig(itur) e(st) : so most MSS. and Quintilian; est igitur T.
106. $t(i) b(i)$ hunc puerum parens: parens tibi hunc puerum s .
107. ut: so Ts, C. ; om. $\mathrm{P}_{\pi} \delta$.
108. haec: 1. hac. The first two letters seem to have been altered from $[i] n$, and it is noticeable that T has in hac; but the scribe may merely have begun to write indole too soon.
$a d q[(u e)]:$ ad from $a d q$. T, atque Halm, $a c \mathrm{BP} \pi \delta, \mathrm{C}$.
109. suae vitae: so $\Sigma \mathrm{B}$; vitae suae others, C .
110. conviv $[2] a$ ( $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta)$ suits the remains better than conviv $[i] u[m$ (TB, C.). At the end of the line there is evidently not room for the ordinary reading nihil in vita expetendum putaret nisi \&c., and nihil . . . putaret was presumably omitted. The similarity of delectaret nihil and putaret nisi would make this loss easy.
112. Fabricios precedes fuisse in T.

II 4. o of quoq(ue) has apparently been altered from $e$.
117. aliq $[u] a$ : so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; alia $\mathrm{Tsg}^{2}, \mathrm{C}$.
120. dicendi : verborum $\Sigma$.

122-3. The papyrus may of course have had the vulgate reading et interdum; om. et $\Sigma$, C.
128. pultaverunt: so T ; putabunt others, C .
134. Whether familiam ( $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$. ) or famam stood in the papyrus cannot be determined. In the latter part of the line ne quem vi terreat, ne intersit insidiis is the reading of the MSS., but this overloads the line considerably, and it seems clear that one of the two clauses was omitted. The recurrence of -at ne suggests that ne . . terreat is the more likely to have dropped out ; cf. note on l. ino.
136. The vestiges at the end of the line do not suggest the letters do, but no variant is known, and the termination in the next line agrees with the ordinary reading.
137. rei: so eg ; reique $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}$.
138. The papyrus with little doubt agreed with $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ in omitting et which C . inserts with $\Sigma$ before experiendo. The omission of quidem after multi with the same group is also highly probable, for although this might have been abbreviated to two letters, the supplement in the latter half of the line is already so long that any unnecessary addition is objectionable.
139. The variant of $\Sigma$, deseruissent, is unsuitable.
140. extiterunt is also the spelling of P .
$\operatorname{lib}[$ et $]$ : so $\mathrm{\Sigma}$, C . ; liquet P , necesse est $\pi \delta$. The reading is practically assured, for though the upper part of the $b$ is lost, $q$ is inadmissible, since the tail should be visible.
144. l. su]mptus.
145. obiecta ( $\Sigma$ ) not obtecta (C. with other MSS.) was most probably the reading of the papyrus.
146. i[am: so most MSS., C.; hoc $\Sigma$.
147. quoniam: so $\Sigma, \mathrm{C} . ;$ quandam $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
r50. It is quite possible that hae which is found before deliciae in $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ (om. $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$.) stood in the papyrus.
$f$ [irniore animo : or $f$ [irmo ingenio, with $\Sigma$.
${ }^{153 .}$ loquor: so $\Sigma$, C .; eloquor $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
154. quae vestra prudentia est is the usual reading; $\mathrm{\Sigma}$ has quae vestrae si prudentiae, whence C. conjectures quae zestra est prudentia.
157. atqui: so $\Sigma$, C . ; atque $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
$\mathrm{r}_{5}$ 8. disputo is the accepted reading; dispuiavi $\Sigma$, disputato $\mathrm{P}^{1}$. The 0 of h]omine has apparently been corrected.
159. l. polest . . . cupiditate.
161. Since not more than about twenty letters are expected in the lacuna, it appears probable that the scribe omitted in dicendo quoquo modo facimus, the recurring facimus giving rise to the error. This will imply that the archetype of the papyrus agreed with $\Sigma$ in reading modo facimus non which other MSS. omit.
162. The supposed $n$ of $i] n$ is not very satisfactory.
165. est paene is also the order of $\Sigma$; paene est others and C. etiam is omitted by the MSS. 1. familiarium.
166. labor offendi]t h[omi]nes: so $\Sigma$, C. ; labore fiendi homines P , labor confitendi homines eg , homines a labore studioque discendi bh $\psi^{2}$.
167. h]ic: om. $\Sigma$, against the other MSS. and Arusianus.
se: so apparently the papyrus; sese MSS. But $[i s] / i$ is not a very satisfactory reading, for though the $t$ is probable, the base of the next letter is abnormally curved for an $i$.
d]edisset : so MSS. ; dedidisset C. with Arusianus.
169. in ha]c: so $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$; hac in $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$.
ryo. It is uncertain that iam (so $\Sigma$; omit $\pi \delta, t \llbracket \cdot] \mathrm{P}$ ) stood in the papyrus.
r 7 r. nih $] i n[e$ : so $\Sigma$, C.; nihil (twice) $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$. The $i$ is joined to the $l$ by diagonal stroke which is presumably accidental.
172. loqua[ntur: 1. loquentur with the MSS.
r $7^{8-9 \text {. The reading of the papyrus here remains very doubtful. Possibly it coincided }}$ with that of $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$, as according to the arrangement adopted; but thirty-four letters in the initial lacuna of 1.179 are rather more than would be expected, and it seems not unlikely that fac|titatum est was written, with $\Sigma$, and one of the quando clauses omitted.
186. The agreement of the papyrus with $\Sigma b^{2} \psi^{2}$ in adding sed etiam . . . meretrix (om. $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ) after non solum meretrix seems probable. $m$ in this line might indeed be supposed to belong to cum further on, but the supplements at the beginnings of 11. r $87-8$ would then become considerably shorter than they ought to be, the id of videatur being clear.
201. The papyrus seems to have had $L$. before $L u c e i$ as $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi \delta$; om. $\mathrm{IP}^{1}$. For the spelling of the name with one $c$, which is found also in P and other MSS., cf. 11. 228, 238 .
203. insidia]ndis : so SB ; but the decipherment is extremely uncertain and insidia]ntes is possible.
204. $r[q u i]^{i}[0]$ : so $\Sigma, \mathrm{C}$.; if the second $r$ is rightly identified the space would be too narrow for requiram ( $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ).
205. in: ob $\Sigma \delta$, ad $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi$. In $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ quam ob . . . si non is omitted. Possibly tum may have stood in the papyrus before sumeret, as in $\delta$; tum iret $\mathrm{P}^{2} \pi$.
207. It is quite possible that the papyrus had the corrupt ceterum found in $\mathrm{P}_{\pi} \mathrm{b}$.
208. Lucei: the space is against the reading of $\mathrm{P} \pi \psi$ Lucullum.
209. sempite]rmi: so P $\pi \delta$; sempiternam C. with Pantagathus.
210. debuit: non debuit MSS. Without non the sentence could be taken as interrogative ; but cf. l. Ioo.
212. era[t: so C. with MSS. except $\Sigma$, which has fuit.

212-13. The number of letters in the initial lacuna of 1.213 is rather smaller than is expected and the $e i$ of $e i[u s$ are by no means plain; it appears, however, on the whole more satisfactory to adhere to the ordinary text than to make libidine end 1.212 and read $h[u i u s$ tam multa, sc., in 1.213 .
219. The supposed stop after credenda]m is doubtful.
illa: so $\mathrm{P}_{\pi} \psi$; alia $\Sigma$, C.
220-1. fu[erit aditus] well fills the lacuna, and therefore $e i$ probably preceded fu[erit, instead of following it, as in $\Sigma$. But the $s$ of $s i$ is unsatisfactory, the remains looking like the base of a round letter such as $c$ or $e$.

221 -2. suspicio[nu] ${ }^{2}$ [la]tebras: latebras suspicionum MSS.
226. elaborata: so C . with $\Sigma$; laborata $\mathrm{P} \pi \psi$.
228. L. Luceium: $\Sigma$ omits $L$. The letters $L u$ have apparently been written over something else.

The following words in the ordinary text are sanctissimum hominem et gravissimum testem, but this is not to be reconciled with the papyrus. Apparently there has been some omission, but that $\mathrm{grav}[$ issim $]$ am is rightly read is extremely doubtful.
229. $n(o n):$ om. $\Sigma$.
$M$., which is added by C. before Caelio with $\Sigma \mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$, seems to have been omitted in the papyrus.
${ }^{231}$. The supplement at the end of the line is of full length without illis which is read before artibus in Tร; om. $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$.
234. locisq(ue): so T; locisve others, C .
237. percipite adq(ue), which is omitted in T, is required to fill the line.
238. L[. L]ucei testim [onium: so T (Luccei), C.; testimonium L. Luccei $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$. The supplement after these words is shorter than is expected, and probably there was a considerable blank space before quid.
244. The addition of $e(s s) e$ ( T ; om. $\mathrm{P} \pi \delta$ ) is problematical.
245. The remains of this line are too uncertain to be built upon. iure [ might well be read, but the preceding vestiges are not easily reconciled with nobis, those of the first letter suggesting $e$; iur]e iura[ndo, however, is unsatisfactory on account of the distance of the $e$ from the $i$. d]evinct [a (which would presumably imply the omission of dignitatis, with $\mathrm{P}_{\pi \delta}$ ) does not appear to be suitable, still less r]ecitatu $[r$.

Fr. I. This fragment, which is from the top of a leaf, cannot be placed in the first line either of Fol. I recto or Fol. 2 recto.

# IV. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS 

(a) OFFICIAL.
1252. Official Correspondence and Declaration.

$$
27.1 \times 29.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 288-95
$$

Both the recto and the verso of this papyrus are occupied with copies of official documents. On the recto are three columns, of which the first contains a short letter, probably from the praefect Fl. Valerius Pompeianus, to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, reiterating some order, but a considerable lacuna at the beginnings of the lines leaves the precise purport obscure. This is followed by a formal declaration made by three municipal functionaries to the strategus that they had experienced no extortion from Phileas, a former official of Alexandria. .The declaration was made in consequence of an order of the praefect Valerius Pompeianus, and supplies the latest date at present known for his praefecture, which is now brought down to September 15, A.D. 289. Of the third column, written in a larger hand, only the beginnings of some lines from the lower portion remain, and a mention of the eleventh year of Diocletian (A.D. 294-5) is the only noticeable point.

The verso, in a hand perhaps identical with that of Col. iii of the recto, is of more importance. In Col. ithe remains of some short letters (one dated A.D. 289) addressed apparently to the senate of Oxyrhynchus by some high official, perhaps the praefect, are too slight to be of value. The second column, however, is nearly complete, and provides some interesting information concerning the office of cutheniarch at this period. It contains a copy of a petition from the prytanis to an unnamed praefect recounting the difficulties that had occurred in filling up the post. Of the three eutheniarchs annually required (l. 13), the order of gymnasiarchs, on whom the nomination appears to have devolved, had designated only two, who had been hardly persuaded to undertake the burden involved. Efforts to provide for the duties during the remainder of the year having failed, the pracfect is asked to intervene and to send instructions to the strategus.

On the office and functions of the municipal eutheniarch cf. Wilcken, Grundz., pp. 366-8, Jouguet, Vic municipalc, pp. 324-7. His chicf concern, no
doubt, was the bread-supply ; cf. 1252. verso 15, 908, P. Tebt. 397. 14-15. That considerable personal obligations were involved was to be inferred from 908 and P. Tebt. 397, and is clear from the tenor of the present text. The office seems to have had but a short history. First mentioned in the latter half of the second century, it fell into abeyance during the course of the third, and had only been revived, we now learn, along with the municipal áyopavouia, the year before this document was written. The latest dated mention of an eutheniarch is apparently P. Leipzig 4. 9 of A.D. 293, and the title presumably disappeared, along with the other civic $\mathfrak{a} \rho \chi a^{i}$, early in the Byzantine period.

Blank spaces are commonly left at the end of sentences in this document, but the writer is unsystematic and the blanks are not confined to places where there is a pause in the sense.

Recto.
Col. i.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| [ $\left.\tau \eta \gamma{ }_{\underline{\omega}}{ }^{\prime} O\right] \xi \cup \rho \cup \gamma \chi^{i \tau o v} \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| [. . . . . . . . .] . $\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha$ тєто入 $\mu \eta[. . . .].[\alpha \nu$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| [. . . . . . . . .] $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma$ о $\tau$ тò $\kappa \in \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \mu \epsilon ́-$ |  |
|  |  |
| [..........] ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $\rho \omega \omega \sigma$. |  |
|  |  |
| [ $\triangle \iota 0 к \lambda \eta \tau \iota \alpha \nu \circ \hat{v}] \kappa \alpha \grave{\} M \alpha \xi \iota \mu \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\{\nu\}$ |  |
|  |  |

Col. ii.

- $\alpha$
 $\kappa \alpha[i]$. Ө́́vıov $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s$ є́ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \tau[0 \hat{v}$ '̇ $\nu$ ' $H \lambda \epsilon \nu-$
 є́к $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ خे $\gamma \epsilon \mu 0 \nu i ́ a s$.

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ Avjp $\lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ Ө $\omega \nu i o v к \alpha i ̀ ~ ' Н \rho \alpha к \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta o v ~ \alpha ’ \mu \phi[0]-~$
 $\tau \rho เ \omega ิ \nu$ ßои入є $\frac{\tau}{} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho \hat{\alpha} s)$ каi $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ ＇O $\xi v \rho \nu \gamma \chi \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon-$

 $\mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \nu \omega s$ रрá $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ то̂̂ $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \eta-$

 $\tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ ن ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \Phi \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ o v ~ a ̈ \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha a s \quad \eta ้ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i$ ס̀̀ $\mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi^{\dagger} \rho \alpha \nu$
 $\mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́(\tau \omega \nu) \pi \rho о \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \quad \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon(\nu \alpha\rangle \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \iota \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon-$




 Av̉токра́торо［s］Kaíбароs Taïov Aúpך入íov Ov̉a入єрíov








 37．$\ddot{\pi} \pi$ Pap． 39．yaiov Pap．

I．$\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho a: ~ s c . ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi எ \sigma \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ．The preceding word is apparently a place－name，the whole line being a heading like ll． $16-19$ ．

2．For the praefect Valerius Pompeianus cf．1． 2 7，888，P．Amh．I 3 h，Cantarelli，La serie dei prefelti，ii，p． 13 ．

7．e．g．$\epsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathrm{fi} \mathrm{\lambda au}$ ．
10．The sentence may be negative，$\left.{ }^{i v} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\prime}\right]$ s．
Col．ii．＇Statement of proofs with regard to Theon，Heraclides，and Thonius，late
overseers of the fort at Elensais (?), concerning the fact that nothing was extorted from them by Phileas, in accordance with the order of the praefect.

To Aurelius Heraclides, exegetes of Alexandria, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Thonius and Aurelius Heraclides, both exegetae, and Aurelius Theon, chiefpriest, all three councillors of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, formerly overseers of the fort at Elensais. Whereas to-day, the 18 th, you summoned us, and in your memoranda read a letter of our most illustrious praefect Valerius Pompeianus in which he gave orders that if we had suffered extortion from Phileas, ex-magistrate of Alexandria, we were to come with the proofs, but if not, we were to remain at home, and we forthwith declared in your memoranda that we had not suffered any extortion from Phileas, but you desired us to state this fact also in writing, we again affirm our former declaration, that nothing has been extorted from us by him, and we request that these facts should be made known to his highness. The 6th year of the Emperor Caesar Gaius Aurelius Valerius Diocletianus and the 5th year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Valerius Maximianus Germanici Maximi Pii Felices Augusti, Thoth r8.' Signatures of Thonius, Heraclides, and Theon.
8. [r]aùtó cannot be read.
${ }^{1} 5$ sqq. The number of the column is inserted at the top. What follows is in a hand not certainly different from that of Col. i , though no doubt it was written on a different occasion.
16. $\pi$ ( $\rho$ ós) seems to suit the sense and construction better than $\pi(\epsilon \rho i)$, but the abbreviation is written practically in the same way as in l. 18, and $\pi(\epsilon \rho i)$ may be meant.
17. 'H $\left.\lambda_{\epsilon \nu}\right]$ ]án: cf. l. 25. A local ф ${ }^{2}$. is hardly to be obtained.
 íтоципратьбноi'; cf. Wilcken, Philolog. 53, p. го3.
 $\delta \in i ̂ \theta a u$, Acts xxvii. $10 \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \bar{\omega}$ ö̃ $\iota \ldots \mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.

Verso.
Col. i.
] $x^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \ell \nu$.

द́] $] \eta \dot{\eta} \nu 0 \chi \in \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$ oे $\nu \quad \mu \alpha \sigma i ́ a$

 $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} \beta o u \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi \tau \tau\right] \hat{\omega} \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \rho \epsilon \nu \nu$.

].

] $\mu \in ́ \nu o u s ~ \dot{v} \mu i ้ \nu ~ ф \rho o v p o u ̀ s ~$
]ą öpous סè oi $\pi \rho о-$

10. $\ddot{\nu} \mu \nu$ Pap. 11. o of ol corr. from i. 12. $\epsilon \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon /$ Pap.

## Col. ii.

$[\Pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi] \rho v \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \in[\omega]$.





 $\alpha\left[\sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega s(?)\right.$


 $\tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ каi тò $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ß $\alpha \pi 0 \delta \in i-$






 $\kappa \lambda \eta ́ \rho o v$









24. Second тo added above the line. 26. üıov (once) Pap. 34. l. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \xi^{2}$. 35. їтолоוтоу Pap.; so in 1. 36 .<br>38. і̀лєкрıvєєà Pap.

2-4. These lines so far as they go might well be supposed to refer to Col. ii. $\left.\pi \rho v \sigma^{2} v \in\right] \omega s$ should then be restored in 1. 5 ; but the subject of $11.9-12$ is apparently different.
12. A date probably followed below this line.

13-38. 'From the prytanis. The whole food-supply, my lord praefect, ought to be forthcoming for the citizens, but especially the provision of bread. You have now in the past year propitiously revived for us . . . the civic office of eutheniarch and agoranomus, which had long been in abeyance. I myself, my lord praefect, having been recently appointed by your propitious right hand to the prytany at Oxyrhynchus readily came forward to assume this crown of office and have had no other care, undertaking (?) the expenses imposed upon me for the management of the public baths and other municipal charges and the continual service of the senate in the appointment of magistrates. Now the order of gymnasiarchs has so far designated only two eutheniarchs of the three annually required, namely Heracleus son of Plutarchus and Sarapammon son of . . ., who came forward at the behest of the senate and at first declined the office but afterwards were persuaded and assumed it, and partially supplied the needs of the post which ought to be entirely contributed to the city by lot; for a period of four months is allotted to each, in order to avoid the . . trouble of collective responsibility throughout each period. Thereupon I urged Ammonius son of Ptollarion, gymnasiarch in office, from whom, it was ascertained, a month more of his superintendence of provision was due, to discharge this in the meantime in order that they might make a recovery and easily discharge the remainder of their office without reproach. But since these persons when called upon to supply the city with food during the rest of their term of office persist in their refusal, I am obliged to have recourse to your probity, begging you to [order] them through the strategus to . . .'
 ... $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a[\nu \eta] \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o \nu{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon i a \nu$.
17. For the municipal agoranomus in connexion with the markets cf. C.P. Herm. 102, Jouguet, Vie municipale, pp. $3^{27}$ sqq., and for the association of this office with that of
 might seem to lend colour to the hypothesis of a regular conjunction of the ayopavopia and ejंधnıapxia, but the latter could certainly be combined with other offices; cf. Wilcken, Grundz. p. 366.

18-19. The appointment of the prytanis was thus at least confirmed by the praefect. For $\hat{v}\left[\pi 0 \gamma^{\prime} \omega\right]_{\mathrm{s}}$ s cf. e.g. P. Amh. 135. 10, B. G. U. 731. ii. 5, C. P. R. 20. i. 7, ii. 7.
$2 \mathrm{I}-3$. As president of the $\beta$ ovińn the prytanis was largely concerned with financial business ; cf. e.g. B. G. U. 362 . xv. 2-8, C. P. Herm. 66, 67,74 , \&c. ; the first two of the latter group as well as 82 relate to the public baths, and for the connexion of the prytanis

 reading of the preceding letters has not suggested itself. In l. 23 a verb such as inovpreiv
has apparently to be supplied with rò $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega}$; for the active part taken by the prytanis in the nominations of the $\beta$ ovi $\eta$ to offices, cf. e. g. B. G. U. 8.ii. 5, 362. v. I3, C. P.R. 20.i. I2, C. P. Herm. 97.



From P. Rylands 77 it appears that in the second century nominations to the various civic offices were proposed by the кo九vá of the offices concerned, acting perhaps as delegates for the кoıvò $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. That in the present instance the designation to the post of eutheniarch proceeds from the sá ${ }^{\prime} \mu a$ of gymnasiarchs may have been due to the fact that the eutheniarchy had only just been revived and that its ráy $\mu a$ had become obsolete. Possibly during the period of abeyance the duties of the office had in some measure devolved upon the gymnasiarchs.
25. At the end of the second century, as shown by 908 , there were at Oxyrhynchus at least six eutheniarchs, and more probably twelve, exercising their functions in alternate months in two sections of six. The reduction of the number to three may have been made when the office was revived.



 C. P. Herm. 7. i. 2 , where $\pi \rho o \tau \rho €\{\pi \omega$ is to be restored.
$30-2$. The meaning apparently is that sole responsibility for four months was less onerous than collective responsibility through the year; from a financial point of view the reverse might have been expected. At the end of l. 3I $\dot{v}[\pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$, e. g., may be supplied.
32. The asyndeton is awkward, and the writer had perhaps rather lost the thread. ${ }^{\text {Ev }} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ at the end of the line suits the space better than $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu \% \nu$.
33. $\lambda o \iota \pi \dot{\nu} \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a$ : Ammonius may have held office in the previous year, when, according to 1.16 , the eutheniarchy was reinstituted.

## 1253. Military Requisitions.

$$
25.5 \times 26.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
$$

An official report presented to a praefect whose name is lost, giving particulars of certain requisitions which had been made at Oxyrhynchus by some military officers. It is not suggested that these requisitions, which were mainly though not entirely (cf. 1. 12) in money, were irregular or illegitimate; the writer merely says that the report was presented 'for information', but perhaps this mode of statement was considered the more prudent.

```
        ]v . [ ]
[. . . . . . . . . . . \tau\hat{\omega}] \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoо\tau[\alphá\tau\omega\varphi] '̇\pi\alphá\rhoX@ Ai\gammaú\pi\tauou.
```





 oüт $\omega$.
 каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \circ \rho \hat{\alpha} s \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v} \nu о \mu \iota \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \iota \alpha \quad \nu$, $10 \tau \grave{\alpha} \cdot[\ldots \theta] \epsilon]^{\prime} \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \ldots \chi^{\iota \alpha \iota \alpha} \beta$,







Х $\rho \cup \sigma \circ \hat{\nu} \quad \nu 0 \mu(\imath \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \nu$



 $\mu \epsilon \nu$, ё $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \epsilon$ кúplє.
. . [. . . .] . . [
 corr. and $\kappa \in \nu$ of $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu a p o u s$ rewritten. 1. è $\lambda \theta_{o ́ v}^{\nu} \tau a s$.


7. 1. $\lambda\left[{ }^{\circ} \gamma\right] \omega . \quad$ 8. $\theta$ of $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \iota o v}$
II. тратєऽ. Pap. I4. l. є̀ $\lambda$ ө́vта. 19. रaïavos Pap. 20. v̈ф Pap.
'To . . ., the most illustrious praefect of Egypt. It should be made known that the members of the bodyguard and of the cohorts herein following have stayed in the city of Oxyrhynchus on account of the . . . and have assembled the public magistrates next to yourself in rank and property-owners and received from them on account of travelling expenses the amounts herein written, namely : . . and Therius, centurions, who came for the adaeratio and the contribution (?), 50 solidi of gold and $2 \ldots$. paid to them through Sarapion son of Eudaemon, formerly banker; Zoillus, adjutant, 20 rugs of 6 cubits, supplied to him through Sozon, rug-merchant ; Tauriscus, member of the bodyguard, who came for the collection of corn and barley for the days from the 7 th to the 1 ith, 80 solidi of gold, of which the collector (?) was Isidorus, assistant of the praepositus of the 7 th pagus; Aquilinus, member of the bodyguard, colleague of the aforesaid Tauriscus, 50 solidi of gold, of which the collector was Gaianus, assistant of the praepositus of the $5^{\text {th }}$ pagus. The account of what was provided by each, the praepositi and the others, is with Triadelphus the chief assistant of the
strategus. We give this information in order that nothing may escape your highness, my lord praefect.'
I. The source of the report was perhaps given here ; cf. e.g. 1252. verso $\mathrm{I}_{3}$.
 not occur in Latin.


 кєขтvpiov is used; cf. Maspero, Organisation milit. de l'Egypte Byz. p. 106'. Wilcken's remarks in Grundz. p. 406 need some modification.

15. Fitoкрitov: cf. e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 1293.2 (iii, pp. ${ }^{238-9}$ ), where an account of $\sigma$ itos

 light has recently been thrown upon it by the equation with capitularius resulting from P. Thead. 22-3 (cf. Jouguet's note pp. 132-3, Wilcken, Grundz. p. 4 10), has not yet been made fully clear. The capitula were groups of proprietors responsible for supplying recruits, and the capitularii were their temporary representatives who received their contributions and conducted their business. But in the present passage there is clearly no question of the support of recruits any more than in B. G. U. $367.22 \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda(a t \omega) \tau(\eta) s) \tau o \hat{v}$ àvà $\dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$, and P. Leipzig
 applied to other official receivers or collectors of contributions and levies. The explanation exactores capitationis given long ago by Gothofredus in connexion with Cod. Theod. ii. 24.6 cefaliotis, irenarchis, logografis chomatum ct ceteris liturgis is probably after all not far from the truth.
17. $\operatorname{ko\lambda }\langle\lambda) \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha\langle\nu\rangle$ : cf. e. g. 123. 14 .
24. This line perhaps gave the date.

## 1254. Publication of an Appointment.

$$
26.7 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 260 .

A letter from two comarchs to the strategus of the Cynopolite nome, nominating a person for the duty of carrying to Alcxandria a sample ( $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma \mu a)$ of the corn collected for the Government. Prefixed to this, in a space left for the purpose by the writers of the letter, is a notice by the strategus certifying the publication of the appointment ; cf. P. Flor. 2, Wilcken, Archiv iii, p. 530.

That samples of the public corn-dues were subjected to official scrutiny was well known from e. g. 708; cf. Wilcken's remarks on that papyrus in Clerestom. pp. 508-9. It now appears that in the third century at any rate such samples were separatcly delivered at Alexandria by persons specially appointed for the duty, whose title may now be restored in P'. Strassb. 3 I. 6 (third century more
 At what period this practice was introduced is unknown. In the third century
B.C., as is shown by P. Hibeh 39.15 and 98.17 , sealed samples accompanied the cargoes of corn.

```
    [Av̉]\rho\̣{\.![o]s 'I'́\rho\alpha\xi [ó к\alpha]i [\Deltaí\deltav\muos \sigmaт\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gammaòs) Kvvo\pi(o\lambdaítov).
    \tauov̂ \deltao0\epsiloń\ell\tauos \muọ[l \pi\rhoо\sigma\alpha\gamma\gamma自\mua\tauos
    ẏ\piò̀ к\omega\mu\alpha\rho\chi\hat{\omega}\nu к\omegá\mu[\etas . \rho\tilde{v}]0\epsilon\in[\omegas
```



```
    5 \mp@code { \epsilon i s ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о к а т \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \tau о \hat { v } ~ к а \tau \alpha \gamma о - }
    \mu'́\nuOv \epsilonis \tau\età\nu \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoо\tau\alphá\tau\eta\nu 'A\lambda\epsilon\xi[\alphá]\nu-
    \delta\rhoє\iota\alpha\nu \delta\eta\muо\sigmaíov \pi
    \delta\eta\muо\sigmaí\alpha \pi\rhoóк\iota\tau\alphal, ì\nu\alpha \pi\alphá\nu\tau\epsilonя
    \epsiloni\delta\omegaि\sigma\iota к\alphai ò \alpha}\nu\alpha\deltao0\epsilonis \epsilon'\chi\eta\tau\alpha\iota
10 \tau\hat{\omega}\nu 'ُ\nu}\nu\epsilon\chi\chi\iota\rho\iota\sigma\mu\epsiloń\nu\omega\nu
```



```
        к\alphai Kv\etá\tauоv Ev̇\sigma\epsilon\beta\hat{\omega}\nu Ev̇\tauv\chi\hat{\omega}\nu
        \Sigma\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\hat{\omega\nu Xоí\alphaк а.}
2nd hand Avं\rho\eta\lambdaí\omega}\mp@subsup{}{~}{\prime}I\epsiloń\rho\alphaк\iota \tau\hat{\omega} к\alphai \Delta\iota\deltav́\mu\omega\iota
    I5 \sigma\tauрат\eta\gamma\omegaै\iota Kv\nuото\lambdaєíтоv
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha} A\dot{v}\rho\eta\lambdaí\omega\nu \sumi}\\lambda\beta\alpha\nuo\hat{v} \Pi\alpha
        \nu\epsilon\tau\beta\alphaútos к\alphaì M\epsilon\gamma\chi\epsiloń\epsilon\omegas \Theta'́}\omega\nuо
```



```
        0\epsilon\omegas \tauov \epsilon'\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\hat{\omega\tauos a ('ॄ\tauovs).}
20 \epsilonis \deltaı\gamma\mu\alphaтоката\gamma\omega\gammaí\alpha\nu \delta\eta\muо\sigmaíov
        \piv\rhoô к\alpha\tau\alphayo\mu\epsilońvov \epsiloni's \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \lambda\alpha\mu-
        \pi\rhoо\tau\alpháт\eta\nu 'A\lambda\epsilon\xi\proptó\nu}\nu\rho\iota\alpha
    \deltaí\deltao\mu\epsilon\nu \tauò\nu vi\pio\gammaє\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\epsiloń\nu0\nu ó\nu\tau\alpha
        \epsilonư\piо\rhoо\nu ка\grave{̀ \epsiloṅ\pi\iota\tau\etá\delta\iotao\nu \tau\hat{Q}}\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu
25 к\iota\nu\deltaर́v\varphi\omega Aú\rho\etá\lambda\iotaov
        \Pi\epsilońт\rhoo\nu \epsiloń\gamma \mu\etaт\rhoòs Taúplos
```




```
    'Iovvíov M\alphaкрıа\nuov каi Títov 'Iov\lambdaovíov 'Iov\nuíov Kv\etáтov
```



```
3rd hand A\dot{v}р\etá\lambda\iotao\iota \Sigmai\lambda\beta\alpha\nuòs к\alphai M\epsilon\nu\chi[\etaेs]
```




```
    \gamma\rho\alphá(\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha) \mu\grave{\eta} iठóтоs.
```

```
9. о after каи corr. from or. 17. \(\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{s}\) Pap. 26. є \(\gamma^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau \rho o s\) Pap. 29. 1. Фov入oviou for 'Iovגoviou.
```

'From Aurelius Hierax also called Didymus, strategus of the Cynopolite nome. A copy of the memorandum handed to me by the comarchs of the village of . ruthis, presenting the person named below for the conveyance of samples of the wheat belonging to the State which is being conveyed to the most illustrious Alexandria, is publicly exhibited, in order that every one may know and the person nominated may enter on his duties. The ist year of our lords Macrianus and Quietus Pii Felices Augusti, Choiak 1.

To Aurelius Hierax also called Didymus, strategus of the Cynopolite nome, from Aurelius Silvanus son of Panetbauis and Aurelius Menches son of Theon, both comarchs of the village of . ruthis for the present ist year. For the conveyance of samples of the wheat belonging to the State which is being conveyed to the most illustrious Alexandria we present the undermentioned person, being a man of means and suitable, at our own risk: Aurelius Petrus, whose mother is Tauris, aged about 30, having property worth 500 drachmae.'

Date and signatures of Silvanus and Menches.

1. The papyrus is broken above this line, but probably nothing has been lost. Cf. for the formula 1187. i sqq., note.
2. . $\rho \dot{v}] \theta_{\epsilon}\left[\omega s\right.$ : cf. l. 18. T T $\rho \dot{v} \theta_{\epsilon \omega s}$ is a possible reading, but this is only known as an Oxyrhynchite name, and the nome here concerned is the Cynopolite. The last letter of 1. I 8 may be $\iota$, not $v$.
3. $\delta є 七 \gamma \mu a \tau о к а т а \gamma \omega \gamma i a \nu:$ to the evidence for $\delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a \pi a$ of corn put together by Wilcken, l. c., P. Giessen ${ }^{15} .3 \tau \bar{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} 1 \beta \omega \hat{\omega} \nu[s] \tau \dot{o} \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a$ is perhaps to be added. The interpretation of the editors as 'plan' no doubt suits the following sentence, but this has no necessary connexion with what precedes. Cf. further P. Brit. Mus. 256 recto ( $a)_{17}$ (ii, p. 99).
4. $\pi \dot{o} \rho(o v)(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{a} s) \phi$ : evidence concerning the property-qualification of various offices is conveniently collected by Meyer in the introd. to P. Giessen $5^{8}$. He seems right in holding that $\pi$ ópos in this comexion signifies property, rather than income (Wilcken, Grundz. p. 342 ).
5. There is an appreciable blank space below this line, which was apparently not followed by a signature of an $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon$ ét $\eta$ s like those in P. Flor. 3.37 sqq., \&cc.

## 1255. Affidavit of Comarchis.

$$
16.6 \times 10.6 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 292.

A guarantec on oath, addressed by two comarchs of the village of Ision Panga to the strategus of the nome, that they would allow no produce to be removed from the village threshing-floors until the claims of the decaproti for dues to the State had been fully satisfied. The priority of the representatives of
the Government in the appropriation of the harvest was well attested for the Ptolemaic period, e. g. by P. Tebt. 27.53-64, and a similar procedure had been inferred for Roman times (cf. Rostowzew, Archiv iii, pp. 2I3-14, Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 215-16), but its clearest evidence is found in the present text, which is to be regarded as an undertaking to comply with a recognized requirement. An employment of analogous methods in the Byzantine age may be seen in 1107. ${ }^{1}$


```
                \gamma\in\nuo\mu(\epsiloń\nu\nu\varphi) \sigma\tau\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gamma\widehat{Q})
            \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}.A\dot{v}\rho\eta\lambdaí\omega\nu \Pi\alpha\piо\nu\tau\hat{\omega\tauos \Theta'\epsilon}\omega\nuоs
            к\alphaì "\Omega\rhoov 'A\rho\chi\alpha\iota\lambda\alpháov \alphá\alphaфотє́\rho\omega\nu к\omega-
```



```
    \tauos \eta ('ढтous) к\alphaì \zeta ('̈tovs). '̇\pi\epsilon\iota0\epsilon\mu\epsiloń\nuOv \sigmaov \grave{\eta}\mui\nu
```



```
    \epsilon}\nu \tau\alphaîS \dot{\alpha}\lambda\omega\nuí\alphaus \langle'\epsilon\nu\rangle \tauoîs \dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsiloń\rhoo\iotas \pi\alpha|\deltaíol
    \alpha้\chi\rho\iotas aै\nu \pi\lambda\eta\eta\rho\omega0\omegaि\sigma\iota oi \delta\epsilonк\alphá\pi\rho\omegaто\iota
```



```
    \epsiloṅк \pi\lambda\lambdá\rhoovs, к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha} \tauои̂тo ó\muо\lambdaо\gammaov̂\langle\mu\epsilon\rangle\nu ỏ }\mu\nuv́\nu
    \tau\epsilon؟ \tau\età\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu кv\rhoí\omega\nu ì\mu\hat{\nu}\nu \triangle\iotaoк\lambda\eta\tau\iota\alpha\nu0\hat{v}
```





```
    \lambdaó\mu\epsilon\nuо\nu \mu\epsilońт\rhoо\nu \alphá\piо\pi\lambda\\eta\rho\omegá\sigma!
    \pi\rhoòs \tauov̀s \tau\omegaि\nu \tauó\pi
    \tauovs, \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\mu\alphá\tau\omega\nu \gamma[l].\nuO\mu\epsiloń\nu.\nu\omega[\nu
    \epsilonis \tauò \mu\eta\delta\epsilon\muí\alpha\nu \mu'́\muル\psiו\nu \epsilon}\pi\alpha\alpha
20 кo\lambdaov0\hat{\eta}\sigma\alphal, \ddot{\eta}\stackrel{!}{!}\nu0\chi0! \epsilon[``\eta\mu]\epsilon\nu
    \tau\widehat{Q}
```



```
    \Delta\iotaок\lambda\eta\tau\iota\alpha\nu0\hat{v}к\alphaì M\alpha\xi[\iota\mu\iota\alpha\nuо\hat{v}}\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{\epsilon}{}\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\tau\hat{\omega
    \Piav̂v\iota \iota0.
```

${ }_{2} 5 \cdot[..] \cdot[. . ..] \cdot[$

| 4. 1. 'Ap $\chi_{\epsilon} \lambda$ áov. <br> 10. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a \tau \bar{\omega}$ Pap. | 5. $\pi a \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \mathrm{P}$ Pap. $\omega$ of $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega t o s ~ c o r r . ~ f r o m ~ o r . ~$ II. on $\bar{v}$ Pap. |
| :---: | :---: |

[^0]' To Claudius Dioscurides also called Chaereas, ex-strategus of the Diopolite nome, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Papontos son of Theon and Aurelius Horus son of Archelaus, both comarchs of the village of Ision Panga for the present 8th which $=$ the 7 th year. Having been enjoined by you to keep in safety the crops at the threshing-floors in our lands until the decaproti have received payment in full of the public taxes from each person, we accordingly agree, swearing by the fortune of our lords Diocletian and Maximian Augusti, to be on the watch and to permit no one to touch the produce until each person has paid to the local decaproti the amount due from him, the measurement being made so that no complaint may ensue; otherwise may we be liable to the penalties attaching to the oath. The Sth which is also the 7 th year of our lords Diocletian and Maximian Augusti, Pauni ig.'
2. The title following $\gamma \in \nu \rho \mu(\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \varphi()$ is doubtfully read, but seems to have been written in much the same way as the $\sigma \tau \rho a(\tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega})$ later in the line. If $\gamma \in \nu \sigma \mu(\epsilon \bar{\varphi} \omega) \sigma \tau \rho u(\tau \eta \gamma \hat{\hat{\omega}})$ is right, the name of a nome must follow, and either $\Delta$ เon(oлírov) or Kom(тitov) looks possible.
 unless there was a dislocation in the construction.
${ }^{25}$. The remains presumably belong to the signature, but they are too slight for recognition.

## 1256. List of Priests under age.

$$
21.5 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A list, presented by two comarchs of the Cynopolite village Laura to the keepers of the public archives of the nome, of persons of priestly descent who were not of full age; cf. Wessely, Kar. und Sokn. Nes. p. 63, where रpaфì] $\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \lambda i \kappa \omega \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ is cited from an unpublished Rainer papyrus. ${ }^{1}$ The ranks of the priests were regularly recruited from the younger members of their families, as is clearly seen c. g. in B. G. U. 258. Io sqq., where additions to the local priesthood for a given year àmò à $\phi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ are stated; cf. Otto, Priester und Tempel, i, pp. 35, 211,214-16. In the present list only two persons are included, one male and one femalc. They were attached to temples of Anubis, Leto, and other gods, with which was associated a shrine of Augustus-a good illustration of the composite character of Egyptian cults at this period.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [.] . } \nu \text {. . } \epsilon!\alpha \sigma \theta \text {. [. . . . . . .] . . } \nu \omega \text {. [. . . } \\
& {[\cdot] \lambda o s \Sigma_{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu o s \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́[\tau] \epsilon p o \iota \quad \beta \iota \beta \underset{[\lambda \iota}{[\lambda}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [K]vvoто入єíтоv }{ }^{\circ} \nu \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]
[ $\nu$ ]os каì Ka入aüpuos Пєтєvoú申los

[ $\gamma \rho] \alpha \phi \grave{\eta}\{s\} \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu \nu i \omega ि \nu \quad i \in \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega[\nu$




[каi] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma v \nu \nu \alpha ́ \omega \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$

${ }_{15}[K \alpha] i ́ \sigma \alpha \rho o s ~ i \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \rho \omega \tau о \lambda о \gamma i ́ \mu \omega \nu$


$[\alpha u ̉] \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.







On the verso
^aúpas] $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ व̈ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
2. 1. ả $\mu \phi 0[\tau]$ f́poıs $\beta \iota \beta[\lambda \iota 0] \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi!. \quad$ 6. калаї $\mu$ tos Pap. o corr. from $\sigma$. 7. First

 so in l. 18. 17. іє $\rho \in \iota$ Рар.
' To . . . and . . . son of Sarapion, both keepers of the public records of the upper division of the Cynopolite nome, from Aurelius Patermouthis son of Saprion and Aurelius Kalaümis son of Petenouphis, both comarchs of Laura with other villages. List of priests' children under age in the present 7 th year, as follows:-Aurelius Haruotes son of Hermanubis son of Harbeus, priest of the temples of the first rank of Anubis, Leto, and the associated most great gods, to whom has also been consecrated a shrine of the divine Augustus Caesar, at Laura in the Cynopolite nome; . . tris daughter of Thatres, priestess of the same temples. The 7th year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Probus

Gothicus Maximus，Parthicus Maximus，Germanicus Maximus，Pius Felix Augustus， Phamenoth 2 I．We，Aurelius Patermouthis and Aurelius Kalaümis，comarchs of Laura，have presented this list．I，Aurelius Antonius，wrote on their behalf，as they were illiterate．＇

6．Ka入aûjutos：in l． 23 the name is（wrongly ？）spelled Ka入a入â̂رus．
7．Aav́pas $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda[\lambda \omega \nu$ ：cf．1． 26 ；in II．i 6 and 24 Aav́pa only is specified．The association of villages for administrative purposes was common；cf．1281．I5，P．Hamburg 7．2，note．
 p．64，cited in the foot－note on p． $17+$ above．Since these $\dot{a} \phi \eta \lambda_{\iota c}$ es are called below respectively ífpeús and iépeaa（11．12，17）the distinction which Otto，Priester und Tempel， ii． $3^{227}$ proposed to make between the phrases $\dot{a} \phi \bar{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$ viòs $i \in \rho \in \epsilon \omega$ and $i \epsilon p \epsilon \dot{\nu} s a ̀ \phi \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$（so P． Brit．Mus．338．12－13（ii，p．68），Wessely，op．cit．，p．63）cannot be maintained．

10．The first half of the line is filled up by two dashes separated by ano；it is unlikely that the latter stands here for oũт
ir．It is remarkable that here the father only is mentioned，while in the case of the priestess in l． 17 her mother alone is named，which suggests that priestly descent was required on the father＇s side for priests and on the mother＇s for priestesses；cf．1265．17－18，and Otto， Priester und Tempel，i，pp．219－20．

 （so the index）．A local cult of Leto in the Pathyrite nome is perhaps to be inferred from the mention of the $\nu \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma s \operatorname{A\eta rov}\langle s\rangle$ in P．Grenf．ii．i 5. Col．ii． 5.

 Priester and Tempel，i，p．18，ii，pp．310－1 1），but this seems to be the first instance of their combination．

16．Kıעшитолєit（ov）is irregular in form，and above $\pi$ ohє to the left of the $\tau$ something has been written which might be read as $\epsilon \omega$ or $\epsilon \omega s$ ，i．e．пód $\epsilon \omega$ ；but kvvorodeítov must have been intended．

## 1257．Statement concerning a Decaprotus．

$$
17.6 \times 23.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

The purpose of this unaddressed document is not quite clear．It is a state－ ment drawn up by a person named Maximus（l．16）concerning the accounts of an Oxyrhynchite decaprotus．A payment of 500 artabae had been made to the latter after the proper time for receiving it had passed，but it had been duly added to the account by his assistant．Four years afterwards，when a superior official was at Oxyrhynchus，the question of this late payment was reopened，apparently as a precedent for further supplementary additions to the accounts；cf．note on 11．16－19．This statement，which seems to have been made out for some official occasion rather than as a draft for a petition，is written across the fibres of the papyrus in a semi－cursive hand dating from the latter part，probably，of the third century．The fifth year mentioned as current in 1 ．I4 might well be that of

Probus. On the verso are two mutilated columns of accounts in two hands, and in the reverse direction the first two lines of a letter from Maximus (no doubt the Maximus of the recto) to his father Horion.
'EmípaXos ỏvo $\mu \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta \epsilon к \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \lambda_{\iota} \beta o ̀ s ~ \tau о \pi \alpha \rho \chi i ́ a s ~ \tau o ̂ ~ ' O \xi v \rho v \nu-~$
 є́ $\delta \iota o i ́ к \eta$ -










 $[\tau \omega \mid$









' On the nomination of Epimachus to the office of decaprotus in the western toparchy of the Oxyrhynchite nome, as he was past his prime, Thonius the stepfather of Epimachus administered the business of the office. After the time for the delivery of corn had passed Theon also called Plutarchus, ex-hypomnematographus and now strategus of the Tanite nome, directed Demetrius his agent to measure out 500 artabae to the account of public dues in the first year of this most happy reign to Thonius and his assistant Dionysius, who was also present. On delivery by Demetrius of this amount the assistant followed the natural course and added it by way of supplement to the accounts of the office, that is, to the ledger of the amounts received and to the individual list lodged in the archives through the monthly summary presented by the decaprotus for

Epeiph in the first year. But when his excellency Ammonius, collector of public corn-dues, was [present] in the city of Oxyrhynchus in Phaophi of the present fifth year, the aforesaid decaprotus Epimachus producing myself, Maximus, and representing that Theon owed the 500 artabae asked that the further amounts in his hands should be added through the memoranda drawn up by the strategus of the nome in the presence of his excellency Ammonius, because they had been measured out to him to the account of his office of decaprotus.'
2. It is somewhat curious that the business of a $\pi a \rho \bar{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$ should have been conducted by his $\pi a \tau \rho \omega o ́ s$, who would presumably have been his elder.
$6-7$. The separation of $\dot{a} \rho[\tau \dot{a} \beta$ as and $\pi \epsilon[\nu]$ raк[0] $0[$ [as is awkward, but the reference in 1. i6 demands a previous mention of them, and the ends of these two lines are the only available places.
 follows the figure (cf. e.g. 1252. recto 40), but to write the word out in this position is unusual.
10. $\chi$ єрьєтєкóy here seems to designate the current accounts kept by the decaprotus as opposed to the more formal records presented for preservation in the archives ; cf. P. Brit.
 supposed a reference to bookkeeping. The sense of 'list' also appears in $\chi$ є甲 $七 \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$ ós as applied to inventories of temple-property. In P. Tebt. I2I. $49 \chi$ хє $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$ was explained on the analogy of $188 \delta a \pi a^{\prime}(\nu \eta s) \chi \epsilon \epsilon[\rho] \iota \sigma \tau \eta \iota$ as a payment made to a $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \tau \eta$, , but the meaning there is uncertain.






14-15. A participle in the genitive is required to be constructed with 'A $\mu \mu \omega \nu^{\prime} \circ v \kappa \tau \lambda$.
 1. $I_{5} ; \ldots \nu \tau[s]$ is certainly unsuitable. At the end of that line $\mu[$ áprvpa $\underset{\epsilon}{ }] \mu \epsilon$ would give a good sense, but a shorter supplement is wanted. $\mu[$ ofvov is unconvincing.

16-19. The phraseology here is somewhat obscure. mapat'́ $\theta$ ar however should have the same sense as in 1.9, and as the passage stands it can hardly be interpreted otherwise than as meaning that Epimachus wished the strategus and the $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \kappa \pi \eta s$ to authorize further supplements to his accounts. $\omega \boldsymbol{s}[\mathfrak{b}] \phi \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \nu \tau o s$ will then mean not that the 500 artabae were still due from Theon, but that they had been due when strictly the accounts were closed. But that further additions should be made after an interval of four years is certainly surprising. For $\dot{\text { úo } о \nu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ o f . ~} 1252$. recto 26 , note.

## (b) DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS.

1258. PROMISE OF Attendance.
$13.9 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

A declaration on oath that the writer would appear before the strategus in connexion with a reckoning of reccipts from taxation. The document is analogous in form to 260, n95, B. G. U. 891. recto, P. Leipzig 52-3, Hamburg 4.

```
    1258. DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS
［．．．．．．．．．．\(] \eta\) र́ctos \(\tau \hat{\omega}[\nu\) à \(\pi \grave{̀}]\)＇O \(\xi v \rho \tilde{y}_{\gamma \chi \omega \nu}\)
\(\left[\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \cdots{ }^{〔} I\right] \pi \pi о \delta \rho o ́ \mu о v \quad \Sigma є к о и ́ \nu \delta \omega \iota\)
```




```
5 ［ \(\left.\sum_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{o} \nu\right]\) T \(\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu[l] \kappa o ̀ \nu\) Av́тo［k］\(\alpha \alpha ́ \tau о \rho \alpha\)
```





```
［ \(\mu 0 \hat{v} \tau \epsilon] \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ \sigma o i ́ \lambda o u ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v ~\)
```





```
［ \(\left.\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau\right]\) и̂ \(\Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu ⿺ \kappa о \hat{v}\) Aủтокра́тороs \(\mu \eta \nu\) òs
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}N \text { éov } & \Sigma\end{array}\right] \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau[0] \hat{v}\) є̀ \(\nu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \iota\) ．
```


## 

＇．．．son of ．．esis，．．．of Oxyrhynchus in the Hippodrome quarter，to Secundus， collector of taxes of the same quarter．I swear by Tiberius Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator that I will appear before the strategus Apollonius at the next reckoning of taxes unprotected by any temple，altar，sacred enclosure，or any place of sanctuary or shelter in any form．If I observe the oath may it be well with me，but if I swear falsely，the reverse．The sixth year of Tiberius Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator，the ninth of the month Neus Sebastus．＇

2．There is barely room for $\gamma \epsilon \rho \delta i \omega \nu$（cf．e．g．285．4，288．2），unless $\pi$ ó久 $\epsilon \omega s$ was abbreviated．
 $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i a s ~ к \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ K Kapaveiôos，where $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \nu$ was apparently intended，P．Rylands r4i． 6.

7．［＇A $\left.{ }^{2} \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega\right] \nu i \omega \iota$ suits the size of the lacuna better than［＇$\left.A \mu \mu \omega\right] \nu i \omega l$ ．Cf．note on 1．12．
8．［ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\dagger} \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ is supported by I． 3 but hardly fills the lacuna，in which there is room for six letters．For $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \tau[\dot{b}]$ s $i \in \rho \rho \hat{v} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．cf．e．g．785，P．Hibeh 93．3－5．

12．$\tilde{\epsilon}]$ krov：the vestiges of the letter before $\tau$ suit $\kappa$ or $\pi$ better than $a$ and exclude $\iota, \rho$ and $\left.\omega . \quad \pi \pi_{\epsilon} \mu\right] \pi \tau o v$ is long for the lacuna，for which six letters are sufficient，and $\hat{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathrm{j}$ járov would give rise to difficulties concerning the name of the strategus in 1．7，since Dorion occupied that office in Phaophi of the 9th year（255．r），and Tiberius Claudius Pasion in the roth （393）．Pasion must have been twice strategus，as he is known from 283． 28 to have been in office on Mesore $\mathbf{I}_{5}$ of the 5 th year．

## 1259. Declaration of a Shipper.

$$
19.8 \times 11.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 211-12 .
$$

This and the two following papyri (1260-1) relate to the corn-supply, 1259 and 1260 both containing formal acknowledgements by shippers of the receipt of corn for transport to Alexandria. Similar documents of the Roman and early Byzantine periods are P. Amh. 138, Brit. Mus. 256 (a) and 301 (ii, pp. 99 and 256), Flor. 75, Goodsp. 14, Cairo Preis. 34 ; cf. also 1197. 1259, however, has some peculiarities of phraseology, and the conclusion of the document, where it is unfortunately mutilated, cannot yet be restored with security. On the subject of the corn-transport see Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 369-70, 376 sqq., Rostowzew, Archie, iii, pp. 220 sqq.

## 



$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \hat{\eta}_{S}(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu) \mu(v \rho \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu)$ ס. $\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu \quad\{\pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \alpha \beta о \nu\} \kappa \alpha i$
$5 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \mu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ Дוобкó ооv 'O $\nu \nu \omega ́ \phi \rho \iota-$
os каi $\Delta \iota \delta v ́ \mu о v ~ \Pi a v \sigma \epsilon i ́ p l o s ~ \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau o \lambda o ́ y \omega \nu ~ к \alpha ́ т \omega ~$


$\omega \nu$ os $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$ रра $\mu \mu \alpha \epsilon \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ тov $\alpha u ̛ \tau o v ̂ ~ \nu о \mu о \hat{v}$






$\kappa \iota \nu \in \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu[0 v \sigma \grave{v} \nu] \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu i \alpha \hat{q}$ каi $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota[\alpha \rho-$


$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ โ!ी $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta$. . . . . . . . . . .
$20 \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \sigma \tau \alpha[\mu \quad 20$ lettcrs
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ às $\kappa \alpha\left[i \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \xi\left(\omega\right.\right.$ єis ’ $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$



\author{
$\nu \alpha \nu \tau[l] \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ како[vprías 16 letters <br>  <br> $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma[\hat{\varphi} \quad \delta \iota] \sigma \sigma \grave{\eta}[\nu$ тoîs סè $\sigma \iota \tau 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma o l s ~ \mu o \nu \alpha \chi \eta ́ \nu$. <br>  <br>  <br> $\left.\left[\Gamma_{\epsilon}\right]\right\} \underset{?}{\alpha} \quad B \rho \in \tau \alpha \nu\left[\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad M \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad E \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right.$

}

## 8. їло Рар.

'To Didymus, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Posidonius also called Triadelphus, master of 8 boats carrying 40,000 artabae in the administration of Neapolis. I have received and had measured out to me by Dioscorus son of Onnophris and Didymus son of Pausiris, sitologi of the Psobthis district in the lower toparchy, the amount ordered me by you and Horion also called Apion, basilicogrammateus of the said nome, in accordance with the message of his excellency the procurator of Neapolis from the public granaries of the said village at the river Tomis of wheat from the produce of the past 19 th year of our lords the Emperors Antoninus and Geta Pii Augusti, unadulterated, with no admixture of earth or barley, untrodden and sifted, including a percentage of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ artabae, . . . thousand eight hundred and forty artabae, total [.] 840 art., by the public measure . . . and according to the prescribed measurement . . ., which I will carry to Alexandria and deliver to the officials of the administration safely, free of all risk and damage by ship . . . This receipt is valid, there being three copies of it, of which I have issued two to you, the strategus, and one to the sitologi.' Date.
2. Is this shipowner identical with the Triadelphus in 522. I ? The dates of the two papyri are suitable enough.
3. $\chi$ єєрєг
 Wilcken, Grundz. p. 369.

 ad loc., to that suggested in Chrestom. p. 523.
8. Cf. B. G. U. ro91. 1-2, where the same Horion appears as deputy-strategus in the 2 Ist year.

 Mitteis, comparing another unpublished papyrus). ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu\left[11^{\prime} \beta[v] \tau \eta\right.$ cannot be right ; something like $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda_{\iota} \beta o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} T \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi о \tau a \mu о \hat{v}$ is wanted. Possibly, however, the initial $\Sigma$ should stand and єis $\langle\Sigma) \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \nu$ be read in the present place.
13. Cf. 11. 27-9. The date is practically certain, since the association of Caracalla and Geta ended in the 2 oth year; see also the note on 1. 8 above. According to 1196 Anubion was strategus in that year, but probably кa should be read there in 1.8 instead of $\kappa$.
15. àóa áá $\eta$ ros seems to be a novel qualification in this context.
 A ímuaptáßıov occurs also in 522. 21 , a passage which may now be better understood, and

$\kappa, /(\pi \cup \rho o \hat{v})$ ' $\Delta \kappa$, which shows that $\frac{1}{2}$ art. per cent. is meant. Cf. P. Brit. Mus. ior 5.2 (iii, p. 257 , 6 th cent.) бìv vaúdous кaì éкর́тoбтaîs, and P. Tebt. 470. In 708 percentages are required from sitologi on account of detected impurities in the corn-freights, but the extras in the present passage are presumably of a different kind.

19-20. There was perhaps a reference here to the receipt of expenses ; cf. e. g. 1260. ${ }^{1} 5^{-1} 7$; but $\pi a r a[\mu \ldots$ is a difficulty.

2 I. For the supplement cf. 1260. 12. калаглi, $\sigma$ eis (P. Brit. Mus. 25 6. (a) 15 (ii, p. 99)) or $\dot{a} \pi о к о \mu i \sigma \omega$ eis (P. Amh. 138. 14) are not so well adapted to the space, but $\dot{a} \pi a i \sigma \omega$ cis (P. Flor. $75.1_{7}$ ) would be suitable.

 $\epsilon[\mu] a v[$ roù̀ kıvờvẹ, Mitteis, Berichte d. Sächs. Gesellsch. d. Wissensch. 1910, pp. 270 sqq. In 1. 23 the letters $a \kappa[$ are very doubtfully identified, and there would be room for a somewhat longer supplement, but the exiguous vestiges do not suit $\sigma \dot{\omega}[$ as каі व̆как.
${ }^{25-6}$. Cf. 1260. 17-19. The supplement in 1.25 is longer than would be expected, but seems guaranteed by the analogy of 1260 ; possibly $\gamma \rho a \phi \in i \sigma a$ was abbreviated.

## 1260. Declaration of a Silipper.

$23 \times 9.6 \mathrm{~cm}$.<br>A. D. 286 .

An acknowledgement similar to 1259 of the receipt of a cargo of corn for transport to Alexandria ; cf. the introduction to that papyrus.
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi}$ ' $O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi є i ́ \tau о v$
$\lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho \hat{\alpha} s) \kappa \alpha i \quad \lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s)$ ' $O \xi(v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ кv $\beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau о \hat{v}$
$\pi \lambda$ oíou
5 ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$ к $\lambda \eta \rho о \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ Tєíp $\omega \nu$ оs $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma(\hat{\eta} s)$
( $\alpha \rho \tau \alpha \beta \bar{\omega} \nu)$ тv. $\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \lambda \alpha \beta$ о к $\alpha \grave{\iota}$ є $\nu[\epsilon] \beta \alpha \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ єis
$A\{\alpha\} \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ o v \quad \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{̣}$ каi $\dot{\omega}$ र $\quad \rho \eta \mu \alpha(\tau i\} \epsilon \iota)$
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} s \quad \kappa \epsilon \kappa[0 \sigma] \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha s)$ ) $о$, $\alpha i$
$\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ oìs $\epsilon \dot{\varrho} \dot{\alpha} \nu \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}$ каえे $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$



$20 \tau \eta \theta \in i[s] \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda о ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha$. ('єтоиs) $\beta$ Aúтокра́тороs
Kaío $\alpha \rho o s$ Taiov Av̉ $\rho \eta \lambda i o v ~ O v ̉ \alpha \lambda \epsilon \rho i o[v] \Delta[\iota 0] k \lambda \eta \tau \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v}$
каì (є̈тоиs) a Aưтокра́тороs Kаíбароs Ма́ркои

Eủ่v $\chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Пav̂vı ı $!$.

$\lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$ к $\alpha \grave{ } \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \mu \alpha \iota[\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho о \kappa \epsilon][[\mu(\epsilon \in \nu \alpha S)$
$\kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha s$ є $\beta \delta о \mu \eta \eta_{\kappa о \nu \tau \alpha}$
$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ к $\alpha i$ к $\alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \hat{\omega}$ к $\alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$


єíסóт(os) $\gamma \rho \alpha ́(\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$.
 27. кр $2 \theta$ corr. from $\pi v \rho o u$.

- To Aurelius Philippus also called Horion, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Anicetus son of Olbanus, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, pilot of a Hellenic boat belonging to the heirs of Tiro, of 350 artabae burden. I have received and embarked upon the aforesaid boat in accordance with the instructions of Ulpius Cyrillus, the most eminent catholicus, from Aurelius Demetrianus and however he is styled, decaprotus of part of the middle toparchy, 75 artabae of new, pure, and sifted barley, belonging to the village of Heracleum, which I will transport to the most illustrious Alexandria and deliver to whomsoever I am ordered to deliver it, and I will produce the certificate of the delivery, because I have been paid all the expenses. This receipt is valid, there being three copies of it , of which I have issued two to you, the strategus, and one to the decaprotus, and in answer to the formal question I have given my consent.' Date and signature of Anicetus written for him by Aurelius Silvanus.

3. 'Oגßavồ may be for 'Opßavoû = Urbani.
4. 'Eגд $\eta$ иккой: so e. g. P. Goodsp. 14. 3.
5. 'Hраклєíou: cf. 989 and 1285. го0.


${ }^{15} 5$-16. The $i v a \lambda \omega \mu a \tau a$ are more precisely defined in P. Flor. 75. 2 I-2 $[\xi \pi \lambda \eta \rho] \omega \theta \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu$



6. Declaration concerning Commissariat.

$$
26.3 \times 19.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 325
$$

Acknowledgement on oath from a senator of Oxyrhynchus to a centurion in the service of the catholicus that he had received a quantity of produce for transport and delivery. The consignment was destined partly for a coming official visit, partly for troops stationed at Babylon; cf. 1115, Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 358-9, $36 \mathrm{I}-2$, P. Giessen ii, pp. 88-9.
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \in \pi \iota \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́\left[\tau \omega{ }^{\top} \nu\right.$
$K \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ тò $\gamma^{\prime}$.

 $\pi(\rho \circ \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s){ }^{\prime} O \xi(v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ '่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau 0 \hat{v}$



 above the line. 10. 1. ómótav.
'The year after the third consulship of our masters Crispus and Constantinus, the most illustrious Caesars. To Flavius Sarapion, centurion on the staff of the most eminent catholicus, from Aurelius Heracles son of Coelacius, senator of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, superintendent of . . . I swear the holy divine oath by our masters the Emperor and Caesars that I have received from the produce of the 12 th indiction 24,235 pounds, for the coming visit 10,000 pounds, and for provisioning the most noble soldiers quartered at Babylon under Severianus, praepositus, 14,235 pounds, and the expenses, to carry down and make the distribution of them in full whenever I am ordered, and I will produce the receipts for the delivery, without giving any cause for complaint;
otherwise may I be liable to the penalties of the divine oath. The aforesaid consulship, Tubi r8.'

5. There seems to be insufficient room for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \eta$ ( (cf. e. g. 1194. 3-4) at the beginning of the line nor do the faint vestiges suggest that word. Perhaps the name of the produce was given, as e.g. in 43. recto iii. i I $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \mu \\ \ell \lambda \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ x u ́ p o v . ~ A ~ g e o g r a p h i c a l ~ q u a l i f i c a t i o n ~\end{gathered}$

7. The expected $\dot{\epsilon \pi \kappa \delta} \delta \eta \mu i a$ was perhaps that of the catholicus himself, as in P. Brit. Mus. 1259. 33 (iii, p. 240).
9. For àvà $\omega \mu a$ cf. 1260. 17. каí has perhaps fallen out before катє $\psi \epsilon\langle\gamma\rangle \kappa i \nu$. The $\delta$ táooors here was apparently to be carried out by the $\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \\ & \pi\end{aligned} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ himself, as in 1194. 12; cf. the note ad loc.

1262. Receipt of Seed-corn.
$10.4 \times 6.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 197.

An acknowledgement, addressed to the strategus and basilicogrammateus through two local commissioners, of a loan of seed-corn; cf. 1031, P. Flor. 21 (Arsinoïte nome), which are applications for loans addressed directly to such commissioners, and P. Hamburg I9, a similar application to the basilicogrammateus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, in which no commission is mentioned. In practice, no doubt, the mode of address in these applications varied at the caprice of the writer, and it is not to be inferred from P. Hamburg ig that the commission was not sitting. The form of the present document was perhaps technically the more correct ; cf. 1024, where a grant of seed is authorized by the strategus and basilicogrammateus. 1262 is substantially analogous to the common Arsinoïte receipts (e.g. B. G. U. 104, 105, \&c.), but follows a different formula. The reign, of which the sixth year was current, was probably that of Septimius Severus, as is indicated by a document on the verso, a short

 $/(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i) \eta$. Below this, written in the reverse direction, the name $\Sigma a \rho \alpha \pi$ téóos has been washed out.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu 0 \mu \circ \hat{v} \delta_{l}[\dot{\alpha}] \quad \text { ' } E \pi \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi(o v) \quad \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi(i ́ \omega \nu o s) \\
& \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota a[\rho] X(\eta \text { } \quad \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s) \kappa \alpha i \quad \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau(\rho i ́ o v)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha i \rho \in \theta(\epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \in \omega(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    к\alphaì \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\deltaó\sigma\epsilon\omegas \sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alphá\tau(\omega\nu)
    \chi\omega\rhoov́v\tau(\omega\nu) \epsilonis \tau(\etaे\nu) \tauôv \epsilon'\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau(\hat{\omega}\tau0S)
    5 (\xǐ\tau0vS) кат\alpha\sigma\piтор\grave{\alpha}
10 \pi\alpha\rho\alphà 'A\pio\lambda\lambda\omega\nuíov \nu\epsilon\omega\tau(\epsiloń\rho\rhoоv)
    T\epsilon\iota\mua\gamma(\epsiloń\nuous) \tauov̂ к(\alphai) \Delta\iota\delta\dot{v}\muov
```



```
    \alpha}\piò T\etá\epsilon\omegas \tau\etâS \Theta\muo\iota\sigma\epsilonф\grave{\omega
    \tauо\pi(\alpha\rho\chií\alphas). \pi\alpha\rhoєí\lambda\eta\phi\alpha каi \pi\alpha\rho\alpha-
\mp@subsup{}{15}{5 }\mu\epsilon\mu\epsilon'\tau(\rho\eta\mu\alpha\iota)\pi\alpha\rho' \dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \sigma\pi\epsiloń\rho-
    \mu\alpha\tau\alpha \delta\alphá\nu\epsilon\iota\alpha \dot{\alpha}\piò \gamma\epsilon\nu\etá(\mu\alpha\tauos) \tauov̂
    \delta\iota\epsilon\lambda(0óv\tauos) \in ('̌\tauous) \epsilonis [к]\alpha\tau\alpha\sigma\pio\rho\grave{\alpha}
```



```
    \omega\rho\gamma\hat{\omega}\pi[\epsilon\rhoi \tau]\età\eta\nu \alpha(ủ\tau\etaे\nu)T\ि\epsilon\iota\nu
```

I3. $\eta$ of $\tau \eta s$ corr.
'To Lucretius Nilus, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, and Serenus, basilicogrammateus of the said nome, through Epimachus son of Sarapion, ex-gymnasiarch, and Demetrius also called Pha . . ., ex-exegetes, appointed to receive and deliver seed employed for the sowing of the present 6 th year, from Apollonius the younger, son of Timagenes also called Didymus, his mother being Didyme also called Tsenphatres, of Teïs in the toparchy of Thmoisepho. I have received and had measured out to me from you as a loan of seed from the produce of the past 5 th year for the sowing of the present 6 th year, for the land which I cultivate in the area of the said Teïs . . .'

4 sqq. In 1031 the two commissioners, who are both senators, one being also an



## 1263. Announcement concerning Practice of a Trade.

$$
9.4 \times 6.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 128-9 .
$$

A notification addressed to the city-scribe of Oxyrhynchus that the writer proposed to begin practising the trade of a $\pi о \tau a \mu o \hat{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \tau \eta s$ in the current year. тотано仑̂ épyátךs is probably a variant of $\pi о \tau а \mu i \tau \eta s$, a word occurring in several papyri of the later Roman and Byzantine periods ; cf. 1053. 3, 1288. 13, B. G. U. 14. ii. 19, iii. 2, \&c., 29.5. 8, 11, 818. 5, P'. Flor. 157. 2, 273. 13, Reinach 5 2 bis, P. S. I. 83. II, where the $\pi о \tau а \mu$ ital appear as labourers employed in the construction or repair of embankments and canals, and similar work. As a téx $\quad \eta$ this calling was
presumably subject to the $\chi \in \iota \rho \omega \nu \dot{d} \xi \iota v$ or tax on trades, and it was probably in the interests of that impost that the present declaration was required.

```
    \Delta\iotao\gamma\epsiloń\nu\epsilon\iota \tau\hat{Q} к\alphai 'E\rho\mu\alphaí\omega
        \tau\omegaิ\nu '́\xi\eta\eta\gamma\eta\tau(\epsilonv\sigma\alphá\nu\tau\omega\nu) \gamma\rho\alpha(\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsiloni)
        \pió\lambda(\epsilon\omega\varsigma)
\pi\alpha\rho\alphà \trianglelo\sigmaкó\rhoоv \dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\lambda\epsilonv-
0\epsiloń\rhoov \Sigma'\alpha\rho\alpha\pií\omegavos \Sigma\alpha-
5 \rhoa\pií\omegavos то仑̂ \וọ[.....
\alphá\pi' 'O\xiv\rhoú\gamma久\omega\nu \pi[0́\lambda\\epsilon\omegas
\alpha}\mu\phió\deltaov 'E\rho\mu\alphaí[ov
\betaov́\lambdaо\mu\alpha\iota \pi\rho\tilde{т}\mp@code{s}
```



```
10 трıбка८ঠєка́тои
```



```
    то̂̂ кupíou X \(\quad\) ท́ба-
    \(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau^{\top} \nu \nu \epsilon \in \gamma[\alpha \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\)
    \(\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi[\nu \eta\).
\({ }^{1} 5\) ठ \(\iota o ̀\) є́ \(\pi \iota \delta i \delta[\omega \mu \iota\) тò
    \(\dot{v} \pi о ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha\) [ \(\dot{\varsigma}\) s \(\pi \rho o ́-\)
```


'To Diogenes also called Hermaeus, ex-exegetes, scribe of the city, from Dioscorus, freedman of Sarapion son of Sarapion son of Dio . . ., inhabitant of Oxyrhynchus in the quarter of Hermaeus. I wish to begin from the present thirteenth year of Hadrianus Caesar the lord to practise the trade of a river-worker ; accordingly I present this application as above.' Date.
1264. Notification of Inviolability.

$$
32.6 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 272
$$

This singular document is an application to the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota o \phi u ́ \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s \in\{\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega v$ for the formal entry ( $\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \sigma \iota \iota$ ) in their registers of a right of inviolability ( $\dot{a} \sigma v \lambda i a$ ) attaching to the writer, as recently recognized by the dioecetes; a copy of the memorandum of the dioecetes was at the same time forwarded in substantiation of the claim.
$\dot{a} \sigma v \lambda i ́ a$ is frequently coupled in inscriptions with immunity from taxation as a personal privilege conferred in return for services to the State (cf. e.g. Dittenberger, Or.gr. inscr. 66, 150. 15), and the same combination occurs in P. Brit. Mus. 345 (ii, p. 113), where two pastophori are described as àmodv́o $\mu \boldsymbol{1}$
 natural to infer a silentio that the applicant was not a member of any priestly order, and the ground of his privilege is presumably to be found in the strange term єivvaícía in 1. I8. It seems likely that, as both Wilcken and Mitteis have suggested, the word meant is $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \alpha i \delta i a$, and that the reference is to the ius liberorum established by the Lex Iulia ct Papia Poppaca. $\dot{a} \sigma v \lambda$ ía is not indeed known to have been included among the privileges conferred by that enactment, but
information regarding its provisions is incomplete. What exactly this àovגia implied is uncertain ; perhaps it secured the person of a debtor as against private creditors, or perhaps, as Mitteis thinks, it carried immunity from certain public functions; possibly it was wide enough to be efficacious in both these directions.

That immunity from taxation was recognized through the registers of the
 Oxyrhynchus), and it is interesting to find similar treatment accorded to the parallel privilege of à $\sigma v \lambda i ́ a$. Preisigke will perhaps welcome this as fresh evidence that the registers were not a 'Grundbuch' (cf. his recent discussion in Klio xii, pp. 402 sqq., especially pp. $4^{18-19) \text {. If, however, } \dot{a} \sigma v \lambda i ́ a ~ m e a n t ~ i m m u n i t y ~ f r o m ~}$ distraint, an entry of such a privilege would not be out of place upon the registers of the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \circ \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ as these are commonly understood; or, again, if the immunity is to be connected rather with liturgies, since these were based upon ownership of property, such a right might naturally appear in a property-register.

```
    [Av\rho\eta\lambdaíc . . . .] \tau \tau\hat{\omega}}<<\alphai`A\pio\lambda\lambda\omega
    [\nuí\varphi \gammav\mu(\nu\alpha\sigma\iota\alpha\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\iota)\tau\hat{\eta}s] \lambda\alpha\mu\pi(\rho\hat{\alphas) к\alphai \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoо\tau\alphá\tau\etas}
```



```
    [\pi\alpha\rho\grave{a} 'Iov\lambdaío]v \Theta'{́(\omegavos \tauôv каì Z \omegaí\lambda[o]?
5 ~ \Gamma a i ̈ o v ~ ' I o v \lambda i ́ o v ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi \{ ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \alpha < \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ई s
    \lambda\alpha\mu\pi(\rho\hat{@s) каi \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoотá\tau\etas 'O\xiv\\chivy\chi\epsiloníT\omega[v}
```



```
    \muоv \epsiloń\piì \tauоv̂ к\rho\alpha(\tauí\sigma\tauov) \gamma\epsilon\nuо\mu\epsilońvov \delta\iotaoוк\eta-
    \tauо仑ै 'Av\delta\rhoо\muá\chiov v́\piо\mu\nu\etá\muатоs
```



```
    \mu\omega\nu}\dot{\alpha}\sigmav\lambda\epsilonías \tauò \dot{\alpha}\nu\taui\gamma\rho\alpha\phio
    \epsiloṅ\pilф\epsiloń\rho\omega\nu \dot{\jmath}\mu\epsiloniv दे\nu\nu \delta\iota\sigma\sigma\hat{Q}\mathrm{ द̀ }\pil-
    \deltaío\omega\mu\iota \tauò vi\pió\mu\nu\eta\mu\alpha \pi\rhooेs \tauoे
    \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \delta'́㇒ov\sigma\alpha\nu \pi\alpha\rho\alphá0\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota\nu \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsiloń\sigma0\alpha\iota
```



```
    \tau\omega\nu \tau\hat{@ j}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsiloń\rho\omega ơvó\mu\alpha\tau\iota \pi\rhoòs
```



```
    \tau\etâS \epsilonủval\delta\epsilonías \deltaík\alpha\iota\alpha, к\alphai ỏ\mu\nuv́-
```



```
20 \mu\grave{\eta \epsiloǹ\psi\epsilon\hat{v}\sigma0\alpha[l.] ('є゙\tauovs) \beta Aúтокра́тороs}
    Kaí\sigma\alphapos Aovkíov \triangleo\mu\iota\tauтíov Aú\rho\eta\lambda\iota\alpha\nuov̂
    Ev̉\sigma\epsilon\betaoûs Eútv\chioûs \Sigma'\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauой
```


Ó̉ $\beta \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ Oov ' $A \theta \eta \nu[0 \delta] \omega$ роv то仑̂
${ }_{25} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о т \alpha ́ \tau о \cup ~ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s$ v́та́тоv
Av̇токра́тороs $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \hat{v}$ ' $P \omega \mu \alpha(i ́ \omega \nu)$
$\Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\omega} \theta$ ?


#### Abstract

 corr. from $\rho$ and $\tau \omega$ corr. ; l. 'O $\bar{\xi} \nu \rho v \nu \chi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega}[\nu . \quad$ Io. $\omega$ of $\tau \omega \nu$ corr. I2. $\ddot{u} \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Pap}$; so in


 1. I5. 18. 1. єiñaioías (?). 23. zov入ıov Pap. 27. $\eta$ corr. (?).'To Aurelius . . . also called Apollonius, ex-gymnasiarch of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, and his associate, both keepers of the archives, from Julius Theon also called Zoillus, son of Gaius Julius Alexander, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus. I submit to you in duplicate the copy of the memorandum drawn up in consequence of my petition to his excellency Andromachus, ex-dioecetes, concerning the inviolability legally belonging to me and present this memorandum in order that the proper entry may be made against my name through the registers in your keeping, so that all may know the rights belonging to me in virtue of the number of my children; and I swear the oath customary with Romans that I have not made a false statement. The and year of the Emperor Caesar Lucius Domitius Aurelianus Pius Felix Augustus and the 5th year of Julius Aurelius Septimius Vaballathus Athenodorus, most illustrious king, consul, Emperor, general of the Romans, Phamenoth 8.'
2. Some civic title is to be restored in the lacuna and $\gamma v \mu(\nu a \sigma t a \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau)$ seems to suit the correction in l. I (see the critical note, and cf. e. g. 1199. i).
8. This seems to be the latest extant mention of the dioecetes as a central authority; the catholicus had already been instituted by this time ; cf. Wilcken, Grundz. p. r 57.



18. єivaiotias is clearly written, except for the fact that the top of the $v$ has been retouched.

20 sqq. Other papyri dated in the joint reign of Aurelian and Vaballathus are C. P. R. 9, P. Strassb. 8, B. G. U. 946. The last alone gives Vaballathus the title ínárov, as here ; cf. Wilcken's note ad loc.
1265. Affidavit of Priestly Rank.

$$
23.5 \times 8.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 336
$$

Declaration on oath to the logistes by a priest of Zeus, Hera, and other gods, and bearer ( $\kappa \omega \mu a \sigma \tau \eta_{s}$ ) of the divine images, that his priestly rank was derived from his father. As is well known, the priesthood was a hereditary office in the Graeco-Roman period as in earlier times; cf. Otto, Priester und Tempel, i, pp. 203 sqq.
 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho ı к i ́ o v ~ \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ \hat{v} \tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau o v ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ' $A \lambda \beta i \nu 0 v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu)$.
 тарà $A \dot{v} \eta \eta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \Theta \omega \nu i o v ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~$
 $i \in \rho, o \hat{v} \Delta i o ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ " H \rho a s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \nu \alpha ́ \omega \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu[\kappa \alpha] \grave{\iota} \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$
 $\pi \rho o \alpha o v ́ \sigma \eta s$. Є̇ $\pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ ó $\mu$ ol $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \grave{\eta} \epsilon \in \mu \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon[\iota \alpha \quad \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha ́] \phi \omega s \quad \delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ єỉ $\eta \nu \quad \pi[\alpha] \rho[\eta] \rho \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ тò $\pi \rho о$ $\kappa i \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi i \omega \mu \alpha$. кат $\alpha$ т $\alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \grave{\alpha}$

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Aúтокра́тооо́s $\tau \epsilon$ каi Kаıбव́p $\omega \nu$ ढ̇к $\delta \iota \alpha \delta о \chi \eta ิ s ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta$ -
 $[\hat{\epsilon}] \sigma \chi \eta \kappa$ ́́v $\alpha \iota$ тò $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \xi i ́ \omega \mu \alpha$
$20 \kappa\left[\alpha{ }^{\alpha}\right] \kappa \epsilon i \nu\langle 0\rangle v \quad \tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon S \quad i \in \rho \in \epsilon \in S$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ iє $\rho^{\prime} \omega \nu$ каì к $\kappa \mu \alpha$ $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho о \tau о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \psi \in \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota, \vec{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \in \nu 0 \chi o s \in i \neq \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\theta \in i ́ \omega$
${ }_{25}$ v̇латєías $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o \kappa(\epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s) \Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\omega} \theta \lambda$.

2nd hand $[A] \dot{v} p \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s \Theta \omega \nu i ́ o s ~ \ddot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \iota \omega \nu \quad$ ढ̈ $\rho \kappa \omega \nu$ ̀̀s $\pi \rho \omega_{-}$. $\kappa \in \iota \tau \alpha \iota$.
2. $\eta \mu \bar{\omega}$ Pap. 8. ïєpov (?) . . . $\sigma v \nu \nu a \bar{\omega}$ Pap. 15. oркō Pap. 20. J. тv $\chi^{\text {ávovtos. }}$

' The year after the consulship of Julius Constantius, patrician, brother of our master Constantinus Augustus, and Rufius Albinus, the most illustrious. To Flavius Paranius also called Macrobius, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Thonius son of Demetrius, of the same city, priest of the temple of Zcus, Hera, and the associated most
great gods, celebrant of the divine images and their advancing victory. Your grace enjoined me to state in writing whence I obtained the aforesaid rank. Accordingly I acknowledge, swearing the holy, divine oath by our masters the Emperor and the Caesars, that I received the said rank in succession to my aforesaid father Demetrius, who was himself one of the said priests and celebrants of the divine images, and that I have made no false statement, under penalty of the consequences of the divine oath. In the consulate aforesaid, Phamenoth 30. I, Aurelius Thonius, have sworn the divine oath, as aforesaid.'
8. Cf. 483. 3 , where $\kappa a[i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \nu a \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ is probably to be restored, as here, after "Hpas;

9. кшرабтой : cf. e. g. B. G. U. i. 19-20, 362 . vii. ı7, \&̌c., Wessely, Kar. und Sokn. Nes. p. 64, Otto, Priester und Tempel, i, pp. 10, 95.
 e. g. 1142. 9, note, Mayser, Grammatik, pp. 163-4.
13. $\pi[a] \rho[\eta] \rho \eta \kappa \omega$ s is not very satisfactory. Wilcken suggests $\tau \in \tau[\eta] \rho \eta \kappa \omega \dot{s}$, but this suits the vestiges less well, and hardly gives the required sense.

17-18. It is noticeable that the writer makes no reference to his mother; cf. note on 1256. if.

21-2. The words as they stand are just intelligible, but probably the writer intended $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu a \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ кai $\kappa \omega \mu a \sigma \tau o \hat{\nu}$.
1266. Examination ( $\grave{\pi} i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s)$ for Membership of the Gymnasium.

$$
25.2 \times 8.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 98
$$

This is an application by a father for the $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ of his son as a preliminary to the latter's admission to the gymnasium ; cf. Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 140-3, 199, 200, 1202, P. Rylands 101. The document is of precisely the same kind as 257, and being better preserved at the end is a useful supplement to that papyrus. The beginning, as in $\mathbf{2 5 7}$, is lost, but presumably the application was addressed to the strategus and basilicogrammateus as the officials primarily responsible for the $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ of ephebi in the provincial towns ; cf. 257. 13-15, 1266. I-2. For full qualification as ephebi, however, a further process of єïккььts was necessary (cf. Wilcken, op. cit., p. 142, 1202. introd.), and 1266 now shows that in the local metropoleis, as at Alexandria, the praefect here intervened ; cf. the note on 1.25 .
[. .]. [. .]... [.] . . . [. $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma] \alpha y \tau o s$
каì Пب $\mu \mu \phi i ́ \lambda o u \quad \gamma \in \nu 0[\mu \epsilon ́ v o] v \quad \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \iota \kappa[0] \hat{v}$
$\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ каì $\hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha-$


$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$ иov $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \quad$ Llovóvıs


```
    \rhoov ôs \hat{\eta}v \pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\sigma\tau\rhoo\phiú\lambda\alpha\xi \pi\epsilon\rhot\grave{v}
        \alpha}\pi0\delta\epsiloní\xi\epsilon\sigma\iota @́s ò \pi\alpha\tau\grave{\eta}\rho \alphaủ\tauo\hat{v}\Psi\mp@code{\alpha}\mu
```



```
        0\epsilonov K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos \gamma\rho[a]\phi[\hat{\eta}\tau]\omegaि\nu \epsilon'к \tauo\hat{v}
        \gammav\mu\nu\alpha\sigmaiov \pi\alpha\rho@\alpha. . . . \mu
```



```
        \mu\eta\nu 'े\nu \pi\alphá\sigma\alpha,s \tau\alphaî \tauôv \gammav\mu\nu\alpha\sigmaíov
        I5 \gamma\rho\alphaф\alphaîs, \tau\etaे\nu \delta`̀ \tauov viôv \mu\eta\tau\epsiloń\epsilon\alpha
        \Theta\epsilon\rho\muоv́0\iotao\nu \gamma\epsilon\gamma\alpha\mu\hat{\eta}\sigma0\alphaí \muol \tau\hat{\omega}
        \beta ('\epsilon\tau\tau\ell) \triangleo\mu\iota\tau\iota\alpha\nuov̂ к\alpha0' i\deltató\gammapa\phiov
        \sigmav\nu\gamma\rho\alphaф\grave{\eta}\nu \tau\grave{\eta}\nu к\alphai \delta\epsilon\delta \eta\muо\sigma\iota\omega-
        \mu\epsiloń\nu\eta\nu \deltai\alphà \tauov ка\tau\alpha\lambdao\gamma\epsiloníov \tau\hat{Q}
    20 €́\xi\etaेS \gamma (\epsilon'\tau\epsilon\iota), \hat{\eta}S oे \pia\tau\grave{\eta}\rho \Pi\lambdaov\tauí
        \omega\nu П\lambdaov\tau\alphá\rho\chiov \alphả\nu\alpha\gamma\rho\alphaфó\mu\in\nuоs
        \epsiloṅ\pi' \alphá\muфó\deltaov Nótov \triangle\rhoó\muov \epsiloń\pi\epsilon-
        к\rhoí0\eta \tau\hat{Q}}
        0\epsilonov̂ Oủध\sigma\pia\sigma\iota\alpha\nuov̂ \epsiloṅ\nu \tau\alphá\xi彑\epsilon\iota \tau\hat{\omega}\nu
        25 vं\piò Kauy\tauíov \Piav\lambda\epsilonívov '́\pi!к\epsilonкр\iota-
        \mu\epsilońv\omega\nu v́\piò \Sigma'ov\tau\omega\rhoíov \Sigma\omega\sigma\iota\betaiov
        \sigma\tau\rho\alphaт\eta\gamma\etá\sigma\alpha\nuтоs каi N\iotaк\alphá\nu\delta\rhoои
        \gamma\epsilon\nuо釉\nuоv \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\iotaко仑ि \gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\tau'\epsiloń\omegaS
        \kappa\alphaì \hat{\omega}\nu \alphä\lambda\lambda\omega\nu к\alphaӨ\etáк\epsilon\iota, к\alphaì ò \nu\nuv́\omega
        30 Av̇токр\alpháтора K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rho\alpha N\epsiloń\rhoоv\alpha\nu
```



```
        \mu\grave{\eta}\epsilon'\psi\epsilon\hat{v}\sigma0\alpha\iota, \epsilonî\nu\alpha\iota \delta' '̇ }\mu0\hat{v} к\alpha\grave{\imath}\tau\hat{\eta}
        \Theta\epsilon\rho\muov0iov \phiú\sigma[\epsilon\ell viòv \tauò]v. \Pi\lambdaov-
        \tauí\omega\nu\alpha к\alphai \mu\età 0\epsiloń[\sigma\epsilon\iota \mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}}\dot{v}\pi
        35 \tauov \mu\eta\delta' \alpha}\lambda\lambda\lambdaот\rhoí\alpha\iotas [\dot{\alpha}\sigma\phi\alpha\lambda]E!{\iotas \ddot{\eta
```




```
        Kaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos N\epsilon\rhoov́\alpha T\rho\alphaї\alpha\nuov̂{s}
        \Sigma<\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauо仑 \Gammaє\rho\mu\alpha\nu\iotaко仑 П\alphav̂\nu\iota เ5.
2nd hand 40 \overline{\Psiá\mu\mu\iotas \Deltaוovv\sigmaiov тоv̂ каl 'A\muó\iota\tau(os)}
    \epsiloṅ\pi\iota\delta'́\delta\omegaк\alpha к\alphai ö }\mu\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilonк\alpha \tauò
    ӧрко⿱.
```

'[. . . I declare that I was selected at the selection which took place under]. . ., late strategus and Pamphilus, late basilicogrammateus, and the other proper officials in the 7 th year of the deified Vespasian in accordance with the proofs adduced in his lifetime by my aforesaid father Dionysius also called Amoïs, son of Psammis son of Ballarus, who was a guard of the palaestra, that his father Psammis son of Ballarus was in the list made in the $34^{\text {th }}$ year of the deified Caesar of those ... in the gymnasium ; and I myself ever since I came up for selection was included in all the lists of the gymnasium; and I declare that Thermouthion the mother of my son was married to me in the 2nd year of Domitian by an autograph contract which was also made public through the record-office in the following $3^{\text {rd }}$ year, while her father Plution son of Plutarchus, registered at the South Square quarter, was in the same fifth year of the deified Vespasian placed by Sutorius Sosibius, then strategus, and Nicander, then basilicogrammateus, and the other proper officials in the class of persons selected by Quintius (?) Paulinus; and I swear by the Emperor Caesar Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus that I have made no false statement, and that Plution is the son of myself and Thermouthion by birth and not by adoption nor is he supposititious, and that I have not availed myself of credentials belonging to others or identity of names; otherwise may I be liable to the consequences of the oath. The ist year of the Emperor Caesar Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus, Pauni i6. I, Psammis son of Dionysius also called Amoïs, have presented the memorandum and sworn the oath.'

I sqq. A comparison of the dates in 11. 4 and $\mathbf{I} 7$ indicates that the reference is to the exixpıtяs of the applicant, not, as in 257. is sqq., to that of his father.

II-I2. oi '̇к tov̀ youvaziov is commonly used absolutely, but here seems to have been combined with a participle.
 ঠп $\mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \delta o \sigma \iota \nu$, a passage now cleared up by the present parallel, which indicates that, as we had suggested in the note ad loc. (so too Preisigke, Girowesen, p. 299, Schwarz, Hypothek und Hypallagma, p. 88), the $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \neq \eta$ ' in question was iôtópoaфos, i. e. really a хєєрó $\gamma \rho a \neq \nu$. The explanation preferred by Mitteis, Grundz. pp. 86, 126, must accordingly be definitely discarded. On the $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma t s$ of private contracts see Mitteis, op. cit., pp. 82-7, Preisigke, op. cit., pp. 296 sqq., 1200. introd., 1273. introd., Jörs, Z. Sav. xxxiv, pp. 107 sqq. Jörs's remarks on pp. 14I-2 concerning marriage-contracts now require modification.
23. The 5 th year has not occurred in what remains of the text, but was perhaps mentioned in connexion with the $\dot{\epsilon \pi i k p t \sigma t s}$ of the applicant's father in the lines which originally preceded 1l. i sqq.; cf. 257. 12 sqq. and note.
25. Paulinus is known from Josephus, Bell. Jud. vii. ro. 4 to have succeeded Ti. Julius Lupus (died A. D. 73) in the praefecture, but this is his first occurrence in papyri. He has been identified with the Valerius Paulinus mentioned by Tacitus, Hist. iii. 43 (cf. Prosopograph. Imp. Rom. iii, p. 373, Cantarelli, La serie dei prefetti, i, p. 35), but the nomen is at any rate not Valerius; it looks rather like a corruption of Quintius.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ : the first three letters are indistinct, but on the whole are more like $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota$ than
 rightly read, may be due to the carelessness of the scribe.
$26-7$. $\Sigma \omega \sigma[\iota \beta i o v$ and $N[\iota \kappa] a \operatorname{\nu } \delta \rho o v$ must hence be restored in 257. I3-1 4 .




 á $\sigma \phi a \lambda]$ fiaus in 1.35 is conjectural (cf. e. g. P. Tebt. 293. 19), but suits the remains; àmap $\chi$ ais cannot be read.

## 1267. Registration of A Child.

$$
24.5 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 209
$$

A request to an amphodogrammateus of the city for the registration of a boy aged three years and five months. The document is to be referred to the category of the notifications of birth, of which several examples from the Fayûm have been published ; cf. P. Fay. 28, Tebt. 299, Gen. 33, B. G. U. 28, I10-I I, and Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 195-6. There are, however, considerable differences in formula, the most obvious being the concurrence of the owner of the house, where the boy was to be registered, with the boy's father in presenting the application. Possibly, no doubt, in the Fayûm examples the parents happened to be owners and not tenants. That the present notification occurred some years after the child's birth is no unusual circumstance. The papyrus offers a still older mention of the tribal organization of the provincial metropoleis than 1030.

On the verso is an extract from an official survey-list (1287).

```
    乏úpı \(\omega \nu \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi[o \delta] 0 \gamma \rho \alpha(\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}) \gamma\)
                \(\phi v \lambda \bar{\eta} s\)
    \(\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}\) ' \(A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega[\nu \alpha \rho i ́ o] v ~ ' A \rho \pi \alpha ́ \lambda o v\)
    \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ ' \Sigma a p a \pi \iota a ́ \delta o s ~ a ̉ \pi ' ~ ’ O \xi v \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu\)
г \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}\) кvрíov Xáp \(\mu о v\)
```



```
    \(\kappa[\alpha] i\) inapà Z wî̀ov \([Z] \omega i ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o ̂ v ~\)
    'A
```





```
    Xovтоs \({ }^{\epsilon} \mu \circ \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta}\) ' \(A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \rho i ́ c\)
    ' \(\pi\) ' \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \delta o v ~ \Pi \lambda \alpha т \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \mu e ́ p o u s ~ o i-~\)
    кías тòv є́ \(\mu\) ov̂ rov̂ Z \(\omega i ́ \lambda o u\)
\({ }_{15} \gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o \nu\) viòv ' \(\Omega \rho \in\) єí \(\omega \nu \alpha\)
    \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ ' H \rho \alpha ̂ \tau o s ~ ' A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i o v ~\)
```




```
    \pi\epsiloń\nu\tau\epsilon. \deltaiò \epsiloṅ\piเ\deltaí\deltao\mu\epsilon\nu \tauò v̇\pió-
20 \mu\nu\eta\mu\alpha @̀s каӨ\etáк\epsilon\iota к\alphai
    \jmath}\mu\nuv́o\mu\epsilon\nu \tau\grave{\eta}\nu\tau\hat{\omega}[\nu] кv\rhoí\omega
    Avंтократо́\rho\omega\nu \Sigma'єои\etá\rhoои
    к\alphaì 'A\nu\tau\omega\nuivov каi Г'є́\tau\alpha K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos
    \Sigma\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \tauú\chi\eta\nu \mu\etaे \epsilon}\epsilon\in\epsilon\hat{v}\sigma0(\alpha\iota)
25 ('єтоvs) \§ Aúrократó\rho\omega\nu K\alpha\iota\sigma\alphá\rho\omega\nu
    Aovкíou \Sigmaє\piт\iota\muíov \Sigma\epsilonоv\etáрои
    Ev̉\sigma\epsilon\betaov̂s П\epsilon\rhoтívакоз 'A\rho\alpha\betaıкой
    'A\delta\iota\alpha\beta\eta\nu\iotaко仑े П\alpha\rho0\iotaкоиิ
    Mє\gammaí\sigmaто⿱ ка\grave{ M\alphá\rhoкои Av́р\eta\lambdaíov}
30 'A\nu\tau\omega\nuivov Ev̇\sigma[\epsilon\beta]ov̂s \Sigma\epsilon\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\nu
    к\alphai Пov\beta\lambdaíov \Sigmaєп\piт\iota\muiov Г'́\tau\alpha
    Kaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos \Sigma'\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauov T\hat{v}\beta\iota \iota.
```


'To Syrion, amphodogrammateus of the 3rd tribe, from Apollonarion daughter of Harpalus and Sarapias, of Oxyrhynchus, with her guardian Charmus son of Pausiras and..., and from Zoilus son of Zoilus son of Apollonius, his mother being Claudia daughter of 'Theon, of the said city. We wish that now for the first time and henceforth Zoilus' legitimate son Horion, his mother being Heras daughter of Apollonius, a payer of twelve drachmae and member of the gymnasium, aged in the present 17 th year three years and five months, should be registered in the share of a house owned by me, Apollonarion, in the Broad Street quarter. We accordingly present this memorandum as is fitting, and swear by the fortune of our lords the Emperors Severus and Antoninus and Geta Caesar Augusti that we have made no false statement.' Date.

1-2. Cf. 1030. 2, introd., 1116. 20, which gives the equation of $\phi v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \phi о \delta o \nu$, and Wilcken, Grundz. pp. 42-3, 348-9.
10. $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ : cf. the phrase à $\pi о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi о \mu a \iota \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega s$ in registrations of property, e. g. P. Tebt. 323. 7 , where we interpreted it as meaning that the new owner was making a return for the first time of his acquisition. Mitteis thinks (Grundz. p. Ior) that the sense must be that the person making the return was appearing for the first time as a property-owner; Eger, Aeg. Grundbuchwesen, pp. 121-2, leaves the question open. The analogy of the present passage is distinctly in favour of our original explanation.
${ }^{1} 3$. In 733. $3 \mu \eta[\tau \rho \circ \pi(o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s)] \Pi \lambda a \tau\left(\epsilon^{i}(\mathrm{~s})\right.$ is probably to be read.
17. The gist of the document appears to commend ( $\delta \omega \delta \delta \kappa \alpha \dot{\delta} \rho \rho_{\chi} \mu \circ \nu$ ) in preference to ( $\delta \omega \delta \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \delta \rho \alpha_{\chi} \mu o v$ ), the meaning being that Horion was qualified by descent for eventual
 phrase is applied to a boy of three years.

## 1268. Registration of a House after Purchase.

$16.9 \times 12.1 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Third century.
This document belongs to the class of property-returns following upon purchase, of which examples have been published from the Fayûm (e. g. P. Tebt. 323), Hermopolis (e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 945 (iii, p. 120), Leipzig 3. ii), and Antinoë (P. Strassb. 34); cf. Eger, Acg. Grundbuchwesen, pp. 120-3, Mitteis, Grundz. pp. 99-IOI. 1268 has some peculiarities which give it an interest in spite of its extensive mutilation. A noticeable feature is the array of documentary evidence adduced in support of the purchaser's title ; in the parallels from Hermopolis and Antinoë this is less prominent, while in those from the Fayûm it is not directly referred to. An analogous papyrus from Oxyrhynchus is 1199, which, however, is not in the form of an $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ but is an application for $\pi \alpha \rho a \dot{\theta} \theta \sigma \iota s$, an expedient supposed to have been adopted when the previous owner had not made an àтоүрафф́ ; cf. Eger, op. cit., pp. I3I sqq., Mitteis, op. cit., pp. IO3 sqq. 1199, as was pointed out in the introd. ad loc., conflicts with the current explanation by a positive statement that the property in question had been declared in an $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ by the vendor. 1268 now brings the correlative contrary evidence ; it is itself an $\dot{a} \pi \sigma$ ораф $\eta^{\prime}$, yet it apparently states in 11 . 14-15 that the vendors $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \pi o \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta a \iota$. Mitteis plausibly suggests that the present $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ was conditioned by the production of evidence that the vendors' title depended on $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o \iota$ (ll. 13, 17). But it can hardly be said that the conditions underlying the alternatives of $\dot{a} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ are yet fully understood.

The document belongs to the first half, probably, of the third century; the sixth year mentioned in 1. 5 may well refer to the reign of Severus Alexander.

 $\Delta$ iovvoiov $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ M \alpha \rho к i ́ a s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$
 Ta $\sigma \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s T \alpha \alpha \rho-$

${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ vó $\mu$ ous
 $\tau$ є́ $\alpha \rho \tau о \nu$
[ $\mu$ '́ $\rho o s \quad 24$ letters
[ 22 ,
€ €́gódoıs


 д’үорабтเк@̣
 ката入oүєíou $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega s$
[ 28 letters ]..[.....].. $\tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \in i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi[\iota] \phi \epsilon ́ \epsilon \omega \nu$

[ypáфoıs 29 letters ] $\mu[\eta \nu] \grave{\imath} \Pi \alpha \chi \omega ́ \omega, \mu i ́ \alpha \nu \mu$ è $\nu$ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \alpha ́-$
20 [ $\delta 0 s$

$$
\text { ] . . [. . . . .] . . } \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ s ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \pi[\iota] \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu
$$

$$
] v . . \nu[\cdot] . \theta \eta
$$

14. o of tov corr. from $\eta$.
15. їбoıs Pap.
ı. Cf. e.g. 713. ı.
16. The Oxyrhynchite $\kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \sum \dot{\nu} \rho \omega \nu$ is mentioned also in 270. 22, 1052. 5 .

4-5. $\sigma v \gamma \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta[\sigma \iota \nu$. . . катадоү]єiov: cf. e. g. l. 17, Mitteis, Grundz. pp. 65-7.
$6-7$. 'A 1 चдиàs ктл. : cf. 579, 1117. 2-3.
9. Cf. 1208. 6, note. But the fact that a person $\mathfrak{i \pi j o} \tau \hat{y} \chi \not \chi \iota \rho i$ owner of property shows that the patria potestas was not strictly interpreted in Egypt.
13. ${ }^{\epsilon} \times \delta \dot{\delta} \bar{j} \sigma u[a]$ is a somewhat doubtful restoration, but seems suitable enough in this
 which appears in connexion with the $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ of contracts, cf. Mitteis, op. cit., pp. 84-5, Preisigke, Girowesen, p. 297. The relations of the officials $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \bar{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} a \lambda o \gamma \hat{\eta}$ to the kaтa入oyєiov are not yet clear. Mitteis's remark (pp. $84^{3}, \mathbf{1 2 5} 5^{3}$ ) that apparently the latter only was
concerned with the preparation of $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega p \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon t s$ seems to need modification in the light of the present passage.


 $\pi \rho о к є\rfloor][\epsilon]\rfloor \omega \nu$.

## 1269. List of Property.

$$
20.2 \times 11.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early second century } .
$$

A list addressed to the exegetes (of Oxyrhynchus) of some property belonging to two minors whose father was dead. The list had been drawn up by the uncle of the minors on the order of the previous exegetes and was now submitted to his successor in the office. A supervision of minors was one of the functions of the exegetae ; cf. P. Amh. 85, 86, B. G. U. 1070 ( = Mitteis, Chrestom. 323 ), and for a near parallel to the present papyrus B. G. U. 388. ii. 22-4 єi $\sigma \in \lambda \theta$ óvt

 list had been pawned by their late owner ; cf. c.g. 114, P. Brit. Mus. 193. verso (ii, p. 245).

- Three different hands are apparently to be distinguished in the document. The upper part is in upright semi-uncials which change in 1.20 to a smaller cursive. From 1. 4 onwards dots or short dashes have been placed in the left margin close to most of the lines.


```
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha} \Theta\epsilon\omega\nu\alphâтos \tauôv 'I\sigma\iota\deltá\omega\rhoov \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs
    \Sigmao\etáplos á\pi' 'O\xivpv́\gamma\chi\omega\nu \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas. (2nd hand) \hat{\eta}s
    \epsiloṅ\pi\epsiloń\delta\omegaка \sumара\piтí\omega\nu\iota \tau\hat{\}\pi\rhoò \sigmao\hat{v}
5 \epsilon}\xi\eta\gamma\eta\tau\hat{\eta} \gamma\rhoa\phi\etâs \sigmaк\epsilonv\omegaि
    \tau\hat{\omega}\nu к\alpha\tau\alpha\lambda\epsilon\lambda\iota\mu\mu\epsiloń\nu\omega\nu \tauоís \alpha'ф\eta
    \lambdal\xi`l \muov ả\delta€\lambda\phil\deltaoîs \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\alpha\hat{Tl к\alphai}
    \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\piov̂\tau\iota ú\piò \tau\omegav̂ \mu\epsilon\tau\eta\lambda\lambda\alpha \chió-
    \tauos av̇\tau\hat{v}\pi\alpha<\tau\rhoòs \epsiloń\muov̂ \delta`̀ ò\muo-
10 \gamma\nu\eta\sigmaíov \alphá\deltaє\lambda\phiov̂ 'I\sigma\hat{\alpha}\os \alphaं\nu\taui\gamma\rho\alpha\phio\nu
    \dot{\pi}о́к\in\iota\tau\alpha\iota.
```



```
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha} \Theta\epsilon\omegavâ\tauos \tauô 'I\sigma\iota\delta'\omega\rhoov \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs
```



$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ $\tau 0 i ̂ s \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi i \quad \mu o v$

úmò тov̂ $\mu \in \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Хóтоs $\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$

$20 \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi p-$（3rd hand）$-\sigma \sigma \phi \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\alpha}$

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta i ́ o v$, モ́т $\epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \quad \alpha \quad \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ，кáסos $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \text {－}}$

Eioâs $\pi \epsilon \rho i \omega ̀ ~ \Pi a v \alpha ́ \rho \eta \tau o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ’ \rho \gamma v p i ́ o v ~ \delta \rho \alpha-~$


$[\Pi] \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \rho \eta, ~ \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa и \theta о \nu$ каббוтєрíov $\dot{\text { ú } \pi о \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota-~}$

 －$\mu v$ 光T८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~[\delta] \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} s$ $\delta \epsilon k \alpha \delta v ́ o, k \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha$ $\dot{v} \pi о т \in \theta \in \iota \mu \in ́ \nu 0 \nu$ Tעєфєрбóıт८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} s$ óкт白，каi ${ }^{\eta} \mu[\iota] \sigma v \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ i \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \rho \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \omega ิ \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota-$


$35 \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi 0 \hat{v}$＇I $\sigma \hat{\alpha} \tau o s ~ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha$ ，
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu \quad \kappa[\imath \imath] \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o u ̂ s, \pi о \tau \eta ́ \rho t o \nu$ к $\alpha \sigma \sigma \iota-$

［．．．．．］${ }^{2}$ ov［

3．$\eta$ of $\eta s$ corr．4．$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa a$ over something expunged．8．1．$\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ．I9． $\operatorname{\iota \sigma a\pi o}(s)$ over something expunged．24．1．Пavápŋtı or Пavápy．28．$\pi \rho \frac{\text { s．added above the line．}}{\text { 2 }}$

${ }^{\text {＇}}$ To Ptolemaeus，priest and exegetes in office，from Theonas son of Isidorus and Soëris of the city of Oxyrhynchus．Appended is a copy of the list which I presented to Sarapion，your predecessor as exegetes，of the articles left to my nephew and niece，being minors，Sarapas and Sarapous，by their deceased father，who was my full brother，Isas．

To Sarapion，priest and exegetes in office，from Theonas son of Isidorus and Soërous of the city of Oxyrhynchus．Being asked by you for a list of the furniture and other articles left to my nephew and niece，being minors，Sarapas and Sarapous，by their deceased father who was my full brother Isas，I declare that they are as follows：a coffer
supplied with a false key, another out of use, a box of bronze, a plate or dish pledged by Isas during his lifetime to Panares for twenty drachmae of silver, another box likewise pledged for ten drachmae to the same Panares, a flask of tin pledged to the same person for four drachmae, a . . . coloured . . . pledged to me for a further sum of twelve drachmae, a tunic pledged to Tnephersoïs for eight drachmae, and a half share in three weavers' looms which belonged to his father, a pig sold by me, Theon, after the death of my brother Isas for forty drachmae, a large chest of bronze, one cup of tin and one of silver, a pillow . . .'
14. Eonpoîtos: in 1.3 she was called Eońpos ; cf. 1. 34, note, and 1291. introd.
22. тapakגeioiov occurs in a line of Plato Com. (Kock 77) apparently in the sense of a false key; that this is the meaning here is not clear.
23. $k \lambda$ eis does not seem to occur elsewhere in this sense.
34. Өُ́ $\omega$ vos: in 11.2 and $1_{3} \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ âtos. The same irregularity occurs in 119 ; cf. 1. 14 , note.

## (c) PETITIONS.

## 1270. Notification through the Archidicastes.

$$
32 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 159
$$

A notice addressed to the strategus by the purchaser of some land that he had brought the terms of his contract to the cognizance of the archidicastes, who had authorized the strategus to communicate this fact to the seller or her representatives. Documents of this class, of which other examples are 485 , B. G. U. $578, \& c$., are known as $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha$, and were commonly employed to bring formal notice of claims for breaches of agreement ; cf. Mitteis, Grundz. pp. I22 sqq., who has well characterized the proceeding as 'Mahnverfahren'. The peculiarity of 1270 is that no claim or complaint is specified; the object of the communication is apparently stated to be merely that the seller might be aware of the validity of the contract (ll. $52-3$ ). This is yet more vague than 286 , an analogous invocation of the archidicastes in which the claim is still hypothetical : $0 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$. .
 the present case, too, some negligence in the fulfilment of the terms of the contract was anticipated, and the purchaser had recourse to this $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ v$ as a precautionary measure. Mitteis suggests that the scller had died, and that her heirs had to be notified before being called upon to carry out the terms of the agreement ; cf. Cod. Theod. ii. 27 . I. In l. 50 the possibility of her decease is indeed mentioned, but only in a stercotyped phrase (cf. e. g. 485. 29, and contrast B. G. U. 888. 20), which does not imply that the writer believed death to have taken place.

It may be remarked that in the application to the archidicastes an abstract of the contract is given, not a complete copy of it. This is very likely due,
as suggested by Mitteis in connexion with B. G. U. 888 (Grundz. p. $124^{3}$ : 906 is not parallel ; cf. 1266. $17-19$, note), to the fact that the contract in question was a notarial ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma_{i} a$, not a $\chi \in \iota \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi o v$ requiring $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ before it could be acted upon. Of $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ there is here no word, and the document is thus differentiated from 719, and cannot be explained as an announcement of the fact of publication to the other party to the contract ; cf. 1276. I9, note.

```
    \Phi\omegaкí\omega\nu\iota \sigma\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gamma\hat{饣})
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha} \Pi\tauо\lambda\epsilon\mu\alphaíov 'H\rho\omegá\delta[оv \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs . . . . . .
    \alpha}\pi' 'O\xi`v\rhoú\gamma\chi\omega\nu \pió\lambda\\epsilon\omegas. [0\hat{v \epsiloń\pió\rho\iota\sigma\alpha \epsilonُк
    \tauov̂ к\alpha\tau\alpha\lambdao\gamma\epsiloníov X\rho\eta\mu\alpha\tau\iota[\sigma\muo\hat{v}\epsilon\mp@code{\epsilon\tau\iota\nu \alphȧ\nu\taui'\gamma\rho\alpha-}
5 фо\nu. К\epsiloń\lambda\epsilon\rho ò i\epsilon\rho\epsilonथ̀s к\alphaì \alpha`[\rho\chi\iota\delta\iotaк\alpha\sigma\tau\etaेS
```



```
    vं\piо\mu\nu\etá\mu\alpha\tauоS \dot{\alpha}\nu\taui\gamma\rho\alpha[\phio\nu \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\deltao0\etá\tau\omega \dot{@}
```




```
Io Ev`\sigma\epsilon\betaov̂s \mu\eta\nuòs `A\delta\rho\iota\alpha\nuo[\hat{v}..............
    \sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta\muí\omega\mu\alpha\iota. \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\pií\omega\nu E. . [........ . . . 的\mu\mu\alpha-
    \tau\epsilonùs к\alpha\tau\alpha\lambdaoy\epsiloníov. Ké\lambda\epsilon\rho[\imath . . . . . . . . \gamma\epsilonvo-
```



```
    \varphi \sigma\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gamma\hat{Q}) \tau\hat{\eta}S \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS i\epsilon[\rho\epsilon\hat{\imath}\alpha\rho\chi\iota\delta\iotaк\alpha\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}
```



```
    к\alpha\grave{\tau}\tau\nu\nu \alphä\lambda\lambda\omega\nu к\rhoı\tau\eta\rhoí[\omega\nu \tau~\rho\alpha\alpha \Pi\tauо\lambda\epsilon\mu\alphaí-
```






```
    \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS \dot{ \rho}\mu0\lambdaо\gammaí\alpha\langle\nu\rangle\tau\hat{\iota}}\dot{\epsilon}\nu[\epsilon\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\tau\iota к\beta\beta \epsilon'\tau\epsilon\iota \dot{\omega-
    \muо\lambdaó\gamma\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \pi\alpha\rho\alphaк\epsilon\chi\omega\rho[\etaк\epsilońv\alpha\iota \muо\iota \tauò vi\pi\alphá\rho-
    \chio\nu \alphaư\tau\hat{\eta} \pi\epsilonрí к\omegá\mu\eta\nu\nu [. . . . . . . . \epsilon่к \tauô̂ По-
    \lambdavк\lambda\epsiloní\deltaov к\lambda\etá\rhoov \pi\rhoо́т[\epsilon\rhoо\nu
25 \tau\etâS \Delta\iotao\gamma\epsilońvovs \gamma\etâS ка\tauо\iota[к\iotaк\etâS \sigma\iotaтофо́\rhoоv \sigma\piо-
```



```
    \alpha\nu\nu \etả \epsiloṅ\pii \tauò \pi\lambda\epsilonio\nu \etaै \epsilonौ\lambda\alpha\tau[To\nu ко\iota\nu\etâS ov`\sigma\etaS \tau\hat{\eta}S
    ő\lambda\etas \alphả\alphaov́\rho\etas \mulâs \pi\rhoòs \epsilon[.
    к\alphai \Pi\epsilon\mu\llbracket[. . .]|\pi\epsiloǹ\mu \alphȧ\pio . [. . . . . . . . . . . . .-
```





ג́ $\rho \gamma$ vрíov $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \nu о \mu[i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau о s ~ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} s$

$\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\iota}$ тл $\lambda \bar{\eta} \rho[\epsilon \iota S$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \beta \epsilon-$
$\beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \quad \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma[\epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho-$

каi $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha s ~ к \alpha i ~ \nu ̀[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ X \omega \mu \alpha ́-~$

 X $\rho \iota$ $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \iota \in[\lambda \eta \lambda v \theta$ ótos $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v$




 $\xi \alpha \iota \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} O \xi v[\rho v \gamma \chi i \tau o v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha(\tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega}) \mu \in \tau \alpha$


$\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$ óvó $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ є́ $\pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega}\left[\nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu \quad \delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta \eta_{-}\right.$
 кирíav тク̀̀ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma i ́[\alpha \nu$ є́ $\phi$ ’ oîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon$.


Eủ $\sigma \epsilon \beta$ oûs $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ ' A[\delta p ı \alpha \nu o v ̂ ~$


(є̌тоиs) к $\beta$ Au̇токра́тороs K[aíбароs Títov Aỉíov
60 'Aठpıavồ 'A
$[M] \epsilon \chi \in i \rho .[$.


- To Phocion, strategus, from Ptolemacus son of Herodes and . . ., of Oxyrhynchus. A copy of the communication which I have obtained from the record-office is as follows:
"Celer, priest and archidicastes, to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, greeting. Let a copy of the application presented to me be served, as below. Good-bye. The 22 nd year of the Emperor Caesar Titus Aelius Hadrianus Antoninus Augustus Pius, the . . . of the month Hadrianus. Signed by me, . . . written by me, Sarapion . . ., scribe of the recordoffice. "' To Celer son of the ex-hypomnematographus . . ., ex-strategus of the city, priest, archidicastes, and superintendent of the chrematistae and other tribunals, from Ptolemaeus son of Herodes and . . ., of Oxyrhynchus. By the terms of the contract made by me with Ammon . . . daughter of Diogenes and Sinthoönis with her guardian her son Diogenes san of Theon, of the said city, in the present 22 nd year, she acknowledged that she had ceded to me the sixth part of an arura, or thereabouts, whether more or less, of catoecic corn-bearing arable land, of rectangular shape, belonging to her in the area of the village . . . in the holding of Polycleidas, and formerly the property of . . . daughter of Diogenes, the whole one arura being held jointly with . . . and another, and being adjoined on the south, north, east, and west by . . ., and that she had received from me forthwith from hand to hand in full the hundred and six drachmae of the Imperial silver coinage agreed upon between us as the price of the sixth part of an arura, as aforesaid, and that she would guarantee the land with every guarantee as free from the liability of cultivating royal or domain land and every impost and from construction and . . . of dykes and also from all public taxes incident in the past down to the end of and including the 2 ist year of Antoninus Caesar the lord, because the proceeds henceforth belong to me, Ptolemaeus, with the conditions included in the contract concerning the previous cultivation. I beg you to give orders that instructions should be sent to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome to serve a copy of this application upon Ammon . . ., if alive, or if not, upon her full heirs whose names will be disclosed on the spot, as is fitting, in order that they may know that the contract with all its provisions is valid."' (Endorsed) Let the proper steps be taken. The 22 nd year of the Emperor Caesar Titus Aelius Hadrianus Antoninus Augustus Pius, the . . . of the month Hadrianus." In these circumstances I request that a copy should be served on Ammon . . ., as is fitting.' Date.

1. Phocion has occurred in 476 , which may now be dated more precisely.

10-12. For the double signatures cf. e.g. 485. 8, 719. 6. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma[\rho a \psi a$ is possible after乏apanicข, but the order would be unnatural and a patronymic is nore probable, with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \rho a \neq u$ understood.

18. Cf. 1. $5^{8}$; either ' $А \mu \mu \omega \nu \hat{\tau} \tau a$ or ' $A \mu \mu \omega \nu$ ápıo is possible.

$29-30$. $\Pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \mu$, if right, must be the name of a person and $\dot{i \pi o}$ - either a patronymic
 or at any rate the latter of them, look like an error for accusatives.
 word before $\chi \omega \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ is doubtless the same as $\dot{v}[\ldots$ here ; it is not, apparently, vidpoфvлaкias.
45. The supplement is a shortened form of 504. $25-6,1208.22$.
46. Cf. for the supplement e. g. 286. і 2, 485. 24.
 $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta o \hat{v a l}$, is to be read.
 would also be suitable.
54. $\dot{\omega}$ s каӨinkєt, as 1200. 56 shows, is not to be connected with the preceding sentence but is the endorsement of the archidicastes, to be taken with the following date ; cf. 286. 28. In 485. 34 and B. G. U. 578 . 22 a full stop should similarly be placed before $\dot{\omega}$ ка日íkeє.

 abbreviation is no doubt a stroke over the day of the month; after this there would be room (but not more than room) for kai, which, however, may well have been omitted. In l. ${ }_{5} 6$ here, if $[k] a i$ is right in the line below, nothing more than the figures of the date are wanted after 'A $\delta$ puavov, and the rest of the line was presumably blank.

## 1271. Petition to the Praefect.

$$
\text { I3. } \mathrm{I} \times 8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 246 . \quad \text { Plate V. }
$$

An application to the praefect Valerius Firmus (cf. 720. I, 1194. introd.) from a woman who was a citizen of Side for a permit to leave the country via Pharos. An extremely cursive official endorsement in Latin remains partly undeciphered, but is not likely to have contained more than a formal authorization. With this interesting illustration of the vigilance exercised by the Government on the frontiers of Egypt and the strictness with which ingress and egress were



 €̇Хóvтढข. This Ptolemaic $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \tau a \gamma \mu a$ mentioned by Strabo was no doubt a permit addressed to the commandant at Pharos, corresponding to the authorization issued by the Roman praefect to the procurator Phari. For the latter official cf. C. I. L. vi. $858_{2}$ proc. Fari Alcxandriae ad Aegyptum.


```
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha} A\dot{v}\rho\eta\lambdaías M\alpha\iotaк\iotaа\nu\etâs \sumi\delta\etár(\iota\deltaos).
    \betaov́\lambdaо\mu\alphal, кv́рl\epsilon, \epsilon'к\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}\sigmal \deltaì̀ Ф\alphá\rhoоv.
    \alpha\xi\iota\omegaि \gamma\rhoá\psi\alpha\alpha\iota \sigma\epsilon \tau\hat{\imath}
    5 pov \alphȧ\pio\lambda\hat{v}\sigma\alphaí }\mu\in\kappa\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha}\mathrm{ тò 'ैधos.
```



```
2nd hand Valevius Firmus
    Asclcpiade. . ! . . sci
    dimittic..s.d..[...
Io co..us d..[.....
            . . fiç[. . . . . . 
datu!n! xvii ḳ[al(cndas) . . . . . .
        Presenti A[lbino co(n)s(ulibus)
```

- To Valerius Firmus, praefect of Egypt, from Aurelia Maeciana of Side. I wish, my lord, to sail out by way of Pharos; I therefore beg you to write to the procurator of Pharos to allow me to leave, as is usual. Pachon 1. Farewell.' Latin endorsement.

8. Asclepiadae is expected, but the letter after $d$ is not in the least like $a$ and probably Asclepiade was meant. At the end of the line neither Phari nor Fari seems to suit; iussi looks more possible, and sal(utem) would then be almost inevitable for the intervening word.
9. Some form of dimittere naturally suggests itself, and perhaps dimitti or dimittimus was meant, though the fifth letter is much more like $a$ or $r$ than $t$; cf. however the $t$ of datum (?) in 1. 12. The first letter might be $b$. Wilcken, who has contributed to the decipherment of these lines, proposes dimittite de $F[\operatorname{aro}$ (better $P[$ haro), but for this there is strictly a stroke too much.
10. One of the two letters after co is apparently $m$.
${ }^{12-13 .}$ datum accords with the following figures, and Presenti is sufficiently suitable in the next line; the remains of the letter before the lacuna apparently exclude $e[t$ Albino.

## 1272. Complaint of Theft.

$$
13.9 \times 9.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 144 .
$$

This document belongs to a well-known type, which has been discussed at length by Mitteis, Leipz. Sitz.-Ber. pp. 63 sqq. and Grundz. pp. 33 sqq. Owing to the mutilation of the beginning it is uncertain whether the petition was addressed to the strategus or, as frequently happens, to a centurion or lesser officer of police. The suggestion in 1. I9 of a personal inspection perhaps points rather to the latter.

```
    [ ].[
```



```
    [\tau\eta\s \alphaủ\tau\etâs \pió\lambda]\epsilon\omegas. [.
    [. . . . . . .].\eta\nu 六 }\mu\in[\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha\nu
5
    [. . . . .] oikías \muov к\alphai \tau\età\nu \tauov̂ \pi\epsilon\sigma\sigmaô̂ 0ú-
    [\rho\alpha\nu, к\alphai` \epsilon']}
    [\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\hat{Q}\pi]\alpha\nu\alphá\rho\iotao\nu '́\xi\eta\lambda\omega\mu\epsilon'\nu0\nu \beta\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha-
```



```
10 [\deltav́o ó]\lambdaк\etâs \mu\nu\alpha\iota\alphaí\omega\nu \tau\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alphá\rho\omega\nu к\alphai B\etă\sigma\iota-
    [os \chi\rho]v\sigmaov̂ к\alphaì к\lambda\alpha\lambdaí\omega\nu \alphá\rho\gammav\rho\hat{\omega}\nu \mu}\mu\boldsymbol{\gamma}
    [\lambda\omega]\nu \deltaúo к\alphaì \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \tauov \pi\epsilon\sigma\sigmaov̂ Ө\hat{v}\rho\alpha\nu ध́\pi\eta\rho.
```



```
    [\tau]\hat{\omega}\nu \gamma\epsilon\iota\tauó\nu\omega\nu \muov 'H\rho\hat{\alpha}\tauоs K\alpha\lambda\alphá0ov
```

```
I亏 [\gamma\epsilon\rho]\deltaíou к\alphai \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \sigmav̀v \alphaù\tau\hat{@}
    \deltaià \tauò \epsilonủv\pi\epsiloń\rho\betaatov \epsilonî\nu\alphal \tau\età\nu oikía\nu \muov
```




```
    \rho\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsiloń\sigma0\alpha\iota \epsiloń\pii \tau\etaे\nu \alphaủ\tauо\psií\alpha\nu к\alphai \alpha\X0\eta-
20 \nu\alpha\iota \epsiloṅ\pii \sigma\epsilon \tauò\nu 'H\rho\alpha人\nu к\alphaì \tauoùs \sigma\grave{v \alphaú\tauफ़ ov̂S}
    \alphaư\tauòs ó\nuо\mu\alphá\sigma\epsilon\iota к\alphai \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \delta'\epsilońov\sigma\alpha\nu \epsilon'\xiध-
    [\tau]\alpha\sigma\iota\nu \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsiloń\sigma0\alphal, ív\alpha \deltauv\eta0\hat{\omega} \tau\hat{\eta} \sigma\hat{\eta}\betao\eta-
```



```
    [K]\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos Tíтov Ai^íov 'A\delta\rhoı\alpha\nuov̂ 'A\nu\tau\omegavívov
    25 [\Sigma]\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauo\hat{v} Eú\sigma\epsilon\betaov̂s 'A0v̀\rho \iota\delta. (2nd hand) \l\epsilon\muov̂s
    [\overline{K}о\lambda]\lambdaov0ov \epsiloń\pi\iota\delta\epsiloń\delta\omegaк\alpha. \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\pií\omega\nu
    \epsilon'\gamma\rho\alpha\psi\alpha \dot{v\epsiloǹ\rho \alphau̇\tau\etâS к\tau\lambda.}
```

'[To . . . from Diemous daughter of Colluthus, of the city of Oxyrhynchus], with her guardian Sarapion son of ..., of the said city. . . I shut up the door of my house and the door of the terrace, and on my return I found that a box which I had in the terrace had been unfastened and that there had been abstracted from it two gold bracelets of the weight of four minae, a gold figure of Bes, and two large silver bracelets, and that the door of the terrace had been lifted. As I have some suspicion against my neighbours Heras son of Kalathus, weaver, and those working with him, because my house is easy of access from the house of Heras, I present this petition and request that, if you think fit, you should come for a personal inspection, and that Heras and his associates, whose names he will himself give, should be brought before you and the proper inquiry made, in order that I may be able with your assistance to discover my property.' Date and signature of Diemous written for her by Sarapion.
$2-3 . \mathrm{E}[a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu o s$ is derived from l. 26.
5. Very slight remains of a letter or two shortly before the supposed $\rho$ are insufficient to confirm the supplement suggested.
6. For the signification of $\pi \in \sigma \sigma$ ós see P. Munich 11. 20, 27, notes, and cf. 9. 33, 12. 16, 22, P. Brit. Mus. 210. 19 (Journ. Phil. xxii, p. 272), 978.10 (iii, p. 233), 1023. 19 (iii, p. 268), Flor. 5. 9.
ro. Silver and bronze images of Bes are mentioned in a list of temple furniture in B. G. U. 387 . ii. 9,1 I.
16. єंvít $\rho$ ßatov: cf. P. Fay. iro. 9, where the same compound is to be recognized (Wilcken, ap. Preisigke, Berichtigungsliste, i, p. I31).

## (d) CONTRACTS.

1273. Marriage-Contract.

$$
34 . \mathrm{I} \times 15.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 260
$$

A marriage-contract between the bridegroom and the mother of the bride, in protocol form like 496 , which is more elaborate, and 905 , which is shorter, than 1273. The document is of interest as representing a later age than the bulk of the marriage-contracts of the Roman period hitherto published, which, with the exception of the fragmentary C.P. R. 21 of A.D. 230 , belong to the first two centuries. But though the date in the present case is the middle of the third century, and the contracting parties are, all Aurelii, the various clauses, apart from the usual stipulatory formula, adhere closely to the Greek type. The specification of the dowry, which consisted, as usual, partly of jewellery, partly of clothing, contains several rare words.

It is remarkable that the contract includes the stereotyped clause providing
 of two conclusions appears to follow, each of which conflicts with prevailing views. Either this document in spite of its objective style was a privately-drawn agreement, or notarial contracts could go through the same process of formal publication as $\chi \in \iota \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi a$. Of these alternatives the former is much the more probable. A certain instance of a contract of marriage drawn up in the form of an ioióypaфos $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi i$ and afterwards published has occurred in 1266. 16 sqq. (see the note ad $l o c$. .) ; and there are certain formal features in 1273-the absence of any opening specification of locality, and the position of the date at the end (contrast e.g. 496)—which, though indecisive, are not without significance. If this view is correct, an objective form is a less trustworthy criterion of a notarial contract than has been supposed (cf. Mitteis, Grundz. pp. 61-2).
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 $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ о $\pi \eta$ -




 Movitiou

# $\Lambda \iota \kappa \iota[\nu \nu] є́ $\pi \iota \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v$ 



$\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ó каì $N \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \iota \alpha \nu o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ аủ $\hat{\eta}$ каì єै $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ úтє̀ $\rho$




$\epsilon[p] \omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i \stackrel{s}{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha$.



 Pap. $\quad 5$ 1. $\nu \eta$ of $\pi \rho о к \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ and $\eta \nu$ of $\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \nu$ corr. 52. $\eta$ of $\mu \eta$ corr. from o.
'For good fortune. Aurelia Thaësis daughter of Eudaemon and Heraïs, of Oxyrhynchus, acting with Aurelius Theon also called Nepotianus and however he is styled, has given her daughter Aurelia Tausiris in marriage to the husband Aurelius Arsinoüs son of Tryphon and Demetria, of the said city, to whom the said giver contributes as the dowry of her said daughter the bride in common gold on the Oxyrhynchite standard a necklace of the kind called maniaces, having a stone and weighing apart from the stone 13 quarters, a brooch (?) with 5 stones set in gold, weighing apart from the stones 4 quarters, a pair of ear-rings with to pearls weighing apart from the pearls 3 quarters, a small ring weighing $\frac{1}{2}$ quarter, and in clothing at a valuation a silvery striped Dalmatian veil worth 260 drachmae, a white, single, tasselled, striped frock worth 160 drachmae, a turquoise-coloured Dalmatian veil worth 100 drachmae, another white Dalmatian veil with a purple border worth 100 drachmae, making the total of the whole dowry 1 mina $4 \frac{1}{2}$ quarters of common gold, and for the valuation of the clothing 620 drachmae, a sum total to which no addition has been made ; and questioned concerning the aforesaid dowry by the giver of the bride Aurelia Thaësis, the bridegroom Aurelius Arsinoüs agreed that he had received the full number at the aforesaid weight and valuation. Let husband and wife therefore live blamelessly together, observing the duties of marriage, and the husband shall supply his wife with all necessaries in proportion to his means; but if-which heaven forbid-in consequence of an estrangement a separation of the parties takes place, the husband shall restore to the giver of the bride, if she be living, or if not, to the bride, the aforesaid dowry in full within 60 days from the day on which a demand for restoration is made, the gold objects in accordance with the amount of the weight in each case, while, in respect of the clothes at
a valuation, the bride's representatives shall have the choice of keeping them at the valuation to be then made and receiving the balance in silver, or receiving the aforesaid valuation, the responsibility for the wear and loss of all these resting with the husband. If at the time of the separation the bride should be pregnant, the husband shall give her on account of the expenses of the birth 40 drachmae; and in connexion with the demand of the aforesaid dowry the bride's representatives shall have the right of execution upon both the husband and all his property. This contract is valid, being written in duplicate so that each party may have one copy, and whenever they or one of them chooses, he shall make it public through the bureau, without requiring the concurrence of the other side or any further consent, because both sides now agree to the future publication, and to each other's questions whether this is done rightly and fairly they have given their assent. The 7 th year of the Emperors and Caesars Publius Licinius Valerianus and Publius Licinius Valerianus Gallienus Germanici Maximi Pii Felices and Publius Licinius Cornelius Saloninus Valerianus, the most noble Caesar, Augusti, Mecheir 2. (Signed) I, Aurelia Thaësis, have given my daughter in marriage to the above mentioned Arsinoüs and have made over to him the aforesaid dowry as aforesaid, and in answer to the formal question have declared my consent. I, Aurelius Theon also called Nepotianus, was associated with her and wrote on her behalf, as she is illiterate. I, Aurelius Arsinoüs, have received the aforesaid dowry, and if-which heaven forbid-a separation take place, I will restore it as aforesaid, and in answer to the formal question I have declared my consent.'
2. $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s:$ after the introduction of the constitutio Antonina women could in virtue of the ius liberorum dispense with a кúpoos (e. g. 1277. 2), but his place is not infrequently taken by a $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$; cf. 912. 4, note, and Mitteis, Grundz. p. ${ }^{2} 5^{2}$.


8. aint $\dot{\delta} t o \nu$ seems to be a new word. Possibly it might be restored also in 496.3, though the very faint vestiges cannot be said to suggest it.

12. $\delta \epsilon \lambda \mu а т \iota к о \mu а ф о ́ \rho т \eta \nu: ~ c f . ~ 114 . ~ 5 ~ \delta є \rho \mu а т ı к о \mu а ф о ́ \rho т ь \nu, ~ w h e r e ~ t h e ~ \rho, ~ a s ~ w e ~ s u g g e s t e d, ~ i s ~$ for $\lambda$.
13. ${ }^{\text {évon }} \boldsymbol{\mu} 0$ os occurs as an epithet of gold in Tzetzes, i. 628. For its use here cf. c.g.

17. With the form $\mu \nu a \gamma a i ̂ o \nu ~ c f . ~ e . g . ~ \mu \nu a \gamma a i ̂ o \nu ~ i n ~ 912 . ~ 6, ~ a n d ~ M a y s e r, ~ G r a m m a t i k, ~$ pp. $167-8$.

 point, and 496. 8 has каì $\chi о р \eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega ~ o ́ ~ \gamma а \mu \omega ิ \nu . ~$

25 . For the restorations cf. l. $5^{2}$.
29. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ toús is perhaps a mistake for roîs, due to the following $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$; cf. however
 uip $\hat{\omega}(\nu\rangle$ rat should be read.

33-4. In 496. io the corresponding payment for $\lambda$ गoxeia is 60 drachmae.
44. The full name of Saloninus, the younger son of Gallienus, here occurs for the first time in a papyrus, and the restoration proposed by P. M. Meyer in P. Giessen 50. 34 is confirmed. A similar date is found in P. Rylands 110. 21-4. In P. Brit. Mus. 211 (ii, p. 266), if the year has been rightly read, the names $\Sigma a \lambda \omega v^{\prime} \nu o v$ Ovianeparaou are omitted.
1274. Appointment of a Representative.

$$
16.3 \times 14.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A contract whereby Aurelia Aristous, widow of a basilicogrammateus of the 'A $\epsilon \epsilon \xi a v \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$ Х $\quad \dot{\rho} a$, appoints a representative to go to Alexandria in order to register before the procurator usiacus the value of the property of her late husband on behalf of the heir, who was a minor, though a gymnasiarch of Oxyrhynchus (l. 13, note). In 1. I5 begins an enumeration of various debts chargeable to the estate in connexion with Aristous's dowry and other claims of which the description is imperfectly preserved. The ordinary formalities concerning the registration of inheritances in the third century are illustrated by (I) P. Amh. 72 (A.D. 246), a return addressed to the deputy-strategus of the Hermopolite nome by a woman, announcing that the property of her uncle, who had died intestate, devolved upon her and was worth 3 talents, and stating that she had sent the $\delta \iota a \kappa a \tau о \chi \eta$, i. e. agnitio bonormm possessionis (cf. 1201, Mitteis, Grundz. p. 247) to the praefect ; (2) P. Rylands Iog (A.D. 235), a declaration addressed to the strategus of the same nome by two minors through their guardian, that property inherited under their father's will was worth about io talents ; (3) 1114 (A.D. 237), a Latin professio (called an àmozpaфض́ in 1. 34) with a Greek affidavit ( $\mu$ а.ртvротоín $\mu$ ) by a man stating that his wife had died intestate, and that the inheritance, which passed to their two daughters, was worth 200,000 sesterces and exempt from the succession duty of 5 per cent. 1114 was drawn up before an official belonging to a procuratio, of which the description is lost, but which seemed to be that of the procurator vicesimae. It is possible, however, in the light of 1274. Io that the office in question was that of the procurator usiacus, a high financial official who administered the patrimonium, and ranked immediately below the idiologus (cf. Wilcken, Grundz. p. I58).

[^2]










 $[\tau] \hat{\eta} s$ ioías [ $3^{1}$ letters ] $\tau \alpha \alpha \hat{c}[\tau \tau] \omega[\nu$ סóo $k \alpha\left[i \delta^{2} \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad 22, \quad\right] . \dot{v} \pi[\alpha] \rho \chi^{o ́ \nu}$ $\tau \omega \nu \mu \rho\left[\iota \quad 3^{1} » \quad \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\right.$ т $\rho i ́ \alpha$ каì $\delta[\rho \alpha \chi \mu$ às


' Aurelia Aristous daughter of Aurelius Herodes son of Apion, ex-gymnasiarch, senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, with the guardian given to me in accordance with Roman custom, Marcus Aurelius Nicocles son of Zoilus, ex-gymnasiarch of the said city, to Aurelius Heraclides also called Lucius, son of Lucius, and however you are styled, greeting. In consequence of the lamentable news announced to me concerning the death of my blessed husband Achillion also called Apollonius, son of Apollonius, while at his post of basilicogrammateus of the territory of the Alexandrians, I agree that I have by this bond appointed you to go down to Alexandria and register with his excellency the procurator usiacus in my name on behalf of the son of myself and my said husband, and his heir, Aurelius Dionysius also called Apollonius, gymnasiarch of the said city, who is still subject to the Laetorian law, all his (Achillion's) property, valued at two hundred thousand sesterces. And out of this estate I declare that my husband owes me from the dowry which was brought to him upon my marriage with him consisting of gold ornaments and clothing and other objects valued at two talents and 3000 drachmae of silver . . '

8. The 'A $\epsilon \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu \chi$ х' $\rho \pi$, being administered as a distinct nome, naturally had a basilicogrammateus.
 technical terms for the appointment of representatives ; cf. Mitteis, Grundz. p. 26 r.
 and B.G.U. 6ix. i. 6. The lex Laetoria or Plaetoria, which was passed before 190 в.c.,
 $\nu \dot{\alpha} \mu о \boldsymbol{o}$ is equivalent to ${ }^{\prime} \phi \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$. For other instances of minors as gymnasiarchs cf. 54, C. P. R. 8. 9, B. G. U. 324. I, and Milne, Catal. of Greek Inscriptions in the Cairo Mruseum, no. 9314

14. סovкпиapias: cf. 1114. I5 eamque hereditatem esse ducena[ri]am. If this means 200,000 sesterces, as is most likely, it should be equivalent to 50,000 denarii or 200,000 drachmae, i. e. 33 talents $2,000 \mathrm{dr}$.
20. Either $\left.\delta \iota \sigma \chi_{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda i\right] \omega \nu$ or $\left.\tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \lambda i{ }^{i}\right] \omega \nu$ may be read. This sum added to that mentioned in II. 21-2, which may be a repetition of that in 1.18 , perhaps make the three talents odd of $11.23-4$, but the last few lines of the document are so much mutilated that the relation to each other of the different amounts remains quite uncertain.

## 1275. Engagement of Musicians.

$$
16.7 \times 10.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A contract between five $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} a \iota$ of Souis, a village in the lower toparchy of the Oxyrhynchite nome (cf. note on 1.25), and the manager of a company of musicians whose services are engaged for a five days' festival. Similar agreements concerning village entertainments are P. Brit. Mus. $33^{\text {I (ii, p. 154), Gen. 73, }}$ Flor. 74, Grenf. ii. 67 ; cf. also 475, 519, 731, and 1025.

$\nu o \phi \rho i s ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega[\nu i ́ o v]$ (ist hand) $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s$

$T[\alpha] v \sigma \epsilon i \rho \iota o s ~ к \alpha i ~ ' E \rho \mu[o \gamma] \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s \quad \Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma i o v$



$\kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ Ko $\rho \epsilon \dot{v} s \sum^{\sum} \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu[0 s]$ ó $\pi \rho o \in \sigma$ -
$\tau \grave{\omega s} \sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ \alpha s ~ a u ̉ \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$,
10 oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тò $\nu$ ' $O \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \rho \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta$ -

бv $\mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ a s ~ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \eta ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$



$\rho \eta \sigma i ́ \omega s$ ठ $\rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́к $\alpha \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha$
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \nu$ § $\epsilon v \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha$


ö $\lambda \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ oìvov $\kappa \in \rho \alpha \mu i ́ o \nu ~ \epsilon ์ \nu o ̀ s ~$  ò $\mu 0 \lambda o \gamma \hat{\imath}$ ó Koтрєùs $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha l ~ \epsilon i s ~ \lambda o ́-~$ [ $\gamma 0$ ] $\nu \dot{\alpha} \rho\langle\rho\rangle \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu[o s] \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} s$ єíкобь. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\lambda \eta \eta^{\mu} \psi[o \nu] \tau \alpha \iota$ ס̀̀ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тòv ' $O \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \rho \iota \nu$ $[\tau]$ òv $K[o \pi \rho] \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ є ́ \alpha v ̇ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ a s ~$  $\kappa \alpha[\tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma] \varrho \varphi{ }_{\bullet}^{\alpha}!\epsilon$ 'ंs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \kappa \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ кю́ $\mu \eta \nu$



- The Aurelii Onnophris son of Ammonius and Thaïsous, Aphunchis son of Heraclas and Tausiris, Hermogenes son of Dionysius and Heraclous, . . . sis son of Philotas and Aristous, and ...son of Ammonius, all five presidents of the village of Souis, and Copreus son of Sarapammon, chief of a company of flute-players and musicians, mutually acknowledge that on the one hand Onnophris and his associates have engaged Copreus with his company to perform for the inhabitants of the aforesaid village for five festal days beginning on the tenth of Phamenoth of the present 2nd year at the daily pay of one hundred and forty drachmae, forty pairs of loaves, and eight cotylae of raphanus-oil, and for the whole five days one jar of wine and one jar of vinegar; and on the other hand Copreus forthwith acknowledges that he has received as earnest-money twenty-drachmae. Onnophris and his associates shall receive Copreus and his company from the Oxyrhynchite nome with ten asses, and shall transport them to the aforesaid village . . '

 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon[\sigma] \theta(a \imath) \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$. In P. Gen. 73, where a dancer makes an agreement with

 In the note on 299. 4 it was suggested that the $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau a i t \eta s$ was the president of the village


 $\pi \rho o \sigma t a ́ t a l$ were the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ vite $\rho o l$, but the former was the wider term, as is clear from P. Rylands


15. $\beta$ ( $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\text {tovs }}$ ) : $\kappa$ could be read, in which case the reign of Caracalla would be meant; but a later date in the third century is preferable on palaeographical grounds.


 rhynchite nome but in the кúть тотархia of it (1285. 139). The writer perhaps meant the metropolis, which is likely to have been the head-quarters of Copreus ; cf. P. Brit. Mus. 233 (ii, p. 154) and P. Grenf. ii. 67 , where in the corresponding clauses concerning the transport of the performers àvaßaivelv and kuraßuivet apparently refer, as remarked by Wilcken, Chrest. pp. 574-5, to Arsinoë.

## 1276. Sale of House-property.

$31.9 \times 19.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 249 .

A contract for the sale of half a house to the owner of the other half for 700 drachmae, with the signatures of the vendors written in rude uncials. The formula closely resembles that of 1200. I5-43.

Aúри́入ıo九 'A $\alpha \alpha$ Oòs $\Delta[\alpha i ́ \mu] \omega \nu$ Tє $\quad$ єívov $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ N \epsilon i ́ к \eta s ~ к \alpha i ~ M \epsilon i ̈ \theta o u ̂ s ~$ 'ATíwvos $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s$
 кирíov хр $\quad \mu \mu$ -
 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha-$
 $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \delta \quad \delta[0] \lambda о-$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu[\hat{\imath} \nu] \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ í $\sigma o v \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \epsilon \nu\end{gathered}$
 oikias $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha[\iota] \hat{\alpha} s$
 $\lambda o l \pi \grave{o} \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma v$.
 $\lambda$ t $\omega$ тov $\Delta \iota[0-$
 $\phi[\omega] \nu \eta \mu[\epsilon \quad \nu] \alpha s$
 $\chi \rho \eta[\sigma] ?[\eta \rho i o]_{\ell s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v-$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \sigma o \hat{v}$


 ஸs $\pi \rho$ о́кєเ $\tau \alpha \iota$
 $\alpha v ่ \tau o \hat{v}$ ต่s $\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \alpha i \rho \hat{\eta}$, öт $\pi \epsilon \rho$
 каì ка $\theta \alpha \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ̇ \pi o ́ ~$
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̋ O o u s ~$
 वै入入ov. кирía $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \hat{\alpha}-$
 каталоуєíov
 тò ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ -
 тô̂ $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$

 Мє́ $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu$

 Sıкаíe
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi{ }^{\prime} \nu$

 $\Delta$ і́око-
 $A \dot{v} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \cos { }^{\prime} A \gamma \alpha-$




 [ $\delta$ ó] $\tau о s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha . ~$

\author{

1. $\mu$ еї $\begin{aligned} & \text { ous Pap. ; so in 1. } 2 . ~\end{aligned}$ <br> 12. ̈̈ои Pap. I 3. $̈ \phi$ Pap. <br> 24. 1. 'A $\pi i \omega \nu 0$. 25.1. $\Delta a i \mu o v e . ~$
}
'Aurelius Agathodaemon son of Geminus and Nice, and Aurelia Meïthous daughter of Apion and Heraïs, both of Oxyrhynchus, Meithous acting without a guardian in accordance with Roman custom by right of her children, to Aurelius Serenus also called Sarapion, son of Agathinus and Taposirias, of the said city, greeting. We agree that we have sold to you from the present time henceforth for ever the half share of an old house and all appurtenances thereof owned by us in equal portions at the said city of Oxyrhynchus in the Myrobalanus quarter, jointly with you in respect of the remaining half share. The adjacent areas of the whole are on the south a blind street, on the north the house of Theonis daughter of Horus, on the east the house of Diogenis daughter of Diogenes and others, on the west a public street. The sum agreed upon between us for the price of the said half share of the house with the appurtenances, seven hundred drachmae of Imperial silver coin, we have forthwith received from you in equal portions from hand to hand in full, so that henceforward you and your descendants and successors shall possess and own the half share of the house sold to you by us as aforesaid and use and dispose of it in whatever way you choose ; and we will guarantee to you the half share completely against all claims by every guarantee, free from persons' property-returns and the cultivation of royal or patrimonial land and from every impost or debt or lien of any kind and all other liabilities whatsoever. This sale, written in duplicate, is valid, and you shall make it public through the bureau whenever you choose, without requiring a notification or any further concurrence on our part, because we now agree to the publication to be made by you, and in answer to your question whether this is rightly and fairly done we have given our assent. The 6th year of the Emperors and Caesars Marci Julii Philippi Carpici Maximi Germanici Maximi Pii Felices Augusti, Pauni.' Signatures of the vendors.
2. $\mu \in[\tau] a \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \omega s$ : i. e. notification through the archidicastes and strategus, as exemplified
 p. 154, Schwarz, Hypothek und Hypallagma, p. $86^{1}$.

## 1277. Sale of a Triclinium.

$$
{ }^{2} 5 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 255.

A contract for the purchase of a triclinium or dining-couch (cf. note on 1. 7) with coverings and four cushions for 500 drachmae.

On the verso are two short and much effaced documents, the former of which contains a judgement of the praefect Basileus dated Mesore 25 of the fifth year. Since Mussius Aemilianus is known from 1201 to have been still in office in September A.D. 258 , the fifth year is probably that of the Philippi, i. e. A.D. 248, and Basileus may be identified with the Aurelius Basileus who was praefect in A.D. 244-5 (P. Flor. 4). But, if so, he must be credited with a second period of office, since Claudius Valerius Firmus certainly held the praefecture in A.D. $246-7$. Or possibly he is a distinct person, and the fifth year refers to the reign of Aurelian (A.D. 275) or Probus (A.D. 280).

Av̀pク入ía $\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \alpha ̀ s ~ ' A \rho \epsilon i ́ o u ~ \alpha ̇ \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$<br>$\chi \omega \rho i s$ кирíov Xр $\eta \mu \alpha \tau i \S o v \sigma \alpha$ т́́к $\nu \omega \nu$

$\rho \eta \lambda i ́ \omega$ © $\Theta$ '́ $\omega \nu$ l 'A $\mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ o v$ то̂ каi
5 'Aф́́ $\gamma \chi \cos \cdot \alpha \pi^{\prime}$ 'O $\xi v \rho[\check{v} \gamma] \chi \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$
Х $\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \bar{\omega} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha к \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota$
$\sigma o \iota \tau \rho i ́ k \lambda \iota \nu[0] \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \lambda \iota \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$
$\pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota a ̀$ ọ́ $\widehat{\alpha}[0] v$ к $\alpha[i] \pi \rho о \sigma-$
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu, /(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \phi$, às каi є̇vтє仑̂-
$\theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi$ оV. кирía $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota s$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ र $\rho \alpha \phi \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$ к $\alpha \theta \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ к $\alpha \grave{\iota} \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega$ -
каi По $[v] \pi \lambda i ́ o v ~ A \iota к ı \nu v i ́ o v ~ K o \rho \nu \eta \lambda i ́ o v ~$

> 2nd hand $A[\dot{v} \rho \eta] \lambda i ́ a \quad \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \alpha ̀ s \pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ тò$\tau \rho i ́ к \lambda \iota \nu o v$ каì тà тробкєфа́入аıа $\kappa \alpha i \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi^{o \nu} \tau \grave{\alpha} S \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} S(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} S) \phi$
> 25 каi $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \omega$ к $\alpha i$ є́ $\pi \eta \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \mu \alpha \iota$

> 'ै $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ v́ $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu-$
> $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta}$ єídvєiŋs.


＇Aurelia Sarapias daughter of Arius，citizen，acting without a guardian by right of her children according to Roman custom，to Aurelius Theon son of Ammonius also called Aphunchis，of Oxyrhynchus，greeting．I acknowledge that I have sold to you a three－sided couch with linen coverings embroidered throughout，and four ．．．linen cushions of the same quality for the price of five hundred drachmae，total 500 dr ．，which I thereupon received． This contract of sale of which there is a single copy，free from mistake，is valid，and I will guarantee the sale and have been asked the formal question，as aforesaid．The and year of the Emperors and Caesars Publius Licinius V＇alerianus and Publins Licinius Valerianus Gallienus Germanici Maximi and Publius Licinius Cornelius Valerianus the most illustrious Caesar

Augusti, Mesore 16. (Signed) I, Aurelia Sarapias, have sold the couch and cushions and received the 500 dr . for the price and will guarantee the sale, and have been asked the formal question, as aforesaid. I, Aurelius Origenes, wrote on behalf of my mother, who is illiterate.'
7. The $\tau \rho i k \lambda e v o \nu$ leased in P. Brit. Mus. 87 (iii, p. 269) is clearly a room (cf. B. G. U. $11{ }^{5} 5.17$ ), but here since no details are given concerning locality the word seems to mean rather the couch.
15. The figure of the year has been corrected, but whether from $a$ to $\beta$ or from $\delta$ to $\epsilon$ is not quite certain. The Caesar mentioned in II. 19-20 is the elder son of Gallienus, as in C.P.R. 176 of the 2nd year ; cf. 1273. 44 (probably of the 7 th year), where the younger son, Saloninus, is found, and P. Giessen 50. 34, note. Since the change took place in the 5 th year and 1277 was written in Mesore, the presumption is in favour of the 2nd rather than the 5 th year.

## 1278. Division of Usufruct of a Pigeon-house.

$$
22.6 \times 10.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 214 .
$$

An agreement between four persons, two of whom were minors, acting together, for dividing the revenues of a pigeon-house for four years, the two minors being given between them the usufruct of two years, which were not consecutive, and the two other parties that of a single year each. Contracts for the division of property occur with some frequency in the papyri, but not for the division of usufruct. The $\delta \mu о \lambda о$ кіа картias referred to in B. G. U. 985. II may have been analogous.

入ía $\Delta \iota o v v \sigma \iota \alpha ̀ s ~ i f ~ к \alpha i ~ X a ı \rho \eta \mu o v i s ~ \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \alpha \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~$ $A \hat{v}[\rho \eta] \lambda i ́ o v \quad ' A \mu \mu[\omega \nu i ́] o v \quad \alpha \quad \pi[0] \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota[\gamma] \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v \quad \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota[\alpha ́ \rho] X o v$


 $\tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \triangle ı o \nu v \sigma o \theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu o s ~ \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma ı \alpha \rho \chi \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ิ s$











20 [. . . . . $\mu$ ]єvò Xpóvov $\tau$. [. . . . . . . . . . .] cas




${ }_{2} 5 \pi[\alpha] \rho \alpha \delta o \hat{v} \nu[\alpha \iota \epsilon \in] \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ тòv $\alpha \hat{\imath}[\tau]$ ]̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon-$
$\hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\eta}$ a $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '่ $\pi \alpha \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ 'ै $\mu \phi о \rho о \nu$,


Хрóvov. кúpıov тò ó $\mu 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ трıббòv $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\iota} \nu$

(ध̈тоus) ку Aútoкра́тороs Kaío $\alpha \rho o s ~ M \alpha ́ \rho к о v ~ A u ́ \rho \eta \lambda i ́ o v ~$

 $\mu \eta(\nu o ̀ s){ }^{' A} A[\delta] \rho \iota \alpha(\nu 0 \hat{v}) ~ \iota$.




On the verso remains of an endorsement.
10. 1. $\delta \iota \eta \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a u$. ${ }_{2} 5$. Sccond $\epsilon$ of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \nu a$ corr.
' Marcus Aurelius Andronicus also called Mithres, and however he is styled, and Aurelia Dionysias also called Chaeremonis through her husband Aurelius Ammonius, gymnasiarch-elect, senator of Oxyrhynchus, and Didyme also called Apollonia and Letodoris also called Dionysotheonis, both minors, through their mother Ptolema daughter of Dionysotheon, ex-gymnasiarch of the said city of Oxyrhynchus, herself acting through Epicrates son of Didymus, mutually acknowledge that they have divided among themselves the usufruct of the excellent productive pigeon-house owned by them in equal shares in the farmstead of their vineyard called Perkops for a further period of four years from Thoth I of the present 23 rd year, and the minors have had allotted to them the usufruct of two years, namely, the present 2 red and the 25 th year, Aurelia Dionysias also called Chaeremonis that of the coming 2 qth $^{\text {l }}$ year, and Aurelius Andronicus also called Mithres similarly that of the 26 th year, and each party . . ; the dung is to go annually to their aforesaid vineyard,
and each party is to deliver to the other the said pigeon-house on the rst of the intercalary days in productive condition, none of the parties having the right to molest another during his aforesaid period. This agreement, done in triplicate in order that each party may have a copy, is valid. The 23 rd year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Severus Antoninus Parthicus Maximus Britannicus Maximus Germanicus Maximus Pius Augustus, the roth of the month Hadrianus. (Signed) I, Aurelius Ammonius, and however I am styled, consent to this joint agreement as aforesaid. . . .
7. For the guardianship of children under age by their mother cf. e. g. 898, Mitteis, Grundz. p. 253. The mother, who though the daughter of a gymnasiarch was apparently a peregrina, herself acts through a кúpoos, l. 9 .
19. Perhaps $[\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa]$ ] $\lambda \epsilon i v$.

## 1279. Lease of State Land.

$$
23 \times 8 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A request for the lease of three arurae of unproductive land, which had formerly been cleruchic but now belonged to the Government, addressed like C. P. R. 239 and P. Brit. Mus. 1227 (iii, p. 143) to the strategus. The rent fixed is very low, only four drachmae for three arurae ; cf. P. Tebt. 325 , where the rent of two arurae is one drachma.

$\kappa \alpha i$ є́тıvouàs фópov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu\langle\nu\rangle о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

$\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ às $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \omega$ кат＇Є＇

«̈ßpoхos $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau \alpha l, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\eta}-$
$\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \mu o \iota, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ס̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu$－
${ }_{2} 5$ таєтía $\nu$ ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \chi$ Өŋ́ $\sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ єis
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．（＇єтоиs）$\gamma$ Aúтокра́тороs
Kaíoapos Títou Ai入íou＇Aठpıavov̂
＇A $\nu \tau \omega \nu$＇єivov $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ Ev่ $\sigma \in \beta$ ồs
＇AÒ̀ $\rho$ ！$\alpha . \quad$（2nd hand）＇$\Omega \phi \in \lambda \alpha \hat{s}$ ó каi Kó $\rho \alpha \xi$
30 ＇$\pi ⿰ 丿 \delta \ell \epsilon \delta[\omega \kappa] \alpha$ ．

Ist hand $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}{ }^{~ '} E \rho \mu o \hat{v}$ vo $\mu o \gamma \rho \alpha{ }_{0}(\phi o v)$［

2．1．Kópaкos：cf．1．29．Possibly кopaүos was written，but－tos may be due to the influence of the preceding name．9．üтoえoyov Pap．17．їбate $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ps Pap．29．Tail of }\end{aligned}$ $\xi$ of кора $\xi$ rewritten．
＇To Petronius Dionysius，strategus，from Ophelas also called Corax，freedman of Apia also called Dionysia，daughter of Dionysius，of Oxyrhynchus．I consent to lease from the State for five years from the present third year of Antoninus Caesar the lord three arurae of unproductive land in the area of Senao in the holdings of Heraclides and Heraclides，of which the adjacent areas are on the south a field，on the north and east a public dyke， on the west the land of Didymion son of Demetrius，on condition that I may sow and plant the land with any crop which I choose except wheat，woad，and coriander（？），and shall have the pastures and secondary pastures at the annual rent for the pastures of four drachmae in all，which sum I will pay annually in the month of Caesareus．If any part becomes un－ watered，an allowance shall be made to me，and at the end of the five years＇period I shall not be forced to take the lease．The 3 rd year of the Emperor Caesar Titus Aelius Hadrianus Antoninus Augustus Pius，Hathur ir．I，Ophelas also called Corax，presented this application．Written by Hermes，nomographus．＇
 years at a higher rate than in the preceding five years，and Iand．30．13．In other leases of State land shorter periods occur，c．g．two years in B．G．U． $8_{3}$ I，one year in C．P．R．${ }_{2} 39$ and P．Brit．Mus． 1227.3.
 ${ }_{11}$ the initial letter is lost．The meaning of the word，which seems only to have been found in papyri from Oxyrhynchus，is uncertain．

31．vopoүpi（ $\phi o v$ ）：cf．Mitteis，Grundz．P． $56^{7}$ ，P．Hamburg 4．I5：note，Rylands 88． 26.

An agreement on oath between two citizens of Oxyrhynchus whereby one of them undertakes to share part of a camel-stable leased by the former, and to make an annual payment towards the rent.

The writing is across the fibres of the verso, the recto being blank.

```
    A\dot{v}\rho\etá\lambda\iotaos \Pi\alpha\mu\hat{\eta}\alpha \Pi\epsiloń\tau\rhoov \alphȧ\piò \tau\hat{\eta}s \lambda\alpha\mu\pi(\rho\hat{\alpha}s)
```




```
    \tau\eta\S \alphaü\tau\etaेS \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS X[\alpha]í\rho\epsilon\iota\nu. ó\muо\lambdaо\gamma产 \epsilońкоv\sigmaíq
```



```
    \pi\rhoòs \sigma\grave{ \epsiloṅ\piì \tau\hat{Q}}\mu\alpha\iota \epsiloṅ\pi\iotaко\iota\nu\omega\nuí\imath \sigmaol \epsilonis \tauòv
    \psivк\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\alpha \tauо\hat{v}}\kappa\alpha\mu\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu0s o\hat{v}\mathrm{ '́}\mu\iota\sigma0\dot{\omega}\sigmao
    \sigmaoì ò 'A\mu\mu\omega\nu\iota\alpha\nuòs \alpha'\piò \tauô \pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\lambdaӨó\nu-
    \tauos \mu\eta\nuòs \Piа\chi\\grave{\omega\nu}\dot{\alpha}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}\tau\eta\s \delta\omega\delta\epsilonк\alphá\tau\etas
```



```
    \epsiloń\nu\iota\alphav\sigmaí\omegas á\rho\gammavpiov \muvplá\delta\alphas
    \chi\iota\lambdaí\alphas,\gammaí(\nuov\tau\alpha\iota) \dot{\alpha}\rho(\gammav\rhoíov) \mu(v\rho\iota\alphá\delta\epsilons) \alpha, \ddot{\sigma}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho \alphȧ\pi[o\deltaó\omega\sigma\omega
    \epsilońv\iota\alphav\sigmaí\omegas \alphà\nuv\pi\epsilon\rhoӨ'́тоs. к[vрí\alpha
```



```
    2nd hand Av`\rho\tilde{\eta}\lambda\iotaos \Pi\alpha\mu\hat{\eta}\alpha \Pi\epsilońтроv ò \pi\rhoок[\epsilon\epsiloń\mu\epsilon\nuоs
```




```
    Av`\rho\etá\lambda\iotaos \Pi\alpha\gamma\hat{\omega}\chi<s \Pi\tauо\lambda\lambdaí\omega\nuos ['ॅ\gamma\rhoа\psi\alpha
    vi\pit̀\rho \alphaủ\tauov \gamma\rho\alphá\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha \mu\etaे \epsiloni\deltaót[os.
                        + \deltai' '́\muộ Птọ\...
```

6. 7. $\mu \mathrm{E}$. 7. ou before $\epsilon \mu \tau \theta \omega \sigma o v$ corr. from тo. l. $\epsilon \mu \mu \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega$. 8. l. $\sigma v v_{\text {. }} \quad$ 13. 1.


- Aurelius Pamea son of Peter, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, to Aurelius Ammonianus son of Euporion, of the said city, greeting. I acknowledge that I have of my own free will covenanted with you to share with you in the arbour of the camelshed, which you, Ammonianus, have leased, from the past month Pachon at the beginning of the twelfth indiction, and to pay you yearly on account of rent one thousand myriads of silver drachmae, total 1000 myriads of silver, which I will deliver yearly with no delay. This agreement, of which a single copy is made, is valid, and in answer to the formal question

I have given my assent. (Signed) I, Aurelius Pamea son of Peter, the aforesaid, have made the agreement and consent to all therein written, as aforesaid. I, Aurelius Pagochis son of Ptollion, wrote for him, as he is illiterate. Drawn up by me, Ptol . . .'
9. Пax⿳亠 $\mathfrak{a} \rho \neq \chi \hat{\eta}$ : other instances of a new indiction year beginning in Pachon are 140, P. Grenf. ii. 87 , Brit. Mus. 1007 c (iii, p. 264).

## 1281. LOAN.

$$
18.6 \times 13.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 21
$$

This papyrus contains a copy of the signature to a contract of loan, with the last three lines of the contract itself, which was of a rather complicated nature. The debtor, a weaver, acknowledges that he had borrowed 300 drachmae, the value of 100 linen cloths of special quality, the repayment being conditional on an account, to be rendered apparently by the creditor (a Jew?), upon which another sum of 50 drachmae depended; cf. the commentary. The transaction was perhaps really a purchase with deferred payment, and the loan would then be of a fictive character ; cf. e. g. 1320, P. Par. 8.



```
    кv\rhoía \dot{\eta}\sigmav\gamma\gamma\rho\alphaф\etáи.
        \alpha\nu\tauí\gamma\rhoа(фо\nu). 'A\rho\pi\alpha\hat{\eta}\sigma\iotas \Piа\nu\rhov́\mulos \lambdaívvфоs
```


$\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \Sigma_{\iota} \iota \nu \rho \alpha \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \alpha \mu \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \kappa \hat{\omega}[\nu$,





ú $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \alpha u ̛ \tau o v ̂ \mu \eta ̀ ~ i \delta o ́ \tau o s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha . ~$



' . . . Joseph [having the right of execution] upon Harpaësis and upon all his property, as if in consequence of a legal decision. This contract is valid.

Copy．I，Harpaësis son of Panrumis，linen－weaver，have borrowed the price of the 100 ．．．cloths of Sinaru，the capital sum of 300 drachmae of silver，and will repay it as aforesaid，on condition that when Joseph receives it he shall first render an account of it in order that ．．．the agreed 50 drachmae of silver．I，Heracleus son of Horus，wrote for him， as he is illiterate．

Copy．The 8th year of Tiberius Caesar Augustus Tubi 5，executed by Achilles son of Proetus，scribe of the village of Sinaru and other villages．＇

I．＇ $1 \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ ：cf． $11.8-9$ ，which indicate that＇ $1 \omega \sigma \eta \pi \sigma$ was the lender．
6．бацканик $\hat{\omega}[\nu$ is an unknown word；the letters are mostly fairly clear．
 Harpaësis．It seems more likely，however，that $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ and $\tau о \tilde{c}_{\tau \omega \nu}$ mean the money，in which case＇I $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \pi o s$ was the creditor．To whom the account was to be rendered is not apparent． The letters immediately following iva are obscure；since an accusative follows，$-\theta \eta$ should be active，not passive．Possibly $\mu \circ \iota$ кa $\theta \hat{\eta}$ is meant，a superfluous stroke being written after the $a$ as in＇Hрáклєtos in the next line，though кa日ĝ is hardly the verb expected．iv＇a $\mu \nu \beta \grave{a} \nu$ $(\dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \circ \beta \dot{\eta} \nu) \theta_{\bar{\eta}}$ is an unsatisfactory alternative．

I3 $_{3}$ ．The repetition of the word àvi ${ }^{2} \rho a(\phi o v)$ before the date is curious．
14－15．Cf．320，1208．32，note，1282．46．Sıaapú is no doubt to be read in 56．io． For ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \kappa \omega(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ cf．1256．7，note．

## 1282．Repayment of a Loan．

$$
24.2 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 83 .
$$

An acknowledgement by a woman of the return of a loan made by her late husband five years previously．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2nd hand "Etous трíтои Aútокра́тороs Kaíбароs } \\
& \Delta о \mu \iota \tau \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ N \epsilon ́ o v \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \iota \delta 0 \hat{\{ }\{s\} \text { Єоرтахра́тov тô̂ }
\end{aligned}
$$

> 1о $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T \epsilon к \omega ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ т о u ́ \tau o v ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \grave{~}$
> Tєкळ́бєl Єढ́vıos то仑 Пєтобора́тıos $\mu \eta$ -
 тракобías кєфалаíou каì тоѝs каӨウ́коvтаs тои́т $\omega \nu$ то́коus $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha s ~ \alpha u ̉-$ тоîs úmò тov yєvouévou каi $\mu \in \tau \eta \lambda$ $\lambda \alpha \chi o ́ \tau o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \Theta \nu a ̂ \tau o s ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \Pi a \pi o \nu-~$
 $[\pi \epsilon \rho l] \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma 0 \nu v i ̂ a \nu$ סià





 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ '̀ $\nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ '̀ $\nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \Theta \nu \hat{\alpha} \nu \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$
 $\rho^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon[\rho] i \quad \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s$ à $\pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho \iota$





 бо́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ тоîs $\pi \rho о \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota s$ خै тoîs
 $40 \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \tau \epsilon \iota \mu о \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma(v \rho i ́ o v) ~(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} s)$ є́катòv каi єis $\tau[\grave{0}] \delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ i ̈ \sigma \alpha s, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \eta$ -
 трíтov $A$ v́[тo]кра́тороs Kaíбарos $[\Delta o] \mu[\tau \tau \alpha \nu o] \hat{v} \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s$
45 Néov $\Sigma[\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau]$ ô óктшıкаıঠєє-

'. . The third year of the Emperor Caesar Domitianus Augustus, the 18 th of the month Neus Sebastus, at Oxyrhynchus in the Thebaid. Thnas daughter of Petosarapis, her mother being Heras daughter of Heraclides, with her guardian who is her nephew

Thompachrates son of Paapis, his mother being Tekosis daughter of Petosorapis, acknowledges to Amoïs son of Apollonius son of Syrus, his mother being Tekosis, and to his wife Tekosis daughter of Thonis son of Petosorapis, her mother being Eseneus, with her husband Amoïs as guardian, all inhabitants of Oxyrhynchus, the contract being drawn up in the street, that she has received from them the capital sum of four hundred drachmae of Imperial silver money with the requisite interest upon it, which sum was lent to them by the former husband, now deceased, of Thnas, Papontos son of Amoils son of Theon, in his lifetime in accordance with a contract drawn up through the record-office at Oxyrhynchus in the eleventh year of the deified Vespasianus in the month Neus Sebastus, the right of execution for the debt having, as claimed by Thnas, descended with other property of Papontos to her in accordance with the disposition made by Papontos in his lifetime, and that neither Thnas nor any one on her behalf makes or will make any claim or will proceed against the recipients of this acknowledgement or their agents on any point whatever up to the present day, and that she has forthwith restored to them the contract of loan crossed out to invalidate it ; otherwise not only shall any future claim be invalid, but Thnas or the person proceeding on her behalf shall in addition pay to the aforesaid persons or their agents for every claim the damages and a fine of a hundred drachmae of silver, and to the State an equal amount, and this contract shall be none the less valid. The third year of the Emperor Caesar Domitianus Augustus, the eighteenth of the month Neus Sebastus, executed by . . ., agoranomus.'
r. This endorsement seems to be the same as those in 47. i and 276. i. In the former passage we supposed the first word to be an abbreviation of кaràoxьб⿲oi, but that would not be in place in the present context, and moreover the letter before $\lambda$ can hardly be a. $\pi \lambda$ suggests $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta s$ or some derivative. Cf. 98. I, where there is a rather different abbreviation at the head of a similar acknowledgement of repayment, and P. Cairo Preis. 43. I, where the editor reads $\beta$ ко $\lambda \lambda(\dot{\eta} \mu a \tau о s) ~ \delta \varepsilon \delta[$.$] ( ); here, however, ко (\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau о s)$ does not commend itself, and the reading in the Cairo papyrus remains questionable.

That the hand of 1. I is the same as that which wrote the date in 1.4 and the date $\& \mathrm{cc}$. in ll. 42 sqq. is likely but uncertain.
27. Suтаүна: i. e. a testamentary disposition ; cf. e. g. 492. 9, 493. 6.
46. Cf. note on 1281. 14-1 5. Either the passive form $\delta \dot{a} \ldots$. $\ldots \in \chi \rho \eta \mu(a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau a l)$ or the active . . . кєхр $\mu($ áтıка $)$ may have been written.

## (e) TAXATION.

## 1283. REVENUE-RETURN.

$$
17.9 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 2 \mathrm{I} 9
$$

This example of the monthly statements of receipts submitted by taxcollectors to the strategi follows the formula found in B. G. U. $65^{2-3}$, which are approximately of the same date as 1283 , but come from a different locality; cf. 1046, which is the conclusion of a similar document. The taxes concerned are different imposts on land, and the $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$, on which see the
commentary ; most of them have already occurred in association in 917, 981. The date of the papyrus is discussed in the note on 1. 12 .

```
        A\dot{v}р\eta\lambdaí\omega 'A\rho\piокр\alpha-
        \tauí\omega\nu\iota \sigma\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gamma\hat{Q})'O\xi(v\rhov\gammaXi\tauov)
```



```
    \sigmav̀v \alphav`\tau(\hat{\omega})}\pi\rho\alpha(\kappa\tauó\rho\omega\nu) \dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma(v\rho\iotaк\omega人\nu) \mu\eta
    \tau\rhoо\piо\lambda(\imath\tau\iotaк\hat{\omega\nu) \mu\epsiloń\sigma\etaS \tauо\pi(\alpha\rho\chii\alphaS)}
```



```
    \alpha}\rho\iota0(\mu\etá\sigma\epsilon\omega\nu) \mu\eta(\nuòs) \Pi\alpha\hat{v\imath\imath \tauо\hat{v}
```



```
    Aúp\eta\lambdaíov ['A]\nu\tau\omega\nuívov
10 K[\alphaí\sigma]\alphapos той кuрíou,
                \epsilon}\sigma\tau\tau\iota \delta'\epsilon
```



```
    \epsiloṅ\pi\alpha\rhoo(v\rhoíov) ร' (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) X,
    к\alphai \delta\iota\epsilon\gamma\rho\alphá(\phi\eta\sigma\alpha\nu) '́\piì \tau(\etaे\nu) \delta\eta\mu(о\sigmaí\alpha\nu) \tau\rho\alphá(\pi\epsilon\zeta\alpha\nu)
15 v̇\piò \muè\nu \Sigma\epsilon\pi\pi\iota\muíov
```




```
    \pi\eta\\iota\sigma\mu(o\hat{v})\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\tau(\epsilon\rho\omegaि\nu\omega\nu) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) &\delta(\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\omegá\betao\lambdao\nu),
```




```
    [\Delta]\iotaov(v́\sigmaov) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \eta(\tau\in\tau\rho\omegá\betao\lambdaov) \chi(\alpha\lambdaкo\hat{v})\alpha,
```



```
    [. .]. [. . . . . '́ '\pi\alpha\rhoo(v\rhoíov) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai)] \rholร,
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]v . .
25 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]uкร.
    [(\epsilon̈тоия) \beta Av̇токра́тороs K]\alpha<!́\sigma\alpha\rhoos
    [М\alphá\rhoкоу Aúр\eta\lambdaíov 'A\nuт\omega\nuívov]
```


7. пaüv Pap.
' To Aurelius Harpocration, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Pat . . . son of Eutych . . . and his associates, collectors of money taxes of the metropolis for the
middle toparchy in the district of Peënno. The classified list of payments for the month of Pauni of the present 2 nd year of Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Caesar the lord is as follows. Receipts of the present 2nd year: for acreage-tax and tax of $\frac{1}{6} 600$ drachmae; and paid into the public bank by Septimius Chaeremon for acreage-tax I 43 dr . $\frac{1}{2}$ obol 2 chalci, for the eight-drachma libation of Dionysus 8 dr. 4 ob . I chal., for the cubit-measure of pigeon-houses 17 dr. 5 ob., by Aurelius Achilleus for acreage-tax $198 \mathrm{dr} .5 \frac{1}{2}$ ob., for the eight-drachma libation of Dionysus 8 dr .4 ob . I chal. ; by Aurel . . . and Ta . . . for acreage-tax in 6 dr. . . ' Date.

3-4. Пat and $\pi \rho a$ of $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu$ are followed by the curved stroke which commonly represents a $\pi$ (so e. g. in 1. 5 тon(apXias), l. $6 \tau$ ó $\pi(\omega \nu)$ ), but here is rather a mere symbol of abbreviation ; cf. e.g. P. Tebt. 351. 1, note.


12. For the regnal year here there is a choice between $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\kappa$ (in 1.8 the figure is wholly uncertain), and with the latter the Emperor would be Caracalla (A.D. 212) instead of
 a reading, and since the papyrus is clearly posterior to the Constitutio Antonina, which was only promulgated in A.D. 212 (month unknown), the year 219 is a more likely date; moreover 1259 shows that the strategus of A.D. 21I-I2 was Didymus.
13. $\epsilon \pi a \rho o(v \rho i o v) 5^{\prime}$ : these are to be regarded as two taxes, the $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$ being well known as

 note on P. Brit. Mus. 195. Io reprinted $a p$. Rylands 192 (b). The ėmapov́pıov is discussed at length in the commentary upon the latter papyrus.
17. Cf. Il. 20-1 and 917.3, where our reading $\sigma \pi\left(o v \delta \eta_{j}\right) \Delta \iota o v(\dot{v} \sigma o v)$ is now confirmed,
 the óктá8əaұцos tax much light has been thrown by 916, which showed that it was calculated on the arura, and $\mathbf{1 1 8 5}$, where its name is given without abbreviation, and the fact is revealed that the proceeds were, at any rate temporarily, assigned to the praefect by order of the Emperors; cf. P. Rylands 216.128 note, where the evidence is considered in more detail.

What is the relation of this impost to the $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$ Dovvóov? Both here and in 11. 20-1 a single sum is recorded under the two names, and it is the same in both cases, 8 dr .4 ob . i ch. On the analogy of 1 . I3 the supposition would be easy that the connecting particle had been omitted and that the óктáðןaхноs and $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ were distinct. This explanation, however, seems to be precluded by 917. 3, where an identical sum is entered under the heading of $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta} \Delta t o v v ́ \sigma o v$ alone. This can hardly be regarded as a mere coincidence and suggests most strongly that the two names designated a single tax. The appropriation of the óкта́ópax $\mu$ оs to the praefect is not a serious difficulty, since the diversion of revenues from religious to secular purposes would not necessarily involve a change of nomenclature; cf.
 iefatıća. That passage also provides an analogy for the devotion of a tax to a particular cult, a practice of which the $\delta \iota \delta \rho a \chi \mu i a$ 活 $\chi o v$ is another example. But inferences concerning the original destination of the impost are not necessarily to be drawn from its name. Further light on this subject may be expected from the Theadelphia papyrus described by Schubart in Amtl. Ber. aus d. K. Kunstsamminngen, Nov. 1913, Col. 57, which brings evidence for the $\sigma \pi$ ovoì $\Delta \iota o v i \sigma o v$ in the Arsinoite nome.
18. $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu(o \hat{v}) \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau(\epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu)$ : cf. 981 , where this tax occurs, as here, in conjunction with émapov́pıov, 917. introd., Preisigke, Sammelbuch, iogi.
25. On the analogy of 1046. 13, B. G. U. 652 . $16-17,653.15$ this line should give the
total of the items, if, as can hardly be doubted, the date followed in ll. 26 sqq. (cf. B. G. U. $6_{5}{ }^{2}$. 18, 653 . 16). The amounts as far as 1.23 add up to 1093 dr . $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ob., which subtracted from [? 1$]_{426}$ dr. leave 332 dr. $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ob. as the amount expected at the end of 1. 24. Instead of this, however, there is a clear $v$ followed by something illegible. The restoration of 1.25 consequently remains in doubt.
1284. Receipt for TaX on Sales.
$21 \times 10.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 250 .

A receipt issued by a public bank for payment of the $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda \iota o v$ or tax on sales, mortgages, \&c., due in consequence of the acquisition of part of a house. The rate of the $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa v ์ \kappa \lambda \iota o v$ on sales in the Roman period is known to have been 10 per cent. (cf. 99 and P. Tebt. 350. introd., where evidence is collected), and it is therefore surprising to find that here as much as $73 \mathrm{dr} .5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ob}$. was paid on a value of $3[] .5 \mathrm{dr} .5 \frac{1}{2}$ ob. These $73 \mathrm{dr} .5 \frac{1}{2}$ ob. certainly included an unspecified amount for $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta$, an extra charge sometimes found in association with taxes; cf. e.g. P. Tebt. 347. 1-2, where 2 dr. are paid as $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta^{\prime}$ on 18 dr., and note ad loc., 1283. 17, note, P. S. I. 109. 7. But the amount of this $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta{ }^{\eta}$ would not be expected to be more than a relatively small item, and unless in the present case it be supposed to have been almost as much as the main payment, the conclusion is natural that in the course of the third century the rate of the $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa v{ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \iota v$ rose considerably. In P. Brit. Mus. 933 (iii, p. 69) of A.D. 211 the old rate is apparently still to be recognized; cf. note on 1. I6 below. It is, however, uncertain that the transaction referred to in 1284 was technically a sale, and if some other form of transfer was in question, that might account for the higher rate of the tax ; sec 1.12 , note.

The papyrus is dated, like C. P. R. 37, in the joint reign of Decius, Herennius, and Hostilianus.
$\left[\begin{array}{cc}\kappa \alpha i & \tau \hat{\omega}\end{array}\right] \sigma \dot{v} \nu \alpha(\dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega}) \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau(\epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s) \beta o v \lambda(\epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ s) \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} O \xi(v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega s)$
$\delta \eta \mu[0] \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \quad \tau \rho \alpha \pi(\epsilon \delta i \tau \bar{\omega} \nu)$

 $\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \sigma o v(s) \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o u s$
[oikías $\pi \alpha \lambda] \alpha \iota \alpha ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \alpha и ́ \tau \eta s ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau(\omega \nu)$

 $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ' iठıó-


 ( $\grave{\eta} \mu \omega \beta \in \lambda i \neq \nu) \sigma \pi o \nu \delta(\hat{\eta} s) \kappa \alpha i$

$[\tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu] \iota \circ \beta \in ́ \lambda \iota o \nu, \gamma(i \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)$ o $\vDash 6$.
 $\tau \rho \alpha(\pi \epsilon$ Sí $\eta \xi) \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta(\mu \epsilon i \omega \mu \alpha \iota)$
 ( $(i \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)$ or $F 6$.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. yaïov Pap. ; so in l. 3. 2. r]païadov . . . кü̈vтov Pap. } & \text { 6. 1. } \delta \eta \mu[0] \sigma \text { rious }\end{array}$ т $\rho a \pi(\epsilon$ Šitas $)$. 9. їтєр Pap. I2. a of єavtov has a horizontal stroke above it, i. e. an abbreviation was originally intended. I5. 1. ivj]aфaipetov. 17.1. סtaypa( $\phi \dot{\nu} \nu)$

'The 2nd year of the Emperor and Caesar Gaius Messius Quintus Trajanus Decius Pius Felix and Quintus Herennius Etruscus Messius Decius and Gaius Valens Hostilianus Messius Quintus the most august Caesars, Augusti, Choiak 19. Paid to Aurelius Apollonius and his associate, both senators of the city of Oxyrhynchus, public bankers, to the account of the tax on sales by Tiberius Claudius Diogenes son of Tiberius Claudius Diogenes, ex-cosmetes, ex-president of the games, senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, on account of the half share of an old house and all its appurtenances belonging to him in the said city of Oxyrhynchus in the NorthQuay quarter, which was [purchased?] from his foster-child's mother Aurelia Ammonia daughter of . . and Techosous, of the said city of Oxyrhynchus, in accordance with a privately drawn contract made in the said month Choiak by an irrevocable transfer, on the valuation which he has made of the said half share of the house, namely $3[\cdot] 5$ drachmae $5 \frac{1}{2}$ obols, in payment for libation-money and the tax on sales seventy-three drachmae five and a half obols, total 73 dr . $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ob. (Signed) I, Aurelius Apollonius, exgymnasiarch, senator, public banker, have certified the seventy-three drachmae five and half obols, total 73 dr . $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ob.'
5. $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in a \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$ should be restored after Kaıááp $\nu$ in C. P. R. 37. 18.
7. For the supplement cf. e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 933. 9 (iii, p. 69) eis tòv tô̂ ìvkvk入(iou) $\lambda$ дóoov.

title in the provincial towns. At Alexandria the office of áyouodét with that of gymnasiarch; cf. Dittenberger, Or. Gr. Inscr. $7^{11} 3$ ( $=$ Archiv ii, p. $5^{67}$ ).
12. The verb to be supplied here remains in doubt. j̈yopáa] $\eta_{\eta}$ naturally suggests
 in ll. 14-15. But the бvvriunors mentioned in 1. I 5 then seems strange, since the basis of the tax on a sale would normally be the purchase-money. Possibly, therefore, the property was ceded by deed of gift like those in P. Grenf. ii. 68, 71 , where the phrase $\chi$ ápıs àvaфпi $\rho \epsilon \tau о \boldsymbol{1}$
 $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\alpha} \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$, though $\dot{v} \pi \dot{o}$ rather than $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ would be expected to follow; $\left.\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \dot{\eta}\right] \theta \eta$ is too long. For the 'ं $\gamma \kappa \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \iota o \nu$ on a gift cf. P. Tebt. 351, where 4 dr . only are paid on account of a house of unspecified value.
 occurs in 99. 19 (Naber's attempt to explain this away in Archiz i, p. $3^{5} 4$ is futile), and probably in P. Brit. Mus. 933, where 40 dr. 1 ob. are paid on 300 dr. ; cf. P. Tebt. 347. 2, where in a banking account 2 dr . are entered on account of $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$ on another sum. For $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \grave{\eta}^{\prime}$ as an additional payment in leases or elsewhere cf. e. g. 101. 19, 730. i3, P. Brit. Mus. 948.12 (iii, p. 220 ), and as a tax, 1283. 17, note.

## 1285. List of Village Payments.

$33.3 \times 3 \mathrm{I} \cdot 5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Third century.
The value of this papyrus is centred in its geographical information. It contains a long list of villages, classified under the six toparchies of the nome, with amounts in money levied upon them. The account is in two sections, the first ending with Col. ii, which is very short and is separated from the next column by a broad blank space. The names in Col. i, so far as they are prescrved, and in Col. ii coincide, with one or two exceptions, which may be partly due to accident, with those at the end of the second section, 11.92 sqq.; and the corresponding sums in the two sections though often varying slightly are approximate throughout. There can thus be little doubt that practically the same list of names was written out twice; and the similarity in ratio of the amounts prompts the inference that the account refers to two periods of the same impost. Unfortunately the nature of this impost and the basis of the assessment remain obscure ; if the word $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ s is rightly identified at the top of Col. iii, an adacratio of some kind is indicated. With this uncertainty the amounts, which as between the villages vary considerably, are not a trustworthy index to the relative size or wealth of the individual localities. Neither would it be very safe to assume because only six names are mentioned in the toparchy of Thmoiscpho, while in the others the number ranges from twelve to twenty-three, that that toparchy was much the smallest and least important. For the list is far from exhaustive, and many names of Oxyrhynchite villages known from other sources do not figure in it. On the other hand, the following are here mentioned for the


 occur in three toparchies（ $\Psi$ ．ll．94，II5，I 33，©．11．IO4，I23，I4I）．

On the verso，opposite Col．i of the recto，are the ends of lines of a well－ written document，probably a draft or copy of an official letter or petition．

## Col．i．

|  | $[1 i \lambda \eta$ | ］（ $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \pi \eta$ ， |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ［ $\Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega(\nu 0 s)$ | $X \alpha \iota(\dot{\eta} \mu \circ \nu o s)](\delta \rho$ |  |  |
|  | ［ $\Psi ¢ \beta \beta \theta \omega$ s | ］ | （ $\delta \rho$. ） | $\tau \nu \delta$, |
|  |  |  | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\xi \eta$ |
|  | ［ $\Theta$ |  | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\sigma o \beta$ ， |
|  | $\gamma$（ ${ }^{\prime}$ | （ $\delta \rho$. ） |  | ］＇ 4 |


［Tavá $\omega \omega$ ］（ $\delta \rho). v \lambda \eta$ ，
$\{\tau \grave{o}$＇$H \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon]$ ’ov（ $\delta \rho.) \rho \xi \epsilon$（ỏßo入ós），

［ ］$(\delta \rho .)^{\circ} \cdot(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega$－ $\beta o \lambda o v)$ ，

［＇A $A \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \tau o] v$
［Плє $\dagger$ ¢ ］
［ $N \in \mu \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ ］
$20[$ Тако入кí］$\lambda \epsilon \omega s$
［Maбт ．．тьфó］pov
$[\Psi \omega \beta \theta \epsilon \omega]$ ］
［ $К \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon \cup \rho \omega \sigma \sigma] \in \omega \varsigma$
$[T \alpha \lambda \omega \pi \iota \tau \epsilon] \stackrel{ }{2}$
（ $\delta \rho). ~ \mu \eta$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\xi \eta$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\tau$ ，
（ $\delta \rho.) \tau \pi$,
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\rho เ ร \quad(\tau \in \tau \rho \omega$－ ßодо⿱），
（ $\delta \rho.) \nu \eta\left(\delta v_{o} \beta o \lambda o \iota\right)$,
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\mu \eta$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\sigma \mu$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\rho \nu 5$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\quad \eta$ ，
$(\delta \rho) ~. q \eta$,
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\rho \mu \epsilon \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega}-$ $\beta$ o入ov），
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\phi \pi$ ，

## Col．iii．

$5 \circ$ т $\iota \mu \hat{\eta}[\mathrm{s}$.$] ．［．．．．．．．．$
$\ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega[\tau] o \pi(\alpha \rho \chi i \alpha s) \cdot \Pi \epsilon \tau \cdot[\cdot] \cdot(\delta \rho).[. . .$,
$\Theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \quad(\delta \rho.) \circ$ ．，
Nírpou（ $\delta \rho.) \pi[.$,
Mє $\rho \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \theta \omega \nu$（ $\delta \rho$.$) ＇ A \xi \eta$ ，
＇$E \pi \iota \sigma \tilde{\eta} \mu \circ$（ $\delta \rho.) \omega \mu$ ，

$N \epsilon \sigma \mu i ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s \quad(\delta \rho$.$) ＇ A \kappa \delta$ ，
${ }^{\prime} A \theta[\cdot] \chi \in \omega \varsigma \quad(\delta \rho.) \psi \iota \beta$ ，
$\Sigma \alpha \delta \alpha ́ \lambda o u \quad(\delta \rho.) \sigma \lambda_{\text {与 }}$ ，
（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\circ \beta$ ，
$N \epsilon \sigma \lambda \alpha \quad(\delta \rho$ ．）$\xi \delta$,
Movípou（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\omega 0 \beta$ ，
$[\Sigma] \in \nu \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \omega_{s} \quad(\delta \rho.) \rho เ \varsigma$,
＇A $\rho \chi$ ¡iou（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\circ \beta$ ，
65 इıүкє́ ${ }^{2}$（ $\left.\delta \rho.\right) \phi \xi \eta$ ，
$K \in \rho \kappa є \mu о \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \omega \mathcal{S}$（ $\delta \rho.) \tau \xi$ ，
$X \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega$（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\omega \kappa \eta$ ，
 $\gamma\left({ }^{(\nu \nu} \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota\right)(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu) \alpha(\delta \rho .)^{\prime} B \psi \pi \delta$.

$v \mu \alpha$,
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \dot{\phi} \notin \omega \boldsymbol{s} \quad(\delta \rho$.$) ＇ A \uparrow \mu$ ，

$\Sigma \in \nu 0 к \omega \mu \epsilon \omega$（ $\delta \rho$.$) ＇A \sigma$ ģ，
$\Pi \alpha \nu \epsilon v \epsilon i \quad(\delta \rho.) \phi \iota$ ，
$\Sigma \dot{\nu} \rho \omega \nu(\delta \rho.) \cdot \xi$ ，

| $25[T \epsilon \xi \epsilon \epsilon]$ | ( $\delta$ р.) $\lambda^{\prime}$, |  | $\Pi \alpha \in i \mu \epsilon \omega S$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ¢ . .,$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $\Pi є \tau \in \nu$ оúp(los) |  |  | $\Lambda \eta \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \bigcirc$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ) \beta$, |
| $\left[\Sigma^{\prime} \in \nu \in \Pi \pi \tau \alpha\right.$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ]$ |  | $\Sigma \in \nu \alpha \omega$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho$, |
|  | ] |  | Movxı ${ }^{\text {ág }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {as }}$ ) | ( $\delta \rho.) \mu \epsilon$, |
| [ $\Theta \mu 0 \iota(\sigma \epsilon \phi \omega) \cdot \Pi \alpha \omega \mu \mu \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho)$.$] ) \phi \lambda \beta$, | 80 |  |  |
| $30[\Theta \omega \lambda \theta \epsilon \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) . .] ( \pi \in \nu \tau \omega^{\prime}-$ |  | $\Pi$ ¢́л $\alpha$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ' \stackrel{\text { c }}{ }(\underline{\text {, }}$ |
|  |  |  | Aevkiou | ( $\delta \rho.) \tau \kappa \delta$, |
| $[K \in \sigma \mu 0 \sim \chi \chi \in \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) . . .] (óßo入ós),$ |  | Пєтєцои́vlos | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \eta$, |
| [ $\Sigma \subset \phi$ ¢ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) . .] ( \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega$ $\beta o \lambda o \nu$ ), | 85 | $\begin{gathered} \gamma(\text { (ıvov } \tau \alpha \iota) \\ \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda(\iota \omega \tau \tau 0 v) \tau 0 \tau \end{gathered}$ |  арХías). Побоцто́єळs |
| $[T \eta \in \omega\rangle$ | ( $\delta \rho$. ) . .] ., |  |  | ( $\delta \rho$.$) o \beta$, |
|  | ( $\delta \rho$. ) . $]$ ¢, |  |  | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma$, |
| $35 \quad[\gamma$ (ivovтaı) ( $\delta \rho$. | ]. |  | $T \epsilon \rho \cup \cup \theta \epsilon \omega[s]$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, |
| [ка́т ${ }^{\text {c }}$ тот( $\alpha \rho \chi$ ías). Túx |  |  | $T \alpha \alpha \mu[\pi] ¢ ¢ \mu$ | $[(\delta \rho)$.$] хִ \lambda[.$, |
| [Tако́va | ( $\delta \rho).] \chi^{\lambda \beta}$, |  | Пакє́рк[ $\eta$ ] | ( $\delta \rho$.$) т \mu \beta$, |
|  | ( $\delta \rho$.$) . . ] \beta$, | 90 | $\Phi_{0} \beta \omega 0$ v | $[(\delta \rho)$.$] ,$ |
| ['İiov Kát]@ | $[(\delta \rho).] \kappa$, |  | " $\Omega \phi \in \omega S$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \phi$, |
| 40 [. . . . .]avp . [. | ( $\delta \rho).] \tau$, |  | иìn $\eta$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, |
| [Eıvapù ] | ( $\delta \rho.) \tau \mu$, |  | $\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega(\nu 0 s)$ |  |
| [Ko.ov ] | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \alpha$, |  |  | $\rho \xi \eta$, |
| [ $\Sigma^{\prime}$ ' $\sigma \phi \theta \alpha$ ] | ( $\delta \rho$. ) $\psi q$, |  | $\Psi{ }^{\prime} \omega \beta \theta \epsilon[\omega s]$ | ( $\delta$ p.) T . ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$, |
| [MovX ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ $] \alpha \rho(v \grave{\omega})$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \pi \eta$, | 95 | $\Sigma a \tau u ́ p o v$ | ( $\delta$ ¢.) $\xi \delta$, |
|  | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma \tau \gamma$, |  |  |  |
| [ $\Delta \omega \sigma \iota \theta$ '́o $]$ ¢ | $[(\delta \rho).] \psi[$ |  |  |  |

Col. ii.

| $\Sigma$ ºv́ $\omega$ S | (др.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Theta \omega ́ \lambda \theta \epsilon \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho$. |
| $\gamma$ (ivovial |  |

Col. iv.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \delta \rho .)^{\prime} \Delta \rho \xi \delta . \\
& \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta s \quad \tau о \pi(\alpha \rho \chi i \alpha s) \text {. ' } I \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \text { ( } \delta \rho \text {.) } \rho[ \\
& \text { Taváє } \omega s \\
& (\delta \rho .) \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \pi \tau \alpha$
( $\delta \rho.) \rho$,
$\gamma$ (ivovтаı) ( $\delta \rho.)^{\prime} \Gamma$. [.].
$\Theta \mu o \iota(\sigma \epsilon \phi \omega$ ). Паш $\mu[\epsilon] \omega s$ ( $\delta \rho$.) vq丂,
$\Theta \dot{\omega} \lambda \theta \epsilon \omega s$
$(\delta \rho.) \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}$,

| тò 'Hраклєîov | ( $\delta \rho$.) $\rho$. . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\delta \rho.) \phi[$ | 125 |
|  | ( $\delta \rho$.$) [$ |  |
| $\Sigma \epsilon \nu \alpha \pi \omega \theta \theta \omega s$ | ( $\delta$..$)$ [ |  |
| $\Theta \omega \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) [$ |  |
| $\Pi$ ov[.] $] \omega$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \mu[$ |  |
| $\underline{C}[\underline{o}] \mu \alpha$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ) \delta$, |  |
| $\Pi$ ¢́т $\downarrow \eta$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma q \delta^{\prime}$, | 130 |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ I $\sigma \tau$ ¢оv | ( $\delta \rho.) \tau \nu \beta$, |  |
| $\Sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\omega}$ | ( $\delta \rho$.) $\rho \eta$, |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} A \rho \tau \alpha \pi \alpha$ '̇ov | $(\delta \rho). ~ \nu \delta$, |  |
| $\Pi \lambda \in \lambda \grave{ }$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \mu \eta$, |  |
| $N \epsilon \mu \epsilon ¢ \rho \omega$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma \mu \beta$, | 135 |
| T $\alpha \kappa[0] \lambda \kappa \grave{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \mu \delta$, |  |
| M $\alpha \sigma \tau$. . т! ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ (ópov) | ( $\delta \rho$.$) o \beta$, |  |
| $\Psi ' \omega \beta \beta \theta \theta[\epsilon] \omega \varsigma$ | $[(\delta \rho)$.$] q̧,$ |  |
| Кєркєи́ршу | ( $\delta \rho$.$) р \lambda^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| T $\alpha \lambda \omega \pi / \tau \in!$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \phi \mu$, | 140 |
| T $\epsilon$ ¢ $\epsilon i$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \lambda_{5}$, |  |
|  | ( $\delta \rho.) \kappa \eta$, |  |


|  | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \iota \zeta$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega}$ | ( $\delta \rho$.) $0 \beta$, |
| T $\quad$ ¢ $\epsilon$ s | [( $\delta \rho$.$) ] 'AT { }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\Pi \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ | ( $\delta \rho$.) $\sigma \eta$, |
| $\gamma$ (ivovtal) ( $\delta \rho$. ) ' | Bбo\%. |
| $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \quad \tau[0] \pi\left(\alpha \rho \chi^{i} \alpha s\right)$. | $\begin{gathered} T u ́ \chi \iota \nu \quad \Phi \alpha \gamma( \\ (\delta \rho .) \tau, \end{gathered}$ |
| Takóv $\alpha$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \phi \pi \delta$, |
| T $\alpha \lambda \alpha{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \tau \sigma \theta$, |
| 'Ifíov Kár $\omega$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \stackrel{ }{ }$ |
| $\Psi \omega \beta \theta \epsilon \omega s$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma \pi \eta$, |
| $\Sigma ' ı \nu \alpha \rho u ̀$ | ( $\delta \rho$.$) ткঠ,$ |
| Ko[.]ov | ( $\delta \rho$ ). $\rho$, |
| इ'́ $\sigma \phi \theta \alpha$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \psi \mu$, |
| Movxı $\nu \alpha \rho(\nu \dot{\omega})$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \pi$, |
|  | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho q \eta$, |
| $\Delta \omega \sigma \iota \theta$ ¢́ov | ( $\delta \rho$. ) X05, |
| $\Sigma$ इov́c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ( $\delta \rho.) \rho \nu \gamma$, |
| $\Theta \omega \hat{\lambda} \theta \epsilon[\omega]$ s | ( $\delta \rho.) \sigma \kappa \epsilon$, |
| $\gamma$ (ivoviaı) ( $\delta \rho$. | ${ }^{\prime} \Delta \rho \xi \in$. |


10-11. In Col. iv there are two names more under $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ тотархia than in this column.
The similarity of the figures in 11.10 and 101, 12 and 105 makes it likely that two of the three names in ll. 102-4 were omitted in Col. i, but which they were there is nothing to show.
17. ['Артатáто]v: cf. l. 1 Io and Preisigke, Sammelbuch, 1945. 4.
23. Kєркє $p \rho \boldsymbol{\nu}$, the name written in 1. 116, can certainly not be read here, the termination being apparently ] $\epsilon \omega$, which suggests that $K \in \rho \kappa \in \cup \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (cf. 625, also in the middle toparchy) was substituted. Which was the right name here remains uncertain.
40. In l. I $33 \Psi \dot{\omega} \beta \theta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ follows 'I $\sigma$ iov Kát $\omega$.
47. Soùıs has occurred in 1275. 7.

63. [ $\Sigma$ ]evípecs: the initial letter is given by 1342 .
65. Cf. 515. 2, 6, 517. 6, where $\Sigma^{\prime} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \phi a\right)$ is to be restored.
70. The name of the village is very doubtfully identified.
76. Cf. P. S. I. 109.5 , where Паєіц(८v) may now be restored.
77. It is likely that $\Lambda \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu o s$ is identical with $\Lambda v \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ os in P. S. I. 80 , if indeed $\Lambda \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ is not to be read there.
82. Aevkiov: is this the same as the later Aoukiov (922.25, 998)? Aevk(iov) should be read in Preisigke, Sammelbuch, 1945. 12.

102．Me入av ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{iov}$ ：$\chi \omega \rho(i o v)$ Me $\lambda a ́ v \theta o v$ in Preisigke，Sammelbuch，1989．$g$ may be identical．
105．Perhaps $\Pi \circ \dot{v}[\chi] \epsilon \omega(s)$（966），but the absence of the final $s$ is curious．
III．Cf．$\Sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega}$（？$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\omega} \Pi \lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\omega}$ ）in 102． 8.
 understood between the two names；in 1.5 an adjective Taко入（кi入i itns）may be meant．

114．Cf．l．21，whence the termination of the village－name is obtained．
129．Cf．280．8，290． 6 Túxiv Nєкêtu．
${ }^{1} 33$ ．In 1． 40 a different name was written，but $\Psi \hat{\omega} \beta \theta_{\iota}$ in the lower toparchy is known from e．g．239． 4.

135．The doubtful $v$ may be a mark of abbreviation．
 Movxıข่́（491．3， 985 ；cf．1127． 7 Movxıv́p）is perhaps distinct．

## （f）ACCOUNTS AND LISTS．

## 1286．Account of Receipt and Expenditure．

$$
17.5 \times 12.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A．D．${ }^{2} 53$ ．
Conclusion of an account relating to com and pitch．As in P．S．I．83，${ }^{1}$ which also comes from Oxyrhynchus and offers other similarities to this papyrus， the account has a formal signature at the end，and the person presenting it seems to have occupied an official or semi－official position；perhaps he was a $\pi \rho 0 v o \eta r \grave{\eta} s$ ovoias（cf．e．g．P．Flor．77）．The text is much abbreviated and in consequence sometimes obscure．It is noticeable that the artaba in use was one of 40 choenices ； see 1． 4 and cf．1044．introd．，1145．18．The document bears an interesting date in the reign of Aemilianus，which only lasted about three months．

On the verso is a mutilated account of wine headed $\lambda$ óyos olvov àvevex $\theta$ ध́ $\nu \tau 0 s$
 （cf．Preisigke，Sammelbuch，I945．I5，1973．20）кєра（ $\mu$＇$\omega \nu$ ）qa．The payments include


```
[....]] 
Ta\pió\lambdaov (\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\beta\alpha\iota)\beta}
```



```
    (\alpha}\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\beta\etas) [\iota'
```

${ }^{1}$ With regard to the text of that papyrus，$к \in \rho a \tau($ ）in 1.3 must be $\kappa \in \rho a ́ \mu(t a)$ ．In 1.4 aj］$\nu \lambda($ ）
 $[\gamma \in O] v \in \omega$ is probable．

$5 \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu(\omega) \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu(\phi \theta \eta) \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \in \tau(\quad) \iota \alpha$


 $\alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha i \grave{\alpha} \pi o ́ \kappa \epsilon(\nu \tau \tau \alpha \iota)$.
10 (ётоus) $\beta$ A ${ }^{[ }[\tau о]$ кра́тороs Kаíбароs

Eủ $\sigma \epsilon \beta[0]$ ûs Eủvvरoûs $\Sigma \in \beta a \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$
$\Phi[\alpha \omega \bar{\omega} \ell]$. (2nd hand) Aúpý入ıos K $\alpha \sigma \tau \omega p$ '́ $\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \in-$
[ $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha$.]
2. $\beta s^{\prime}$ Pap. ; so in l. 6 «ヶј. 5. $\epsilon \lambda \eta \mu^{\prime}$ Pap. 6. $\rho^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau$ Pap. 8. $a^{\prime} \lambda_{0}{ }^{-}$Pap.
 sometimes more exactly termed, is not seldom met with in accounts of corn (cf. e. g. P. Amh. 69. ro-ix, Fay. 86, B. G. U. 835, perhaps also 988. 12), and since this was a payment for transport $\mu \epsilon \tau(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \hat{\nu})$ or $\mu \epsilon \tau(a \phi o \rho a \bar{s})$ seems a suitable expansion of $\mu \epsilon \tau($ ), which recurs in 1.6. The restoration [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] suits the following figures, $6 \frac{9}{20}$ art. (cf. the next note) being in fact $\frac{1}{100}$ more than the tenth part of $64 \frac{2}{5}$ art. Moreover $[i]$ is in exact accordance with 1. 6 , where, if the reading adopted is correct, 10 ékatoqтai on 15 art., i. e. $1 \frac{1}{2}$ art., are charged for transport. That the same percentage should be expressed in 1.3 by (aptáßns) $i$ and in 1.6 by (éкатобтai) \& is, however, rather strange. For éxaroғтai on corn-dues cf. e. g. B. G. U. $55^{2}$ A. i. 9, P. Tebt. 363 . 12 , Leipzig 84. ii. 7 , and 1259. 16, note.
4. Since $70 \frac{3}{4}$ art. 4 choen. are given as the sum of the two preceding items, $64 \frac{1}{4}$ art. 6 choen. and $6 \frac{1}{4}$ art. 8 choen., it follows that the artaba contained 40 choen.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ seems hardly suitable. $\pi \rho(\sigma \sigma) \mu \epsilon \tau(\quad)$ might be read in 1.6 instead of ( $\in к а т о \sigma \tau a i)$ $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ( ), if it gave sense.
7. If $\epsilon i s \tau(\dot{\eta} \nu) \in \xi(\gamma \eta \tau \epsilon i a \nu)$ were read here, the passage would be all-important in the interpretation of the document ; but the abbreviation may be expanded in other ways, e. g. $\tau(\grave{\eta} \nu) \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi}(\mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu)$ or $\tau(\dot{o} \nu) \in \dot{\xi} \bar{\eta}(s)$, sc. $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$.
8. $\lambda \epsilon$ is apparently a number, though $\epsilon$ has a horizontal stroke above it like the preceding $\mu \nu$.
13. Since the reign of Aemilianus terminated about September, Фаल̄фı is the most suitable month, and is also better adapted to the space than Фанєу'ө or $\Phi и \rho \mu о \hat{\nu} \theta$.
1287. SURVEY-LIST.
$24.5 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Early third century.
This extract from an official survey-list preserved in the public archives (cf. B. G. U. 861, 870) has been hastily copied on the back of 1267 . Two entries have been extracted, both concerned with Diogenes who is described as a $\dot{\eta} v i ́ o x o s$
or charioteer．Owing partly to their highly abbreviated character，partly to illegibility in the writing，there is some obscurity in the details．The I4th year in which the survey was made（1．2）was probably that of Septimius Severus， in whose reign 1267 is dated．




```
    \(\kappa \alpha \mu \psi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\) é \(\pi i\)
```





```
    (тро́тєроу) Пто入(єцаі́ov) Патоут̄(тоs)
    oik(ía) кaì aù入( \(\grave{\eta})\)
```




```
    \(\xi(\nu \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi \omega \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega s) \delta \eta \lambda(\omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \sigma s) \dot{v} \pi(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu \nu) \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega}\)
    \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \circ \kappa(\epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu)\) оiк(ía \()\)
    каì aủ入( \(\dot{\eta} \nu)\).
```



```
    \(\pi \eta \lambda(\omega \omega \tau \eta \nu)\) Tas \(\omega \iota \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau 0 s\)
```





```
20 ( \(\pi\) ро́тєроv) тồ viồ á(ürov̂?) \(\sum \alpha \rho a \pi(i \omega \nu o s)\)
```



```
    \(\delta^{\prime} \mu\) '́ \(\rho о\) к̣ каì ( \(\left.\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\right) ~ N \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon-\)
```



```
    \(\mu[\) [́] pos \(\alpha[\cdot] \ldots[\cdot] \ldots()\).
```

＇Extract from the public archives from a field－survey of the $\mathbf{I}^{4}$ th year，column 50 ，Senepta： Turning to the north，the house and court of Diogenes son of Heras，charioteer，by prepay－ ment to ．．．Publius（？），formerly the property of Ptolemaeus son of Papontos，in accordance with a memorandum of the aforesaid Diogenes of the city of Oxyrhynchus，who declared that the aforesaid house and court belonged to him．Turning to the east，the ruined house of Tazoillas daughter of Aphunchis in accordance with a memorandum of Diogenes， charioteer，of the city of Oxyrhynchus，who declared that there belonged to him a quarter share which was formerly the property of his son Sarapion and before him of Teutheis，
and another quarter share which was formerly the property of Nechthenibis son of Horus . . .




20. $a(\hat{i} \tau o \hat{v}):$ or $a(\hat{i} \tau \hat{\eta} s)$ ?

## 1288. Private Account.

$$
25.9 \times 16.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

An account rendered by an agent to his mistress, who was absent in Alexandria (cf. 11. 17, 29, 34), of expenditure for the household and estate. The hand varies a good deal, and the account was probably put together at different times. This papyrus was found rolled up with another short account in six lines, written in a different hand (1344). The text is 'A $\left.\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega v i ́ a ~ \theta v \gamma(\alpha ́ \tau \eta \rho) ~ \Phi_{l}{ }^{\prime}\right\} \lambda \omega \nu \omega{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
 $\gamma(v \rho \iota \sigma \mu 0 \hat{v})(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha) \gamma(\delta \partial \eta \nu \alpha ́ \rho \iota a) ~ ' \Gamma \tau$; for $\delta \eta \nu \alpha ́ \rho \iota a$ here in place of $\delta \rho a x \mu \alpha i ́ c f$. e. g. P. Thead. 29. 26, \&c., 34. 45.

Aóros ảpyupíwv


 ßas ?) 5,
ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ó $\mu \dot{\nu} \omega s$ єis $\delta(\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta}[\nu]$ єis $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau о к о \pi i ̂ a$



$\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta$ єis $\dot{u} \pi \eta \rho \in \sigma i \alpha \nu \quad \Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \iota \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota$
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta o \hat{v} \delta \dot{v} o \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \iota \delta i ́ \omega \nu \quad \sigma o[\hat{v}] \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau o s$



 $\dot{v \pi}(\dot{\epsilon} \rho)$ $\sigma \tau v \pi \tau \eta \rho i a s$

$\tau i(\mu \hat{\eta} s)$ бo入íou Nóvvas
( $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}.) ~ \delta$,
( $\tau \alpha \dot{\lambda}$. .) к ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha ̀ s)^{\prime} B \sigma$,
( $\tau \alpha \dot{\lambda}.) ~ a$,
( $\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu \grave{\alpha} s$ ) 'Ạ $\sigma$,
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$\chi^{\lambda \alpha \mu v ́ \delta o s ~ к а i ~ \sigma \tau ı \chi \alpha ́ \rho[\iota] o \nu ~}$
$\Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \theta \quad \beta \quad{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \in \nu \quad M \hat{\omega} \rho o s$


$\gamma \omega \nu$ ảp $\gamma v$ рíou ( $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda$. ?) $\mu \varsigma$.


【eis тò vav̂入ov $\delta!\grave{\alpha} \rrbracket$



8. $\bar{\imath} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma t a \nu \mathrm{Pap}$.


 margin). is Pap. 36. $\sigma \iota \pi^{\prime} \pi \iota o v$ Pap.

- Account of money received by me:

In payment for purchases ${ }_{5} 5$ talents and
In payment similarly to the bakeries
In payment for the donkeys
In payment for a sea-vessel, for charges
In payment for tow
Paid to Palladias for service
Price of two bundles when you were here
Expended by me for annona
The nurse of Palladias had for expenses in tal. of silver.
I opened the wine-store and took out
To Morus for expenses of the river-workmen
For alum
Price of a bundle to Anchisas
Price of Nonna's shoes (?)
To you the owner I sent to Alexandria
For pledges
For interest of two months . . . ., for adaeratio

6 artabae.
8 tal. and 3 art.
6 tal. and 10 art.
9 tal.
2 tal.
i tal.
2 tal.
$5^{1}$ jars of wine.
4 tal.
20 tal. 2,200 dr.
I talent.
1,200 dr.
8 tal.
r 80 tal.
ro tal.

Phamenoth 2，to Morus the servant for expenses through Apia daughter of Tapilous 250 tal．
Price of uncoined silver on account of Apia 60 tal．
For the bakers for six months 49 tal．
For a short cloak and tunic $\quad 17$ tal．
Phamenoth 2，Morus had 64 tal．
Necessity arising，I sent Morus to the village and he received from the sitologi
46 tal．of silver．
Since you went away I have bought 5 pounds of tow at the price for each pound of I tal．2，000 dr． of silver．
In payment for ．．．I gave the current cash（？）and the ．．．on security amounting to
${ }^{1} 50$ tal．of silver．
（Added at the top）And when you went to Alexandria you supplied me with $3 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of unspun tow．There was sold $\mathbf{1}$ jar of wine of the supplies at 2 tal． $2,000 \mathrm{dr}$ ．， and $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$ ．of tow were bought．＇

3．In spite of the title in 1．I ap＇here and in the next two lines apparently stands for ápráßas rather than ápyvpiov；cf．1．12，where wine is also included in the account．On the other hand appopiov，though generally omitted，is added occasionally ；cf．11．II，28，30， 33 ．

7．$\sigma \iota \pi \pi \epsilon o v$ is both here and in 1.29 written for $\sigma \iota \pi \pi i o v(c f .11 .34,36$ ），for which cf． 1130. 12 ，note，and P．Giessen 103．ir，note．

9．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu i \delta i \omega \nu$ ：cf．e．g．1230． 14.
13．$\pi о \tau а \mu[\tau \tau] \overline{\omega \nu}$ ：cf．1263．introd．
16．$\sigma$ д入iov：cf．741． 8 бódıa ả $\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu i k a ̀ ~ \zeta \epsilon ध ́ \gamma(\eta) \eta, 1158$ ．18，note．
19．Some traces of ink after（ $\tau a ́ \lambda$. ．）؛ may be accidental．
27．$\sigma$ cto ${ }^{\text {ofyot occur in the middle of the fourth century in P．Amh．I } 39 \text { and I40，and are }}$ mentioned in a papyrus dated by Vitelli as late as the fifth or sixth century（P．Flor．78）．

30．In a Rainer papyrus cited by Wessely，Ein Altersindizium im Philogelos，p．42， 4 talents a pound are paid for $\sigma i \pi \pi i o v$ ．

32．$\chi є \rho \rho \iota \psi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ perhaps $=\chi є \iota \rho$＇́ $\downarrow \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ ，which，however，does not occur and is a rather far－fetched epithet．A short oblique stroke passing through the tails of each $\rho$ seems to be meaningless；the second $\rho$ might be read as $\beta$ ．

34．ä $\nu \eta \sigma \sigma$ os as an attribute of $\sigma i \pi \pi \iota o \nu$ is intelligible，though the form does not appear to occur elsewhere．There is no sign of abbreviation，so that perhaps $\alpha \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau 0\langle v\rangle$ should be read．

1289．PRIVATE Account．

$$
18.6 \times 11 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century } .
$$

A list of articles with their prices，which are reckoned apparently in myriads of drachmae．

| $\chi^{\mu} \gamma$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | पóyos＇Aртократíwvos＇ |  |
|  | § $\omega \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mu\left(v \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon S\right.$ ？${ }^{\text {？}}$ ）vo， |
|  | $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \in \rho \alpha$ от $\quad \circ \gamma\langle\gamma\rangle$ 认́入 $\alpha \gamma$ | $\mu(\nu \rho.) \rho \kappa$, |
|  |  | $\mu(\nu \rho.) \sigma \nu$ ， |

On the verso
${ }_{15} \lambda \circ \iota \pi \grave{\nu}{ }^{\epsilon} \notin \omega \mu(v p.) \quad \alpha \omega \xi$.

10. 1. кvá $\theta_{\imath}$; so in l. 13.
12. 1. $\pi a \lambda a \iota o u ̂$.
' Account of Harpocration : 4 ladles 470 myriads, 3 curved knives 120 myr., 5 pairs of scissors 250 myr., two large pairs of scissors 150 myr., I knife 30 myr., 2[.] necklets (?) 450 myr., a . . 450 myr., 24 cups $\mathbf{x}, 200$ myr., total 3,120 myr. From the old account 1,205 myr., 13 large cups 1,054 myr.; on account of these he has $3,520 \mathrm{myr}$. I have left 1,860 myr.'
3. $\zeta \omega \mu a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho a=\zeta \omega \mu \dot{a} \rho \nu \sigma \tau \rho a$, a form occurring in Schol. Aristoph. Ach. 244.
$\mu$ (vpádés): the abbreviation consists of an $\mu$ with a horizontal stroke above it. Of this $\mu$ (vpás) seems to be the most probable resolution, in spite of the largeness of the resulting figures.
4. $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi \in \rho a$ is formed from an abnormal form $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi a \rho \rho \frac{\nu}{\text {; }}$ cf. 1. 7.
8. $\mu a \nu$ uákns commonly means a necklace or bracelet (cf. e. g. 1273. 7), but since 20 or more are here concerned and the price as compared with the other items is not particularly

 as an epithet of a horse ; $\kappa \in \nu \tau \eta \tau[0] u े$ is a less suitable reading.
14. ${ }^{\prime} \chi$ ! : sc. 'Артократі $\omega \nu$ ?

## 1290. List of Articles.

$$
14.2 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Fifth century.
A short list of utensils and other articles, the names of several of which are obscure.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum^{\prime} \alpha \mu \alpha \theta 0 \nu \in \lambda .[. . \\
& \text { какка́ßıоข } \alpha \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$



1. $\sigma a ́ \mu a \theta_{o \nu}$ remains unexplained. The next word was perhaps $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon\left[o v\right.$ for $e^{e} \lambda a i[0 v$.
2. The diminutive form какка́ßเov is cited from Eubulus in Athen. p. 169 c.
3. коикои́ $\mu$ го : cf. 1160. 3, note.
4. тvyávov is for tvкáviov, a threshing-instrument. Possibly the form in Hesych. тvтávך may have come through ruyáv.
5. т $\tau \kappa \kappa \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda a p o \nu$ is apparently unknown in Greek as well as Latin.
6. àкıov is perhaps derived from äкi, àкis. According to Hesychius àki was the name of a plant, but that would be out of place in this context. A misspelling of a $\gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{i} 0 \nu$ is more probable ; cf. l. Io, note, and l. 4.
7. pulvinus is apparently the word meant ; cf.P. Gen. 80. 13 \$ov入ßıv a.
8. 入oxiòv: again unknown.
9. $\sigma \iota \tau i o \nu$ is a diminutive of situla, a bucket.
10. $\boldsymbol{a} \gamma \nleftarrow \nu$ is not very satisfactory, since there is no obvious substantive for $\chi \rho()$, of which
 $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \gamma v \lambda a$ is written for $\sigma \tau \rho o \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda a$.

## $(g)$ PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE.

1291. Letter of Zoïs.

$$
4.8 \times 8.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 30
$$

A short letter from Zoïs to her brother Ischyrion, who is probably identical with the Ischyras in 1292, another letter found at the same time ; cf. e. g. 119, where the writer calls himself Theon in l. I and Theonas in l. 18, and 1269. I4 and 34, notes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \in i \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \nu,
\end{aligned}
$$

```
5 \dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda' \epsilon\dot{v}0'\epsilon}\omega\varsigma,\dot{\eta
    \psias \deltaià Ko\lambda\lambdaoú0ov
        \epsiloṅ\pi\iota\sigma\tau0\lambda\etá\nu, \epsiloníठoù \alpha}\rho
        \tau\alphá\beta\eta\iota \sigmaO\iota \gammaí\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota. \epsilon'बे}
```



```
I0 \delta\rho\in\alpha\langle\nu\rangle}\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\epsilon\lambda0\epsilon\hat{\imath}, 'A\pi0\lambda
        \lambda\grave{s}\Theta'\epsilon}\omega\nu0s \dot{v}\pi\alphá\gamma\epsilon
        \alphaư\rho\iotao\nu. 首\rho\rho\omega(\sigmao).
        ('є\tauous) \iota\zeta T\iota\beta\epsilon\rhoiov Kaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos \Sigma'є\betaa\sigma\tauo\hat{v}
        \mu\eta(\nuòs) N'єov \Sigma'\epsilon\beta\alpha(\sigma\tauov̂) к0.
```

On the verso
${ }^{1} 5$ 'I ${ }^{2} \chi$ vpímvı.
3. $\eta$ of $\eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ corr. from $\epsilon$. 5. 1. єi. $\quad$ 9. 1. $\theta \in \lambda \eta$ is.
' Zoïs to Ischyrion her brother, greeting. No one has brought me a letter about the bread, but if you send a letter by Colluthus, an artaba will come to you immediately. If you wish to depart for Alexandria, Apollos son of Theon is going to-morrow. Good-bye. The 17th year of Tiberius Caesar Augustus, the 29 th of the month Neus Sebastus. (Addressed) To Ischyrion.'
5. $\eta$ is more likely to be meant for $\epsilon i$, as often, than $\dot{\eta} \cdot$

## 1292. Letter of Hermogenes.

$11.5 \times 7.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.
About A. D. 30.
A short letter from Hermogenes to his brother, asking for two hundred jars, and stating that he had sent some money and was prepared to supply wood for the transport of a water-wheel. The papyrus was found with 1291, which is dated in A.D. 30 , and is probably addressed to the same correspondent.


```
    \alpha}\delta\in\mp@code{\phi}\hat{\omega}\iota \chi\alphaí\rho\epsilon\iota\nu
    \epsilon\mathcal{U}[\pi]o\etá\eta\sigma\epsilon! '\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha
    \lambdaó\mu\epsilon\nuós \muо\iota кє\nu\omegá-
5 \mua\tau\alpha \delta\iota\alpha\kappa[ó]\sigma\iota\alpha,
\omegas \sigma\epsiloǹ к\alphai \pi\rhoi\nu \grave{\eta\rho\omegá-}
\tau\eta\sigma\alpha. '̈́X\epsilon\iotas \delta^̀
\tau\grave{\alpha}s \deltai\grave{\alpha} \sum'\alpha\rho\alphaि\tauos \alpha}\rho\gamma(v\rhoíov) (\delta\rhoа\chi\mu\grave{\alpha}) 15
```

$\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ Є้ $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha$＇$E \rho \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota$ סộ̀ $\alpha i ́$
10 $\sigma 0 \iota(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} s) \iota$ ．


$\tau \rho \circ \chi \grave{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha-$
$\tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \kappa \eta \eta, \delta![\grave{\alpha}] \ldots \dot{\alpha}^{\nu} \nu \epsilon-$
$15 \nu \epsilon \chi$ Өウ́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma[o \iota.] \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \rho(\sigma 0)$ ．

On the verso

9．o of סovzal corr．from ‘（？）．
＇Hermogenes to Ischyras his brother，greeting．Please put on board for me two hundred empty jars，as I asked you before．You have the 16 drachmae of silver by Saras， and I have given Hermas 12 drachmae to give you．If you specially require two pieces of wood to bring down to me the wheel of the machine，they shall be brought up to you by ．．． For the rest，good－bye．（Addressed）To my dearest Ischyras．＇

## 1293．Letter of Theon．

$$
23.9 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. II } 7-38
$$

A letter from a son to his mother concerning the dispatch of oil and other articles．The reigning emperor whose name has been lost in 1.37 was most probably Hadrian．
$\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu[\Phi \iota \lambda] o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho i ̀$
$\chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ơ $[\lambda \omega \nu] \epsilon u^{\prime} \chi \circ \mu \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \iota \alpha i-$
$\nu \in \iota \nu$ бv̀v т＠̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ ~ \mu o v . ~ к o ́ \mu ı \sigma \alpha \iota ~$

$\kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha s$ グ $\mu \iota \sigma v$ ．
ко $\mu \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ov̂̀ $\delta \eta$ خ́ $\lambda \omega \sigma$ óv $\mu \circ$ ．

$\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \bar{\eta} s \pi о \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau 0 s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ दُ $\lambda \alpha i ́ \omega(\nu)$



$\mu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ©́s $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma-$
$\tau \epsilon \tau 0 v$ 入olтov̂ $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ र $\alpha \rho$


 $\mu \grave{\eta} \quad \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ $\tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha l \mu \notin \rho o s$
 20 Tov̀s ä̀入入ous $\pi \epsilon ้ \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{ }$



$\epsilon$ is $\beta \alpha \phi \eta े \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho[i ̂] \delta \iota \alpha, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ v $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$

$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha[\gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \nu(?)]$ סià $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} S ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p \alpha s$

［．．．．．．．．］a єi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\sigma v ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ́ ~$



［．．．．．$\epsilon ้ \nu . \quad \kappa o ́] \mu \iota \sigma \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i ́ o(v)$
［．．．．．．．．］．$\iota \delta \iota \circ(\nu) ~ \alpha ́ \phi р о \delta \iota \sigma \iota а к o ̀ \nu ~$

35


［．．．．．．．roîs ả］$] \in \lambda \phi o i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ K \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega p o(s) ~$

40
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］．$\Psi \alpha \tau \rho \eta ิ \tau o s ~ v i o ̂ ̂ ~$
At right angles along the left－hand margin
 $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda[\lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad 23$ letters

On the verso

 38. l. Kágтopos. 41. l. ö $\downarrow о \mu a \imath$. 42. o of ovk corr. for $\lambda$.
' Theon to his mother Philumene, greeting. Before all else I pray for your health and that of my father. Receive from Saras son of Marcus four and a half metretae of fine aphrodisiac oil; and having done so let me know. If I do not get letters from him about the amount of the oil which he brings to you, I do not intend to send it (?). You ought to have given him a letter, because it is not Saras but another stranger whose word I have to take that you have received it ; so in future write, for I have had no letter about the first four and a half metretae. I do this not on our account but on that of the camel-men, lest one of them should want to leave part behind and not bring it. Saras says to me, "Let the other five metretae about which you write wait for the other load," and if I cannot find a carrier, I shall do so. I sent my brother Apollonius some wool to be dyed, since I want two quarters (?) and they have not been prompt in bringing it (?) because the days are . . . I wrote to him . . . Receive from Saras son of Marcus two sealed baskets . . ., one for you, and one for Plutarche my . . . Receive in addition from Apollonius . . . Good-bye.' Date, postscripts, and address on the verso.
5. à $\phi \rho o \delta\left(\iota \sigma t a \alpha_{o} \nu\right)$ : cf. 1l. 33, 39. The meaning of the word here is obscure ; was it used like the Latin venustus?
10. It seems more probable that an infinitive has dropped out after $\dot{\mathcal{j}} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ than that $\kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \iota$ is for кодібаь and that the preceding $\omega \nu$ is a repetition of the termination of eोai $\omega(\nu)$. A verb in place of $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{i}$ is hardly to be obtained.
II. There must be another error here. To suppose that oat stands for örı or $\dot{\text { is }}$ seems to be the simplest remedy. The $\sigma$ has apparently been altered, but ötı cannot be read.
13. It is noticeable that the oil was sent to the Apollinopolite nome (1.43) overland and not by water. The nome referred to is doubtless the Apollinopolites Parvus (Heptacomiae).

24-5. $\dot{\text { vertep }}$ should take a genitive, but to place a comma before tétapra and construct the latter with $\dot{\epsilon} \rho[i] \delta \iota a$ gives no sense. тєтápta $\langle\boldsymbol{s}\rangle$ should perhaps be read; the tétaptov was a liquid measure (quartarius).
33. Perhaps $\sigma \phi v$ ] $\rho i$ îıov.
43. Tav(vaîbv) (cf. P. Giessen 51. i. 25) is unsuitable. Cf. the note on I. I3.
1294. Letter to Didyme.
$19.6 \times 18.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or early third century.
A letter in a rather large cursive hand from a man whose name is lost to his sister, announcing the dispatch of various articles. Pauses in the sense are indicated by blank spaces after $\chi \in i \lambda \omega \mu a$ in 1. $5, \hat{a} \rho o v$ in $1.8, \sigma a \pi \hat{\eta}$ in 1. $13, \lambda \eta \mu \psi \eta$ in 1. I4, and $\mu 0$ in l. I6.















[. . . . . .]. к.

On the verso

## $\Delta_{[ }[\delta \dot{\sim} \mu \eta$.

4. ї $\mu$ atı Pap. 6. First $a$ of va入a corr. from $\lambda$ (?). 7. ï $\mu a \tau a$ Pap. 12. ìa Pap. $\quad 15$. The vertical stroke of $\kappa$ in vavtuov rewritten.
' . . . to the lady Didyme his sister, greeting. Receive from the slave of the strategus a . . . chest containing two parchment quaternions and a cloak . . . and a box, and from Didymus the sailor a bread-basket containing 4 glass flasks in sound condition, and a good strap tied to the basket, and 3 knives; of these take one for yourself. And from Carpus son of Cleon receive the key of the bread-basket. If you cannot open the basket yourself, for it opens with difficulty, give it to the key-maker, and he will open it for you . . . Take care of the things in the box lest they rot. Do not lose heart about the rent, for you will get it once for all. Tell me through the sailor about all these things, whether you have received them, and if you require anything, let me know. I salute 'Tausiris my daughter and Sarapas. Good-byc, sister. . . . 2oth. (Addressed) To Didyme.'
 may be connected with $\chi \eta \lambda$ ós. It is strange that a $\chi \in i \lambda \omega \mu a$ should be contained in a $\chi \epsilon \lambda \omega \mu \mu \dot{t} \tau \circ \nu$.
 ternion occurs in Marlyrium Petri Alex. p. 212. «дatıo at the end of the line may be either for ipuitiov or a compound word.
5. How ]. arevo is to be emended is obscure owing to the lacuna.
6. The form üytos is cited in Stephanus from a glossary. 入áyovos is fem. also in B. G. U. 1095.19.
7. Letter of Tasoïs.

$$
14.8 \times 11.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Second or early third } \\
\text { century. }
\end{gathered}
$$

A letter from a woman complaining that her correspondent Dionysius was attempting to alienate her son, who apparently was in his charge, and threatening to remove the boy from Dionysius' influence.

> Ta óıs $\Delta \iota \circ[\nu] u \sigma i ́ \omega \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu[\iota] \omega \tau \alpha ́-$ $\tau \omega \iota \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
> iơoù $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є́ $\gamma \omega$ oủk є́ $\mu \mu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ọт } \epsilon \text { ò } \pi \alpha \tau[\grave{\eta}] \rho \text { aủ } \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \text { є่ } \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \alpha \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \epsilon[i] s \quad i \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} s) \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { є́кто́s } \mu \circ v \text { є }[\hat{i}] \nu \alpha \iota \text {, є́ } \pi \epsilon i \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \text { aủtò } \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu o \iota \delta \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu o v \text { ó } \psi \omega ́ \nu \iota o \nu \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o[\hat{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

> каì єí є́ко $і$ í $\omega ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i \mu a ́ т \iota o \nu . ~$
> $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \alpha ф o ́ \rho \tau ו o \nu ~ \tau \hat{̣} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda$ -
> $20[\phi] \hat{\omega}$ бov.
> ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \sigma$.

On the verso

$$
\Delta[\iota 0] \nu v[\sigma i ́] \omega \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ T \alpha \sigma o ́ l \tau o s .
$$

 бo兀 corr. 17. 1. по́боข $\chi$ алко́v.
' Tasoïs to her most esteemed Dionysius, greeting. See, I have not imitated you by taking away my son, but if you intend to blame him in this way, I shall send Ptolemaeus
and take him away．When his father died，I paid on his behalf $\mathbf{x}, 300$ drachmae and expended on clothes for him 60 drachmae．I therefore beg that you will not persuade him to desert me，or I shall take him away and put him in pledge at Alexandria．So please send me a payment for two months through the bearer of this letter and the cloak，and let me know how much money you have given to him and whether you have received the cloak．Send the veil to your brother．Good－bye．（Addressed）To Dionysius from Tasoïs．＇

12．What exactly the writer intended by this threat is not clear．Perhaps she contem－ plated a loan on the security of her son＇s services，which might be engaged in lieu either of the principal or the interest of the debt ；cf．e．g．Wessely，Fiihrer P．E．R．No．433，P．Flor． 44，Tebt． $3^{8}$ ，Lewald，$Z_{\text {ur }}$ Personalexekution，pp． 14 sqq．

1296．Letter of Dius．
$15.8 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ Third century：
A letter from a son to his father，assuring him that his studies were proceeding satisfactorily．Cf．the good advice given by a father to his son in 531．9－12．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aúpク́入ıos } \triangle \text { îos } A \dot{v} p \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \text { ' } \Omega \rho \epsilon i ́ \omega-
\end{aligned}
$$

$\chi$ Хí $\rho \epsilon \nu$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \nu \eta \text { ov̂ } \nu, \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \chi \alpha ́ \rho เ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

> á $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \rho \mu a l$ каì тòv á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v ~ \mu о v ~ \Pi а т є p \mu o ̂ ̂-~$
> $\theta \iota \nu$ каi тウ̀v ád $\epsilon \lambda \phi \eta_{\nu} \mu_{0 v} \Theta \epsilon \rho \mu 0 \hat{\theta} \theta \iota \nu$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \alpha เ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} S \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \in S \text { Taía, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime} \Omega \rho \in i \omega \nu \text { каì } \Theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \hat{\theta} \theta \text { с̣. }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
20 '́\rho\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma0\alphaí \sigma\alpha\iota \epsilonƯXо\mu\alpha\iota, \pi\alpháт\epsilon\rho.
```

On the verso
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta(o s) A(\hat{v} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ \omega)$＇$\Omega \rho \in i ́ \omega \nu l$ dं $\pi o ̀$ Díov viov．


＇Aurelius Dius to Aurelius Horion my sweetest father，many greetings．I perform the act of veneration for you every day before the gods of this place．Do not be anxious，father， about my studies；I am industrious and take relaxation ：all will be well with me．I salute my mother Tamiea and my sister Tnepherous and my sister Philous，I salute my brother Patermouthis and my sister Thermouthis，I salute my brother Heracl ．．．and my brother Kollouchis，I salute my father Melanus and my mother Timpesouris and her son．Gaia salutes you all，my father Horion and Thermouthis salute you all．I pray for your health， father．（Addressed）Deliver to Aurelius Horion from his son Dius．＇

15．This papyrus provides a good illustration of the loose use of $\pi a \tau \eta \rho, \mu \eta \pi \eta \rho$ ，\＆c．，at this period；besides Horion，who was no doubt his real father（cf．1． 2 I），the writer refers to two other men as＇father＇（ll． 15,18 ），and he speaks of two women as＇mother ${ }^{\text {s }}$ （ll．8，i5）；cf．e．g．1300．8，P．Giessen I．iii，p． $53^{1{ }^{1}}$ ．The true relationship of all the ＇brothers＇and＇sisters＇mentioned may also be questioned．

1297．Letter of Sarmates．

$$
24 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
$$

A letter announcing the dispatch of various articles and asking for others to be sent．The spelling and grammar are remarkable．

> इapuárचs idíc $\triangle$ וобко́ $\rho$.
> áтध́ $\sigma \tau \iota \lambda \alpha ́$ $\sigma o \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ " ~ A \mu \mu \omega \nu о s ~$
> тои í $\in \rho \in ́ \sigma u s[\llbracket]] ~ \mu \alpha ́ p ı o v$
> є́ $\lambda \alpha i ́ o v, ~ a ̈ \psi \alpha \iota ~ a u ́ \tau \grave{\omega}$ Kєīтal,
> 5 каì $\delta \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \quad \Theta \in 0-$
> סळ́pov $\sigma \phi \cup \rho i ́ \delta \iota \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma\langle\sigma \alpha \rho a\rangle$,
> ä $[\psi] \alpha \iota$ аúтà кєī $\alpha \iota$, каi
> $\pi \rho o$. $\epsilon \iota \nu \alpha ́ \rho l o \nu$ є́ $\lambda \alpha i ́ o v$,
> ảviка入ú廿al aúлòv кai
$\tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, каi $\delta \iota \alpha ̀{ }^{*} I \lambda \iota \tau о s \sigma \phi \nu-$
pídıov ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$, $\alpha \not \psi \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ v ~ к \in i ́-~$
$\tau \alpha \iota$. є́à $\nu$ кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta ~ \Theta \epsilon o ́-$

```
I}5 \delta\omega\rhoos \epsilońk\epsilon\hat{L},\delta\epsilon\hat{v}\langle\rho0\rangle \mu\epsilon\tau' \alphau⿱-
    \tauо\hat{u} к\alphai 'ै}\nu\epsilon\gammaк\epsilon{\nu} \tauоuे
    \alpha"\mu\eta\tau\alphas є́\rho\chió\mu\epsilon\nuоS к\alphai
    \tauò \xi\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\nuoy, ovं \tauò X X 人\rho-
    \tau\alphá\rho\epsilon\iota\nu.
2O . ....
    \epsilon}\rho\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma0\alpha\iota \epsilon\cup`\chi\circ\mu\alpha\iota
```

| On the verso |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\dot{\alpha} \pi($ ódos $) \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho i$ |  |
|  |  |
|  | $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \mu o v$ |
|  | $\sum \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta s$. |



＇Sarmates to his own Dioscorus．I sent you by Ammon the priest a marium of oil， which is meant for you to burn，and by my brother Theodorus four baskets，which are for you to burn，and a ．．．of oil for you to uncover and eat，and a spathium of wine for you to drink at the festival，and by Ilis one basket for you to burn．If Theodorus reaches you there，come here with him and bring the milk cakes when you come and the ．．．，not the papyrus．I pray for your health．（Addressed）Deliver to my mother and father from Sarmates．＇

3．$\mu$ ápoov is an apparently otherwise unattested diminutive of $\mu$ ápıs．
7．${ }^{\circ}[\psi] a$ appears to imply that the $\sigma \phi$ voióa contained oil．Cf． $11.12-13$ ．
8．$\pi \rho 0$ ．єvvapor must be a vessel or measure of some kind．If the $\epsilon$ is right，there is barely room for a preceding $\pi$ ，or the word might possibly be derived from $\pi \rho o \pi i u n$ （popina）．
ı．For the infin．фáyat cf．e．g．P．Tor．i．5． $27 \mu \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta a \iota$, B．G．U． 250.8 é $\pi \epsilon \nu \in ́ \gamma \kappa a \iota$. Analogous forms in the personal endings of the aorist are common．

14．ката入áß ：cf．P．Giessen 103．8，22，Wilcken，Chrest．297．6，note．
18．$\xi \in \lambda \in \gamma \nu o \nu$ is another obscure word．ïmov might be read instead of－ov ov，but the previous letters then become very difficult，especially as there can be little doubt that to has been altered from $\tau a$ ，not vice versa．

19－20．גaprápet is followed by some unintelligible writing，which is unlike shorthand and suggests rather a cipher．The characters are，in $\mathrm{l} .20 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$, Latin cursive s， a sign resembling that for 4 obols， o ，Latin cursive c with long oblique head，cursive s ，and the 4 －obol sign again，$\sigma, o$ ，and in 1． 2 I $\sigma o \pi \lambda \omega$ ．Cf．90．6－7．

## 1298．Letter of Ammon．

$$
11.1 \times 11.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century. }
$$

An incomplete letter from a man to his friend，complaining of the treatment which he had．received in a transaction concerning some wine，and inviting sympathy or assistance．The writing is across the fibres of the verso，the recto being blank．
$T \hat{\iota} \delta \in \sigma \pi о ́ \tau \eta$ каi $\alpha \sigma v \nu \kappa \rho i \tau \varphi$
каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta i ́ \alpha ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu \Gamma_{0-}$
$\nu \alpha \tau \iota " A \mu \mu \omega \nu \quad \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \nu-$

5 рì т $\bar{s}$ ò $\lambda о к \lambda \eta \rho i \alpha s$ бov каì т $\omega \nu$
$\phi i \lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ бov. Є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ بóvos $\pi \alpha ́-$

$\phi \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \widehat{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon$ € $\lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \epsilon$ тô кó $\sigma \mu о \boldsymbol{u}$


$\xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \mu o v ~ \dot{\eta} \nu i ́ \kappa \alpha ~ K \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \tau \omega \rho ~ o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~$
$\Delta \alpha \mu 0 \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau o v \quad$ Є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta ̀ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu$

$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ oì $\nu 0 v \sigma \pi \alpha \theta i ́ \omega \nu \quad \xi 5$,





On the verso

$\left.\Gamma_{0}\right] \nu \alpha \tau \hat{a}$ " $A \mu \mu \omega \nu$.


'To my incomparable master, the consolation of his friends, Gonatas from Ammon, greeting. Before all else I pray to the Lord God for the prosperity of yourself and those dearest to you. I have been keeping myself quite alone beyond the point of safety, and all the vain talk of the world besets me. For I have only you to witness how Gunthus laid hands on me when Castor son of Damostratus, superintendent of wine-Gunthus sent me a receipt for 66 spathia of wine; for Troillus 36 , for me 22 , for Dius 8 , and when I came to the Oxyrhynchite nome he charged me for each spathium 7 talents, which you being the intermediary in this . . . (Addressed) To my lord and brother Gonatas from Ammon.'
$\mathbf{I I I I}_{\mathbf{I}}$. The conjunctival clause is left incomplete. For the doubling of the $\sigma$ in Károt $\omega \rho$ \&c., cf. e. g. the hexameter fragment edited by Goodspeed in Chicago Lit. Pap., where $\sigma \sigma$ is especially common before $\tau$.
18. In papyri of the middle of the fourth century cited by Wessely, Altersindizium im Philogelos, p. 35, a $\sigma \pi a t i o n$ of wine is priced at 20 and 25 talents.
1299. Letter of PsaïS and Syra.

$$
24.5 \times 18.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

A letter to a son from his parents, giving news of their health and of their preparations for his arrival.


## $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \in L \nu$.













${ }^{1} 5$ á $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota ~ K \alpha ́ \mu о к o ̣ \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ o i ̂ k o v ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o v ̂, ~ \alpha ं \sigma \pi \alpha ́-~$


$\tau o \grave{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha\langle s\rangle \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ő $\nu 0 \mu \alpha$.
є́pp $\hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \in \cup ้ X о \mu \alpha \iota$ $[\epsilon]$ is $\pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ X \rho o ́ v o u s . ~ ' A \theta ̀ ̀ \rho ~ \iota . ~$

On the verso


1. vï̀ Pap. 3. 1. $\sigma \epsilon$ v́ynivèv. 5. 1. véov.




'To my lord and son Ision from Psaïs and Syra, many greetings. Before all else I pray to the Lord God for your health and prosperity; Thonis your brother sends you
many salutations. Next, since the new year we have been very ill, but we give thanks to God that we have recovered; and up to the present time we have not sacrificed the pigs. We are expecting you to come. You know that on your account we have not salted any fish, but we have made the pickle yearly, and, if possible, I will prepare it for your coming. Do as I told you about the . . of knives and the pepper. Your brothers Horion and Heraiscus salute you, An ... n and her children salute you, Tachosis and her husband salute you, Triadelphus and his wife and children salute you. I salute Kamokos and his household, I salute Hepsates and his wife with their children, I salute Hatres, Pseke, and all our friends by name. I pray for your long-continued health. Hathur io. (Addressed) Deliver to Ision . . . from his parents Syra and Psaïs.'
 and there may have been some correction.
2. रapppá is apparently novel, but cf. e. g. тарıхךрós.

9-10. каА'́s ктл. does not connect at all well with what precedes and is better taken as an independent sentence, the main verb being unexpressed, but easily understood. $\lambda \omega \beta \iota \nu$ is obscure ; $\lambda \dot{\omega} \pi t o \nu$ would not suit this context. The preceding $[\pi \epsilon \rho]$ is required to balance $\pi \epsilon \rho \vdots \pi \imath \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\delta} \iota o \nu$. The latter diminutive form does not seem to occur elsewhere.

## 1300. Letter of Peter.

A badly spelled letter from a son to his mother asking that various articles might be sent to him, and making other requests. The words $\chi$ ail $\rho \epsilon \tau \nu)$ in 1.2
 hand, no doubt by the sender himself, before the vacant spaces originally left in these lines were filled in by the insertion of the reference to Theon, and the request for a veil and hood.

## $\chi^{\mu \gamma}$

 $\kappa(v \rho i ́) \omega \quad \theta(\epsilon) \bar{\iota} \quad$ xaí $(\rho \epsilon \tau v)$.


 'Oиаíá каi Фоı $\beta \dot{\alpha} \mu-$
 каі̀ 兀̀̀ крךкía. $\mu \eta$
 кúplóv $\mu$ ov
 $\Delta \omega \rho о$ Є́єоv, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho, \dot{\alpha} \gamma 0-$
 то̀ кои́к入ıข
 є $\rho \chi^{\circ} \rho \mu \in \nu \circ$.
On the verso

```
\alphá\pió\delta(os) \tau0 \phil(\lambda\tau\alphá\tau\eta) Ma\rhoí\alpha \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}
\tauov̂ viov̂ \sigmaov \Piध́т\rhoov.
```

 кaı тov a $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ov $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \iota$ added above the line. 1. $\tau \bar{\varphi} d \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}$. $\eta$ of $\tau \eta$ corr. 4. l. тoîs $\gamma \lambda v \kappa v=$


 $\chi \in!\mu \omega ้$ II. l. $\tau \bar{\eta}$.
' To my most esteemed and virtuous mother, the lady Maria, from your son Peter in the Lord God, greeting. I have found a good opportunity by this letter to greet you many times with my brother Theon and my sister the lady Plusia and my sweetest brethren Heraïs, Nonna, Omaia, and Phoebammon, by name. Be pleased, my lady mother, to send me the ... and the rings. Do not neglect to send them to me by Athanasius. Many greetings to my lord brother Athanasius the valet of Abel and also of Dorotheus; many greetings to my mother Cyrillous. I pray for your long health. Be pleased, my lady mother, to buy me a thick veil for the winter, and to get the Oasis hood from Peter son of Esour, that I may wear it when I come. (Addressed) Deliver to my dearest Maria from your son Peter.'
4. $\dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi$ ov is found in Eustath. p. 886. 36 and other late writers.
5. oोotapa is unknown. A corruption of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad$ ö̀upav seems hardly likely.
6. aj $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta} \sigma t s$ may be merely due to the writer's erratic orthography, but cf. e. g. B. G. U. 814. ${ }^{27} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma t s$.
8. $\mu \eta$ тє́pà: cf. 1296. I 5, note.
 кочкои入о́veєข.

## (h) MISCELLANEOUS MINOR DOCUMENTS.

1301. $7.8 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of an application to the strategus of the Prosopite nome from the comarchs of a village. The document is numbered $i \eta$ and was glued on the left side to another, of which the end of a line remains.


 ò oо a . . [ and remains of one more line. Late third or early fourth century.
1302. $8 \times 5.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Three lines containing the words ' $\Upsilon \pi \delta^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \mu a \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a(\tau \eta \gamma \circ v)$
 referred to may well be that of Septimius Severus (A.D. 208).
1303. $4.7 \times 5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of a declaration on oath addressed to [\$入aoví

 from $i$ (?) ; 1. 'H $\mathrm{H} v \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ). Ends of 8 lines. About A.D. 336.
1304. $5.5 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of an application for payment from two brothers (whose status does not appear), of Oxyrhynchus. Lines 4-7

 pp. 359-60, and for the form of the document e.g. 55, C. P. Herm. 67. Reign of Marcus Aurelius (?). Beginning and end lost. 9 lines.
1305. $6 \times 5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of an account of a meeting, with acclamations similar to those in 41 ; cf. C. P. Herm. 7. i. 9, Archiv iii, p. 541. Lines 5-9


 Late third century. Parts of io lines.
1306. $8.5 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Application similar to $1109, \& \mathrm{c}$., sent in by Aurelius Sarap . . . for the $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ of his son. Lines $3-10 \ldots \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ к \in \lambda \epsilon[v \sigma \theta(\epsilon ́ v \tau a)$



 $\kappa \alpha ́[\delta \rho a \chi \mu \nu \nu) \kappa] a ̉ \mu$ è $\delta[\mu 0] i ́ \omega s \in[i\langle\nu a \iota .$. The father's name Aurelius suggests that the 23 rd year is that of Caracalla (A.D. 214-15), though palaeographically that of Commodus (A.D. 182-3) would be suitable enough. Parts of 13 lines.
1307. $7 \cdot 2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. Four lines, unaddressed, containing an official response ( $\left.\dot{v} \pi o \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}\right)$ to some petition. The text is $\Pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha})$ A $\dot{\rho} \eta \lambda \lambda i o v \Sigma \iota \lambda \beta a v o \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu o s$,
 фроутєєî. ко́入 ( $\lambda \eta \mu a)$, тó ( $\mu$ оs) a (cf. e. g. B. G. U. $5^{82}$ and P. Thead. 19. 2I-3). Third century. Complete but for the slight loss at the beginnings of the lines. In the right-hand bottom corner 3 or 4 letters ( $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ ?), written in the reverse direction, from the beginning of a line, probably the last of a document from which the strip of papyrus was cut.
1308. $7.5 \times 8.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Memorandum of payment (by a tax-collector) of 2 tal. $3,388 \mathrm{dr}$. for two months on account of $\gamma \in \omega \mu \in \tau \rho \dot{a}$. The text is $\Delta$ ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \sigma v s$ ) 'A $\theta \dot{v} \rho$,

 century. Practically complete. Four lines, written across the fibres.
1309. $8 \cdot I \times 8 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$. End of a document recording a supplementary payment


 cf. 910. introd., Tebt. 397.2. Incomplete. 12 lines.
1310. $6.2 \times 6.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Memorandum or ticket of clothing: Kı $\theta$ 'ஸ́vıov à $\rho \gamma$ ét'rıov (cf. $^{\prime}$ 1273. 12) кai дaфóptıov. Third century. Complete. 3 lines, written across the fibres.
1311. $5.8 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Memorandum of a payment or contribution of oil for the use of an anchorite (?). The text is Eis tò é $\lambda a \iota o v$ то̂ àmotaкт $\eta \rho(o s)$ (cf.
 (cf. 1151. 50, note). Fifth century. Complete. 3 lines, written across the fibres.
1312. $7.2 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$. An obscure memorandum: Tò $\sigma \iota \kappa 0 v$ (?) àmò Пєктvєv́rov $\epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho i \sigma \kappa \in \tau a l$. Fifth century. Complete. 3 lines, written across the fibres.
1313. $1 \cdot I \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$. Ends of two lines mentioning a praefect Heracleus. The
 Third century. Heracleus is unknown, unless perhaps he is to be identified with Scptimius Heraclitus (A.D. 215) ; but the fragment may well be of a later date in the third century.
1314. $7 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Ends of 8 lines (written across the fibres), containing the words quadringento's octoginta sex tantum, vis, repeated 8 times, apparently as a writing exercise. The hand is a clear cursive ; $u$ is sometimes written as a curve linked to the next lettcr. Fourth or fifth century (?). Broken to the left and along the bottom. On the verso the words $\lambda o \iota \pi \rho i \quad \pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho[$ in large upright letters.
1315. $12.3 \times 14.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. The Latin alphabet first in capitals, then in minuscule, with Greek equivalents over some of the letters. $F$ and $f$ both have $\phi$ written above them, $h$ (= capital and minuscule) is represented by $\eta . \quad G$ is represented as $\Gamma, g$ as $\kappa$ (corrected to $\gamma$ ?). Written across the fibres. Fifth or sixth century. Incomplete, the ends of lines being lost. On the verso in large rude cursive ] . asii intenaiirosa [.
1316. $6 \times 12.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of a contract drawn up $\grave{v} \nu$ àjvıî, in which Diogenes son of Sarapion renounced claims against Theon and Zoillus, sons of Theon
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu . .$.$) . Dated in the 3rd year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus$ Germanicus Imperator, K $\alpha \iota\langle\sigma a)_{\rho \epsilon \text { 'iov }} \dot{\epsilon}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \gamma(0 \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu)$ (day not filled in : A.D. 57). Incomplete. 7 lines.
1317. $5 \cdot \mathrm{I} \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginnings of the first 6 lines of a copy of a contract of loan in protocol form, the lender being Sarapion son of . . . and Heraclous. Dated in the roth year of the [Emperor] Caesar Domitianus [Augustus Germanicus], 2[.] of Soterius (A.D. 91).
1318. $14.5 \times 6.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Conclusion of a contract for the loan of 5,000 odd




 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. There appears to be a mistake in the number of the year, since Diocletian did not abdicate till the 13th year of Galerius, and there was a difference of 12 between the latter's regnal years and those of Maximinus, who is thus necessarily excluded in the irth year. I3 lines, the ends of which are lost.
1319. $3.7 \times 15.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Strip from the top of a contract containing the date
 ${ }^{\text {'Pov }}$ о $\rho$ î̀ov тov̂ $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o(\tau a ́ \tau o v) ~ \Theta \omega \theta \theta$ (A.D. 403). 2 lines, with some vestiges of a third.
1320. $7.3 \times 13.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. The first 9 lines of an acknowledgement of a debt incurred in consequence of a purchase of wine, the price of which was not paid, or not fully paid (fictitious loan; cf. Mitteis, Grundz. p. II7). The






1321. $7 \cdot 4 \times 21 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for rent of the months Pachon-Mesore of the 8th year and Thoth-Tubi of the 9th year of Tiberius Claudius Caesar
 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ e ̀ v o i ́ k ı \nu ~ к \tau \lambda.) . ~ A . ~ D . ~ 48-9 . ~ N e a r l y ~ c o m p l e t e . ~ 5 l i n e s . ~$
1322. $16 \times 4.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for 94 dipla of wine. The text is M Mvîs


 iv $\delta(\iota \kappa \tau i o v o s)$. A. D. 4 I3. Complete. II lines.
1323. $2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 9 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt (ėvтáqıov) issued by Martyrius, $\sigma \dot{v} \mu(\mu a \chi o s$ ?) to Theophilus, vetch-seller ( ${ }_{o} \rho \beta$ on $\omega \lambda \eta$ s: cf. P. Brit. Mus. I 445. 7, note ; in 1037. $4 \Delta \omega \rho a ̂$ тos ${ }_{0} \rho \beta \iota \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \eta$ g should be read), for I solidus as rent for his shop in the
 century. Nearly complete. 17 short lines.
1324. II $\times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt from Leucadius to Paulus, à $\mu \pi(\epsilon \lambda o v \rho \gamma o ́ s)$, and Miapis for 1 ceramion of wine. Dated in the 17 th which $=$ the 16 th which $=$ the 9 th year (of Diocletian and Maximian, Constantius and Galerius), Mesore (A.D. 30I). Practically complete. 8 lines, written apparently on the verso, the recto being blank.
1325. $15.1 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for payments of wine. The text is Maptúplos
 $\dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o v \rho(\gamma o \hat{v}) \delta \iota(\pi \lambda \hat{a}) \zeta$, $\gamma^{\prime}(\nu \epsilon \tau a \iota) \delta \mu . o \hat{v} \delta \iota(\pi \lambda \hat{a}) \nu$. Fifth century. Complete. 8 lines. Endorsement on the verso in a different hand.
1326. I $0.6 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for payment of wine. The text is 'Evr<á) ${ }^{\prime}$.


 century. Complete. io lines.
1327. I $4.6 \times 5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Another receipt similar to the preceding from Philoxenus
 P. Iand. 5I. 8), for 217 dipla àmò $\lambda$ óyov $\rho \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ трít $\eta s$ ivóкктiovos, and a subsequent payment of 2 dipla. Fifth or sixth century. Nearly complete. in lines.
1328. $16 \times 9.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for an unspecified amount paid on account of two months' (rent ?). The text is $\chi \mu \gamma$. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \in \nu$ Пávos 'Е $\rho \mu i o v$ vinè $\rho \mu \eta \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ ovóo

 (1. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu i \omega \mu u \iota)$. Late fourth or fifth century. Complete. 8 lines.
1329. $26 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for 3 solidi on account of dues of barley for the




 $v o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota a) \tau \rho i a \mu(o ́ v a)$ A. D. 399. Practically complete. 10 lines. On the verso a much effaced endorsement.
1330. $5.3 \times 15.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. An illiterate reccipt for 1 solidus on account of dues.




 fifth century. Complete. 6 lines, written across the fibres.
1331. $7 \cdot 4 \times 7 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of a similar receipt: . . .] vinè $\rho \sigma v v \tau \epsilon \operatorname{lias}$ (1. $\sigma v v \tau \epsilon-$

 $\sigma \tau \omega \rho a \lambda \chi o v$ (1. $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v$ ?), $\sigma v \mu \phi o v \hat{\mu} \mu \in v$ (1. $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega v o v ̂ \mu \in v$ ) à $\pi o \chi \hat{\eta}$ [. . . Fifth century. 7 lines.
1332. $7.5 \times 4.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for $15 \frac{1}{2}$ artabae of corn. The text is " $A \beta \rho \alpha \mu$
 Practically complete. 3 lines, written in a large coarse hand.
1333. $13.3 \times 6.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto parts of 12 lines from the bottom of, apparently, an account of $\gamma o ́ \mu(o \iota)$; what remains consists largely of personal names. Late second century. On the verso an order from a gymnasiarch for a payment of 600 dr . on account of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \iota \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime}$. The text is $\Pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \Pi \tau o \lambda \epsilon-$

 third century. Practically complete. 4 lines.
1334. $7 \cdot 6 \times 9 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginnings of 4 lines, written across the fibres, from an
 Dated in Thoth of the 93 rd which $=$ the 64 th year (? 1.94 th and 63 rd, i. c. A. D. $4^{16}$ ).
1335. $4.9 \times 15.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order for a payment of meat for a comes. The text is


 4 lines, written across the fibres.
1336. $5.8 \times 9.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order from John to Philoxenus for a payment of 60 $\mu v \rho \iota a ́ o \delta \epsilon s$. The writer was perhaps the same person as in 1335. Fifth century. Complete. 3 lines.
1337. $8 \cdot 1 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order for a payment of 10 myriads. The text is + Nóvvos

 century. Complete. 4 lines, written across the fibres.
1338. $5.7 \times 14.9$. Order for a delivery of dried cheese (?). The text is


 $\gamma$.] Fifth century. Complete. + lines, written across the fibres.


 $(\delta \rho$.$) ' \mathrm{A} \sigma$. Third century. 9 lines. Whether the account was continued below 1.9 is uncertain.
1339. $6.4 \times 12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto fragment of an account. Two lines are complete containing the entries $\delta \iota a ̀ \lambda^{\prime} \gamma(o v)$ a (ětovs) $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \hat{\eta}(s)(\delta \rho.) \eta$, oi้vov $\pi a \tau \eta \tau a i ̂ s(c f$. B. G. U. 1039. 4) ( $\delta \rho.) \downarrow$, below which there are slight remains of two more lines. On the verso remains of two narrow columns, apparently lists of names. First century.
1340. $10 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Account. The text is Bракарíwv $\zeta$ ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau 0 v s$ ). ठı(à ) Прíбкоv

 written $a_{\chi}$ without any sign of abbreviation, cf. P. Flor. 143. 9, where $a \chi^{\omega}$ occurs ; possibly, however, äxvoov is meant. ßракарíwv is presumably masculine (bracarius), not the feminine form found in P. Giessen 90. 6. Fourth century. Complete. 6 lines.
1341. $8.7 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. End of an account of payments from various Oxyrhyn-



1342. $30.5 \times 10.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto a short account, of which the text is $\chi \mu \gamma$.

 complete. 7 lines. On the verso an endorsement along the fibres $+[\dot{v}] \pi o \mu \nu \eta-$ $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa(\grave{o} v)$ ( $v$ inserted above the line) $\tau_{0} . .$. . , and across the fibres an account in 11 lines, which seem to have been intentionally obliterated. каi $\dot{v} \pi(\hat{\epsilon} \rho)$ vítpou was one of the items. Sixth century.
1343. $13.4 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Account found rolled up with 1288 ; for text see introd. to that papyrus. Fourth century. Nearly complete. 6 lines.
1344. $6.5 \times 10.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment from the end of a letter, the last few lines of


 written across the fibres.



 (1. $\eta \gamma \rho \rho$.) $\sigma 0 \iota \kappa \alpha.] \in[$ [. . . Second century (?). 8 lines.
1345. $11 \times 14.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of a letter concerning some land. Lines 2-6
 Wilcken, Ost. ii. 1224. 3 X $\epsilon \rho \sigma \circ \theta \rho v u_{l a}$, P. Flor. 64. 22 X́́ $\rho(\sigma o v)$ $\theta \rho v i ́ \tau \iota(\delta o s)$,


 with part of another at right angles in the left-hand margin.
1346. $5.6 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of a letter. The text is $\Pi a \theta \epsilon \rho \mu o \hat{v} \theta \iota s$ ఆ $\epsilon \omega \nu \iota \tau \hat{̣}$

 єiò̀s $\tau \alpha$ [ $\nu \frac{\prime}{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \mu a$ [. Late third century. 8 lines.

 $\kappa v \theta\langle\rho\rangle i ̂ o s, s, \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma o v ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ \lambda a \beta o v ิ \sigma a ́ ~ \mu o v ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a ~ o ̂ o v ̂ \nu a \iota ~(\delta ~ c o r r) ~ a v. ̉ \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu] \tau \hat{\imath}$

 Fourth century. Practically complete. 15 lines.
1347. $6.5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. Letter, of which the text is $f \Pi_{\rho \grave{o}} \mu \grave{e} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \gamma o-$
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ ката̀ тò $\langle o ้\rangle \nu \sigma \mu a$. Written across the fibres. Addressed on the
 Fifth or sixth century. Nearly complete. 3 lines in all.

## I N D I CES

## I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS．

（a）1231－4（Sappho and Alcaeds）．
（Figures in thick type refer to papyri，those in Italic type to fragments，Roman figures to columns；schol．$=$ scholium．）
äßas 1233．10． 3
$a ̈ \beta \rho a$ 1231．18．4．ảßpav 1232．1．ii． $7 ; 1233$. 2．ii．8．$\quad \not \beta \rho \omega[$ 1233．15． 2.
ảjav́as 1231．10． 10.
ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ os 1232．1．ii． 3.
 ä $\gamma$ out 1232．1．ii．5．ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ 1234．2．i． 12.

 1233．23． 3 ．
＂
ảסокท́т $\omega$ 1231．1．ii．і．
«бора 1231．50． 4.

äєє $k \in s$ 1231．14． 6.
$\dot{\alpha} \in \lambda i \omega$ 1233．1．ii．Iо．
äŋ̀тaıs 1231．9． 9.
à $\theta$ ú $\rho \mu a \tau a$ 1232．1．ii． 9.
ai 1233．1．ii．і 8 ；1233．10．6．аi каi 1234. 3．12．ail $k \in$ 1234．1． 9.
Aiakíoats 1233．2．ii． 5 ．
${ }^{\text {＇Aióoao 1233．11．}} 55$.
đíधєа 1232．1．ii．г 8 （ $\eta$ ít．Pap．）．
aỉpıóvo七s 1232．1．ii． 14.
ä̈！váw（？）1232．1．ii． 6.
Aiodióats 1233．1．ii．I 2.
aipєì，Є้ $\lambda \omega \nu$ 1233．2．ii．7．］avє́ $\lambda \sigma[$ 1231．25． 4.
аіิซ хоя 1234．6． 5.
аैкалп 1232．1．i． 5 ．
äкрая，кат’ äкр．1233．11．І3．
ӓкра 1233．4． 9.
àкри́тє $[\sigma \mu \nu \nu 1234.2$. ii． 4.
${ }^{a} \lambda \gamma \in a$ 1231．12． 7.

 à入íà 1233．3． 6.
ä $\lambda]$ 〔т $\rho \circ \nu$ 1234．1． 6.
＇А $\lambda$ каíov 1234．2．i．14－15 schol．
ả入入á 1231．1．i． $23,12.2,50.6,56.6$ ； 1232．1．i． 8 ；1233．1．ii． $11, \mathrm{I}_{4}, \mathrm{I} 7$ ； 1234．4． 16.
${ }_{a}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda a s$ 1232．1．ii．4．ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ 1233．32．5． वै $\lambda \lambda о т а$ 1233．1．ii． 18.
${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mu \nu \rho \frac{1232.1 . ~ i i . ~ 7 . ~}{7}$ 12．
ả $\lambda \dot{\pi} \pi a \xi 1234.1$. I $^{2}$.
ä $\mu a$ 1232．1．ii． I $_{5}$ ；1233．1．ii． 8.
á $\mu a \rho \tau a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，ä $\mu \rho \rho \tau \epsilon$ 1231．1．i．1．à $\mu \beta \rho$ ］óтоעтas 1234．6． 5 ．
à $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \mu$ 1231．1．i． 30.
ảц́́ра 1232．1．i．9．à $\mu$ ́́ра 1234．2．ii． 3.
ả $ф а ф[1233.16 .4$.
$\dot{a} \mu \phi i ́ 1231.1$ ．ii． 16 ；1233．2．ii． 15.
ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta$ а́бкєє 1231．10． 7.
ả $\mu ф \iota т а т а ̂ т а \iota ~ 1231 . ~ 15 . ~ 4 . ~$
${ }_{a}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ 1234．2．i． 9.
ảvá 1231．1．i．34．Cf．őv．
«̀ข́னка 1234．6． 6.
u้ $\kappa^{3}$＇́ó $\epsilon \xi a ́ \mu a \nu$ 1231．1．ii． 22.
àvaí $\chi^{v \nu \tau o s ~ 1234 . ~ 1 . ~} 5$.
＇Аขакторías 1231．1．i． 27.
＇Avঠронáха⿱ 1232．1．ii．т，iii． 6.
ävє $] \mu$ as 1233．1．ii． 20.
«̈ $\eta \rho$ 1234．2．ii．7．«$\quad « \delta \rho a$ 1231．1．i． 19 ； 1233．30．5．«ٌv $\rho \rho \iota$ 1231．1．ii．26．$\not \approx \nu-$

סpes 1232．1．ii．I 7 ，iii． 4 ；1234．2．ii．I I， 4．I I．$\quad \ddot{a} \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ 1203．1．ii． 13 ．${ }^{\circ} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota$ 1233．8． 8.
$\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \iota s$ 1231．1．i． $34 ; 1233$ ．4．7．$a^{2} \nu-$ $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ 1231．1．i．19；1233．32． 6. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega[\pi$ 1231．17．7，53． 2.
àvíoхo 1232．1．ii． 19.
ảvópovaє 1232．1．ii．I 1 ．
a่ $\nu \tau \iota \delta[1231.14 .9$.
äv］тєov 1231．14． 3 ．
${ }_{a} \nu \tau\left[{ }^{\circ} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota 1231.1\right.$. ii． 10.
${ }^{a} \nu \omega$ 1232．1．ii． 3 marg．
aỏ̀ $[\lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$ 1232．2． 2.
ảmá̀ $\omega \nu$ 1233．8．5．àmá̀aıбı 1233．3．13．
àтєoía 1231．1．i． 28 （？）．
ảmóyovo 1234．2．i． 6 schol．
а́то $\epsilon \rho[$ 1234．6． 8 schol．
ùториáӨєขтєs 1231．1．ii． 8.
ảtú 1232．1．ii． 6.
àтикє́крıтає 1233．1．i． 7.
àтஸ́лауто 1233．2．ii．I 5.
üра 1232．2． 5.
«̈радає 1231．15．9．«̈рабӨає 1231．1．i． 34.
ảpátà 1231．1．ii． 4.

àp úpa 1232．1．ii． $10 .^{\text {12 }}$
＂Apeus 1234．2．i． 8.
äpıттov 1231．1．i．20．ảpı́бтas 1233．2．ii． 1 I．
äриата 1232．1．ii．I 7.
ảs $\kappa є$ 1234．2．i． 8 （ $\theta$ às $\kappa є$ Pap．）．
üбats 1233．8．II．
＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { ias 1232．1．ii．} 4 .\end{aligned}$
＇Aбкá̀ $\omega \nu$ 1233．11．I I
à $\sigma \tau \in \rho]$ ］тоу 1233．1． 1.

ӓтьца 1231．9．І 4.
＇Атрєîoa 1231．1．ii．4．＇Aтрєíoav 1234． 2. i． 6.
＇Atpeís 1234．2．i． 6 schol．
aи̉ 1232．1．ii． 9 （？）， 16.
av̉átuv 1234．2．i． 12.
aйтเка 1232．1．ii．I 3 ．
aüтa 1231．15．5，7．aűтaข 1231．1．i． 23. аข̉то 1233．17．4（？）．aủto 1233．11．19．
 i． 5 ．
аขّ้ 1233．10．4， 8.
＂̈ $\phi$ өтоу 1232．1．ii． 4.
＇Aфро́ঠıти 1233．12． 7.
＇Aх＇́ $о$ ота 1233．1．ii．9， 15.

び $\psi$ 1234．3．I I．
Baßú入 $\omega$ vas 1231．11．10．
$\beta a ́ \theta v$ 1231．5．3．$\beta a \theta v[1233.8 .12$.
ßatซa 1231．2． 6.
$\beta \hat{a} \mu a$ 1231．1．i． 29.
$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon u s$ 1233．1．ii．12．$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \eta \epsilon s$ 1231． 1. ii． 5 ．
ßáo $\mu$ os 1234．2．i． 4.

i．8．ßолдоí 1 $^{2}$ 1231．1．i． 29.
Búkxı́os 1234．3．іо．
रầ 1231．1．i．I4．خâs 1231．9． 6.
үа́ $\mu$ о̀ 1233．2．ii． 6.
子ávos 1231．9． 2.
үáp 1231．1．i．ィ8， $25,2.7,13.5,15.5,7$ ， 50．1， 5 ；1232．1．i． 3,9 ；1233．1．ii． $6,12,8.7 ; 1234$ ．2．i． $14-15$ schol．， ii． $8,6.7$ ．
रaஸ́धєts 1234．2．i． 6.
үévขata 1233．2．ii．I 3.
$\gamma \in \rho a ́ \nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 1233．2．ii．I 8.
$\gamma \eta \rho a \epsilon \sigma[\sigma$ 1233．16． 3 ．
रท̄pas 1231．10． 6 ；1233．8．3．
$\gamma \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu 1233.8 .8 . \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1231． 1. i． 33 ．
үเข்́бкоขтєs 1234．1．12．
［ $\gamma \lambda a]$ ктірал 1231．15． 3.
$\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a$ 1231．1．ii． 24.
［「oo $\gamma \gamma$ úגa 1231．15． 2.
रovi $\omega \nu$ 1234．2．ii． 12 （corr．to токŋं $\omega \nu$ ）．
フóve 1231．16． 6.
रóvv，үóvตข 1233．3． 6.
रuía 1233．13． 3.
júvaıкes 1232．1．iii．3．रuvaíк $\omega$ у 1232． 1. ii．I 5．$\gamma v v\left[a_{\iota<} 1233.12 .9\right.$.

ঠầa［ 1233．33． 2.
$\delta a ̂ \mu о \nu 1234.2$. i． 12.
ઈаттє́т 1234．2．i． 7.
ठ́ккєбӨat 1231．9．і 7.
¡ֹeukes 1233．5．5．
ठ์єิิpo 1233．10． 2.
ठєút $\epsilon \rho \frac{1231.1 .1 . ~}{12 .}$

бпйтє 1231．15． 3.


§七ขעáєขтu 1233．1．ii．9，I 5 ．
dis 1233．1．ii．I 4 （？）．
$\delta \iota \sigma \chi \in \lambda$ iots 1234．1． 8.
ठ七ஸ́кตу 1231．10． 8.
$\delta \in \delta о к \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．．1233．1．i．I 3.
бокi 1231．12． 3 ．
ठódoфvy 1231．10． 3 ．
ठо́ $\mu$ оу 1233．2．ii． 9 ．
§ovєiv，є’ถóvn［ 1231．55． 2.
סógav 1234．2．ii．I I．
סрaîolv 1234．4．I I．
ঠ́vaıs 1234．2．i．ıо．
§̀vaí $\epsilon \theta a$ 1234．1．9．є́óv́vavto 1231．1．ii． 9.
§úvatov 1231．1．i． 33.
$\delta \omega \bar{\mu}$ 1233．11．I5．
$\Delta \omega \rho^{\prime} \chi^{2}$ 1231．1．i．II．
є＇$\gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon$ 1233．17．3．$\epsilon$＇$\gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \nu$ 1233．11． 12. є＇$\gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \tau \iota 1231.56 .6$.
 1233．8．3．є́ $\mu$ оi 1233．1．ii．8．с̈ $\mu \mu \epsilon s$ 1231．13． 3 ；1233．1．ii． 4 ；1234．3．ІІ． ä $\mu \mu \epsilon$ 1234．2．i．8．ă $\mu \mu є$ 1231．10． 12 ； 1233．11． 17.
є́ठaфos 1231．25． 2.
＇̈Oovs 1234．2．ii． 5 schol．
 $33(?), 2.7 . \quad \imath \sigma\left[\theta_{\iota}\right]$ 1231．14．7．
є́оі́котєs 1233．1．i．Іо．
єiँTє 1232．1．ii．I I．є $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu$ 1234．2．i． 2. є $\epsilon^{\prime \prime \pi} \eta$［1232．2．I 2.
tis 1231．1．i． $12 ; 1233.10 .7,11.15(?)$ ； 1234．2．i．12， $14-15$ schol．＇́s 1232． 2. 3；1233．2．ii．6，9，І 2 ；1234．3．I I．
єivióo 1231．14． 3.
є＇í $\kappa \kappa \eta \nu$ 1231．11． 5.
$\hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa}(\hat{\epsilon} \dot{\xi})$ 1231．1．ii．I ；1232．1．ii． 6 ； 1233. 2．ii． $3,7,10.4$ ；1234．2．i． 9 ，ii． 12.
є́кáßолоข 1232．1．ii． 5 ；1234．1． 3 ．
є̇кабтє́р $\omega$ 1233．1．i． 8.
モ̇күєүо́vఱи 1234．2．ii．Іо．
є’клá $\theta a \nu \epsilon 1231.18 .5$ ．
є́ктєлє́ซбаขтєs 1231．1．ii． 6.
＂Ектьр 1231．1．іi．5．＂Ектора 1232．1．iii． 6.
є’̀áт $\eta \rho a$ 1233．2．ii． 14.

＇Eлéva 1231．1．i．19．＇Eגéva 1231．14．5； 1233．2．ii．I 5 （？）．
є่ $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ\llcorner$ 1234．2．ii． 1 I．
＇’ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \phi$ ais 1232．1．ii．Io．
є’ $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \gamma \mu а т а$ 1232．1．ii． 8.
${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ́ \pi \iota \delta a$ 1232．1．ii． 5.
є＇$\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o \mu[1233.1$ ．i． 3.
є＂$\lambda \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta_{\text {は1，}} \eta^{\prime} \lambda_{\pi \epsilon \tau ก}$ 1234．1．I 4.
є́ цаи́т 1231．23．I．
єє $\mu$ ата 1232．1．ii．8．］є́ $\mu$ рата 1233．8． 2.
$\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \in \nu a t$ 1231．1．i．I 5 ，ii． 20 ．ỉ 1233．ठ̌． 9. गुण $\theta a$ 1234．2．i．14．j̄ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon$ 1234．4．9，
 ii． 12.
є’ $\mu \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ 1234．2．i．I I．
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu$ 1231．1．і． 3 I，2． $3,13.1{ }_{3}$ ；1233．4．II， 14． 2 ；1234．3．7．є่́ขí 1232．1．ii．7．
Є่ ยє $\rho \gamma$ оиิซı 1234．6． 9 schol．

évíautò 1233．2．ii． 12.

 ii． 23 ．
є̈ $\downarrow \nu о \mu о \nu$ 1233．14．I．
$\epsilon ้ \nu \omega \rho \sigma \epsilon$ 1234．2．і．I 2.
＂ॄँаүо 1232．1．ii． 20.

є̇т ${ }^{\beta} \beta o \lambda a ~ 1231.10 . ~ 2 . ~$
є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ 1234．2．i．14 $4^{-\mathrm{I}} 5$ schol．
є̇тєió $\eta$ 1234．2．ii． 7.
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \eta[1231.9 .14$.
єँ $\pi \epsilon \cup \rho[\epsilon \nu$ 1231．1．i． 9.
є̇тиратоу 1232．1．iii．4；1234．2．i．13．
$\epsilon \pi i ́ 1231$ ．1．i．I 4，9．Іо；1232．1．i．． $7 ; 1233$
4． 6 ；1234．2．i．i 4 and schol．，ii． 3 ．
$\epsilon \in \pi \imath \beta a i \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon ́ \beta u \iota \nu \epsilon 1232$ ．1．ii．I 4.
$\epsilon \pi[३ \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ 1233．1．ii．I 1.
є́ $\pi \iota$ үацia 1234．2．i． 6 schol．
є̇ாเкข์ס［ 1231．2． 8.
$\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, є̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \theta \epsilon \tau о$ 1234．2．ii． 6.
є́ $\pi$ íбס́avo 1234．6． 8.
є่ $\pi เ \tau \epsilon ข ̃ \chi є a s$ 1234．2．i． 8.
 є’ $\omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu a \nu$ 1234．2．i．14－I 5 schol．
＂＇рато⿱ 1231．1．i． 29.
 1231．2． 8.
＂$¢ \iota ф$ оу 1234．2．i．I4．
＂́р $р а$ 1234．З． 6.
＇Ep $\rho$ ıóva 1231．14． 4.
є́ $\rho \circ \downarrow$ 1231．1．i．I2 ；1234．3．I I．
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon 1233$ ．4．6．${ }_{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0 \nu}$ 1233．2．ii． 19.
 1233．5．І I．$\quad \nexists \lambda \not \lambda \eta \nu$ 1234．1．го．
є＇$\rho \omega$ тоs 1231．14．і．

 2． 4 ．
є̈тє 1234．6．\％．］єт८ 1233．13． 3.
єv̉ 1231．51．3．
єข่є́ó $\rho \omega \nu$ 1233．4．9．
єиैк］аиттоу 1231．1．i． 25.
єủ̀úpav 1232．1．iii． 5.


єűpŋà 1233．4． 5 ．
єủpúxapov 1232．1．ii．I 2.
єข้тє 1233．8． 3 ．
єัขттро́хоь 1232．1．ii．I 3 ．
$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu=\frac{0}{1234 . ~ 2 . ~ i . ~ 5 . ~}$
$\epsilon^{i} u^{3} \omega \chi i a \nu$ 1234．2．i．I $4^{-1} 5$ schol．
 єौХоเєข 1231．50．8．є＇$\chi \omega \nu$ 1233．2．ii．19． є̈ $\chi \circ \iota \sigma a \nu$ 1231．2．4．$\sigma \chi \omega \dot{\nu}$ 1234．2．i． 6 schol．

ఢূißaıs 1233．1．ii．I 0.
ऍакрио́єутоя 1233．4． 8.
ऽa入aià 1233．3．Іо．
ऍáde
$\zeta a \phi[1231.55 .6$.
$Z \in \hat{v} 1234.17$（？）．$\Delta i ́ a ~ 1231.1$. ii．10．$\Delta i ́ o s$ 1233．4．2，8．Іо．
$\zeta \omega \mu \mu а ~ 1233 . ~ 2 . ~ i i . ~ І о . ~$
$\eta{ }^{\eta} 1231.1$. i． 3 I．
$\grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon ́ 1233.4 .2$.
$\eta ้ \delta \eta 1231.10 .6,14.1$ ；1233．1\％．у．

Hpa 1231．1．ii． 3 ．
］прата 1233．\％．І．
$\theta a \lambda a \mu[$ 1231．18．7．
Aá入aббаи 1233．3．9，4．5，11． 6.
Өалє́ $\omega[\nu$ 1231．16．1．$\theta a \mu \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ 1234 . ~ 2 . ~ i i . ~ 5 . ~$
Өауа́тш 1233．4．7．
$\theta$ ө $\lambda$ уоитає 1233．3．I 4.
$\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ 1231．16．9．$\quad \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$ 1233．1．ii． 7.
$\theta \epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ is 1231．14． 7.
$\theta$ өӧ̈кє $\lambda$ o［ıs 1232．1．iii． 6.
 1231．51． 5
Ө ß́ßas 1232．1．ii． 6.
$\theta$ ขátaıs 1231．14． 7.
Өрi ，т тíर［ 1233．8． $10 . ~_{\text {о }}$

Өр⿳㇒ $\sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ 1233．4． 9.
Ө́vatpes 1232．1．ii． 16.
$\theta v \mu$ ово́р 1234.2. i． 1 о．
$\theta \dot{v} \mu \omega$ 1233．4．3．$\theta_{v \mu}[$ 1233．12． 2.
Ө́́ouஎぃ 1231．2． 3.
Өvต́vas 1231．1．ii．II．
lápas 1232．1．ii．6．îpà 1233．2．i．26， ii． $4,10.7 ; 1234.1 .9$ ．pas 1233．11．ıо． ＂̈aरov 1232．1．iii． 4.
ì ］vías 1231．18．3．
＂I $\delta a=s$ 1232．1．ii． 3 ．
$i \delta \eta \nu$ 1231．1．i． $30 . \quad \bar{i} \delta \omega \mu \in \nu$ 1231．56．9． íSo七aav 1231．15． 6.
іккєлоц 1232．2． 1.
$i^{\kappa} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \downarrow[1233.9 .8$.
＇I入 $c a ́ \delta a \iota ~ 1232.1 . ~ i i . ~ I 3 . ~$

$i \mu[\epsilon \rho о ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a 1231$ ．1．ii．II．
іцє́ $\rho \rho \eta \nu$ 1234．3． 5 ．
іоко́л $\pi \omega$ 1231．56．5．
$i \pi \pi \eta \omega \nu$ 1231．1．i．I 3.
$i \pi \pi \pi 0$ 1232．1．ii．I 7 ．$i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ 1233．4．6． $i \pi \pi \rho[1233.6 .2$.
［imтон］áxєขтаs 1231．1．i． $3^{2 .}$
＂申өㄱциє 1233．4．2．
каі，ка̉入є́фат 1232．1．ii．1о．кӓ $\lambda$ лота 1233. 1．ii．І8．кảца́рvүна 1231．1．i． $30 . \kappa$ кä $\mu-$ $\mu а т а$ 1232．1．ii．S．кì 1231．1．i． 3 I．
 1．iii．6．кӓขขоцоข 1233．11．І．кàmi 1231.

 10．8．каì үáp 1231．15．7，50．і ； 1233. 1．ii． 12 ．
какота́трьঠа 1234．6．і 2.
ка́кш 1233．3．4．ка́ка 1233．ЗЛ．4．ка́кшу 1233．2．ii．I．
кরì $\eta \nu$ 1234．2．i．14．є́ккì $\eta$ 1233．3．5．
кї入入ıбтоя 1231．1．i． 15 ．
кú入入os 1231．1．i．I9（？）．
кá̀os 1232．1．i．4．кáخay 1231．15．5．ка́入a 1231．13． 5 （？）；1232．1．ii． 9.
］єкалขт［т ．．．1233．16．І．
ка́щатоз 1232．1．і． 6.
кйрь 1233．1．ii． 14.
кápu $\xi$ 1232．1．ii． 2.
кабía 1232．1．iii．г．
Ќи́ттор 1233．4．3．

катá 1232．1．іi． 12 ；1233．4．5，11．І3．ка́т 1231．1．ii． 13.
катаßа́бэцє 1233 ．1．ii． 18.
катá $р$ рє 1233．11． 9.
ката́ $\omega$ мıs 1231．15． 5.
катали́ $\mu \psi \in \tau а \iota ~ 1233.1 .1 .9$.
катьт\＆ávє 1232．1．i． 7.
$[\kappa a ́ r] \omega$ 1233．1．ii．I 6.
кач Хáтауто 1231．1．i． 10.
$\kappa \in$ 1231．1．i． 29 （ $\tau \in$ Pap．），ii．22，14．8； 1233. 1．ii． $7,2$. ii． 17 ；1234．1． $9,2$. i．8，4． 12 ．

$\kappa \eta ̄ \nu a s$ 1234．2．i．6，ii．6．к $\eta$ ขa 1234．3．7． $\kappa \eta ิ \nu o ~ 1231 . ~ 1 . ~ і . ~ І 5 . ~ к \eta \eta \nu \omega ~ 1234 . ~ 1 . ~ 4 . ~$
кiӨapıs 1233．12． 3 ．
$\kappa \lambda$ éos 1232．1．ii． 4 ．
$\kappa \lambda о ́ v є є 1232.1$. i． 5.
кóӨapò 1233．1．ii． 10.
кори́фау 1233．12． 6.
коб $[1233.10 .1$.
кпи́ ${ }^{\text {nos }} 1231$ ．1．i． 26.
кре́тŋбає 1231．9． 5.
Kpovíóas 1233．1．ii． 16.
$\kappa \beta]$ vó́ $\nu \tau a$ 1233．11．I 2.
रù̃óos 1234．2．i．І3．
ки́цатє 1234．3．3．
$[\mathrm{K} v \in] \pi \rho \iota$ 1231．1．i． 9.
Kıтроүє́⿱亠巾a 1231．15． 8.
$K v \pi \rho o .[1232.1 . \mathrm{ii} ..1 . K v \pi \rho[$ 1231．35． 3.
$[\lambda a ́] \beta \rho \omega s$ 1234．2．ii． 2.

$\lambda a i \lambda a \pi o s ~ 1233.7 .3$.
入аị́́os 1233．13． 4.
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda a ́ \beta o t \sigma a$ 1231．10． 1 1，15． 2.
$\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \rho о \nu ~ 1231 . ~ 1 . ~ i . ~ 30 . ~ \lambda а ́ \mu \pi \rho о \iota ~ 1233 . ~ 4 . ~ 1 о . ~$
$\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda a ́ \sigma \eta \nu 1234.1 .14 . \lambda a \theta o i \mu \epsilon \theta a 1234$. 2．i．9．$\lambda a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1233$ ．8．4．$\lambda \epsilon \lambda a ́ \theta \omega \nu$ 1234．3． 8.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ 1234．2．i． $14^{-1} 5$ schol．$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \tau a \iota 1231$. 37． 2.
$\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda i \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ 1233．4．1．
$\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \phi \omega \nu[$ 1231．22． 2.
ム ${ }^{\prime} \delta a s$ 1233．亿． 2.
入ißavos 1232．1．iii． 2.
$\lambda$ ójos 1233．2．ii．1．
入úaus 1233．1．i．I I．
ムข́סo兀 1234．1．7．ムv́ó $\omega \nu$ 1231．1．i． 3 1．
$\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ 1233．4． 7 （v．I．$\rho \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ）．$\epsilon \lambda[v \sigma \epsilon 1233$. 2．ii． 9 ．
$\lambda \omega ิ \pi o s ~ 1233.13 .2$.
щáкараs 1233．6．3．$\quad$ д́́каเра 1231．1．і．ı， 20. 5．да́кираs 1233．2．ii． 6.
щú入ıoтa 1231．10．14，30．ェ；1234．3． 2.
$\mu \tilde{\nu} \nu \nu$ 1233．3． 7.
ди́т ．．1233．9． 6.
нáxas 1234．2．i．I I．
$\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1234.3 .4$.
 $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ 1233．1．ii． 11 ．
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \tau \iota 1232.1$ ．ii．18（？）．

$\mu \in \iota \chi \nu[$ 1233．5．7．［ $\mu] \epsilon i ́ \chi \nu v \nu \tau \epsilon S$（？）1234．3．I3．
$\mu \in \lambda u ́ \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \iota 1$ 1233．14． 2.
Mє $\lambda a ́ \nu \iota \pi \pi \epsilon$ 1233．1．ii． 8.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a s, \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \iota v a 1231.1$. i．14．$\mu \in \lambda a i v a s ~ 1231$. 9．6；1233．1．ii．17．$\mu \epsilon \lambda a i v a ̨ ~ 1233 . ~ 4 . ~ 12 . ~$ $\mu є \lambda \iota \tau \iota$ 1231．24． 3.
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ 1231． 56.10 （title）；1232．1．iii． 8 （title）．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi є \tau a \iota$ 1231．15． 7.
$\mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\nu}$ 1231．1．i． 3, i． $7,13.5$ ；1233．1．i．4， 2.
ii． 23 ；1234．1．7，2．i． 12 ， 14 ，3． 7.
$\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega[$ 1233．10．9．$\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \sigma a[$ 1231．2． 2.
$\mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu a \nu 1231.1$ 1́． 8.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \delta o \nu 1231.1 . \mathrm{ii} .27$.
$\mu \in$ тá $^{1234}$ ．2．i． 7 schol．，I $4^{-1} 5$ schol．
ме́трıа 1231．5． 2.
$\mu^{\prime}$ 1231．12．6；1233．1．ii．1 I，I7，2．ii． 2 I ； 1233．32． 6.
$\mu \eta \dot{\partial} \epsilon$ 1233．2．ii． 22.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu$ 1233．3．І 3.
$\mu \iota \gamma \nu v ́ v a t$, є́ $\mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu v[$ то 1232．2．4．$\mu \not ́ \mu \iota к т а \iota ~ 1234 . ~$ 4． 15 ．
$\mu \iota \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \mu \nu \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \in$ 1231．13．2．$\epsilon \nLeftarrow \nu i ́ \sigma \theta \eta$

ноіिра 1233．8． 10.
$\mu$ о́х $\theta o \nu$ 1233．1．ii． 16.
$\mu v \theta_{0} \lambda o \gamma[1231.1$. ii． 25.
 i． 7 schol．

Ná［เठa 1233．3． 5 ．
］uaváò $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { 1231．12．} 5 .\end{aligned}$
vâi 1233．4．22．vá $\omega$ v 1231．1．i． 14 ； 1233.

ขaûtac 1231．9． 8.
рєо́татє 1231．13． 3.
N $\eta \rho \in$ єió $\omega \nu$ 1233．2．ii． 1 I．

Nи́p
$\nu 4 \mathcal{O}_{1}[$ 1231．23． 2.
$\nu \in \epsilon i \nu, \nu \omega ิ \mu \epsilon \nu$ 1233．2．ii．17．$\nu]$ Øŋ́ $\sigma \eta$ 1231． 1. i．26．voєıбat 1231．21．3．vóno $\theta a \iota 1233$.
11．i 6．vonба́ $\mu$ еvos 1233．1．ii．I3．
ขоцібоєтаи 1234．2．і． 15 and schol．
עо́ноя 1234．2．ii． 5 ．
ขи́ $\mu$ фas 1231．56．4．$\quad \nu \nu \mu \phi[1233.9$ ．7．
ขîv 1231．1．i． 27 ，ii． 12 ，17．3，18． 7 ； 1234.
6．II．
ขข́g，ขข́ктє 1233．4．І і ；1234．2．ii．4．ขи́ктая 1234．2．ii．ェ8．$\nu v \kappa \tau$ 1231． 56. ェ．

ģávea 1231．14．5．ǵáv | an 1233．2．ii． 14. |
| :--- | G＇évots 1234．2．i．14－I 5 schol．

¿（dem．）1234．6．i i．đáv 1234．3．м 1．ỏ סé 1234．1．12，2．i．2．à ठ́́ 1233．3．6．тஸ̣̂ ס́́ 1234．3．ir．oi ठ́ 1233 ．2．ii． 15 ．
 1231．1．i．13－14．
${ }_{o}^{\prime \prime}$（rel．），táv 1234．2．i．I i．tâs 1231．1．i． 29，15． 9.
 2．ii． 7.
ööє，то́ó 1231．1．i．10，2．12，14．7，15．7； $\tau \omega ̂ \delta \epsilon$ 1234．2．i．9．тúde 1232．1．ii． 3 ： 1234．2．i． 2.
oôa 1234．2．ii．II．
ö $\lambda \beta \iota o \nu 1233$ ．2．ii． 14.
öдıуov 1233．S． 6.
ì $\lambda \lambda u ́ v a t$, 厄̉̉ $\lambda \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu 1231.1$ ．i． 2 I．
＇одvдтín 1234．2．і． 1 I．
${ }^{\circ} \mu \beta \rho \omega$ 1234．3． 4.
і̀є $\delta \in \notin \nu v \tau о ~ 1232.1 . ~ i i i . ~ 2 . ~$
j̀veíf $\sigma \sigma \nu$ 1233．1．i． 6.
ӥ̀єкто⿱ 1234．4．9， 12.



${ }_{0} \nu \omega \rho \theta_{\dot{\omega}} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ 1234．4．I 4.
ј̀гто́т $\eta \nu$ ，д̀vє́тротє 1234．2．ii． 7.
ӧплоєбь 1231．1．i． 3 1．
ӧँптобє 1233．33． 6.

öp $\theta$ เo 1232 ．1．iii． 4.
íp $\quad$ оит 1231．19．2．
ìp $[$ 1233．8． 7.
ös，oŭ 1233．4． 5 ．
ö́at 1232．1．iii．3．ő́бод 1231．56．8．
＂＇тa 1233．1．ii． 9 ．

 1233．1．ii．19．ä［ $\sigma \sigma a$ 1231．13． 2.
о之（к）1231．1．i．33，i．．9，2．7，16．7； 1233. 2．ii． 5 ；1234．1．І 1，2．ii． 6.
оขีбаца 1234．1．II．
oú $\mathfrak{f}$ 1231．1．i． 22 ；1233．8． $10 ; 1234$. 1．I 2.
 1．ii． 3 ．
oủtos，тойтo 1231．1．i．i8，15．10，16．10，50． 6 ；1233．8． 2 ；1234．2．i． 15 and schol． тои́ro $[v$ 1234．6． 9 schol．тov［ 1231.35. 4．таûтa 1233．11．I 8．таита［ 1231． 52. 5．тои́т $\omega \nu$ 1234．2．ii．6，3．8．тои́тоьのь 1234．3． 7.
๐ย๋тต 1234．2．i． 15 and schol．
${ }^{\circ} \chi$ Oots 1231．14．i 1 ．
${ }^{\circ} \chi \chi$ रos 1232．1．ii． 14.
$\pi \hat{a}$ 1234．4． 16.
$\pi a ́ \gamma \chi v$ 1231．1．i．I 7.
$\pi a ́ \theta \eta \nu 1231.16 .10 ; 1233.1 . \mathrm{ii} .19 . \pi a ́ \theta о \nu t \epsilon ร$ 1234．1．11．
тáts 1234．6．7．тaîa 1233．2．ii．13．maîos 1231．1．i．22．$\pi$ aí $\sigma$ 1233．2．ii． 2.
tais 1232．1．ii．14．$\quad \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ 1233 . ~ 11 . ~ I 8 . ~$ $\pi a ̂ \nu$ 1234．3．ェ．$\pi a i ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ 1233.4 .6 . \pi a ́ v \tau \iota ~$ 1231．1．i．I8．тávtes 1232．1．ii． 18 ， iii．4．távtas 1233．2．ii．6．тainas 1234. 2．ii．8．тávт $\omega \nu$ 1231．16．3．тaíनav 1231．14．8．$\pi a \iota \sigma[$ 1231．17． 9.
$\pi \alpha i \omega \nu a$ 1232．1．iii． 5 （ $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} v$ Рар．）．
та́ктьீ 1233．1．і．5．
$\pi а л а \mu[$ 1233．33． 5 ．
 1231．56． 3 ．
$\pi a \pi \pi[1231.17 .5$.
тари́ 1233．8．іо．
тара́үиує 1231．1．i． 23 ．
тарабкєчর́ $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha 1234$ ．2．i． $14^{-1} 5$ schol．
 ii． 15 ．
$\pi a ́ p \theta \in \nu 0 \nu 1233$ ．2．ii．8．$\pi a p \theta \notin \nu \omega$ 1233．2．ii．
 $\pi a \rho \theta$ ย́vos 1231．50．7．$\pi a \beta \theta \in \nu$ 1231． 1 ii． 15 ．
тарочиіа 1234．～．і． $14^{-1} 5$ schol．
тартот［ 1233．5． 6.
$\pi \mid a \rho \phi a \sigma t[1233.8 .1$.
татúүєбкє 1234．2．ii． 9 ．
$\pi a ́ \tau \eta \rho$ 1232．1．ii．I 1．та́тєр 1234．1．3，4， 7. $\pi \in \delta$ á 1233．32． 5 ；1234．2．i．4，7，3．го．
$\pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \tau \rho$ атє 1234．6．I I．
$\pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \chi \eta \nu$ 1231．1．i．34．$\pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \epsilon \chi$ 1234．2．i．3． $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon$ 1231．51．5．$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega[$ 1233．5． 3.
$\Pi є \nu \theta_{i} \lambda_{\text {поs 123 }}$ 1234．6．го．
$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda[$ 1231．19．．．
Пєра́цоь 1232．1．ii．16．Пєрра́цн 1233． 2. ii． 2.
$\pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \nu$ ，̇̇ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \imath \sigma \epsilon$ 1233．1．ii．I 5.
$\pi \epsilon \rho_{i}$ 1234．6． 9 schol．
$\pi є \rho \sigma к о ́ \pi є є \sigma а ~ 1231 . ~ 1 . ~ i . ~ ェ ~ 8 . ~$
$\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \delta \omega \nu$ 1231．1．i．I 3.
тє́татає 1231．10． 8.

$\pi i \theta \epsilon t[s$ 1233．2．ii． 20.
$\pi i \theta \omega$ 1234．2．ii． 9.
$\pi i к \rho о \nu 1233.2$. ii． 3 ．
$\pi \iota[\kappa \rho \circ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho] \alpha \nu 1231.1$. i． 9.
$\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 1234．2．ii． 3.
плакías 1232．1．ii． 6.
тлávatat 1231．10．I 5 ．
$\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu 1231.1$. ii． 2.
$\pi \lambda a ́ \phi \lambda a \sigma \mu 0 \iota$（？）1234．2．ii． 4.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta \nu$ 1233．10．5．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nprec[$ 1231．9． 12.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ єิбта 1233．1．ii．I3．
$\pi \lambda \eta$ 向 $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \sigma a$ 1234．3． 3.


$\pi$ óӨos 1231．15． 3 ．
］тoías 1233．5． 9.
тоєкі́даıs 1233．14．3．тоікıла 1232．1．ii． 9.
$\pi о є к \wedge о ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ 1234．1． 13.
тодєа́таข 1233．8．6，22． 3.
$\pi$ т́лıs 1233．2．ii．у $6 . \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ 1234．1．10， 2.
i．7，4．I．$\pi \circ \lambda_{\iota}[$ 1231．13．6．$\quad \pi o ́ \lambda \eta o s ~ 1233 . ~$
12．6．$\pi$ то́ג 1232.1 ．ii．I 2.
то́длакıs 1234．4．І 3.
Поди́סєчкєs 1233．4． 4.
$\pi$ тди́ı $\delta \rho \iota s$ 1233．1．ii． 14.
$\pi o ́ \lambda v$ 1231．1．i． 18. по́ $\lambda \lambda a \iota$ 1233．3． 12.
$\pi$ о́ $\lambda$ ．．．1231．9． 16.
$\pi o ́ \lambda \omega[$ 1233．33． 7.
то́ขтоข 1232．1．ii． 8.
торфирíà 1233．3．9．торфи́ра 1232．1．ii． 9.
тотá 1233．1．ii． 18 ；1234．4． 10 ， 13 ．
$\pi о \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ 1233．3． 8.
то́тขta 1231．1．ii．3，11． 3 ．
$\pi \rho i \nu$ 1231．ii．I 0.
$\pi \rho о \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota 1232.1$ ．iii． 3.
$\pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi$ ais 1234．1．13．
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon 1231.3 .1$ ．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \sigma[$ 1231．2S． 1.
$\pi \rho \cup \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$ 1231．1．i． 30.
$\pi \rho о т о[1233.4 .10$.
$\pi \rho о ф а ́ \nu \eta \tau \epsilon 1233.4$ ． 3 ．
$\pi \rho о$ ．єठí $\nless \epsilon \varepsilon \nu о \nu$ 1234．6． 4.
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta}$ 1234．2．i． 7 schol．
$\pi \rho \omega т а \lambda i a(?)$ 1233．2．ii． 20.
$\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu$ 1234．2．ii．7．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a$ 1231．1．ii． 7.

$\pi \tau$ т́ǹ兀 1232．1．ii． 12.
$\pi v ́ \theta \mu \eta \nu$ 1234．2．ii． 9 ．
$\pi$ ткцаєбє 1233．8． 9.
$\pi v p[$ 1231．30． 2.
$\dot{\pi} \omega$ 1234．1． 11.
$\pi \omega \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ 1233．32． 4 ．
$\rho$＇́ $\theta$ os 1231．12． 3 ．
$\rho \in i v, ~ \rho ’ є ́ о \nu t є ~ 1231 . ~ 9 . ~ 16 . ~$
$\rho$ p̄a 1233．4． 7.
р́v́є $\epsilon \theta \epsilon$ 1233．4． 7 （v．l．$\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ）．
бао．［ 1234．3． 2.
бativars 1232．1．ii．I3．
Saфoûs 1232．1．iii． 7 （title）．
$\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a s ~ 1231.1 .1 .21$.
$\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon v[1231.32$. i． 2.
इíवuфos 1233．1．ii． 12.
$\sigma$ котои́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon о \iota ~ 1 2 3 4 . ~ 1 . ~} 8$ schol．
$\sigma \mu і к р о$ 1234．6．8．$\quad \sigma \mu к \rho о[$ 1233．24． 2.
бós，$\sigma a ́ ~ 1231.1 . ~ i i . ~ 3 . ~ \sigma a ́ v ~ 1231 . ~ 55 . ~ 4 . ~ \sigma a ~$
1231．14．7． oois 1231．56．7．
бóфos 1233．8． 9.
$\sigma \pi 0 v[\delta \hat{\eta} s$ 1234．2．i．14－1 5 schol．
бтá［ $\tau \eta \rho a s$ 1234．1． 8.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon і \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ 1231．50．5．$\quad \sigma \tau \epsilon і ̄ є$ 1231．56．7．
бтєфаขv́цата 1233．11． 17.
$\sigma \tau$ о́тог 1231．1．i． 13.
бí 1231．50． 6 ；1234．2．ii．го．$\sigma \in ́ 1231.1$. i． 9, ii． $10,14.3,5,15.3,16.9 ; 1233.8$ ． 5；1234．2．i．I $4^{-\mathrm{I}} 5$ schol．$\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \nu 1233$.
2．ii．3．$\stackrel{v}{\mu}_{\mu \mu}$ 1234．3． 9.
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta \nu$ 1233．1．i．I 2.
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \sigma i \omega \nu$ 1234．2．i． 3.
бúv 1231．9． 4 ；1233．2．ii． 18 ；1234．2．ii． 4 schol．，3． 9.
$\sigma u ́\rfloor v a \chi \theta \in \nu$ 1234．2．ii． 4.
avvétaıpoı 1232. 1. ii. 5 .
oúvєтov 1231. 1. i. I 7.
$\sigma v v]_{r}$ yopo 1234. 2. ii. 6 schol.
$\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ 1233. 1. i. ix.
$\sigma \nu \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon[$ 1234. 2. ii. 2.
$\sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, '̇ $\sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \eta[\mu \epsilon] \nu$ 1234. 4. I 3.
$\sigma \phi[1233.8 .6$.
таขvøф́́p $\omega$ 1232. 1. ii. I5.
т]áхเซта 1231. 51. .4.
тáxus 1232. 1. ii. 3 .
$\tau \in$ 1231. 1. i. $29,12.2$; 1232. 1. ii. 4, 6, 9, 10, I5, iii. 2 ; 1233. 11. 14; 1234. 2. i. II, 3.9 .
тє́кєоз 1233. 3. 7.
$\tau \epsilon \in \mu \in \operatorname{\nu os}$ 1233. 12. 5 .

$\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \in$ 1231. 1. i. 27 (?).
$\tau \grave{\lambda} \lambda \circ \theta \epsilon \nu$ 1233. 4. Іо.
тí1233. 1. ii. 8.
tis 1231. 1. i. 6 ; 1234. 2. i. ı1, 3. 12. $\tau \iota$ 1234. 4. 16. тivé 1233. 1. i. 8. т jılves 1231. 50. 2.

тоаи́тау (тєavr.) 1231. 14. 4 ; 1233. 2. ii. 5 . тoaútas 1234. 2. ii. Io. tóavta 1233. 1. ii. 2, 2. ii. 21 .
то́ $\theta \in \nu$ 1233. 3. 1. (?).
ток $\eta \omega \nu$ 1231. 1. i. 22 ; 1234. 2. ii. 12 ( $\gamma \circ \nu \eta \omega^{2} \omega$ H. I).

то́л $\mu a \nu$ 1231. 17. 6.
тóфра 1233. 3. 4.
Tpoias 1231. 1. i. 2 I.
тронє́роьs 1231. 10. 4 .
тро́va 1232. 1. ii. 9 (?).
т о́тпท 1234. 2. i. 9.
тข́เ $\delta є 1231.1 . \mathrm{ii} .8$.
титторє́va 1234. 3. 6.

тบра́véots 1233.5.8.
тúxa 1231. 3. 3, 9. 4.
v̋ßрı» 1234. 4. го.
v̌ठ $\omega \rho$ 1233. 3. 15.
$v^{\nu} \mu \nu \eta \nu$ 1232. 1. iii. 6. $\quad \dot{\mu} \mu[$ 1233. 8. 5 .
]uvóínos 1233. 13. 5 .
imá 1232. 1. ii. I 3,17 ; 1233. 1. ii. I4. ító 1234. 1. 7 schol.

ひ̈таүov 1232. 1. ii. 17 .

ข่ $\pi i \sigma \sigma \omega$ 1231. 2. 1 о.
ข่ $\pi \nu$ ข้ 1231. 56. 9.
фá ${ }^{2}$ 1232. 1. i. 12.
фávat, фâ̂̃ı 1231. 1. i. 14; 1234. 3. 5. фаїбӨє 1233. 32. 7 ; є'фа 1233. 1. ii. 12 (?). $\epsilon \not \epsilon \eta$ 1234. 2. ii. 4 schol.
фáos 1233. 1. ii. 10; 1233. 4. I I.
фє́ $\eta \eta$ 1233. 1. ii. 5. фє́роитєs 1233. 4. i i. фє́рочто 1233. 11. 8. фє́рєбӨає 1233. 8. 12, 11. 7.
$\phi i \lambda o s ~ 1234.2$. i. 14 ; 1232. 1. ii. II. $\phi[i \lambda \epsilon$ 1234. 3. 8. фìaє 1232. 1. i. 8. фí $\omega \nu$ 1231. 1. i. 22. $\phi i ́ \lambda o t s ~ 1232.1 . ~ i i . ~ 12 . ~$
$\phi i \lambda o$ ó $\operatorname{tas}$ 1233. 2. ii. Io.
$\phi \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu(=\phi \eta \lambda$. ? $)$ 1234. 2. i. 4.
Фıтта́кш 1234. 2. i. 13.
фо́ртьор 1234. 3. і. фо́ртıа 1231. 9. із.
$\phi \rho \in ́ v a s ~ 1232 . ~ 1 . ~ і . ~ 6 . ~ ф \rho є ́ \sigma \iota ~ 1233 . ~ 8 . ~ 9 . ~$.
$\chi$ хірю 1231. 15. 6.
$\chi^{\text {ада́ } \sigma \sigma о \mu є \nu ~ 1234 . ~ 2 . ~ і . ~ г о . ~}$
$\chi$ хрıбба 1231. 50. 4.
$\chi є$ íдать 1234. 3. 4.
$\chi є і ̈ \mu \omega[\nu$ 1231, 12. 6.
$\chi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \iota 1233.3 .13$.
Xépparos 1233. 2. ii. 9.
$\chi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \omega$ 1231. 9. 1о. $\chi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \omega[$.$] 1231. 9. 2$ I.
$\chi$ Өóva 1233. 4. 5 (?). $\chi$ Өóvos 1233. 1. ii. 17. $\chi \theta o ́[\nu$ 1231. 26. 2.
$\chi^{\lambda \lambda a ̂ \nu a \nu} 1233.2$. ii. 19.
xoîpov 1234. 2. i. 15 and schol.
$\chi$ о́ $\lambda \omega$ 1234. 2. i. 9.
хореíats 1231. 13. 7 .
хрпната 1233.1. i. 12.
$\chi$ ро́a 1231. 10. 6.
хри́бıa 1232. 1. ii. 8.
$\chi^{\omega}$ जिpıs 1232.1. ii. 16.
ఓ̄ 1232. 1. i. $8 ; 1234.3 .8$.
ढิ $\delta є 1233$. 2. ii. 2 I.
ஸ்кито́ठ́ $\omega \nu$ 1233. 4. 6.
$\stackrel{\hbar}{\omega} \nu$ 1233. 1. ii. 8.
むs 1231. 1. i. $\mathrm{I}, 36.2$; 1233. 1. ii. 7, 2. ii. I ; 1234. 1. 12, 2. i. $7 . \quad$ فs 1234. 2. i. 7 schol.
$\omega^{\omega}$ ' 1232. 1. i. 8.
$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon 1234$. 2. i. $14^{-\mathrm{I}} 5$ schol.

## （b）Other Texts．

（Figures in Italic type refer to fragments．）
à $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \tau о \pi о \iota$ о́s 1241．i．I．

ả $\gamma \alpha \pi a ̂ \nu 1235.91$.
àүатŋтós 1235． 44.
＇A $\gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \rho$ 1241．ii． 30.
ä $\gamma p t o s$ 1241．v． 9.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i\right\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1241．iii． 32.
ảoॄ $\lambda$ ф＇ 1235. I 7 ；1241．iv． 9.
ḋ $\delta \in \lambda$ фós 1235． 65 ；1241．iv．5，v． 18.
＇A ̧áv 1241．iii． 33.
＇AӨŋvaîos 1235．I 12 ；1241．i．2，ii． 35.

ả $\lambda_{\lambda о \nu}$ 1241．iii． 33 ．
à $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ 1224．2．recto ii． 3 －
Aiakós 1241．vi． 25 ．
Aìúnтtio 1241．iv． 33.
Aít $\omega \lambda$ ós 1241．iii． 30.
aiфиí̊ıо 1242． 52.
$a i \chi \mu a \lambda \omega \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1241$. iii．IO．
а́кци̧́єє兀 1241．ii．г 8.
ảкои́є兀 1224．2．verso iị． 5 ；1242． 26.
＇Aкрítos 1241．v．16， 19.
＇$A \lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$ ข́s 1241．ii．I，9，I2．＇A $A \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ̂ s$ 1242．10，18，24， 27,33 ．
à $\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}$ еа 1235．48， 70.
à入入á 1224．2．recto ii．4；1239．I ； 1241.
iii． 9, v．19；1242．35，37， 42.
d̀ $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ 1235．I 14 ．
${ }_{\text {ä }} \lambda \lambda$ os 1241 ．iv． $19,33, \mathrm{v} 18.$.
＇A $\lambda \omega \in$＇́s 1241．iv． 22.

á $\mu a \rho т \omega \lambda$ ós 1224．2．verso ii． 3 ．
＇A $\mu$ єıías 1241．vi． 26.
ả $\mu \eta \nu$ 1224．1．recto 2.
＇А $\mu \mu$ м́vios 1241．ii． 18.
＇А $\mu \phi$ ит $v^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 1241．ii． 34.
à $\mu$ фо́тєроs 1242． 25.
à $\nu$ á 1224．2．verso ii． 4 ．
à $\nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1242．I 6.
àvat $\rho \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1241$. iii． 24.
ả ขáझ́os 1242． 73.
àvaбфá $\lambda \in \iota \nu$ 1235． 43.
àvסрเavтотоוós 1241．i． 3 （？）．
ảขท́p 1235． 39 ；1238．7．
ä $\nu$ O $\omega$ шos 1238． 6 （？）；1241．iv．17， 34 （？）．
àvó́rıos 1242．43， 49.
àvтíiкos 1224．2．recto i． 6.
＇Avтוoxeús 1242．I 5．
${ }^{a} \nu \nu \omega \in \nu$ 1241．ii．I 2.
ä ${ }^{\circ} \cos 1242.35$.
ảรเoû้ 1235． 47.

à $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ 1224．2．verso i． 2.
＇$А \pi \in \lambda \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ 1241．ii． 7.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A} \pi \iota \frac{1241}{}$ 124． 25 ，iii． 3 I．
ànó 1241．ii． 23 ．
à $\pi о \beta a \dot{\imath} \nu \in \iota \nu 1237.1$ i． 2 （1．à $\pi \circ \phi$. ？）．
ảnoঠє！кขv́vą 1242．7．

ảmoӨav $\mu$ á̧єı 1242． 53.
 $4 \mathrm{I}, 44,45$.
àтоктєivєєข 1241．iii． 3 I， $3^{6, ~ i v . ~} 24$.
àто入ацßа́ $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu 1235.85$ ．
àто入єíтєє 1236．verso 8.
＇A $\pi о \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \rho \omega \rho$ 1241．ii． 20.
àmo $\lambda$ v́vaı 1238． 5 ．
＇A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ 1241．iv． 24.
＇Aтo入入 $\omega$ עוos 1241．ii．I， 9.
à $\pi о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1235． 74.
àтофаivєєン 1235． 68.
${ }^{a} \rho a$ 1237．1．i． 4.
${ }^{3} \rho \alpha 1240$ ．I．
＇Apyєioc 1241．v． 33.
＂Ap os 1241．v．I4，I7， 20.
＊ $\mathrm{A} \rho \delta \eta_{\eta} \lambda o s$ 1241．vi．I I．
áp $\eta$ ıos 1241．iv． 20.
${ }^{2} A \rho \eta$ 1241．iv．I 2，19，vi．I3．
＇A piotap $\begin{gathered}\text { 1241．ii．8，I I．}\end{gathered}$
àptoтєрá 1241．v． 35.
ä $\rho \iota \sigma$ тоs 1235.97 （？）．
＇A ＇
＇Apıनтофávŋs 1241．ii． 7.
а́ $\rho \pi а[1237.2 .4$.
ă $\rho \pi \eta$ 1241．vi． 23 （？）．
ả $\rho \chi$ ท́ 1235．＇$\circ 3$.
à $\rho \chi \eta \gamma$ ós 1241．iii． 35.
［á］$] \sigma \in \beta_{\eta} s$ 1242． 76.
＇A ía 1241．ii． 23.
＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { ias 1241．ii．} 22 .\end{aligned}$
à $\sigma \pi \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ at 1242．29， 3 1， 33.
à $\sigma \pi i s$ 1241．v． $12,20,22,28,32$ ．
äтафоs 1241．iii． 27.
＇Aттıќ 1241．v．I i．
av̉ 1236．verso 10 ．
av̉ $\theta a ́ \delta \omega s$ 1242．41，44， 45 ．
av̀日aípєтоs 1242．10．
aűpıò 1224．2．recto i． 4 ．
Аи̉токра́т $\omega \rho$ 1242．23，29，34， 46.
aưrós 1224．2．verso ii． 2 ；1235．51，56，64，
69 ；1236．verso 6 ；1241．iii． $9,3^{2}$ ，iv．
25 ；1242．I 2，22，30－2．
ßáттьซца 1224．2．verso i． 4.
ßápßapos 1236．verso 2.
ßapeì 1224．2．recto ii．I．
ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon$ ús 1241．ii．5，I 8，v． 5 ．
$\beta a \sigma i \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma a$ 1241．iv． 32.
ßaбтá̧ধı̀ 1242．I7， 5 1．
$\beta$ ィлтьттоs 1235．105．
ßıá̧єб $\begin{aligned} & \text { aı 1236．verso } 9 .\end{aligned}$
$\beta$ tós 1235．I 16.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1236．verso 10 ．
ßóftos 1241．V．I I．
アoŋ $\theta$ єiv 1242．28， 49.
$\beta \circ u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ Өat 1239． 8.
ßoûs 1241．v．ro．
Ври́́pє $\omega$ 1241．iv． 16.
ßрогтầ 1236． 18.
Bu乌̆́vtıos 1241．ii． 8.
रацєiv 1235．53， 85 （？），II7．

ба ${ }^{[ }$［1235． 80.
रúp 1224．2．recto i． 2 ，ii． 3 ；1237．2． 6 ；
1242． 74.
$\gamma \in$ 1237．1． $\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{I} 7$ ；1239． 15.
$\gamma \in i \tau \omega \nu$ 1235． 62 ；1239．II（？）．
$\gamma \in \lambda a ̂ \nu 1239.5$.
үє́vos 1242．9，I 5,45 ．
үќр $\omega \nu$ 1235． 7 I．
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 1235． 120.
ү＇$\gamma \nu є \sigma \theta a t$ 1224．2．recto i．5；1235．38，109；
1241．ii． $4, I_{4}$ ，iii． 36 ，iv． 6, v． $7 ; 1242$. 4， 53 ．
$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu 1235.63$.
Г $\lambda$ аи́кшу 1242．І4．
Гuitav 1237．1．i．16，I7．

$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\rho} \iota \mu$ оя 1241．ii． 3 ．

үрацдатєкós 1241．i．I4，16，ii． 20.
$\gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu 1235.105$.
रи $\mu \nu a \sigma$ íap $\chi$ оs 1242．6－8．
रuvŋ́ 1235． 57 ；1236．verso 8 ；1241．iii． 9.
$\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ 1236．verso 5 ．
$\Delta$ avaós 1241．v．I 3 ．
$\Delta a ̂ o s ~ 1237.1$. i． 16 ，ii． 3 ．
$\delta \in i ̂ \nu 1237.1$ ．i． 9 ．$\delta \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota 1236$ ．verso II．
סєiv（＇bind＇）1241．iv． 23.
ठє́ $\rho \mu$ и 1241．ii．26，v． 8.
$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o ~ 1239 . ~ І 3$.
ठєข́тєроу 1242． 43.
ठ $\mathfrak{y}$ 1241．vi．17， 23.
$\delta \eta \mu a[$ 1241．vi．$\sigma$.
$\Delta \eta \mu$ ́́as 1235．104．
ঠŋ́nov 1237．1．i． 7.
ס九á 1235．IO4，IO9；1236．verso 4.
סtaס̂́́ $\chi \in \sigma$ Өaц 1241．ii． 6.
$\delta\llcorner a \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1235.55$.
ठıа入入á $\sigma \in \iota \nu$ 1235． 60.
бєаца́рт $\eta \mu \alpha$ 1235． 64.
ठ七aррŋ́ $\delta \eta \nu$ 1236．verso 7.
ठєóáткалоs 1241．ii．4，14，vi． 15.
ठ¿\＆áбкєเข 1224．2．verso 3 ；1235．59； 1241.
v． $28 ; 1242.46$.
סtठaхŋ́ 1224．2．verso i． 3.
Sıóóvaı 1224．2．recto ii．5；1235．94， 108.
סí́vuоs 1235．i I 7.
סıкабти́pıov 1241．iv．4．
ठเó 1235． 69.
$\Delta$ เок $\lambda \bar{\eta} s 1241$. ii． 19.
$\Delta$ เovúбıa 1235．IO9．
$\Delta$ เovv́бıos 1242． 3 ．
борá 1237．2． 5 ；1241．iv．І7， 35.
סópv 1241．v． 8.
брйда 1235． 96 （？）．
סv́o 1235．II4．
éả̀ 1242． 74.
éautoù 1235．62．aútô̂ 1241．ii． 27 ，iv． 30.

є่ $\gamma к а \lambda \epsilon і ̄ 1237.1$. i． 8.
єंүкатилєiтєє 1236．verso 12.
є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ 1224．2．recto ii．1；1235．105； 1236. verso $6-8,10,12,13$ ；1237．1．i． 3,9 ； 1238．4， 16 ；1240．II ；1242．35，4I， 46， 47.
êOlos 1242．I2．

єì 1239．3， 1 I．
єíooypá ${ }^{\text {os }}$ 1241．ii． 10.
eivar 1224．2．recto i． 2,$3 ; 1235.96 ; 1237$. 2． 4 ；1241．iii． 1,27, v． $5 ; 1242.48,75$ ， 77.

єiтєi้ 1224．2．verso i．I ；1242．35， 4 I， 43 ， $45,46$.
єîs，ov̉ס̀ є єî 1239． 4.
tis 1235． 108 ；1241．v．14， 35 ；1242． 23 ， 54， 55.
єi $\sigma \epsilon ́ p \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1242.29,32$.

єí $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1235． 54.
єїта 1241．ii． 9.
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \times 1241$ ．ii． 16.
є̃кабтоя 1242．І 7．
ढ̉кßоâ้ 1242． 54.
є̇кסtढ́ккєเ 1241．ii． 32.
ékeìvos 1235．63， 74 ；1237．1．i． 6 （？）．
є́кф́є́єє 1241．ii． 29.
є’ $\lambda a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau$ оs 1239．1．
${ }^{\text {}}$＇E入入ávıкos 1241．v． 3 ．
${ }^{\text {e }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ i＇s 1241．ii． 33.
${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \pi$ is 1237．1．i． 5.
є́ $\mu \beta$ аі̀єєン 1240． 6.
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \beta \rho о \nu \tau a ̂ \nu 1237.1$ ．i． 1 I．
є́ $\mu$ ós 1239．I I．
є’ $\mu$ и́̀̀兀os 1241．ii． 28 ，iii． 29,35 ，iv． 3 ．
év 1224．1．recto I，2．recto i．5，ii． 2 ； 1241.
iv． $7,13,21,28$ ，v． 1 I， $17,20,25 ; 1242.3$.
évavtios 1241．iii． 15.
є̈vaтos 1241．ii． 17.
$\epsilon ่ \nu \delta ̊ v \epsilon \iota \nu 1241$. iv． 27.
є̇vӨর́óє 1239．IO．
Є̇ขóтлıos 1241．v． 26.
＇Epvádıos 1241．iv． 2 I．
є́ $\xi a ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$ 1241．ii． 25.
$\epsilon \in \xi a \iota \epsilon \epsilon ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1241．iii．I 7.
Є＇$\xi a \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1241．iii． 5.
є＇$\xi \in \notin \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1236．verso 14 ．
€́ $\xi \in \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1235． 70.

€ $\xi \iota \chi \nu v \in \epsilon \iota$ 1235． 49.

ётєчта 1235．і Іо．
éní 1235． 101 ， 106 ；1241．ii． 17 ，iii． 26,33 ， iv． 34, v． 8 ，vi． 1,$26 ; 1242.72$ ．
є̇ாtヒ́vą 1239． 6.

є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma к \in ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1235 . ~ 40 . ~$

є่тเтротй 1242． 4.
є่ $\pi \iota \chi$ є七реì 1235． 44.
є́ $\pi \tau$ 1241．iii． 25 ．


є́pүабia 1235．ıо8．
є’९єì 1236．verso 7.
${ }^{\text {＇Eрраїбкоs 1242．41，44，45，47，} 50 .}$
${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 1235.37,84$.
${ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ 1235． 93.
＇Етєóкрךтєs 1241．v． 27.
є̈тєроs 1238． 2 ；1241．iv． 26 ，v． 29 （？），vi．16， 24 （？）．
ส゙тะ 1241．iv． 36.
Eüßoıa 1241．iv．I 3， 29.
є̇̇ $\mu \in \nu$ ย́ $\sigma$ тата 1242． 30 ．

1237．1．i． 8 ；1239．I．
é $\chi$ Opós 1224．2．recto i． 2.
Zev́s 1241．iv． 2 I ，vi． 24.
Ґך入отvтєiv 1238． 1.
Zұиóóotos 1241．ii． 19.
らそтєîv 1235．43．
$\zeta \eta .[1240.3$.
ऽผүрáфos 1241．i． 5 （？）．
$\overline{3}$ 1240． 2.
グ $\delta \eta$ 1241．v． $10 ; 1242$ ． 3 I．
＇Hıovev́s 1241．iv． 1.
пиє́́ра 1239． 6.
${ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho а к \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ 1241．iii．I 4.
$\theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ 1235．I 2 I．
Өávazos 1242． 40.
Өáлтєเข 1241．iii． 28.
$\theta \epsilon a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota 1224$ ．2．verso ii． 2 ；1242． 52.
$\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ 1237．2． 7.
$\theta$ tós 1236．verso 13 ；1242．18， 72.
$\theta$ $\theta$ офорєíl 1235． 46.
Өєратєía 1235． 47.
Өєра́тตข 1235．59， 76.
Өєриаîos 1241．v． 24.
$\Theta \epsilon$ úठŋs 1242． 14.
$\Theta^{\ominus} \epsilon \nu$ 1242． 8.
Ө $\bar{\eta} \beta a \iota$ 1241．iii．26，iv． 7.
Ө $\begin{aligned} & \text { ßaĩo } 1241 . ~ i i i . ~ \\ & 25\end{aligned}$

Ө $\sigma \sigma$ ย́s 1241．iii． 24.
$\theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ 1242． 40.
Өрậкєs 1241．vi．I 9.
Өра́кŋ 1241．iv． 2 r．
Ov زátๆp 1235． 53 ；1241．iii．ro．
$\theta$ úє 1241 ．V．IO．
Oupeós 1241．vi． 5 ．
＇Iáкоч $\mu$ ßos 1242． 14.

＂Óos 1242．I2，I8．
i ípoû 1242.52.
í́pєєa 1235． $34,39,46,52,56,86,90$ ．
ípeús 1224．2．verso ii． 2.
＇I $\eta \sigma o$ ûs 1224．2．recto ii．2，verso ii． 5.
＇İ $\lambda$ uptoí 1241．vi． 4 ．
iцátıov 1241．iv． $3^{6 .}$

＂ $1 \mu \beta$ pos 1235．і 16.
iva 1235． 47.
＂I ${ }^{2}$ axos 1241．iv． 5 ．
＇I $\xi i \omega \nu$ 1241．iii． 35.
＇Iovסaiot 1242．I I，1 6，24，28，29，37，39，43， $47,48,50$ ．
＇Ioú入ıos इa入oúlos 1242．5．
＇Ioúdıos Фavias 1242． 6.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{I} \sigma \mu \eta \nu \dot{s}$ 1241．iv． 7.
iбтiov 1241．iv． 36 （？）．
iฮтopeì 1241．iv．19，v．－I 5， 3 I．
＇I $\omega$ 1241．iii． 3 I．
Káavtos 1241．iv． 8 （K aaatr．Pap．）．$^{2}$
каӨоплiऍєє 1241．iv． 29.
каӨо́т $\lambda_{\iota \sigma \iota s ~ 1241 . ~ v . ~} 6$.
каí，кảцоí 1242． 4 I．
кauvós 1224．2．verso i．3， 4 ．
Kaîaap 1242．3○，43， 46 ．
како́s 1238．5．какӣs 1236．verso 10 ； 1238． 5 ．
калєî 1241．ii． 2,10 ，iv． 14 ，vi． $18,23$.
Ka入入ípaұos 1241．ii． 2.
Ká̀ $\lambda \iota \pi \pi$ os 1235．III．
Kâpes 1241．v． 29.
катí 1224．2．recto i． 3 ；1235．120， 121 ； 1241．iii． 18,23 ；1242． 27.
катаঠ́єєкขข́vat 1241．v． 3 ०．
кататорขєข́єเข 1241．iii．I I（？）．
катабкєvá̧єбөaı 1241．iv．11，v．I（？），3，I6， 2 I， vi． 8 （？）， 20 （？）．
катафродєї 1242． 40.
катори́ббєєン 1235． 36.

Кє́кроұ 1241．v． 8.
Kєфал入 $\eta \nu i a$ 1241．iii．I．
Kє́фадоs 1241．ii． 35.
Kךфєús 1241．iii． 34.
$\kappa \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1239． 5.
K入av́óos 1242． 72.
K $\lambda a u ́ \delta \iota o s ~ ' A ~ \theta \eta \nu[o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s ~ 1242.7 \mathrm{r}$.
K $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \sigma \theta}{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta s$ 1241．iii． 7.
］к入íveเv 1237．1．i．I 2.
коเขотоเєїӨАа 1235．і і8．
коєขós 1235 ．I I 5 ．
Kó $\lambda \omega \nu$ 1242． 14 ．
комі广єє 1241．v．I 4.
ко́ $\sigma$ оо 1240． 4.
Kov $\bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ 1241．iv． 28.
крavyń 1242． 54.
K $\eta$ ท́s 1241．v． 24.
Kúdas 1241．ii． 16.
Kúk $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ 1241．iv．I2．
Kú $\mu t \nu \delta i s$ 1241．jv． 3 I．
$\kappa \omega \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1240． 7.
$\lambda a ́ \theta \rho a$ 1235． 48.
$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu 1235.56$ ；1238． 6.
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu 1235$ ． $5^{8}, 87,90$ ；1237．1．i．I 5 ；
1241．iii． 28.
$\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon เ \nu 1236$ ．verso $14,17$.

$\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu} 1235.66$ ；1239．8， 9 ；1241．ii．22， 29 ，iii． 13,30 ，iv． 6, rı，v． $7,13,29$ ，vi． 16（？）；1242．44，50， 73 ．
$\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \in L \nu$ 1242． 22.
$\lambda$ ó $o \mathrm{os}$ 1242． 22.
入оүхофópos 1241．ii．І 6.
入оьоор 1242． 74.
$\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\circ}$ os 1242． 55.
$\Lambda$ ккá $\omega \nu$ 1241．vi． 2 I．
$\lambda v \pi \epsilon$ Îv 1242． 42 ．
$\mu u ́$ 1236．verso I 3 ．

дакарі́́татоя 1239． 2.
цакрáv 1224．2．recto i． 4.
МалӨа́кך 1238．4， 5 ．
$\mu$ м́лเбта 1235． 58.
$\mu a v$ Өávelr 1242．I I， 23.
$\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ 1237．1．i． 12.
мáхаıрa 1241．vi． 26.

$\mu$ єьракібкоя 1235． 52.
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Me入ía 1241．iv． 9.
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$\mu \epsilon$ pis 1239．$^{2}$.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ 1242.55$.
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$\mu \dot{\eta}$ 1224．2．verso i． 1 ；1236．verso 8 ， x 2 ；
1238．I ；1242． 49.
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$\nu u ิ \nu$ 1239．10；1240．13．
$\xi \iota ф о б \rho \epsilon ́ \pi а \nu o \nu ~ 1241 . ~ v i . ~ 22 . ~$
$\xi$ छंфos 1241．vi． 19 （？）．
$\xi u ́ \lambda \iota \nu o s$ 1241．v． 22.
छúdov 1241．ii． 26.

iv．12．ó ঠ́́ 1241．v． 22 ；1242． 34.
óßoдós 1237．2． 3 ．
оікєї้ 1235．І І 6 ；1241．v． 24.
оікќтทs 1235．45， 99 ；1240．9， 15.
о＂цос 1236．verso I I．
оĭ $\mu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \nu$ 1238． 9.
oios 1236．recto 7 ．
ó入ízos 1242． 53.

＇Ovías 1242．I 4.
ӧ ооа 1242． 48.
о́ $\pi \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon 1241$ ．ii．27，iv． 15.
ö̃ $\pi$ गov 1241．iv．Io， $20,27,34$, v．3，29，vi． 17 ．
о́лоіоs 1240． 7.
о́раиа 1224．2．recto ii． 2.
о́ра̂v 1236．verso 19 ；1239． 9.
о́ $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1242.23$.
ő $\rho \chi \eta$ б८s 1241．v． 27.
ös 1235．91，94，ro3；1237．1．i． 6 （？） 1241．ii． $7,1 \mathrm{I}, 16,23$ ，iii．I（？）， 33 ，iv．I4， 22，vi． 22 （？）；1242． 5 I．
ö $\sigma$ os 1235．104．
ő õtı 1239．I．
õтє 1241．iii． 7 （？），25，v． 10.
ỗเ 1242．24，42， 47.
ov̉（oúk）1224．2．recto ii． 3 ；1236．verso 8
1237．1．i． 9 ；1241．iii． 5, v． 19 ； 1242. 34．oùxi 1240． 1.
ov̉ס́́ 1239． 4.
oủ $\theta$ eis 1236．verso 19.
ои้коuข 1237．1．і．г $6 . \quad$ ои̉коиิข 1242． 48.
oủ้ 1235． 95 （？）；1236．verso 9 ；1242．I7 49.
ov̉tos 1235．70， 105 ；1236．verso 3，II， 12 ；
1238． 6 ；1241．ii． 3,6, I3， 32 ，iii． 4 ，iv．
25, v． 2 ェ， 26 ；1242．I I，50．ov́toбí 1237.
1．i．14．тоитíl238． 3 ．
${ }^{\circ} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1242． 48.
＇Oфьิच̄ $\sigma$ 1241．v． 25 ．
ő $\chi$ ào 1241．v． 28.
ó $\chi$ єús 1241．v． 34 ．
ő ${ }^{\circ}$ に 1242.76.
maîs 1235． 37 ；1241．iv． 23.
$\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ 1237.1 .1 . ~ I I . ~$
$\pi$ á入ı 1242． 49.
$\pi a \mu \pi \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}$ 1242． 54 ．
тáv 1236．verso 10.
тараүірєбӨaє 1242． 27.
тарафஸ́ $\eta \eta$ бı 1235．으．
$\pi а р \epsilon i v a \iota ~ 1238 . ~ 2 ; ~ 1242 . ~ 24 . ~$
$\pi а \rho є ́ \chi є \iota \nu$ 1236．verso 8 ；1238． 8.
па́ $\mu \eta$ 1241．vi． 3 （？）．
$\pi$ âs 1224．1．recto I ；1235．67， r 00 ， 101 ， II $8 ; 1237.1$ ii． 3 ；1242． 55.
Пáбтшр 1242． 6.
латท́p 1236．verso 5， 6.
Пай入os 1242． 9.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1235．45， 49 （1．$\pi \epsilon \pi v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 S$ ）；1238． 4 ； 1242． 44.
Пe入aa $\begin{aligned} & \text { ós 1241．ii．} 3 \text { I，vi．} 2 \text { I．}\end{aligned}$
$\Pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ 1241．iii． $3,6$.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \eta$ 1241．vi． 18 （？）．
тévŋs 1235．I 4 ．
$\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ 1241$ ．iv． 2 （？）．
$\pi \epsilon \rho a i v \in \iota 1237.1$ ii．I．
$\pi \epsilon \rho^{i} 1235.56$ ；1241．iii． 3 ，iv． 9, v． 32 ．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1241．v． 32.
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$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta_{0} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 1241．v． 9.
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \tau \iota \epsilon \in \nu a \iota 1241$. v．34，35．
Пє $\rho \sigma \epsilon$ ís 1241．vi． 24.
$\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon$＇́s 1241．vi． 25.
$\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda a ́ v a \iota ~ 1242 . ~ 42 . ~$
$\pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \sigma к \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1237．1．i． 4.
$\pi \lambda$ акоиิ 1240． 15 ．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu 1241 . v .2$.
$\Pi \lambda \omega \tau \in i ̂ \nu a 1242.26,32$.
$\pi о \delta \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ 1241．V．I．
тоเєî 1235． 115 ；1241．iii．29，iv．4，22，28， 34 （？），v．12， 23 ；1242． 47.
$\pi о \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ 1241．ii． 30.
$\pi$ oíos 1224．2．verso i． 2.
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu 1241$. v． 17.
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ 1241 . ~ i v . ~ І о . ~$
$\pi о ́ \lambda є \mu$ оs 1241．ii． 28 ，iii． 23.

Поди́ $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ оs 1241．i． 5.
тodús 1235． 34 ；1237．1．ii． 3 ；1239． 8 ； 1242.4.
$\pi о р є ข ́ є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1242.37$.
$\pi \rho \rho \theta \epsilon i \nu 1241$. ii． 33 ．
торvоßобко́s 1237．1．ii． 2.
$\pi о ́ \rho \pi a \xi 1241 . v .34$.
$\pi о т$ є́ 1239． 4 ．
тои 1240． 2.
$\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu$ с 1236．recto $6($ ？$)$ ，verso 8.
$\pi \rho$ е́б $\beta$ єıs 1242．13，24，33， 5 I．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v i \tau \epsilon \rho о s$ 1235． 72.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́т $\eta$ s 1235．67， 84.
$\pi$ роатрєїбӨак 1235． 53.
$\pi \rho_{\circ} \beta_{0} \lambda\left[1241 . v\right.$. I $^{4}$ ．
$\pi \rho о \delta$ габєієเข 1235． 65 ．
Шроїтоs 1241．v．ı8， 20.
$\pi \rho \circ \xi \in \nu \in$ єi 1235.93.
$\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota$ 1242． 3 1．

$\pi р о \sigma а \gamma о р є \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$ 1241．ii． 24.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \chi_{\chi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1224．2．recto i．I．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \omega \nu \in i \nu 1235.63,72$.
$\pi \rho$ о́ $\omega \pi$ то 1235.60.
$\pi \rho$ о́тєроу 1235． $3^{8 ;}$ 1241．iv．I6，v． 3 r．
$\pi \rho о т о \mu \eta ́ 1242.5$ I．
$\pi \rho о \chi є \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1242.12$.
$\pi \rho \hat{\tau}$ оя 1241．ii． 5 （l．трітоя）， $21,28,34$ ，iii．3， 24,29 ，iv． $15,20,26$, v． $3,13,15,23,33$ ， vi． 8,$20 ; 1242.29$ ．$\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \neq \nu$ 1241．iv． 6 ， v． 7 ．
ПиӨaүópas 1241．i． 4.

## INDICES

$\pi \nu \imath \theta a ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1235.49$（ $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$ Pap．）．
Пúppıs 1241．v． 24 （l．Пúppıхоs？）．
Пขршvíðŋs 1240．І．
＇Pódios 1241．ii． 2.
คீย́є $\sigma \theta a l$ 1241．iv． 25.
${ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{P}$ о́ $\mu \eta$ 1242． 23,54 ．
इa入oútos 1242．5．＇Ioúdıos £．1242．5． бá $\pi \iota \gamma \xi$ 1241．vi． 7.
इацоӨре̣́к 1241．vi． $6 . ~_{\text {12 }}$
$\Sigma \alpha \mu o ́ \theta \rho a \xi$ 1241．ii．13．
इávevขos 1241．V． 4 ．
乏ара̂тıs 1242． 5 1．
бєаขтой 1242．45， 49 ．
бíŋ $\eta$ pos 1241．v． 2 （？）．
ミเкvต่ขเо 1241．iii． 8.
$\Sigma_{i} \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ús 1241．ii．I．
$\Sigma i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ 1242．I 3.
бкєтá̧єє 1241．iv．ı8， 35 （？）．
ミко́тая 1241．i． 4.
ミки́ $\begin{aligned} & \text { aı 1241．v．} 5 .\end{aligned}$
$\sigma \kappa v \theta \ldots 1239.16$.
$\Sigma \mu$ крín 1236 ．verso 7 ．
$\sigma_{0} \phi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$ 1236．verso 3 ．
$\sigma \pi \eta ́ \lambda a t o \nu ~ 1241 . ~ i v . ~ I 3 . ~$
бтє́申avos 1239．13．
бтратєن́єєข 1241．iii． 7,26 ，vi．I（？）．
бтрато́тєбоע 1241．ii． 2 I．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s ~ 1241 . ~ i i . ~ 24 . ~$
бv́ 1224．2．recto ii． 4 ，verso i． 2 ； 1235.
104；1236．verso 7，IO，II；1242．42，
43．ífeis 1224．1．recto 2 ，verso $1,2$.
recto i． 2,3 ．
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ ќs 1242． 26.
бvرßaiveє 1239． 3 ；1241．iii． 4.
бúv 1236．verso 3 ；1241．ii． $35^{(?)}$ ；1242． 2 I．
ovvíyєt 1241．ii． 30.
бvv $\rho о \mu \eta ́$ 1242．53．
бvvéठ́pıov 1242．42， 47.
बvขך $о$ оєї 1242． 50.
बvvท́yopos 1242．іо， 16.
бvvıттával 1241．ii． 22.
$\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$ 1236．verso 10.
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ 1241．iii． 28 ，iv．I7．
$\Sigma \omega ́ \pi a т \rho о$ 1242．і 5 ．
$\Sigma \omega \tau i \omega \nu$ 1242． 8.
tá̀as 1236．verso II．
тарáббєєц 1236．verso 9.

тá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1242.25$.
Táфıos 1241．iii．I．
тахи́ 1239．Із．
$\tau \epsilon$ 1239． 17, I 8 ；1241．iv． 34 ；1242． 25 （1．$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ or $\hat{\eta}$ ？）， 54 ．
тย́кขov 1241．ii．I 5 ．

Teuxion 1241．iv．I4．
т $\in$ र̄Xos 1241．iv．I 5 ．
тๆ入єкойтоя 1242． 70.
төө́vaı 1241．iii． 34.

tis，тíl224．2．recto ii． 3 ；1236．verso 6，9， 10；1242． 45.
tis 1236．verso 5 ；1239．I I，I 3 ；1241．iii． 5,34, iv． 12,18, v．I5， 30 ，vi． 23.
тоьои̃тоs 1242． 36.
тод $\mu \hat{a} \nu$ 1238． 3 ；1242． 36.
Tpatavós 1242．${ }^{\circ}$ ， 52 ．
$\tau \rho \in i$ is 1235． 92.
т $\bar{́} \phi є \iota \nu$ 1235． 88.
$\tau$ рítos 1241．ii． 5 （ $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau о$ РРр．）．
тvүха́vєєン 1242．35．
т úpàvos 1235．І 10.
Túpıos 1242． 9.
Tvррпиós 1241．vi．ıо．
Tvppqขoí 1241．vi． 9.
viós（vós）1235． $5 \mathrm{I}, 62,68,73,85,90$.
ข゙ォapझıs 1235．I 19.
iлt́p 1224．2．recto i． 1,3 ；1242．Iо，I 6.
ínó 1235．59， 76 ；1241．iii． 7 ，iv．22，vi． 4 ，
19；1242． 32.
íroßá̀入єєข 1235． 50.
ن́тó $\theta \in \sigma \iota$ 1235．95，I I 3 ．
ขлтокрі̀єбӨa九 1235．I I I．
ímó $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta o s ~ 1241$. iii． 12.
ข́то廿ia 1235． 58.
ข゙ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ 1241．v． 9 ．
í申aiveiv 1241．iv． $3^{6}$ ．
í $\downarrow \eta$ 入ós 1242． 55.

фává 1241．ii． 23 ，iii． $6,15,35$ ，iv． 20,27 ，v． 4，19，vi．S， 2 I．
фаעє pós 1240．I．
Фаvías 1242． 6.
Фapıбaîos 1224．2．verso ii．I．
Фeidias 1241．i． 2 （？）．
фє́рєє 1235． 45 ；1239．14．фє́ $\rho \in$ 1240． 15.
фєv́үєเv 1242． 55 （द̈фєvरav）．
$\Phi \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ os 1241．i．I 7 ．
філо́калоs 1239． 18.

 фávis）．
філотóvшs 1235．I 19.
фíरos 1235．I I4；1239． 5 ．
Фı入ó $о$ о $о$ оs 1241．v． 6.
$\phi \iota \lambda . .1240 . ~ I I, ~ 2$.
фóvos 1241．iii．28， $3^{6}$ ，iv． 7 ．
Фор $\omega \nu$ és 1241．ii． 25 ，iv． 5 ．
$\chi$ хаipєı 1242． $3^{6 .}$
$\chi^{a \iota \rho \epsilon \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu} 1242.35$.
$\chi$ $\chi^{\lambda \lambda \pi}$ тós 1242． $36,48$.
$\chi^{\text {a kós } 1241 . ~ i v . ~} 27$.
$\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o \imath ̂ s ~ 1241 . ~ v . ~} 22$.
$\chi \chi^{a \lambda[1237.2 .2 .}$
$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \dot{\nu} \nu 1242.22$.
$\chi$ єíp 1237．1．i．I3．
$\chi$ хєротоуєì 1242．І3．
$\chi$ रoós 1240．i I．
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota 1236$. verso 6 ；1237．1．i．Io．
$\chi$ ро́vos 1235．104．
$\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha$ 1241．iii． 2 ；1242． 25.

ढิ 1237．1．i．I7．
＇$\Omega \kappa \in a \nu$ ós 1241．iv． 8.
ढิ $\mu \mathrm{os}$ 1241．V． $3^{2}$ ．
is 1235． $46,62,73$ ；1237．1．i． 9 ； 1241.
iv． 18 ，v． 14,$30 ; 1242.35$.
ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon 1242.41$ ．

## II．EMPERORS．

## Augustus．

$\theta \in \dot{o} s \Sigma_{\epsilon \beta \text { aactòs Kaívap 1256．} 14 .}$
$\theta$ $\theta$ òs Kaîaap 1266．I I．

## Tiberius．

Tıßéplos Kaî̃．$\Sigma \in \beta a \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ 1281 . ~ 13 ; ~ 1291 . ~ 13 . ~$
Claudius．

Nero．


## Vespasian．


Domitian．
Aìtoкр．Kaî́．$\Delta$ oultıàòs $\Sigma \in \beta$ ．1282．2， 43 ．

доцıта⿱亠乂ós 1266．17．
Trajan．

Hadrian．


Antoninus．
 1279． 26.
＇Avtшuívos Kaĩ．ó кúplos 1270． 44 ；1279． 8.

## Septimus Severus and Caracalla．




Septimius Severus，Caracalla，and Geta．

 $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ ．1267． 25 ．

Caracalla and Geta．




## Caracalla.

 Еv̇ $\epsilon \epsilon \beta$. $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$. 1278. 3 r.

## Elagabalus.



## Philippi.

 1276. 22.

## Decius.


 Kaíaapєs $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$. 1284. I.

## Aemilianus.



## Valerian and Gallienus.


 1277. 5 .




## Macrianus and Quietus.

Aúroкр. Kaíapes Titos Фou入ov́los 'loúvios Makpıàòs kaì Títos Фov入oúlos 'Ioúvıos Kuñtos Eủgধßєís Ev̇tvx. $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$. 1254. 28.


## Aurelian and Vaballathus.




## Probus.

 $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$. 1256. 20.

## Diocletian and Maximian.







Galeries.
 каi [.) 1318.

Constantine.



Theodosius.

Anastasius.



## III. CONSULS, ERAS, INDICTIONS.

Consuls.
Praesenti Albino co(n)s(ulibus) (246) 1271. I3.
 тò $\gamma^{\prime}(325)$ 1261. I.


 $\lambda а \mu т \rho о т а ́ т о ч ~(403) ~ 1319 . ~$


Eras of Oxyrhynchus.
є̈тоя ог $\mu \in(399) 1329$.
ধ゙́tos $9 \nu 0(413) 1322$.
ধ́тоs $9 \gamma \xi \delta(1.9 \delta \xi \gamma$, i. е. 4 I 6$) 1334$.
єัтоя $\rho \nu \eta$ ркک (482) 1335.
Indictions.
3 rd ( 5 th or 6 th cent.) $1326 ; 1327$.
$5^{\text {th }}\left(4^{82}\right) 1335$.
6th (497) 1320.
$9^{\text {th }}$ ( 5 th cent.) 1331 .
I Ith (late 4 th or 5 th cent.) 1328.
12th (323-4) 1261. 6 ; (399) 1329; (4th cent. Пaxìv ipxy ) 1280.9; (late $4^{\text {th }}$ or $5^{\text {th }}$ cent.) 1330 ; (413) 1322.

I $3^{\text {th }}(399) 1329$.
14 th ( 6 th cent.) 1323.

## IV. MONTHS AND DAYS.

(a) Months.
 34.
$\Delta є к$ е́ $\beta$ ßріоя 1318.
Kaıбápєєos (Mesore) 1279. 22 ; 1304; 1316.

$$
3 \times 24,45 ; 1291.14
$$


$\Sigma \omega \pi \eta$ pıos (Pauni) 1317.
(b) Days.

є̇ $\pi a \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu a \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \mu$ е́рає 1278. $26 ; 1316$. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta к а \lambda a \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \mu \beta \rho i \omega \nu 1318$.

## V. PERSONAL NAMES.

" $A \beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ f. of Abram 1332.
" $\mathrm{A} \beta \epsilon \lambda$ 得 1307.
"А $\beta \rho a \mu$ s. of Abele 1332.
" $\mathrm{A} \beta \rho а \mu \mathrm{l} 1334$.
'Ayativos f. of Aurelius Serenus also called Sarapion 1276. 4 .
'A ${ }^{2}$ äòs $\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ 1244. introd.
'A ${ }^{2}$ Oòs $\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, Aùp $\eta$ itos 'A. $\Delta$. s. of Geminus 1276. 1, $25,28$.
'A $\begin{array}{r}\text { ia } \\ 1349 .\end{array}$
'Ayxiซas 1288. I5.
'A $\begin{gathered}\text { aváatos 1300. 6, } 7 .\end{gathered}$
'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂ \dot{\eta}$ кaì Өồpes goddess 1268. 7.
'Акиліроs $\pi \rho \omega т \dot{\prime} к т \omega \rho$ 1253. І 7.
 1284. 5.
'A $\mu$ аєíos 1326.
' $\mathrm{A} \mu a \xi[$.] $]$ es 1349.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mu \mu \nu \nu^{2}$ 1297. 2 ; 1298. 3, 2 I.
' $\mathrm{A} \mu \mu \omega \nu[$. . . d. of Diogenes 1270. 18, 49, 58.
 12.
'A $\mu \mu \omega \nu \iota a \nu o{ }^{\prime} s, A v j p \eta ́ \lambda \iota o s ' A . s . ~ o f ~ E u p o r i o n ~ 1280 . ~$ 3, 8.
'А $\mu \mu \omega \dot{\text { н 七о }} 1275.6$; 1339.
 1278. 4, 35 .
'A $\mu \mu \omega ́ \nu$ os f . of Aur. Onnophris 1275. 2.
'A $\mu \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ os f . of Aurelius Silvanus 1260. 30.
'A $\mu \mu \omega \prime \nu t o s ~ o ́ ~ к а \grave{\imath}$ 'A $\phi \hat{v} \gamma \chi$ 's f. of Aurelius Theon 1277. 4.
'A $\mu \mu \omega \dot{\nu} \iota o s$ gymnasiarch, s. of Ptollarion 1252. verso 32.

'A $\mu$ ós s. of Apollonius 1282. 9, 13.
'A 'óts, $\Delta$ tovúqios ó кai 'A. S. of Psammis 1266. 6, 40.
'A $\mu$ óts s. of Theon and f. of Papontos 1282. 20.
'Avópó ${ }^{\prime}$ а $о$ os ex-dioecetes 1264. 9.
 1278. 1, 17.
'Avıavós presbyter 1311.
 1260. 3, 25 .
'A $\nu 0 \hat{\mathrm{u}}$ ßs god 1256. 12.
'А ' $\downarrow$ ои́т 1325.
'Aขtต́vtos, Aúp $\eta$ itos 'A. 1256. 24.
${ }^{\prime} A \nu[$. . . $\nu$ 1299. I 2.
"А $\pi$ a $\Delta o ́ \mu \nu a 1350$.
"Aสa 'Іоṽттos 1311.
'Aтia, Avjpдia $\Sigma \iota \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \iota s$ $\dot{\eta}$ каі̀'A. d. of Dionysius 1268. 7, IO, 15.
'Aтía $\dot{\eta}$ каì $\Delta ı$ ıvvбía d. of Dionysius 1279. 3.
'Aria d. of Tapilous 1288. 18, 20, 22.
'A $\pi i \omega \nu$ f. of Aurelia Meïthous 1276. 1, 24.
'Aniw f. of Aur. Herodes 1274. i.
'A $\pi i \omega \nu$, ' $\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ó каi 'A. basilicogrammateus 1259. 8.
＇A $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ápıo d．of Harpalus 1267．3， 12.
＇A $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a, ~ \Delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ каі＇A．d．of Ptolema 1278． 5 ．
＇A $\AA \pi \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a$ d．of Philon 1344.

＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ os f ．of Achillion also called Apollo－ nius 1274． 7.

 arch，s．of Achillion also called Apollonius 1274． 12.
＇A $\pi$ од入̀́̀vos，Aùp．．．．．os $\delta$ каì＇A．keeper of the archives 1264．I．
＇A $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s, ~ ' A \chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu \quad \dot{o}$ каı＇A．s．of Apollo－ nius 1274． 7.
＇A $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ıos f ．of Heras 1267．r 6.


＇A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} t o s(?)$ strategus 1258． 7.
＇A
＇Aтод入ต́vıos f．of Zoïlus 1267． 8.
＇え̇ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega}_{s} 1331$.
＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} s$ s．of Theon 1291．I I．
＇Apßฑ̂s f．of Hermanubis 1256．i I．
＂Apelos f．of Aurelia Sarapias 1277．r．
＇Aptotov̂s，Aùpך入ía＇A．d．of Aur．Herodes 1274． 1.
＇Apıनтoùs m．of Aur．［．．．．．］］sis 1275． 6.

＂$А \rho \pi a \lambda$ os f．of Apollonarion 1267． 3 ．
＇Артократішр 1289． 2.
＇Артократішv，Av̌p．＇A．strategus 1283．r．
＇Apoivoos，Aúp．＇A．s．of Tryphon 1273．4， 2 I， 47， 50.
＇Apvஸ́t $\boldsymbol{\text { s }}$ ，A $\dot{v} \rho$ ．＇A．priest，s．of Hermanubis 1256． 10.
＇A ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
Asclepiades 1271． 8.
＇$А \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi$ áó ${ }^{\prime}$ 1252．verso 4 ．
＇Ат $\overline{\text { Ts }}$ 1299． 17.
Aúp $\lambda$ iia＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu i a \mathrm{~d}$ ．of Techosous 1284． 12.
Aùpŋラia＇Aptotoûs d．of Aur．Herodes 1274．I．

Aúp $\lambda i i_{a}$ Өa $\imath \hat{\eta}$ os d．of Eudaemon and m．of Aurelia＇T＇ausiris 1273．1，20， 45 ．
Aùpŋ入ía Маıкıavŋ́ 1271． 2.
Aípクiia Meïoûs d．of Apion 1276．1，2， 24.
Aípp入ia ミupatuis d．of Arius 1277．1， 22.
A $\dot{p \eta \lambda i a}$ 乏ıvө̂̀vs $\dot{\eta}$ кà＇ $1 \pi i u$ d．of Dionysius 1268．7，10， 15.

Aúpŋ入ía Tavoîpıs d．of Aurelia Thaësis 1273．3．
Aúpj́入ıos＇A $\gamma$ a $\theta$ òs $\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Geminus 1276. I，25， 28.
 3， 8.
Aủp $\lambda^{\prime} \iota o s ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega ́ \nu \iota o s ~ g y m n a s i a r c h-e l e c t ~ 1278 . ~ 4, ~$ 35.
 Mí白 1278 ． 1 ， 7.
Aúpウ́入ıos＇Aviкŋтos pilot，s．of Olbanus 1260. 3， 25.

Aúp $\eta \lambda \iota o s ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \omega t o s ~ b a n k e r ~ 1284 . ~ 5, ~ 19 . ~$


A úpj́ $\lambda \iota o s$＇A $\rho \sigma$ ivoos s．of Tryphon 1273．4， 2 I， 47， 50.
A $\dot{\rho}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{c o s}$＇A $\rho v \dot{\omega} \tau \eta s$ priest，s．of Hermanubis 1256． r 。．
Av̀pí入ıos＇A $\phi \hat{v} \gamma \chi$ ıs s．of Heraclas，$\pi \rho o \sigma r a ́ t \eta s$ 1275． 3 ．
Aúpị $\lambda \iota o s$＇A $\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon$＇́s 1283． 19.

Aủpи́入ıos $\Delta \iota o \nu u ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ o ́ ~ к а \grave{~ ' A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \nu t o s ~ g y m n a s i-~}$ arch，s．of Achillion also called Apollonius 1274． 12.
 nome 1301.
Aúpク́入ıos $\Delta i ̃ o s ~ s . ~ o f ~ A u r . ~ H o r i o n ~ 1296 . ~$ I， 21.
Aúp $\grave{\lambda \iota o s ~} \Delta$ tó ккороs s．of Theon 1276． 27.

 1275． 4.
 $21,44$.
 20.
 1274． 4.
Aúpウ́入ıos＇H $\dagger \rho a \kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ senator，s．of Coclacius 1261． 4.
Aủpí入ıos＇H $\mathrm{H} \omega \dot{0} \eta \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ex－gymnasiarch，s．of Apion 1274．I．
Av́píntos $\Theta \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ s．of Ammonius also called Aphunchis 1277． 3 ．

A $\dot{\nu} p \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$ Ө＇́ $\omega \nu$ chief－priest 1252．recto 16，22， 45.
 recto $17,21,43$ ．
Aùp $\eta^{\lambda} \lambda$ cos $\Theta \dot{\omega} \nu l o s$ priest，s．of Demetrius 1265. 6， 26.
 Cynopolite nome 1254．i， 14.
Aưp $\bar{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$ Kadaî $\mu \iota s$ comarch，s．of Petenouphis 1256．6， 23 （Ка入a入aî $\mu s$ ）．

Aúpììos K ．［．．．comarch 1301.

 17，31， 33 ．
 arch，s．of Zoïlus 1274． 3 ．
 1275．I，IO， 23.
Aủpíntos Пау⿳亠丷天ts s．of Ptollion 1280．i8．

 1255． 3 ．
 1256．5， 23 ．
Aúpínıtos Пar（ ）tax－collector，s．of Eut（ych ） 1283． 3 ．
 5，8， 14.


Aüpìncos $\sum a \rho a \pi[1306$.
 1276． 3.
Aủp ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s \Sigma_{i} \lambda \beta$ avós s．of Ammonius 1260． 29.
A $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda$ cos $\sum_{i} \lambda \beta$ avós comarch，s．of Panetbauis 1254．I6．
Aùp ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota o s \sum_{i \lambda} \beta$ avós s．of Theon 1307.


Aúpìдcos＇$\Omega \rho i \omega v$ f．of Aur．Dius 1296．I， 21.
A ípídcos ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Spos comarch，s．of Archelaus 1255. 4.
 the archives 1264．I．
Aúpíntos［．．．．．．］oıs $\pi \rho o \sigma t a ́ t \eta s$, s．of Philotas 1275． 5.
 1275． 6.
A $\dot{\rho}$ ṕntıos［ comarch 1301.
Aüp $\dot{\text { intos }}$［1268． 3.
＇A $\phi$ Óvios s．of Hesychius 1303.
＇Aфoûs 1338.
＇Aфoûs кєфадає
 1277． 4.
 1275． 3.
＇A $\phi \hat{u} \gamma x$ ts f ．of Tazoillas 1287．I7．
＇Axi入入єús，Aü $\rho \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$＇A．1283．19．
＇A ${ }^{\text {c }} \lambda \lambda$ eús village－scribe，s．of Proetus 1281．I 5.
 and f．of Aur．Dionysius 1274．7．

Baaıdeús praefect 1277．introd．
В $\bar{\eta} \sigma \iota s \operatorname{god} 1272$. iо．
Гaïa 1296． 17.
「äauós assistant of the praepositus 1253．19．
 1264． 5.
「 C त́árıos 1339.
「 ́́puvos f．of Aur．Agathodaemon 1276．I， 29. Гєриалía 1349.
「ovatâs 1298．2， 2 I．


$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau$ pia m．of Aur．Arsinoüs 1273． 4.
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota a v o ́ s, ~ A \grave{\rho} \rho \eta{ }^{2}$ cos $\Delta$ ．decaprotus 1260．9．
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ íptos f．of Aur．Thonius 1265．6，i8．
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \operatorname{los} \mathrm{f}$ ．of Didymion 1279．I4．

 1262.4.
$\Delta i \delta ̌ i \not \mu \eta$ 1294．I， 19.

$\Delta \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ кai $\mathrm{T} \sigma \epsilon \nu \phi a \tau \rho \bar{\eta} s \mathrm{~m}$ ．of Apollonius the younger 1262．I 2.
$\Delta \iota \delta \nu \mu i \omega \nu$ s．of Demetrius 1279．I4．

 the Cynopolite nome 1254．I，If．
sídupos f．of Epicrates 1278． 9.

$\Delta i ́ o u \mu o s$ strategus 1259．i．
 the younger 1262．II．
$\Delta t \in \mu 0 \hat{s}$ d．of Colluthus 1272． 25 ．
$\Delta$ soyén ns 1279． 25.
stoyévis f．of Arrmon ．．．1270．19．
©toyévns f. of Aur. Sarapammon 1276. 33. $\Delta_{\text {toyén }}$ ms charioteer, s. of Heras 1287.5, I I, i8. stoyévns comes 1335.


$\Delta$ toyévys s. of Sarapion 1316.
stoyévns s. of Theon 1270. 20.
$\Delta$ toyérps, Tißéplos Kдavoíos $\Delta$. ex-cosmetes, f. of Tiberius Claudius Diogenes 1284. 8.
 Claudius Diogenes 1284. 7.
$\Delta$ toyevis d. of Diogenes 1276. S.
stovvoia, 'Aтia $\dot{\eta}$ кaì $\Delta$. d. of Dionysius 1279. 3.
stovvaias, Aùp. $\Delta$. $\dot{\eta}$ каì Xaıp $\mu о$ vís 1278. 2, 16.
Atovv́́tos 1295. І, $22 ; 1305$.
stovígtos $\dot{\delta}$ кai 'A $\mu$ óts s. of Psammis and f. of Psammis 1266. 6, 40.
stovóatos f. of Apia also called Dionysia 1279. 4.
 arch, s. of Achillion also called Apollonius 1274. 12.

- tovívios, Aủp. $\Delta$. strategus 1301.
tiovíotos f. of Aur. Hermogenes 1275. 4.
stovúroos f. of Aurelia Sinthonis also called Apia 1268. 7.
$\Delta$ tovíolos ßō Ós 1257. 8.
$\Delta$ เovívlos, Пєтрต́vlos $\Delta$. strategus 1279. I.
$\Delta ı o v v \sigma o \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ gymnasiarch, f.of Ptolema1278.8.
$\Delta ı o v v \sigma o \theta \epsilon \omega v i s, ~ \Lambda \eta \tau o \delta \omega \rho i s ~ \dot{\eta}$ кai $\Delta$. d. of Ptolema 1278.6.
atóvvoros god 1283. 17, 21.
dios 1298. 15 .
sios, Aùp. $\Delta$. s. of Aur. Horion 1296. 1, 2 I. $\Delta$ іо́бкороя 1297. і ; 1321.
$\Delta$ úoкороs, Aùp. $\Delta$. s. of Theon 1276. 27.
$\Delta$ ıórкороs freedman of Sarapion 1263. 3.
atórкopos sitologus, s. of Omophris 1259.5. $\Delta$ tó́корая, Ф入auvíos $\Delta .1305$.
Аıобкоиріїŋs 1347.
 1255. I.
$\Delta o_{[ }^{[ }$f. of Sarapion 1263. 5 .

$\Delta \omega \rho \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon o s ~ 1300.7$.

[^3]'Eтıфávios, Aùp. 'E. s. of Joseph 1320.
'Eppaios, $\Delta$ เoyévns ó kai ${ }^{\text {'E. city-scribe 1263. i. }}$
${ }^{\text {'E }}$ Epuavoûpıs priest, s. of Harbes and f. of Aur. Haruotes 1256. i 1.
'E $\rho$ pâs 1292. 9.
'Epuias f. of Panus 1328.

 1275.4.
'E $\sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\text { us }}$ m. of Tekosis 1282. 12.
'Eqoúp f. of Peter 1300. го.
Еü aí $^{\prime} \mu \nu 1348$.
Eidaí $\omega \nu$ f. of Aurelia Thaësis 1273. r.
Evidaí $\omega \nu$ f. of Sarapion 1253. i i.
Ev̉торíw f. of Aur. Ammonianus 1280. 3.
Eủt $о$ отía 1334.
$\operatorname{Eit}\left(v_{\chi}\right)$ f. of Aur. Pat( ) 1283. 3.

Firmus, Valerius F. praefect 1271. 7.
Zeús god 1265. 8.
Z wílos adjutant 1253. i2.
Zwitos s. of Apollonius and f. of Zoillus 1267. 7.

Z wì os $\beta$ on $\theta$ ós 1342.
Z $\omega$ ídos, 'Ioúdıos $\Theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ó каì Z . s. of Gaius Julius Alexander 1264. 5 .
Zwìios f. of M. Aur. Nicocles 1274. 4 .
Zwídos s. of Theon 1316.
Zwidos s. of Zoïlus and f. of Horion 1267. $7,14$.
Zwis 1291. I.
'H 1 tóówpos keeper of the archives 1268. 2.
"Hpa goddess 1265. 8.
'Hpaîs 1300. 4.
'Hpaits m. of Aurelia Meithous 1276. 2.
'Hpais m. of Aur. Eudaemon 1273. i.
'Hpaígkos 1299. i1.
'Hраклâs f. of Aur. Aphunchis 1275. 3 .
 1274. 4.
'Нраклєіїךs 1270. 10.
 $21,44$.

'Hpakतeións f. of Heras 1282. 6.
'Hрák̀єtos s. of Horus 1281. I I.

＇Hрáклєєos s．of Plutarchus 1252．verso 26.
Нра́клєios $\sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s ~ 1328$.
Нра́кд $\quad$ оs praefect 1313.
＇Hракл $\bar{s}$ s，Av̀ $\rho$ ．＇H．senator，s．of Coelacius 1261． 4.
${ }^{\text {＇H}}{ }^{12}$ аккл oìs m．of Aur．Hermogenes 1275． 5.
＇Hракдойs m．of Sarapion 1317.
＇Нракл（ ）1296．Із．
＇Hpâs d．of Apollonius 1267．i6．
＇Hpâs s．of Calathus 1272．14，17， 20.
＇Hpâs f．of Diogenes 1287． 5 ．
＇Hpâs d．of Heraclides and m．of Thnas 1282．5．
${ }^{\text {＇Hpüs }} \mathrm{f}$ ．of Heras 1268． 3.
${ }^{\text {＇Hpâs s．of Heras 1268．} 3 .}$
${ }^{\text {＇Hpâs d．of Hera［ } 1306 . ~}$
＇H $\rho a[$ f．of Heras 1306.
${ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H} \omega \dot{\delta} \delta \eta s$, A $\dot{\nu} \rho$ ．＇H．ex－gymnasiarch，s．of Apion and f．of Aurelia Aristous 1274．I．
＇H $\mathrm{H} \omega \dot{0}$ ìns f．of Ptolemaeus 1270．2， 17.
＇Haúxıos（Hatxos Pap．） 1303.
$\Theta a \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$, Aipp $\lambda i a$ ． ．d．of Eudaemon and $m$ ．of Aurelia Tausiris 1273．1，20， 45.
Өaïroûs m．of Aur．Onnophris 1275．3．
Өarp $\mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{m}$ ．of［．．］tris 1256． 17.
 $2 \mathrm{I}, 43$.
Өєóónpos 1297．5，I4．
өєóфілоs 1323.
Ө́́pıos centurion 1258． 8.
Өєp $\mu$ ovitiov d．of Plution 1266．16， 33.

Ө́єш» 1262．introd．；1293．i；1300． 3 ； 1348.
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ f．of Amoïs 1282． 20.
Ө́ $\epsilon \nu \nu$ f．of Apollos 1291．in．
$\theta^{\prime} \omega \nu, A \hat{u} \rho$ ．$\Theta$ ．s．of Ammonius also called Aphunchis 1277． 3.
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu, A \dot{\imath} \rho$ ．$\Theta$ ．chief－priest $\mathbf{1 2 5 2}$ ．recto $\mathbf{1}, 22,45$ ．
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ ，Aìp．$\Theta$ ．ó кaì N $\epsilon \pi \omega \tau \iota a \nu o ́ s ~ 1273 . ~ 2, ~ 48 . ~$
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Dioscorus 1276． 28.
$\Theta^{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Menches 1254．I7．
Ө $\epsilon \omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Papontos 1255．3．
$\Theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Silvanus 1307.
Ө $\epsilon$ © $\nu$ f．of Claudia 1267． 9.
Ө＇$\epsilon \boldsymbol{\omega}$ f．of Diogenes 1270． 20.
 Julius Alexander 1264． 4.
 nome 1257．4， 16.
Ө＇َ $\omega \nu$ f．of Theon and Zoillus 1316.

Ө $\epsilon$＇$\omega$ s．of Theon 1316.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \nu a ̀ s ~ s . ~ o f ~ I s i d o r u s ~ 1269 . ~ 2, ~ I 3, ~ 34 ~(~ Ө ' ~ \epsilon ~ \omega \nu) . ~$
$\theta \epsilon \omega \nu i s$ d．of Horus 1276． 8.
Өvâs d．of Petosorapis 1282．5，19，25， 29.
Өойрıs，＇Aө $\begin{aligned} & \text { иâ } \dot{\eta} \text { каì } \Theta \text { ．goddess 1268．7．}\end{aligned}$
Өоитахра́тクs s．of Paapis 1282． 7.
Ө́̀vıos，Aiv ． ．exegetes 1252．recto $17,21,43$ ．
Өஸ́vlos，Aìp．$\theta$ ．priest，s．of Demetrius 1265. 6， 26.
ө̂̀us 1299． 4.
Өิ้̄s s．of Petosorapis and f．of Tekosis 1282．II．
‘＇t́pag 1286．introd．； 1327.
 Cynopolite nome 1254．i，i4．
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{I} \lambda$ cs 1297． 12.
＇Iov́dıos，「áaos＇I．＇A入 $\epsilon$＇gavópos f．of Julius Theon also called Zoîlus 1264．5．
 Alexander 1264． 4.
＇Iov̂qros，＇A $\pi a$＇I． 1311.
＇Ioâs f．of Sarapas and Sarapous 1269．Io， 19，24，35．

＇Ifíiopos f．of Theonas 1269．2，i3．
＇I $\sigma i \omega \nu$ s．of Psaïs 1299．1， 2 I．
＇I $\sigma \chi v \rho a ̂ s ~(=' I \sigma \chi v p i ́ \omega \nu)$ 1292．І，I7．
＇I $\sigma \chi v \rho i \omega \nu$（ $=$＇I $\sigma \chi v \rho a ̄ s$ ）1291．1，I5．

＇I $\omega$ ஏ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tos 1281．} 9 .\end{aligned}$
＇I $\omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi$ f．of Aur．Epiphanius 1320.
Kádatos f．of Heras 1272．I4．
Kaдaîpls，A $\hat{v}_{\rho}$ ．K．s．of Petenouphis 1256．6，

Ка́локоз 1299．І 5 ．
Kápтos 1294． 8.
Ка́ттшן 1293． $3^{8 .}$
Ká $\sigma \tau \omega \rho$, Aì $\rho$ ．K．1286． 13 ．
Káaтюp s．of Damostratus 1298．i1．
Kav́vioos（1．Kvivt．？）Пav৯ivos praefect 1266． 25. Ké $\lambda \epsilon \rho$ archidicastes 1270．5， 12.
к $\lambda a v \delta i a \mathrm{~d}$ ．of Theon and m．of Zoilus 1267． 8.
 1255．1．
К入av́óıos，Tıßéfıos K．هıơévqs ex－cosmetes，f． of Tiberius Claudius Diogenes 1284． 8.
клav́óıos，Tıßépıos K．$\Delta \iota o \gamma \in ́ \iota \eta s$ s．of Tiberius Claudius Diogenes 1284．7．

клє́ $\omega \nu$ 1294． 9.
Kot̀ákıos f．of Aur．Heracles 1261． 4.
Kó $\lambda \lambda$ ov $\begin{aligned} & \text { os } 1291 . ~ \\ & 6 .\end{aligned}$
Ко́八入ov $\begin{gathered}\text { os } \mathrm{f} \text { ．of Diemous 1272．} 26 . ~\end{gathered}$
Kód $\lambda_{\text {ou }} \theta_{o s} \lambda \in \gamma$ ．Фалоûs，f．of Psatres 1293． 4 I． Ko入入оûरıs 1296． 14.
Кот $\rho$ єâs 1333.
Kотреи́s s．of Sarapammon 1275．8， 1 I， 2 I， 24. Kópa $\xi$ ，＇$\Omega \phi є \lambda a ̂ s ~ o ́ ~ к а і ̀ ~ K . ~ 1279 . ~ 2, ~ 29 . ~$
Koopìs monk 1338.
Kúpì入os，О ${ }^{2} \lambda \pi \tau o s$ K．catholicus 1260． 7.
Kvpıえ入oûs 1300． 8.
Aaıт́́pıos vó $\mu$ оs 1274．I3．
А $a \mu a \sigma \hat{a}[s]$, Av́p．A．s．of Pepirius 1320.
лац ．．$\sigma \omega \nu 1322$.
Aєvкáóos 1324.
＾єن́каутаs（1．Аєن́каиӨos ？）f．of Philoxenus 1338.
 1278． 6.
$\Lambda \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$ goddess 1256．I2．
 1274． 4.
Aoúkıos f．of Aur．Heraclides also called Lucius 1274． 5 ．
＾ovкри́тьos Neinos strategus 1262．I．
Maเкเаขウ́，Aủpŋ入ía M．1271． 2.
Maкрóßıos，Ф入．Mapávıos ó kaì M．logistes 1265. 5； 1303.

Mapía m．of Peter 1300．2， 1 I．
Mapкia m．of Aur．Sinthonis also called Apia 1268． 7.
 I， 17.
Mápкоs A $\dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$ Nıкок $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ex－gymnasiarch，s．of Zoïlus 1274． 3 ．
Mápкоs f．of Saras 1293．5， 30.
Maptúptas 1328.
Мартúptos бv́ $\mu \mu a \chi$ оs 1323.
$\mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\eta}, \mathrm{~A} \dot{v} \rho$. M．comarch，s．of Theon 1254. 17， 3 I， 33 ．
Meïoûs，Aúp $\lambda$ 入ía M．d．of Apion 1276．1，2， 24.
Me $\lambda$ avos 1296．I5．

Mийтьs 1324.
 I， 17.
M $\omega$ pos 1288． $13,20,25,26$.

Nєı入âs 1345
Neìdos 1337.
Nєî̀os，$\Lambda o u k p \dot{j} \tau$ los N．strategus 1262．I．
Nє $\epsilon \omega \tau$ tavós，Aùp．Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ ò kaì N．1273．2， 48.
Nє $\in \theta \in \nu i ̂ \beta$ ss s．of Horus 1287． 22.
Níкадঠроs basilicogrammateus 1266． 27.
Níк m ．of Aur．Agathodaemon 1276．I．
Nıкокл $\eta$ s，Ма́ркоs Aú $\rho$ ．N．ex－gymnasiarch， S ． of Zoillus 1274． 3 ．
Nóvya 1288．16；1300． 4.
Nóvyos 1337.
＇Oגßavós（ $=$＇ $\mathrm{O} \rho \beta$ ．？）f．of Aur．Anicetus 1260. 3， 25.
＇Oиаía 1300． 4.
 1275．I，10， 23.
＇O $\mathrm{O} \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ pıs f ．of Dioscorus 1259． 5 ．
Oủa入є pıavós 1329.
Oża入éptos Поцтŋıavós praefect 1252．recto 2， 28.

Ov̉a入́́pıos Фípuos praefect 1271．I．Valerius Firmus 1271． 7.
Oủ入тıos Kúpı $\lambda \lambda$ os catholicus 1260． 7 ．
Пайтıs f．of Thompachrates 1282．8．
Паү⿳⺈Хıs，A $\dot{\rho}$ ．П．s．of Ptollion 1280． 18.
$\Pi a \theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \hat{\theta} \theta_{\iota s} 1348$.
Палєoûs 1326.
Пa入入aঠ́tâs 1288．8，I I．
Пан $\hat{\eta}$ ，А $\dot{v} \rho$ ．І．s．of Peter 1280．1， 15.
Пá $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ ८лos basilicogrammateus 1266． 2.
Пavápךs 1269．24， 27.
$\Pi a v \in \tau \beta a \hat{\imath} \iota s$ f．of Aur．Silvanus 1254．I 6.
חávos s．of Hermias 1328.
Пav $\rho \hat{\mu} \mu \iota$ f．of Harpaësis 1281． 4.
Патоутิิs s．of Amoïs 1282．I9，25， 27.
Палоутิิs，Аن́p．П．comarch，s．of＇Theon 1255． 3 ．
Патоитиิs f．of Ptolemaeus 1287．S．
Пapávıos，Ф入aov́ıos П．ó кai Maкрóßıos logistes 1265．5； 1303.
Патєр $\mu$ ои̂ 七s $^{\text {l }}$ 1296．I I．
Патєр $о \hat{\imath} \theta \iota s$, A $\dot{v} \rho$ ．П．comarch，s．of Saprion 1256．5， 23.
Пат（ ），Aن̀．П．tax－collector，s．of Eut（ych ） 1283． 3.
Пavגivos，Kaúvtios（？）П．praefect 1266． 25.
Паи̂خos 1324.
Пầ入as ن́тпрє́т 1328.

חavotpâs f．of Charmus 1267． 6.
Havgipıs f．of Didymus 1259． 6.
Пє $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ \mu$ 1270． 29.
$\Pi \epsilon \pi i p t o s$ f．of Aur．Lamasas 1320.
$\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu 0 \imath ̂ \phi \iota s$ f．of Aur．Kalaümis 1256． 6.
петоoipts，À̀ $\rho$ ．I．s．of Taseus and f．of Aurelia Taseus 1268．5，8， 14.
Пєтобораิтьs f．of Thnas and Tekosis 1282．5， 8.
Пєтобора̄тıs f．of Thonis 1282．i I．
пе́троs 1341.
Пє́троs，Аv̉p．П．s．of Tauris 1254． 25.
Пéxpos f．of Aur．Pamea 1280．i，i5．
Пéтроs ßoŋӨós（1） 1322 ；（2） 1329.
Пє́тpos s．of Esour 1300．Іо．
Пе́троs s．of Maria 1300．2， 12.
Пєтрஸ́vios $\Delta$ torv́бtos strategus 1279．I．
плоvбia（плоvбп Рар．）1300． 4.
П入очта́рх $\eta$ 1293． 3 I．
Плои́тархоs，Өє́ఱу of каi П．strategus of the Tanite nome 1257． 4.
Плои́тархоs f．of Heracleus 1252．verso 26.
плои́тархоs f．of Plution 1266． 2 I．
II outín s．of Plutarchus and f．of Thermou－ thion 1266． 20.
$\Pi \lambda о v \tau i \omega \nu$ s．of Psammis 1266． 33.
Поликлєíóas 1270． 23.
 2， 28.
По́тл七оя 1287．7．
Побıঠ́ఱ́vlos ó кaì Tpláסє $\lambda \phi$ оs 1259． 2.
прі́ткоз 1341.
Проітоs f．of Achilles 1281．I 4.
$\Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu a$ d．of Dionysotheon and $m$ ．of Didyme and Letodoris 1278． 7.
Пто入є $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ îos 1295．5； 1342.
Птодє $\mu$ aíos gymnasiarch 1333.
Птолєرаios s．of Herodes 1270．2，i 6， 46.
Птодє $\mu$ aios s．of Papontos 1287． 8.
Hтo入入api$\omega \nu$ f．of Ammonius 1252．verso 32.
IIто入入i $\omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Pagochis 1280． 18.
Птод ．．．1280． 20.
$\Sigma a \pi \rho i \omega v$ f．of Aur．Patermouthis 1256． 5 ．
$\Sigma а р а \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu 1252$. verso 26.
$\Sigma а р а т а ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu, ~ A v ̉ \rho . ~ \Sigma . ~ s . ~ o f ~ D i o g e n e s ~ 1276 . ~ 32 . ~$
$\Sigma \alpha \rho a \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ f．of Copreus 1275． 8.
ミaparâs 1294．I7．
乏apatâs s．of Isas 1269．7，17．
ミapartás 1262．introd．；1268．19．
ミapartás m．of Apollonarion 1267．4．

ミapartás，Aúp $\lambda i i^{a} \mathrm{\Sigma}$ ．d．of Arius and m．of Aur．Origenes 1277．ェ， 22.
इapani $\omega \nu$ 1244．introd．；1256．2；1272．2， 26 ；1287． 20 ； 1349.
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu, \operatorname{A} \dot{v} p . \Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu 0$ о́ коi $\Sigma$ ．s．of Agathinus 1276． 3.
इapari $\omega \nu$ banker，s．of Eudaemon 1253．in．
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu \mathrm{f}$ ．of Diogenes 1316.
Eapariar s．of Dio［ and f．of Sarapion 1263． 4.
$\sum \alpha \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ f．of Epimachus 1262． 3.
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ exegetes 1269．4，I2．
इapari
Sapani $\omega \nu$ s．of Sarapion 1263． 4 ．
$\Sigma a p a \pi i \omega \nu$ scribe of the record－office 1270．I I．
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ ，Ф $\lambda a o v ́ t o s ~ \Sigma$ ．centurion 1261． 3 ．
$\Sigma а \rho a \pi o v ̂ s$ d．of Isas 1269．8，І 7.
इapar［，Aùp．इ． 1306.
इapâs 1292． 8.
Eapâs s．of Marcus 1293．5，12，19， 30.

इєкойдб́os 1258． 2.
$\Sigma$ £oùplavós praepositus 1261． 8.
$\Sigma є \pi \tau i \mu$ тоs Хаири́ $\mu \omega$ 1283． 15.
$\Sigma$ £ратíw 1321.
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s 1335$.
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\nu} \nu o s, A v ̉ \rho$ ．$\Sigma$ ．ó каi $\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ s．of Agathinus 1276． 3 ．
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \eta \bar{\eta}$ os basilicogrammateus 1262． 2 ．
$\Sigma_{\ell} \lambda \beta$ avós，Avij．$\Sigma$ ．s．of Ammonius 1260． 29.
$\Sigma \iota \lambda \beta a \nu o ́ s$, Aijp．$\Sigma$ ．comarch，s．of Panetbauis 1254．16， 3 I， 32.
$\Sigma i \lambda \beta a v o ́ s, ~ A u ̀ \rho . ~ \Sigma . ~ s . ~ o f ~ T h e o n ~ 1307 . ~$
$\Sigma \iota \nu \theta o \omega \omega \nu s$ m．of Ammon［ 1270．I 9.
$\Sigma \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota s, A u ́ \rho \eta \lambda i a \Sigma$ ．$\dot{\eta}$ каì＇Aria d．of Dionysius 1268．7，ㅇ， 15.
इonjpts m．of Theonas 1269．3， 14 （Sonpoûs）．
ミonpoûs．See Eoñpıs．
ミovt ípıos $\Sigma \omega \sigma$ ißıos strategus 126 6． 26.
ミúpa m．of Ision 1299．r， 2 I．
$\Sigma u p i \omega \nu$ amphodogrammateus 1267．I．
Eúpos f．of Apollonius 1282． 9.
इ $\dot{\omega} \zeta \omega \nu$ 1253． 13.

Taap［ m．of Aurelia Taseus 1268． 8.
Ta $\omega \omega \lambda a \hat{s} \mathrm{~d}$ ．of Aphunchis 1287．16．
Tapiéa m．（？）of Aur．Dius 1296． 9.
Tanı入ov̂s m．of Apia 1288．18， 21.
Tátròos 1286． 2.

Tamorıpıás m．of Aur．Serenus also called Sarapion 1276． 4 ．

Ta $\sigma \epsilon \hat{v}$ m．of Aur．Petosiris 1268． 6.
Taбóts 1295．1， 22.
Taûpıs m．of Aur．Peter 1254． 26.
Tavрібкоs $\pi \rho \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ 1253．14， 17.
Tavaípıs 1294． 16.
Tavoípts，Aujpף入ia T．d．of Aurelia Thaësis 1273． 3.
Tavoipis m．of Aur．Aphunchis 1275． 4.
Taұิิәıs 1299． 13 ．
Tєк $\bar{\sigma} \iota s \mathrm{~m}$ ．of Amoïs 1282．Io．
Tєк $\omega \sigma \iota s$ d．of Petosorapis and m ．of Thom－ pachrates 1282． 8.
T $\epsilon \kappa \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ d．of Thonis 1282．II．
T $\epsilon v \theta_{\text {fis }}$ 1287． 2 I．
T $\epsilon \chi \omega \sigma o u ̄ s$ m．of Aurelia Ammonia 1284．I3．
Tıß＇́pıos K Tiberius Claudius Diogenes 1284． 8.
Tıßépıos Kגav́ótos $\Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ s．of Tiberius Claudius Diogenes 1284． 7.
 II．
Т८цлєбойpıs 1296．х 6.
Тір $\boldsymbol{T}^{\text {1260．}} 5$.
Т $\nu \in \phi \epsilon \rho \circ$ ûs 1296．Io．
Tעєфєрбóts 1269． 3 I．


 1259． 2.
T $\rho \dot{v} \phi \omega \nu$ f．of Aur．Arsinoüs 1273． 4.
T $\rho \omega$ winos 1298．I5．
T $\sigma \epsilon \nu \phi a \tau \rho \bar{\eta} s, \Delta \iota \delta \dot{\imath} \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ ка̀ T．m．of Apollonius 1262． 12.

Valerius Firmus，praefect 1271． 7.
Фa入oûs，ษaг $\bar{\eta} s$ s．of Colluthus Ф．$\lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$ 1293． 41.
Tavias s．of Apollonius 1330.
$\Phi a[.] \ldots \eta \delta(\quad), \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota o s$ ó каі Ф．ex－exegetes 1262． 4.
It $\lambda_{\text {éas ex－magistrate of Alexandria } 1252 .}$ recto $18,30,34$ ．
$\Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi о s, A \grave{j} \rho$ ．Ф．ó каi ${ }^{〔} \Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ strategus 1260．1．
$\Phi \iota \lambda o ́ \xi \in \nu 0 s 1336$.
Фı入óg $\epsilon \nu 0 s$ s．of Leucantus 1338.

Ф८лоข $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ 1293．I， 43.
Фi入oûs 1296．Io．
$\Phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ f．of Apollonia 1344.
$\Phi \downarrow \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau a s$ f．of Aur．．．．．．．sis 1275． 5 ．
Фípноs，Оv̉àє́рıоs $\Phi$ ．praefect 1271．I．Valerius Firmus 1271． 7.
Фגaoúlos Пaрávios ó каi Maкрóßlos logistes 1265． 5 ； 1303.
Флaov́los इaparíw centurion 1261． 3 ．
Фоьßá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ 1300． 4.
Фшкіш strategus 1270．I．
Saıрéas，K入av́ôıos $\Delta \iota о \sigma к о и р i o ̂ \eta s ~ o ́ ~ к а i ~ X . ~ s t r a t e g u s ~$ 1255．1．
Xaıрךноvis，Aủpŋ入ía $\Delta ı o \nu v \sigma ı a ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta}$ каì X． 1278. $2,16$.
Хаı $\eta \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu, \Sigma_{\epsilon \pi \tau i \mu t o s ~ X . ~ 1283 . ~ I ~}^{5}$.
Xápuos s．of Pausiras 1267．5．
＊âıs f．of Ision 1299．I， 2 I．
$\Psi a ́ \mu \mu e s$ s．of Ballarus and f．of Dionysius also called Amoïs 1266．7， 9 ．
$\Psi a ́ \mu \mu s$ s．of Dionysius also called Amoïs and f ．of Plution 1266． 40.
ษaт $\bar{\eta} s \mathrm{~s}$ ．of Colluthus $\Phi a \lambda o i ̂ s \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ 1293．40．
чє́кๆ 1299．І 7．
＇$\Omega к є а \nu о ́ s ~ 1305$.
＇$\Omega \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$, Aùp．＇$\Omega$ ．s．of Aurelia Sarapias 1277. 26.
＇$\rho \frac{\rho}{}{ }^{\omega} \omega \nu$ 1296．I9；1299．II．
＇$\Omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ о кай＇A $\pi i \omega \nu$ basilicogrammateus 1259．S．
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu, ~ A u j$ ．＇$\Omega$ ．comarch，s．of Archelaus 1255． 4.
 1260．1．
${ }^{\prime} \Omega \rho i \omega \nu, A v j \rho$ ．＇$\Omega$ ．f．of Aur．Dius 1296．I， 22.
＇Spi $\omega \nu$ s．of Zoïlus 1267．I 5 ．
＂$\Omega \rho o s$ f．of Heracleus 1281．In．
${ }^{5} \Omega^{2} \rho o s$ f．of Nechthenibis 1287． 23.
${ }^{\text {}}$＇$\Omega \rho o s$ f．of Theonis 1276． 8.


## VI．GEOGRAPHICAL．

## （a）Countries，Nomes，Cities，Toparchies．

Aї ${ }^{\gamma} v \pi \tau$ тos 1253.2 ；1271．1； 1313.
＇А入є ${ }^{\prime}$ áv $\nu \rho \epsilon$ ta 1252．recto 20,30 ；1274．9； 1288．17， 34 ；1291． 9 ；1295．12．$\dot{\eta}$ $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho о \tau$ т́т ${ }^{\text {＇A．1254．6，} 22 \text { ；1260．I3．}}$ Фápos 1271． 3.
＇$А \lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu \chi$ đ́ $\rho a$ 1274． 8.
＇Avtivocús 1268． 4.
＇А $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu о \pi о \lambda i \tau \eta s(\nu о \mu o ́ s) 1293.43$.

Ваßu入่ $\nu$ 1261．7．
$\Delta$ เoто入ítๆs（ขо䒑ós）1255． 2.
＇Eג入Пयiкós 1260．5．
＇Елтароцía 1302.
Ө $\begin{aligned} & \text { ßais 1282．4．}\end{aligned}$
Ө $\mu$ oเбєф̀̀ топархіа 1262．13；1285． 122.
Kvvoто入ітךs（ขоцо́s）1254．1，I5；1256． 4

$\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda i \tau \eta s 1306$.

Nє́a $\pi$ о́dıs 1259． 3 ， 1 о．
ขоио́s 1253．verso 7；1257．2，5，18； 1259. 9 ；1261． 3 ；1301；1307； 1320.
＇o ${ }^{\prime} v \rho v \gamma \chi i t \eta s$（ $\nu 0 \mu o ́ s$ ）1252．recto 3,20 ，verso 7；1255．2；1257．І ；1259．І ；1260． 2 ； 1262．I；1265． 5 ；1270．6， 48 ； 1275. 25 ；1283．2；1298．17；1303； 1320.
＇О乡vрvүхıтเкòs $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o ́ s ~ 1273.7$.
 2；1278．5，9；1284．6，9，І3．$\dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \dot{a}$ каі $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho о \tau a ́ т \eta ~ ' О \xi$ ．по́л．1252．recto 23 ； 1260． 4 ；1261． 4 ；1264．2， 6 ；1280．1．

 1268．6；1269．3，14；1270．3，17； 1273. $2 ; 1276.2,6 ; 1277.5$ ；1279． 4 ； 1282. 4， 13,$22 ; 1287$ ． 1 ， 19 ．
$\pi a ̂ \gamma o s, \epsilon \pi a ̂ \gamma .1253 .19 . \quad \zeta \pi a ̂ \gamma .1253 .16$.
$\pi$ ró̀s $=$ Alexandria 1270．14．$\pi,=$ Oxy－ rhynchus 1252．verso $2,30,3^{6 ;}$ 1263． 2 ； 1265．7；1267．9；1272．3；1273．5； 1274．4，13；1276．4；1280．4； 1284. 10； 1346 （？）．
Проб由тiтๆs（ $\nu 0 \mu o ́ s) 1301$.
${ }^{'} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu$ а̂̀os 1264．I 9 ；1268． 9 ；1274． 3 ； 1276. 3 ；1277． 3.

ミเ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s$ 1271． 2.
Tavítךs（ годós）1257． 5 ．
тотархіа，«̈ $\nu \omega$ 1285．51．а̀ $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ т о v ~ 1285$. 85．Ө $\mu \circ \iota \sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega}$ 1262．І 3 ；1285．122．кáт $\omega$ 1259．6；1285．129．入ıßós 1257．I； 1285．70．$\mu$ ब́ $\eta \eta$ 1260．10；1283． 5 ； 1285． 98.

Фápos 1271． 3 ．
（b）Villages and èmoírla．

## I．Oxyrhynchite．

＇Aסaiov 1285． 86.
＇$A \theta[$ ．］$\chi$ เs 1285． 58.
＇Аขтєî̀s 1285． 68.
＇Артата́тои 1285．І 7 ，I 10.
＇A $\rho \chi$ ißiov 1285． 64.
＇Aтпрі́ои єттоі́кьоข 1322.
$\Delta \omega \sigma \iota$ ย́ои 1285．46，I 39.

＇H ${ }^{\prime} \in \nu \sigma a ̂ \iota s$（？）1252．recto $I_{7}, 25$ ．
＇Нраклєíסov є̇тоíkıov 1285.72.
${ }^{`}$＇Нраклєі̂oे 1260． 12 ；1285．9， 100.
Өิ̂入өıs（ $\Theta \mu \circ \iota \sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega}$ тотархias）1285． 123.
Ө $\hat{\lambda} \operatorname{\theta l}_{\iota s}(\kappa a ́ \tau \omega \tau о \pi) 1285.48,141.$.

$\Theta \omega \bar{\omega} \beta$ ts 1285． 52.
$\Theta[.] \ldots[1285.96$.
＇Iє́ $\neq$ 1285． 98.

＇I $\sigma$ єiov Паүүā 1255． 5 ；1285． 56.

＂I $\sigma \tau$ рov 1285．го8．
Кєркє $\begin{aligned} \text { ípıs 1285．} 70 .\end{aligned}$
Кєркєцойขıs 1285． 66.
Кєркєӣра 1285．І 16.
Кєркєир̄̄бıs 1285．23．
Kє $\sigma \mu \mathrm{\nu} \chi \iota$ เs 1285．І 24 ；1342； 1347 （？）．
Ко́ца 1285．гоб．
Ko［．］ ov 1285．I 35.
＾evкíov 1285． 82.
$\Lambda \eta \nu \bar{\omega} \nu 0$ 1285．77．
Аі́入ך 1285． 92.
Мабт ．．тıфо́рои 1285． 2 I，II4．
Мєуá̀خs Парорíov є́тоíкıоу 1327.
Mє $\lambda^{2}$ Өiov 1285．IO2．
Мєриє́ $ө a$ 1285． 54 ．
Movípov 1285． 62.
Mov̌ıvágas 1285． 79.
Movұเขapvஸ́ 1285．44， 37.
Moû̃เs 1342.
Nєце́ра 1285．i i 2.
Nє́ $\sigma \lambda a$ 1285． 6 I.
Nєбдіція 1285． 57.
Níypov 1285． 53.
Nó $\mu$ оv ধ̇тоíkıò 1285．IOI．
Zєขáp ${ }^{\circ}$ 1285． 60.
OỦє $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \beta \theta \iota \varsigma$ є่ $\pi$ oíkıov 1326.
Паєїць 1285． 76.
Пакє́ $к \boldsymbol{1 2 8 5 . ~} 89$.
Пал $\omega \sigma \iota \varsigma 1285 . ~$ г 27 ； 1342.
Паขєขєí 1285． 74.
Паल̂ $\mu$ เs 1285．I 22.
Пєєขขஸ́ 1283． 6.
Пєктvєข́тоv（？） 1312.
Пє́ла 1285．8ı．

## INDICES

Пєтєцойขи 1285．83．
Пєтєขойpıs 1285．I 19.
Пє́тขๆ 1285．І 07.
Пєт ．［．］．1285． 5 I．
П $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega$ 1285．І II．
Побоито́єs 1285． 85 －
Пov［．］$\epsilon \omega 1285.105$.
ミaס́ádov 1285． 59.

इaтúpov 1285． 95 ．
$\Sigma \in \nu a \pi \omega \theta$ is 1285．103．
ミєขaஸ́ 1279．9；1285． 78.
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ 1285.80$.
इєขย́тта 1285．120；1287．3．
इєขок $\omega$ ит 1285.73.
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{1}$ 1285．109．
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \hat{\mathrm{v}}$ ııs 1285． 63.
$\Sigma \in \rho \hat{\chi} \phi \iota s$ 1285． 7 I．
$\Sigma$ ¿́́ $\phi \theta a$ 1285．і 36 ．
इєф由́ 1285．I 25.
ェıүкє́申а 1285． 65.
 риıтікós 1281． 6.
Soûıs 1275．7；1285．47， 140.
इ р́p $\omega$ 1268． 3 ；1285．75．
Таацлє́ $\mu$ оу 1285． 88.
Таколкїєє 1285．20， 1 I 3.
Tако́va 1285．І 30.
Ta入aஸ́ 1285．І 3 I．
Тал $\omega \pi \iota \tau \epsilon i$ 1285．24，II7．
Taváts 1285． 99.
$\mathrm{T} \epsilon \xi \in i$ 1285． 1 I 8.
Tєpû $\begin{aligned} & \text { is } 1285.87 .\end{aligned}$
Tท̄ıs 1262．І3，І9；1285．І 26 ； 1342.
Tú $\downarrow$ เข Фаү（ ）1285．1 29.
Фоßஸ́ou 1285． 90.
$\mathrm{X} v$ vıธ 1285.67.
$\Psi \omega \hat{\beta} \beta \iota \varsigma$（ à $\eta \lambda$ с＇о́тоv тотархías）1285． 94.
$\Psi \bar{\omega} \beta \theta \iota \varsigma$（ка́т $\omega$ тот．）1259．7；1285．ェ33．
$\Psi \omega \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota S}(\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta s$ тот．）1285．22，II5．
${ }^{3} \Omega \phi$ is 1285． 9 I．
［．．．．．．］avp ．［．1285． 40.

## 2. Miscellaneous.

Aav́pa (Cynopolite) 1256. 7, 16, 24, 26. П . . [.] $]$ єipıs 1252. recto 1.
(c) ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi о \delta а$ OF OXYRHYNCHUS.

Bоррâ Kрךтîoos 1284. Іо.
'Epuaiov 1263. 7.
'І $\pi \pi$ обро́́цоv 1258. 2.
Мироßадávov 1276. 6; 1306.

Нраклєíסov каі 'Нраклєíסov 1279. Іо.
$\mathrm{Ta} \cdot \pi(\quad)$ (Apollinopolite) 1293. 43. - $\rho \hat{v} \theta$ ıs (Cynopolite) 1254. 3, 18.

Nótov $\Delta$ ро́ $\mu$ оv 1266. 22.
П $\lambda$ atєías 1267. I7.
T $\epsilon \mu \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ$ и́ $\theta \epsilon \omega S$ 1268. I I.
(d) $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ \iota$.
$\mid$ Подиклєíov 1270. 23.

## (e) Miscellaneous.

入ovтрà ס̂ךцóбıa 1252. verso 22.
 Пєктvєย́тov (village ?) 1312.
 II.

## VII. RELIGION.

(a) Pagan.
(I) Gods.
'AӨŋ⿲â $\dot{\eta}$ каì Өойpıs 1268. 7.
'A $\nu$ oûßıs 1256. I2.
В $\bar{\sigma} \iota \varsigma 1272$. Іо.
 Zeús 1265. 8.
${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \rho a$ 1265. 8.
 1256. із ; 1265. 8. Cf. Index II.

Өо̄̄pıs, 'АӨ $\eta \nu a ̂ ~ \dot{\eta}$ кà Ө. 1268. 7.
Аŋтஸ́ 1256. 12.
(2) Temples, \&c.
$\beta \omega \mu o ́ s ~ 1258 . ~ 8 . ~$
'Hраклєіिข . See Index VI (b).
iєрóv 1256. 18; 1258. 8. 'Avoúßıסos каi


$\pi \rho \omega \tau о \lambda o ́ \gamma \iota \mu а$ 1256. I2. i. $\Delta$ iòs каì " $\mathrm{H} p a s$ каì т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ av $\nu \nu a ́ \omega \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma .1265 .8$.
'I $\sigma \epsilon$ iov. See Index VI (b).
vaòs $\theta \in o v ̂ \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma t o v ̂ K a i \sigma a p o s ~ 1256 . ~ I 4 . ~$ т́́ $\mu \in \nu 0 s$ 1258. 9.
(3) Priests.

ảpХเєрєن́s 1252. recto 22.
${ }^{i} \in \rho \in \iota a$ 1256. 7.
iep $\ell$ v́s 1256. 8, 12 ; 1265. 7, 20, 2 I ; 1297.



бои́бךs 1265. 9, 2 I (om. каі̀ עiк. aủt. $\pi \rho$.).


## (b) Christian.

" $\mathrm{A} \pi$ a 'Iov̄ซтos 1311.
àтотакти́р 1311.
$\theta$ єós 1299. 6. кúplos $\theta .1298 .4$; 1299. 4 ; 1300. 2.

## VIII．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TITLES．

 verso 17 ．
à үораขо́цоs 1282． 46.
ả $\gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta_{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime} \sigma$ баs 1284． 9.
à $\mu$ фобоүра $\mu \mu а т є$ v́s 1267．I．
ä $\rho \xi$ аs＇$A \lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$ ías 1252．recto 30.
à $\rho \chi$ ク́ 1252．recto 10 ，verso $28,35,37$.


 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ крıт $\rho^{\prime} i \omega \nu$（A．D． 159 ） 1270． 12.
ảpхєєpєús 1252．recto 22.

ä $\rho \chi$ оутєs 1252．verso 23 ．
ßабı入ıкخ̀ үраццатєía 1274．8．Сf．ßaбı入ıкòs $\gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є i ́ s$.

 （A．D．197）1262．2．＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ó каі̀＇А $\pi i \omega \nu$（A．D． 2 II－I2）1259．8．＇А $\chi \iota \lambda \lambda i \omega \nu$ о́ каì＇А $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega$＇－

 cent．）1274． 7.
 $\sigma i \omega \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ 1256． 2.
ßoŋӨós 1257．8，9；1322；1329；1342．$\beta \circ \eta \theta$ ． траитобі́тои 1253．16， 19.
ßovえєvти́s 1252．recto 23 ；1261． 4 ；1268． 2 ； 1274． 2 ；1278． 5 ；1284．6， $9,19$.
ßou入í 1252．verso 6，9，23， 27.


 1263． 2.
 1278．8；1284．I9．
 4；1333．то̀ тá $\gamma \mu a \tau \omega ิ \nu \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \iota a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu ~ 1252$. verso 24 ．
§єкатрюті́а 1257．І，3，1о， 19.
ঠєка́трштоя 1255．9，І7；1257．І $2, ~ І 5 ; 1260$. IO， 19.
ঠпро́vıos т $\rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta i ́ \tau \eta s$ 1284．6，І9．

 （A．D． 272 ）1264． 8.
 є̋ $\pi a \rho \chi \circ \nu) \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu 1253.5$.

є́кßо入є＇ن＇s 1301.
є’छŋ $\eta \eta \tau \epsilon$＇́vas 1262． 5 ；1263． 2.
${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta$＇1252．recto 22,$44 ; 1269.5$ ．iєpєis

 289）1252．recto 20.
є̈тархоs 1253．2，23．See $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．
 1257．I 3.

 є่ $\pi \iota \mu$. ．．1261． 4.
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ ра́тךүos 1302.

 10．є̇тiт．т $\mathfrak{s}$ Фápou 1271．4．
єن̉Өクนtáp $\chi \eta$ 1252．verso 24.
єن́Өnvtapxía 1252．verso 29，33．
 verso 17 ．
ŋ̀ $\gamma є \mu о \nu$ ía 1252．recto 19.
$\dot{\eta} \not \subset \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ 1252．verso 14， 18 ；1305．Kav́utıos （1．Kvivtios？）Пav入єivos（A．D．72－3） 1266.
 cent．）1313．Ov̉a入є́pıos Фípuos ëтapх．Aí\％． （A．D．246）1271．I，（Valerius Firmus） 7.
 introd．Ov̉a入є́pıos Поцттıаvós（А．D．288－9） 1252．recto 2，（ $\delta$ ठıаб $\eta \mu$ о́татоs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ Ои̉a入．Поцт．，А．D．289） 27 ．Cf．є̈тархоs．

 кєข $\eta \eta$ и́́pıos 1253． 8.
кєфалаเшті́s 1253．І 6，19； 1330.
ко́ $\mu \eta$ s 1335.
кориıкоълáptos 1253．і 2.
кортıàós 1253． 4.
коб $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma a s ~ 1284.8$.

кшца́рхךs 1254．3，І 8；1255．5；1256．7，24； 1301.

入oүıбтŋ́s，Фגaovíos Пaрávtos ó каì Макрóßıos（А．D． 336） 1265.5 ； 1303.

ขоцоүра́фоs 1279． 3 1．
$\pi а \lambda а \iota \sigma \tau \rho о ф u ́ \lambda a \xi$ 1266． 8.
 єंтì $\pi a \rho a \lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \psi \epsilon \omega$ каì $\pi a \rho a \delta$ ．$\sigma \pi .1262 .6$.
$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \cup \tau$ ク́s 1257． 5 ．
$\pi \rho а \iota т о ́ \sigma \iota т о я ~ 1261 . ~ 8 . ~ \pi р а и т . ~ \pi a ́ \gamma о и ~ 1253 . ~ і 6, ~$ 19， 2 I ．
 1258． 3 ．

$\pi \rho u \tau a v e i a ~ 1252$. verso 19.
$\pi \rho$ útavıs 1252．verso 13 ．
$\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ 1253．4，І 4，I7．

бєто入óyos 1259． 6 ；1288． 27.
 ठóvє由s $\sigma \pi .1262 .6$.
бто́лархоs 1331 （？）．
бт $a \tau \eta \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} a s, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ ．See $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s$.
бтрат $\eta$ रós 1252．verso $3^{8 ;} 1253.22 ; 1257$. I8；1270．6， 48 ；1294． 3 ； 1307. （Alexandria）Kє́ $\lambda \epsilon \rho \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \sigma \tau \rho$ ．$\tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ （before A．D．I59）1270．I4．（Cynopolite
 1254．I，I4．（Diopolite nome）K $\lambda a v \delta^{\circ} \iota o s$
 1255．I．（Oxyrhynchite nome）［＇A $\pi \Delta \lambda \lambda \omega$＇］uns （A．D．45）1258．7．इout ́́plos $\Sigma \omega \sigma i \beta l o s$ бтратךүи́бая（А．D．72－3）1266．26．Пєтрஸ́－ vios $\Delta \iota o v u ́ \sigma t o s ~(A . D . ~ I 39) ~ 1279 . ~ І . ~ Ф \omega к і ́ \omega \nu ~$
 197）1262．I．$\Delta_{i}^{\prime} \delta v \mu o s(A . D .211-12) 1259$. I，26．Aúpj́入ıos＇A $\rho \pi о к \rho a t i \omega \nu$（A．D．219） 1283．1．Aủ $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi о s ~ o ́ ~ к а i ̀ ~ ' ~ \Omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~$ （A．D．286）1260．I，I8．Aúp $\eta^{\lambda} \lambda \cos { }^{~} \mathrm{H} \rho a-$


 （A．D．292）1255．I．（Prosopite nome）
 cent．）1301．（Tanite nome）Өє́ $\omega \nu$ ó kai П入ои́тарХоs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu$（3rd cent．）1257．4．
 тоє $\sigma \tau \rho .1261 .8$.
$\sigma u ́ \mu \mu a \chi$ os 1323 （？）．
бvбтátŋs 1328.
тá $\gamma \mu a$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma เ a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu$ 1252．verso 24.
 т та́тє§а，ঠŋцобía т $\rho$ ．1283．І 4.

ข́тŋре́тทs 1328 ； 1339.
íтор $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a r o \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o s ~(A l e x a n d r i a) ~ 1270 . ~ I 3 . ~$ （Oxyrhynchus）1257． 4.

фрoupós 1252．verso 10.
хрク $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\prime}$ 1270．I5．

## IX．WEIGHTS，MEASURES，COINS．

## （a）Weights and Measures．

äрочря 1270．26，28，33；1279．ІІ．
а́рта́ßך 1257．6，І 6 ；1259．4，І7，ェ8； 1260. 6, II， 27 ；1286．2， $3,4,6,7$ ；1288．3， 4．5；1291．8； 1332.
$\delta \iota \pi \lambda \circ u ̄ \nu 1322$ ；1325－7．



кєра́ $ц о \nu$ 1275．і9， 20 ；1286．introd．； 1288.
12，35； 1324.
котט́入 $\eta$ 1275．І 8.
койфоข 1343.
入ítра 1261．6，7，9；1288．29，30，34， $3^{6}$ ； 1335 ； 1338.

щápıov 1297． 3.
$\mu \in \tau \rho \eta \tau \dot{\eta}$ 1293．6， 20.

дє́троу 1255．і 6 ；1257．8．ц．ঠŋдо́тьоу 1259. 18.
$\mu \nu a ̂ ~ 1286.8$.

$\mu$ оуо́ $\chi \omega \rho$ о 1341 （？）．
$\xi \in \sigma \tau \eta s 1344$.
ó $\lambda к$ ќ 1272．10；1273．8，9， 10.

бтatiov 1297．Іо；1298．14， 18.
 1273． 6.

тєтápтๆ 1273．8，9， 1 I， 17.
тє́тартоข 1293． 25 （？）．

Хoĩıध 1286．3，4， 7.

## （b）Coins．

àpүúpıò 1269．24， 37 ；1273． $3^{\text {1 ；1274．18，}}$ 20，24；1276． 3 I；1280．ІІ，І 2 ；1281．7， 10；1282． 40 ；1288．І1，28， $30,32,33$ ； 1292． 8 ； 1308 ； 1318 ；1337．ג $\rho \gamma . \Sigma є \beta a-$ бтоѝ ขó $\mu \iota \sigma \mu$ 1270． 34 ；1282．I4．ảp


ঠŋขápıov $1344 . \quad \delta \eta \nu a \rho i \omega \nu$ д $\mu$ рıás 1337.
סоvкŋขарі́a 1274．I 4.
$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \prime$ 1254． 27 ；1262．introd．；1269．24， $26,28,30,31,35 ; 1273.13,14,15,16$ ， 18， 34 ；1274．18，20， 24 ；1275．16，22； 1276．26， $3 \mathbf{1}$ ；1277．10，II， 24 ； 1279. 20；1281．7，Іо；1282．40；1283．І3 et saep．；1284．16，17，18，20， 21 ； 1285. passim；1288．14，30，35；1292．8，10； 1295．8，9；1308－9；1318；1333； 1339 ； 1345.
бvóßолоє 1285．17．
$\eta_{\eta}^{\mu} \omega \beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota}$ ор 1283．І 6， 20 ；1284．16，18， 2 ェ．
 रupiou $\mu$ ．1280．I1，I2；1336－7．

ขо́ $\mu \iota \sigma \mu$ ，$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$ абтой ขоц．1270． 34 ；1282． 14. $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ абт $\bar{\nu} \nu \nu о \mu .1276 .10$.
 1329 ； 1330.
óßo入ós 1285．9，26， 3 1．óß．$\pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon 1284$ ． 17.
 1285．23，30， 32.

тá入aขтò 1274．18， 2 I ；1285．69， $\mathrm{S}_{4} ; 1288$. 3 et saep．；1298．18；1308； 1344.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\beta} \beta o \lambda o \nu 1283.17,21$ ；1285．II，I6．
$\chi^{\text {a } \lambda \text { кós 1295．I } 7 .}$
$\chi^{\text {aлкойs 1283．16，} 17,21 .}$
хрибой ขоцıбца́тьор 1253．9，15， 18 ；1323； 1329 ； 1330.

## X．TAXES．



$\gamma є \omega \mu є т р і а 1308$.
ठ́́катод．See dita申ópov．
 $\delta \eta \mu$ о́гєа 1258．3，8．ठ $\eta$ ．$\mu є \tau р \eta$ дата 1257． 6. $\delta \eta . \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a 1255.10 ; 1270.40$.
 ঠє́като⿱（？）1286． 3.

є่үки́кльо» 1284．7．
tions 1276． 16.

 1286． 6.
є̃ктך 1283．І 3.
є＇$\xi$ ар $\gamma \uparrow \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ о́s 1253． 8 ；1288．19； 1344.
є̇тарои́рเоу 1283．І $3,16,19,23$.


єن́Өєvía $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 1261． 7.
є́申óס́tov 1253．7．
Өє $\omega$ гıкá 1333.
$\kappa є \phi a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}, \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon a \tau \eta \hat{\varsigma} \kappa \epsilon \phi .1331$.
$\mu є \tau р \dot{\mu} \mu а т а$, ठ̈ $\mu$ о́бьа $\mu$ ．1257． 6.

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu, \pi \eta \chi^{\iota \sigma} \mu \bar{s} \pi$ ．1283． 18. $\pi \eta \chi \iota \mu \partial \dot{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$ 1283． 18.
$\pi \rho о \sigma ф а р и ́ ~ 1253 . ~ 9 ; ~ 1322 . ~$
 வlovv́gov 1283．I7， 20.
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a 1330 . \quad \sigma . \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}_{s} 1331$.
 10；1270． 40.

## XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS．

＂ß рохоя 1279． 23.
${ }^{a} \beta \omega \lambda$ os 1259． 15 ．
ả ${ }^{2}$ aós 1273．I．
 Pap．）； 1343
ä $\gamma \in \iota \nu$ 1272． 19 ；1279． 25 ．
ảزoрá̧̧єц 1284．І 2 （？）；1288．29， 36 ； 1300. 8； 1346.
à үарауацєко́s 1252．verso I7．
à үора⿱а́ $\mu$ оs 1282． 46.
àүорабтєко́s 1268．і 6.
àvuá 1282．I4； 1316.
ả $\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 1259． 4 ；1260． 5 ．
à $\gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma เ$ เo 1293． 2 I．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu o \theta_{\epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu}$ 1284． 9.
ả́ $€ \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$ 1294．І，І 7 ；1296．9，10， 12 ； 1300. $4 ; 1349$.

ảסé $\lambda$ фıン 1300． 4.
 2 ；1293．23， $3^{8}$ ；1295．19；1296．І 1 ，
13，14；1297．5；1298． 20 ；1299．4， 11；1300．3，7； 1330.
àঠıãáт $\quad$ тos 1259．I5．
äठoдos 1259．I 5.
àєi 1276． 5 ．
á ${ }^{\text {áóws 1252．verso } 3} 1$ ．
aı̈Өрıà 1268．I I．
ай $\rho \iota \nu$ 1294． 8 ；1295．II．
aípє̂̂ข 1262．6；1273． $3^{8}$ ；1276． 18 ； 1279.
16；1308； 1331.
a！$\rho \in \sigma \iota$ 1273． 29.
aiтєî 1269．I5．
аїт $\eta \mu$ 1273． 28.
aí̀v七os 1319 ； 1320.
ảкакои́рүптоs 1259． 23.

```
àк\iotao\nu (l. à\gamma\gamma\epsilonio\nu?) 1290. 6.
áкó\lambdaаv0os 1257. 9. ảко\lambdaоú0\omegas 1266. 5.
äкрьӨом 1259. I 5.
ажкром 1282. 36.
áкú\rho\omega\sigma\iotas 1282. 35.
d\lambda\lambda\etá\lambda\omega\nu 1270. 32; 1273. 22, 41 ; 1275. I;
    1276. Іо; 1278. r.
đ̈\lambda\lambdaos 1252. verso 21; 1256. 7, 26; 1266.
    3, 29; 1269. 20; 1270. 16, 30; 1274.
    17; 1276. 9, 17; 1282. 24, 29; 1288.
    4; 1292. I5; 1293. 12, 20, 21; 1316.
a\lambda\lambdaóт\rhotos 1266.35.
à\lambda\omega\nuia 1255. 8.
ä\mua 1303; 1350.
à\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsiloniv 1300. 6; 1349.
ад́́\mu\pi\tau\omegas 1252. verso 35; 1273. 23.
\alpha}\mu\epsilon\rho\iota\mu\nu\epsilonî\nu 1296.5
"̈\mu\mp@code{ 1297. 17.}
á\mu\piє\lambda<кós 1278. І I, 24.
a\mu\pi\epsilon\lambdaаv\rho\gammaás 1322; 1324-7.
\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\dot{\nu}\mathrm{ 1286. introd.
å\muфаба\gammaра\mu\muатєús 1267. I.
"}\muфа\deltaо\nu 1258. 3; 1263.7; 1266. 22; 1267.
    I 3; 1268. I I ; 1276. 6; 1284. IO.
а́\muфокє́риıоs (?) }1343
ả\mu\phiáтє\rhoo\iota 1252. recto 21 ; 1254. I8; 1255.
    5; 1256. 2, 7; 1264. 3; 1274. II;
    1276. 2; 1278. 7; 1284.6.
ả\nua\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu 1252. recto 27.
àvа\gammaка\hat{os 1252. verso 37.}
ả\nuá\gammaк\eta 1288. 26.
à\nuа ро́ф\epsilon\iota\nu 1266. 2 I ; 1267. I I.
ảva\delta€i้ 1252. verso 20.
à\nua\deltaı\deltaóva\iota 1254. 9; 1282. 33; 1295. I 5.
àvaка\lambdaи́\piтє\iota\nu 1297. 9.
àváкт\eta\sigma\iotas 1252. verso 34.
```


а่ขалі́бкєь 1286．4；1288． 8 ；1295． 8.
à $\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \omega \mu$ 1252．verso 2 I ；1260．І7；1261．9； 1288．II， 20.
àขà́́ $\omega \sigma$ ıs 1252 ．verso I 6.

àvaтє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1268．I3．
àvaфаірєтоs 1284．І Ј．
àvaф́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1286．introd．；1292．I4．
àvафо́рıг 1272．у8．
àva廿úðєเv 1296．7．

«̀ย́ $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1345$.
àvєขрібкєเ 1272． 23.
àvク̆p 1273． 4 ；1274．6，І 2，I5；1276．І 6 ； 1278． 3 ；1282． 12 ， 19 ；1299．І3．т̀̀ кат’＂̈vঠра 1257．І І．
ä $\nu \eta \sigma$ тоs 1288． 34.
び $\nu \nu \eta \sigma о \nu 1343$.
สivขต่ขa 1288．10， 35.
àvoí $\gamma \in \iota$ 1288．I 2 ；1294．IO，I I．
àvтi豸paфov 1264．II；1268．I4， $18 ; 1270$. 4，7；1281．4，I3．
àvтı $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 1252．verso 37.
ìvvтєр $\theta$＇́ $\tau \omega s$ 1280．13．
${ }^{\mu} \nu \omega$ 1256． 4 ；1285． 5 I．
＂そ̧ıoîv 1252．recto 37 ，verso 38 ；1270． 57 ；
1271．＋；1272．I 8.
à ${ }^{\xi} \omega \mu$ 1265．14， 19.
àтаít $\eta$ бıs 1253．14；1273． 35.
áта入入aý 1273．25，33， 52.
iite $\lambda_{\text {aбia }}$ 1252．recto 6.

àтєруабía 1270． 39.
ủтє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma \theta$ 1291． 10.
আтєчктаíos 1274． 5.
 12，24；1282． 14.
à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{s}$ 1270．3I；1276．S；1279． 12 ； 1285．85；1287．I5．

ùтоүри́фєбӨаи 1268．4，I5；1274．Іо．
ùтоүрафウ́ 1276．І 8.

 1278． 4.

а̇тобпиєî 1288． 29.
итоопиіа 1339.
àmoótóv́và 1252．verso $30,34,35 ; 1273$ ． 26.

53；1280．12；1281．7；1296．21；
1297． 22 ；1299． 2 I；1300．11．
àтокаӨıбта́vає 1275． 25 ．
àтокєïбดа 1286． 9.
д̀ток入єієєน 1272．5．
а́то入єі́тєเข 1269．І 6.
àто入ข́єเข 1271． 5.
à $\pi о \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ 1255．I 6.
á $\pi \sigma \sigma \pi a ̂ \nu 1295.4,6$.
à $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1288.17 ; 1298.13 ; 1300.5,6$.
àло́ттодos 1259．Іо．
àтoбvขlซтávaı 1274． 9.
а่тотактท́ 1311.
àтоvбia 1273． 22.
ảтохŋ́ 1259． 25 ；1260． 17 ；1298． 14 ； 1331.
ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ 1297．4，7， 13 ．

à $\rho \gamma$ ย́vтıขos 1273． 12.
áp áévтios $1310 .^{\text {．}}$
dip ${ }^{\text {ás 1269．} 22 .}$
ảp $\quad$ vpıкós 1283． 4.

ảprupoûs 1272．I I．
áріӨ $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mu \sigma \iota s}$ 1258．7；1273． 28 ；1283．7．

äроира．See Index IX（a）．
áppaß $\omega \nu$ 1275． 22.
а́рта́ß $\quad$ See Index IX（ $a$ ）．
алртокотєі́о 1288．4．
а́ртоко́тоя 1288． 23.
${ }_{\text {áp тоs 1252．verso } 15 ; 1275 . ~ 17 ; 1291.4 . ~}^{4}$ ．
ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，ä $\rho \chi$ оขтєs 1252 ．verso 23 ．ä $\rho \xi a s 1252$.
recto 30.
áp $\chi \dot{\eta}$ 1252．recto 10 ，verso $2 S, 35 \cdot 37$ ．
ảpхьঠıкабт $\eta$ s．See Index VIlI．
ả $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \in$ ús 1252．recto 22.
ú $\rho \chi$ เขт $\eta$ คє́т $\eta$ s 1253． 2 I．

á $\sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega s$ 1252．verso 19 （？）．

17，I8；1299．4，II，I 2，I3，I5，I7．
à $\sigma \tau \eta$ 1277．I．

ḋбv入ía 1264．I 1.
ӥбv入os 125S． 9.


av́eaípetas 1280． 5.
aủ入ウ 1287．9， 14.
aủ $\lambda \eta{ }^{2} r^{\prime} s$ 1275． 9.
aűptov 1291．I 2.
аข̉тіка 1252．verso 27.
aủтótı 1270．35；1276．II．
aủтós，ধ́mi тò aủтó 1273．І 6.
aข̉то廿ía 1272．І9．
àф $\lambda_{\imath} \xi$ 1256． 8 ；1269．6，г 6 ；1278．7， 14. àфı́́vaı 1288． 34 ；1293． 18 ； 1346.
áфробıбıакós 1293．5，33， 39 ．
«̈хрクбтоs 1346.
ä $\chi \rho \iota 1345$. ä $\chi \rho$ ıs 1255．9； 1346.
ä $\chi$ ข $о$ оv 1334 （？）．
ßaбı入єía 1257． 7.
ßaбı入ıко́s，$\beta a \sigma . ~ \gamma \grave{\eta} 1270.3^{8 ;}$ 1276．ェ6．ßаб． ураццатєia and रраццатєи́s．See Index VIII．
ßабтá̧єєข 1272．8；1293．22， 4 т， 42 ； 1320.
$\beta a \phi \dot{\prime}$ 1293．24．
ßє́ßaıos 1276.15.
$\beta_{\epsilon} \beta$ aเoṽข 1270． $3^{6}$ ；1276．26， $3^{\text {I }}$ ；1277．I3， 25.
$\beta \epsilon \beta a i \omega \sigma \iota s$ 1270． 37 ；1276．I 5.
$\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \circ$ И́к 1287．$^{12}$ ．
$\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \circ$ и́лa ．See Index VIII．
$\beta \lambda a ́ \beta o s ~ 1282.40$.
$\beta о \eta \theta_{\epsilon}$ с 1272． 22.
ßоך $\theta$ єív 1348.
ßoŋtós．See Index VIII．
ßoppâs 1270． 30 ；1276． 8 ；1279．I2； 1284．10；1287． 5.
ßоv́入єбӨat 1263．8；1267．10；1271．3．
Roviєvtís．See Index VIII．
ßov入ף́ 1252．verso 6，9，23， 27.
ßрака́рıos 1341.
$\beta \omega \mu$ ós 1258． 8.
үакєî 1266．х 6 ；1273． 6 et saep．；1274．х 6. бá $\mu$ оs 1273．4，23， 46.
rapŋpós 1299． 8.
$\gamma є i \tau \omega \nu 1270.30 ; 1272.14 ; 1276.8 ; 1279$. II．
ү́́ $\downarrow \eta \mu$ 1259．І 2 ；1261．6；1262．х 6.
$\gamma \in \nu v a i ̂ o s, \gamma є \nu v a \iota o ́ \tau а т о \iota ~ \sigma т \rho a \tau \iota \omega ̂ \tau a \iota ~ 1261 . ~ 8 . ~$
үєóvरоs 1288．у7； 1329 ； 1339.
$\gamma \in \rho \delta \iota а к о ́ s ~ 1269 . ~ 32$.
ү $є \rho \delta$ о́оs 1272 ．І5．
$\gamma є \omega \mu є \tau \rho i ́ a 1308$.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \in \hat{\imath} \nu 1262.18$.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ уia 1270． 37 ；1276．І 6.
$\gamma \hat{\eta}, \beta а \sigma \iota \lambda \iota к \eta$ री 1270． $3^{8}$ ；1276．І6．катоькıкŋ
 1276．i6．Cf．ímó̀oyos．
yi $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ 1252．recto $17,24,38$ ；1253．I I， г 6, 19；1255．2， $18 ; 1257.4$, І 7 ； 1264. 7，8，14；1266．2，І3， 28 ；1270．І2，І3， 58 ；1272． 22 ；1273．26，28，41， 52 ； 1276．zo， 2 I ；1279． 23 ；1280． 12 ； 1282．18， $2 \mathrm{I} ; 1284$. I 4， $18,2 \mathrm{I} ; 1285$.
 1288． 26 ；1289．ІІ ；1291．8；1298．9； 1299．9；1305；1307；1320；1322； 1325－6； 1329 ； 1330 ； 1333 ； 1346.
$\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．verso $33 ; 1348$.
र入vкús 1296． $2 ; 1300.4 ; 1350$.
$\gamma^{\nu}$ а́ттєєц 1346.
$\gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma t$ оs 1267．I5．
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ 1280．5．
$\gamma \nu \omega \mu$ о ．．．1286．introd．
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ s 1253． 20.
бо́ $\mu$ оs 1333.
јoveús 1299． 2 I ； 1306.
бра́ $\mu$ а 1252．recto 27；1254．34； 1256. $25 ; 1260.7$, І $5,3 \mathrm{I}$ ；1261．І І ； 1273. 50；1274．9；1276．28，34；1277．27； 1280．І9；1281．І 2 ；1300． 3 ； 1349.
$\gamma \rho а \mu \mu а \tau \epsilon і а, \beta а \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \gamma \rho .1274 .8$.
үрандатєús．See Index VIII．
үра́фєєン 1254． 33 ；1256． 25 ；1260．І 7， 30 ； 1270． 48 ；1271． 4 ；1273． 37,49 ； 1276. 18，28，33；1277．І3，27；1278．29； 1280．I4；1281．II ；1293．14， 2 I， 27.
$\gamma \rho a \not{ }_{\eta} 1256.8 ; 1266$. І І，І 5 ；1269． 5 ，І 5. रúns 1279．I 2.
үчииатьархєір．See Index VIII．


रvví 1273． 2 4；1282．І 0.
ঠактv入íóıo 1273．I I．
סаvєiگєє 1281．5；1282．I7．
סávetoy 1262．16；1282．34．
סатáv 1273．34；1288．І3．
батávךиa 1252．verso 23.
dare 1271． 12.
§єьүцатокатаүшүіа 1254．5， 20.
סєì 1257．I7；1295．Io．ठєî 1252．verso 29；1264．I4；1272．2I；1273．24； 1293．Іо，I $2 . ~ \delta є і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1304 . ~$
סєiv（＇bind＇）1294．7．

Sєкатршті́a 1257．І，3，іо， 19.
$\delta є к র ́ \pi т р \omega т о я . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$
ঠє́катоу，àpтáßךя б．（？）1286．3．

$\delta \epsilon \xi$ ıá 1252．verso 19 ．
סє $\sigma$ иítov 1288．9，І 5 ．
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ót $\eta$ s 1252．verso I4；1298．I．Cf． Index II．
סєर̂po 1287．I5．
ठє́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma$ Өau 1345.
סŋ̀ ós 1264．17．
ठ $\eta \lambda$ oûv 1252．recto 36 ；1265．12；1268．14； 1270． 5 ㅍ 1274． 15 ；1287．І2， 19 ； 1293． 7 ；1294． 15,$16 ; 1295.16$.

 $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ 1287．і．$\delta \eta$ ．$\theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o i ́ 1259$ ．і і． $\delta \eta$ ．גó ó 1256．3．$\delta \eta$ ．入outрá 1252．verso 22．$\delta \eta$ ．$\mu \in \tau \rho \eta$ диата 1257．6．$\delta \eta$ ．$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho о \nu$ 1259．18．$\delta \eta$ ．$\pi$ vpós 1254．7，20．$\delta \eta$ ． ј́v $\mu \eta$ 1276．9．ठิך．бíгоs 1257．13．$\delta \eta$ ． тєлє́бдата 1255．10；1270．40．ठ $\eta$ ．тра́－ $\pi \epsilon \zeta \alpha$ 1283．14．$\delta \eta$ ．тратє乌íns 1284．6， 19．$\delta \eta . \chi \bar{\omega} \mu a$ 1279． 13.
ঠクцобь๐ขิ้ 1266．І 8 ；1273． 38 ；1276．ı 8.
$\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota$ 1273． 40 ；1276． 20.
ঠпиа́рьov．See Index IX（b）．
סıаүрáфєьข 1279． 2 I ；1283．І4；1284． 5 ； 1308.

סıаүафф́ 1284．17；1288．3，4，5，6，7， 32.

ঠtáóo兀ıs 1261． 9.
ঠıuסохŋ́ 1265．I7．
סtalpeì 1278．IO．
ঠıакєібӨat 1261． 8 ．
$\delta แ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \not \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1349$.
ठıa入oyn 1268．І3．

8uaбє $\sigma \mu$ ós 1252．recto 33 ．
ס九aбךцо́тatos 1252．recto 27 ；1260． 8 ； 1261． 3.
ठıабто入ウ́ 1283． 6.
ঠ九á $т \rho \omega \mu$ 1264．I 5.
ठа́таура 1282． 27.

ঠıaфорá 1273． 25 ．
סú́форал 1286． 3.

סiסóvat 1253．11，13；1254．2，23； 1273. 33 ；1274． 3 ；1281． 9 ；1288．10， 32 ；

1292． 9 ；1293．II；1294．II；1295．8， 17；1328；1330；1333； 1349.
ס七є́ $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta$ аı 1259．I3；1262．17；1268．5； 1270． 42 ； 1306.
סוєutvұєî̀ 1271． 6.
סíkaьos 1264．18；1273．23；1307．тє́кע ע סıкаi $\omega$ 1276． 3,$24 ; 1277.3$.
бік 1281.2.
סí $\quad$ рио 1288 ．19；1295．I4．
dimittere 1271． 9 ．
סıó 1263．I5；1267．19；1276．І 2.
ठ̊ьокєì 1253．5；1257． 2.
ठıoik $\eta \sigma$ ts 1252．verso 22.
б七окптэŋ́s 1264． 8.
$\delta \iota \pi \lambda \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 1322$ ；1325－7．

37；1276． 18.
ठокєі้ 1272．І 8.
ঠovкךขарía 1274．І4．
סoūخos 1294．3， 9.
ঠра́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \iota 1298$ ．I 0.
брахки，See Index IX（b）．
бv́vauı 1273．24．
סúvaбӨaı 1272． 22 ；1294．Iо．
бvóßo入or．See Index IX（b）．

ठшठєка́ঠрахиоs 1267．І 7 ； 1306.
ยลิ้ $1293.19,22$.
є́autồ 1273． 3 ；1278．Іо；1282．6； 1284. 12.
${ }^{\epsilon}$＇$\gamma \gamma \alpha \iota a$ 1268． r 6.
є́ $\gamma \gamma$ ยбта 1258． 7.
є́ $\gamma \gamma$ ра́фєє้ 1253． 7 ；1254． 3 ；1280．I7．
є́ $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega s$ 1252．recto $34 ; 1265.12$.
є́ $\gamma к а \lambda \epsilon i ้ ~ 1282 . ~ 28 ; ~ 1316 . ~$
є＇үкатvр（ ） 1343.
є่үки́клььข 1284．7．
є̈ $ү$ кขos 1273.33 ．
є $\gamma \chi є \iota \rho і \zeta є \iota \nu 1254$. ． 0.
ぞөицоs 1264．I 9.

єiố́vą 1254．9， 34 ；1256． 25 ；1260．3ı；
1273．50；1276．28， 33 ；1277． 28 ； 1280.
19；1281．12；1299．7；1347－8．
єíoos 1276． 16.
єі̀лькрірєєа 1252．verso 38.
єiбáтaझ 1294．14．
єiのס̂tóvat 1254． 3.
єíviéval 1278．I 7．
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є＂̈́oठos 1268．I 2.
ส̃кабтоs 1252．verso 30 ；1253．20；1255．Іо， I5；1273． 28 ；1278．19，24， 30 ； 1282. 39 ；1288． 30 ；1296．4；1298． 18.
є́ка́тєроя 1273． 37 ；1278． 25.
є́като́ขтархоs 1261． 3
є́катобтй 1259．16；1286． 6.
є́кß૦лєєús 1301.
ёккороя 1276 ．г 2.
є́кঠ¿ঠóvat 1260．18；1273．r， 45.
є́кঠо́тццоя 1268．із．
є̉кסóтıs 1273．5，20， 26.
Є́кє̂̆ 1297．I 5 ．
ékєìvos 1265． 20.
$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \eta \psi \iota \stackrel{1287 .}{ }$ I．
є́кои́бıos 1280． 4 ．
є’кл入єі้ข 1271． 3.
є゙ктๆ 1283． 13.
є́ктive九 1282． $3^{6}$ ．
éктós 1258.8 ；1295．II．


є́ $\lambda$ á $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ 1270． 27.
є́भаขтой 1298．7．
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu^{\beta}$ अá $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu} 1260.6$ ；1292． 3.
є́ $\mu \mu$ е́ $\lambda є \iota a$ 1265． 12.
$\notin \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ 1270． 41 ．
є́ $\mu ф а \nu \eta \dot{\prime}$ 1258． 6.
є̈ $\mu$ оороз 1278．іЗ， 26.
$\epsilon ่ \nu a \nu \tau i o s ~ 1258$ ．I I．
évápetos 1300． 2.

є่ $\nu \delta$ モî̀ 1273． 3 I．
 16.

द̇עӨádє 1296． 5.
évtavoíms 1280．I I， 13.
є́vautós 1252．verso 16.
 9 ；1257．у 4 ；1262．8， 18 ；1263．9； 1267. 17；1270． 21 ；1275．I5；1278．I4，I5； 1279．7；1282． 32 ；1283．8， 12.
є̇ขoíkıо 1262．introd．；1280．10；1294．13； 1321； 1323.
єั้оХоs 1255． 20 ；1261．І 1；1265． $23 ; 1266$. 36.
${ }_{\text {єै }}{ }^{2} \sigma \eta \mu$ оs 1273．13， 14.
є̇עтáyıov 1323 ； 1326.
є́עтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon เ \nu$ 1299． 10.
ċ $\nu \tau \epsilon \bar{v} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ 1273． 40 ；1275． 20 ；1276． 19 ； 1277．II．
є̇vтós 1274． 13 ；1278． 28.
ย̇ขтvхía 1264．7．
ย่ขต́тเ๐ข 1273． 10.



є＇$\xi^{\prime} \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 1349$.

ধ́ $\xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．See Index VIII．
$\mathfrak{\epsilon}^{\prime} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ ．See Index VIII．

$\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \eta{ }^{\prime} S$ 1253． 3 ；1254． 4.

＇́জovoia 1278． 27.
є́огти́ 1275．14；1297．I I．
є́ $\pi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．See Index IV（b）．
є̇таípєь 1272．I 2.
єंтакодоиөєi้ 1255．19．
є̇ $\pi a ́ v \omega$ 1286． 5.
є́ $\pi$ apoúptov 1283．I3，16，19， 23.
є̈тархоя．See Index VIII．
є̇ $\pi \epsilon i ́ i 1252$ ．recto 26,$34 ; 1295$ ．х у．
є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \grave{\eta}$ 1293． 24 ；1346； 1349.
є́лєiктךs 1257．I3．
єँ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau$ 1299． 5.
є̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 1282.29,37$.
є́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau a ̂ \nu$ 1260． 19 ；1273．20， $4 \mathrm{r}, 48,53$ ；
1276． 21 ， 27,32 ；1277．14， 25.
є̇ $\pi \iota \beta$ ívet 1278.27.
єं $\pi<\beta \circ \lambda \eta ́ 1347$.
є̇ாเঠє́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma$ Өat 1279． 5 ．
є́ $\pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu 1253.3$.
є́ $\pi \iota \delta \eta \mu i a$ 1261． 7.
 24 ；1257． 13 ；1263． 15 ；1264． 12 ； 1266． 4 I；1267．19；1269．4；1272．І7， 26；1279． 30 ；1286．14； 1350.
Є่ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ้ \nu 1313$.
є́ $\pi \iota \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \sigma$ Өa 1252 ．verso 2 I．
є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ 1280． 6.
є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho i ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1266．22， 25.
є́ $\pi$ і́крьбья 1306.
є̇ль入єímeєข 1252．verso 17.

 12.

є่ $\pi \iota о р к є i ̀ ~ 1258 . ~ I ~ I . ~$

є̇лíккє廿เs 1287． 2.
є́ $\pi เ \sigma \tau \in ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．recto 8 ，verso 36 ；1257． 5 ； 1259． 7 ； 1304.
є́ $\pi \iota \sigma$ то入 $\eta$ 1252．recto 10 ，verso 4 ；1291．4， 7 ； 1293．8， 15.
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma$ то́лเоข 1295．I5．

є̇ $\pi เ \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ וos 1254． 24.
є́тเтทреїン 1255．I 4.
є̇тเт८Є́va 1255．6；1265．I I．
Є̇тเтนиãข 1295． 5 ．
єтітидоу 1282． 40.
є̇ $\pi เ \tau \rho є ่ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1255．I4．
є́тเтрißєєข 1252．verso $3^{8 .}$
є́тітротоя．See Index VIII．
є́тьфаข＇́धтатоs．See Index II．
є́ $\pi \iota ф \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1260． 15 ；1261．II；1264． 12 ；
1268．5；1268．І 8 ； 1318.
є́niфороs 1282． 33.
$\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \in \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1274.7$.
є̇поíkıo 1278．i I．Cf，Index VI（b）．
єं $\pi \circ \phi \in i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1255．І 5 ．
є’рүи́ऍєбөat 1272．І 5 ．
є’руátךs тотаной 1263． 13.
є́pí̊ıov 1293． 24.
 1300．IO； 1345.
є’рळтầ 1292． 6.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta_{\iota} \epsilon \iota 1$ 1297．Io（ $\phi$ ú $\gamma a \iota$ ）．
є̈тєроs 1252．recto 1 ；1269． 22 ；1273． 15 ， 39；1276．19；1281．I5．
ধ̇tクoíws 1252．verso 25 ．
ぞтレ1269． 30 ；1270． 40 ；1274． 13 ； 1278. 13；1282． 36.
є́тоциіЧєи＇1299． 9.

$\epsilon \mathcal{U}^{3}$ 1258．І І ；1292．3．
єن̉ঠокєір 1273．40；1276．20；1278． 36.
єن̉סór $\eta \sigma$ เs 1273． 39.



єن̀Өทンapхía 1252．verso 29， 33.

є ن̇каирі́a 1300． 3.
єن̇ $\mu$ apês 1252．verso 35 ．

єं兀оркєì 1258．Іо．

є ै̉тпроs 1254． 24.

є́ри́ткєเข 1293． 22 ；1300． 3 ； 1312.
єürux＇̆s 1252．verso $19 ; 1257.7 . \mathrm{Cf}$ ．
Index II．єv̉rvð̂̂s 1252．verso I5．
єن̇vтє́pßatos 1272．I6．
ЄỦXарıбтєĩ 1299． 5.
 1298． 4 ；1299．3， 2 I ； 1345 ； 1349.
є́фа́лтєเข 1255．I 4.
є́фóótov 1253． 7.

є＇Хєєレ 1252．verso 21 ；1253． 6 ；1254．9， 27 ； 1255． 7 ；1262．introd．；1265．19； 1272. 13；1273．7，8，1о，21，30，37，51； 1275. 2I；1278．Іо；1279．I $8 ; 1288$ ．2，II， $25 ; 1289$. I $_{4}, 15 ; 1292.7$ ，II； 1293. I3，16， 42 ；1298．10；1309；1318； 1321－2；1325－6；1329；1333； 1346.
éx $\begin{gathered}\text { és } 1349 . ~\end{gathered}$
だ̄ऽ 1253． 15 ；1255．І5；1299．10；1304； 1350.

ऍєûyos 1273．Io ；1275．I7．
らそTビン 1252．verso 25 ．
ऍ $\omega \mu$ á $\rho \sigma \tau \rho о \nu 1289$ ． 3 ．
ŋ́ $\gamma є \mu о \nu i a$ 1252．recto 19 ．
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．See Index VIII．
$\eta^{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota 1252$ ．recto 30 ．
 1296． 5.
ई $\mu \in \rho \eta \sigma i \omega s$ 1275．I 5.

$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \omega \beta$ є́ $\lambda \iota \nu$ ．See Index IX（b）．
íviкa 1298．í．
ivioxos 1287．6， 18.
ท゙ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ 1282． 42.
Өa入á⿱㇒日七ıs 1288． 6.
Өаррєîי 1347.
Өavนá̧єє 1348.
$\theta_{\text {eios 1261．} 5, ~ 12 ; ~ 1265 . ~ 10, ~ 15, ~ 22, ~ 24, ~}^{27}$ ．
$\theta \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} 1252$ ．recto 35 ；1291． 9 ；1293．18， 42 ；1300．5，8， 9.
$\theta$ tós．See Index II，Index VII $(a) \mathbf{~},(b)$ ．
$\theta_{\text {évts }}$ 1286． 34 ．
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ ткós 1333.
Aŋбavpós 1289．I I．
Өчүи́тךр 1268，8；1273．3，6，46；1278．7； 1294．16； 1344.
Əúยเข 1299．7．

## X1．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS

Oúpa 1272．5，6， 12.
¿ঠֹ́a 1277．Іо．
i̊九о́үрафоs 1266．І 7 ；1284．І 3 ．
＂${ }^{\circ}$ tos 1274． 2 I．
ioov́ 1291． 7 ；1295． 3 ．
¿є́ $\rho \in \iota a$ 1256．І 7.
iєpeús．See Index VII $(a) 3$ ．
ífóv．See Index VII（a） 2.
íús 1294．7．
іца́тьоу 1273．І 2，8， 29 ；1274．І7； 1294. 4 ；1295．9，І 6， 18.
ǐa 1253． 22 ；1254．8；1270．52； 1272. 22 ；1281．IO；1292．12；1294．12； 1300． 10.
ìóєктiшv．See Index III．
iбáтıs 1279．І 7.
३ँซos 1254．7；1268．І4，18；1270．49； 1276. 5， 12 ；1278．II ；1282． 4 I.
iбтávaı 1252．verso 34 （？）；1281． 10.
iotós 1269． 32.
кáóos 1269． $3^{2 .}$
каӨа́тєр 1281． 2.
каӨapós 1260．І і ；1276．І 5 ；1277．І3．
каӨŋкє८ข 1266．3，29；1267．20；1270．52， 54；1282．І 6.
каӨıévaı 1281．іо（？）．
каӨолько́s．See Index VIII．
каӨólov 1328.
каӨо́ть 1281． 8.
каӨ́̀s 1299． 9.
каi ó̀ 1252．verso 24.
кuเрós 1257． 3 ．
каíroı 1252．verso 2 I．
какка́ßıог 1290． 2.
какочрүіа 1259． 24.
какผิs 1346.
ка入ávסat 1318．kalendae 1271．I2（？）．
калєì 1273． 7.
ки入入áıขаs 1273．I 5 ．
кало́s 1293．6；1294．7．ка入ิิs 1273． 4 I ； 1276． 2 I ；1295．І 3 ；1296． 8.
кадара́рьоs 1300． 7.
кан $\lambda і т \eta$ р 1293．І 7.
кацŋл $\omega \nu$ 1280． 7.
ка́ $\mu т є є \downarrow$ 1287．4，I 5.
картєía 1278．Іо，І 5.
кортós 1255． 7.
каббเтє́ $\rho \iota \nu$ оs 1269． $3^{6 .}$

каббルє́рเov 1269． 27.
ката $\gamma$ кє $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1274． 6.
катá $\epsilon \iota \nu$ 1254．5， 2 I；1260．І 2 ；1293． 26.
катаүра́фєєц 1268．5，І7．
катакєібӨає 1257．І і．
ката入ацßávєє 1297．I4．
каталєітєє 1269． 6 ；1286． 7.
катадоуєіор 1266．І9；1268．5，І 7 ；1270．4， I 1 ；1273． $3^{8 ;} 1276$ ． 8 ．
катаутầ 1282． 26.
катабтьрá 1262．9，І 7 ．
катафє́ $\rho є \iota \nu$ 1260． 28 ；1261． 9.
катє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma$ Өаı 1274． 9.
катоєкıко́s 1270． 25 ．

ка́т 1259.6 ；1285． 129.

кє入єúєєน 1252．recto 9 ；1259．ı 9 ；1260．І 4 ；
1261．І 0 ； 1306.
кєขтךขáptos 1253． 8.
кє́vтเขอs 1289． 9.
$\kappa_{\kappa ́ v} \nu \mu a$ 1292． 4.
кєра́льоу 1275．і 9，20；1286．introd．； 1288. 12， 35 ； 1324.
кєрßıка́рıоу 1269． 37.
кєфа́лаıоу 1273．і9；1281．7；1282． 16.
$\kappa є \phi$ адаt $\omega \tau$ ís 1253．16， 19 ； 1330.
кєфал ${ }^{\prime} 1331$.
$\kappa \star \beta \omega \tau$＇́s 1269． 2 I．
к九㐫ขıо 1310.
кíयővขos 1254． 25.
кiбтך 1269． $3^{6 .}$
кเт $\omega$ 1269． $3^{\circ}$ ．
к入á入ıo 1272．9，I I．
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta i o \nu 1294 . \dot{g}$ ．
к $\lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \pi$ о七ós 1294．I I．
$\kappa \lambda$ eis 1269． 23.
кл рроуо́ноя 1260． 5 ；1270．50；1274． 12.
кл $\bar{\rho}$ os 1252．verso 30 ；1270．24；1279．I I．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu}$ 1278．І 4.
коьขós 1273．6，Ј7；1278．36．тà коьข่ 1253． 5.
коє $\nu \omega \nu$ кко́s 1276． 7
ко入入ウ́ $\quad$ аs 1253．I 7.
ко́ддұна 1287． 3 ； 1307.
ко́ $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} 1335$.
кодіऍє 1281.8 ；1293．4，7，10，29， 32 ；
1294．9， 15 ；1295． 18.
ко́т $\rho$ оя 1278． 23.
кориєкочди́рьоя 1253．і 2.

кортıavós 1253． 4.
кобкเขєúєเข 1259．І5；1260．I 1 ．
коб $\mu$ а́ ьо 1274 ．і 7 ．
кобцךтєข́єє 1284． 8.
ко́т $\mu$ оя 1298． 8.
коти́入ך 1275． 18.
кои́клเоข 1300． 9.
кпукоч́ $\mu$ оу 1290． 3 ．
койфог 1343.
кратеì 1276． 12.
кра́тьттоs 1257．13， 19 ；1259．10；1264．8； 1274．Io．
кр́́as 1335.
крıө́ 1260．го，2т；1329； 1344.
крикіор 1300． 5.
крıті́рьov 1270．і 6.
кроббнтós 1273．14．
$\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ 1278．I 1,24 ；1286．introd．
$\kappa \pi \tilde{\eta}$ ขos 1288． 5 ．
$\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ 1253． 6.
куátıò 1289．Іо，I3．
$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau_{\eta}$ 1260． 4.
ки $\begin{gathered}\text { рís } 1349 .\end{gathered}$
киццขоу 1343.
кіра 1300．5，8； 1329.
кขриєข́єє 1276．12．
ки́pıos（＇guardian＇）1267．5；1270．19； 1272 2 ；1274． 2 ；1276． 2,24 ；1277． 2 ； 1282．6， 12.
кúpıos（title）1252．verso I $8 ; 1253.23 ; 1271$. 3；1298．4， 20 ；1299．1，4；1300．2，6； 1329 ；1330．кирі́а 1294．2；1300． 2 ； 1349．Cf．Index II and кúpa．
кúpıos（＇valid＇）1259． 25 ；1260．у 7 ； 1270. 53 ；1273． 37 ；1276． 17 ；1277． 12 ； 1278． 29 ；1280．І 1 ；1281． 3 ； 1282. 42； 1318.
кшца́ $\boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．See Index VIII．
кш $\mu \pi \sigma \tau \eta ́ s$ 1265．9， 21.
 12；1268． 3 ；1270． 23 ；1275．7，13， 26；1281．I5；1288．27；1301；1320； 1342 ； 1347.
$\lambda a ́ \gamma u v o s ~ 1294.6$.
入акávŋ 1269． 23.
入ацßávєเข 1273． 3 I ；1286． 5 ；1288． 27 1293． 8 ；1294． 14 ；1300． 9 ； 1345 ； 1349.
$\lambda$ ацпро́татоs 1253． 2 ；1264． 25 ；1265． 4 ；

1319．$\dot{\eta} \lambda а \mu \pi \rho о т а ́ \tau \eta ~ ' А \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon เ a, \dot{\eta} \lambda a \mu \pi \rho a ̀ ~$
 VI（a）．
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ т \eta s$ 1253． 22.
入av日ávєı 1253． 22.
入áха⿱亠乂o 1339.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ 1278． 12 ；1293．І9， 4 I ； 1348.
$\lambda$ єттоируєî 1275． 12.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \eta$ 1298． 8.
$\lambda$ дєко́s 1273．і 3, у $6 ; 1346$.
$\lambda \eta ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1257． 3.
入йкиөоs 1269． 27.
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu$ 1283． 12.
$\lambda i \theta_{\text {os 1273．7，}}$ ，9．
入ívov 1281． 6.
入ıvoûs 1277．7， 9 ．
$\lambda \iota \nu o ́ v \neq o s ~ 1303$.
入íviфos 1280． 4.
入íтра．See Index IX（a）．
入i千 1257．г；1270．31；1276．9；1279．r3； 1285． 70.
$\lambda о ү і \zeta є \sigma \theta a \iota 1329$.
入oyı $\sigma \tau \eta$＇s．See Index VIII．
入óyos 1253．7；1256．3；1257．6，10， 19 ； 1262．introd．；1273． 34 ；1275． 21 ； 1281. 9 ；1284．7；1286．introd．，8；1288．1； 1289．2，r2，І4；1320；1326－7；1329； 1330 ； 1340.
лоьтоурафєір 1286． 8.
خo七tós 1252．verso 22， 33 ；1253． 2 I ； 1268. ıо；1276．7；1289． 15 ；1293．у4； 1314.
入оитра́，ঠךцо́бıа 入．1252．verso 22.
лохєіа 1273． 34.
入охíiov 1290． 8.
$\lambda \omega$ ßiov 1299．ı．
н́́yєє 1335.
ди́Ө $\eta \mu$ 1296． 6.
наки́pıos 1320.
накарітәs 1274． 6.

щариа́кךs 1273． 7 ；1289． 8.
нápıo 1297． 3.
царти́рьov 1311.
$\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v s$ 1298．IO．
цафо́ртьор 1295．19； 1310.
ма́ұаıра 1299．ıо．
нáхаирор 1289．4， 7.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon i=\nu 1252$ ．recto $3^{8}$ ．
н́́yas 1269． $3^{6}$ ；1272．II；1289．6，${ }^{1} 3$ ；
1350. $\mu \dot{́}$ уєбтоs 1256. 13 ; 1265. 9. Cf. Index II.
$\mu \in \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ 1294. I 2.
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu 1293$. 10.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \in \sigma \theta a \imath$ 1261. I I.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \iota s$ 1255. I 9 .
$\mu$ ر́vetv 1252. recto 32.
н́́pos 1252. verso 29 ; 1260. уо; 1267. І 3 ;
1269. 32 ; 1276. 6, 10, 14, 25, 26, 29, 30; 1278. І 9, 24, 27, 30 ; 1284. 10, 16 ; 1287. 22, 24 ; 1293. 18 .
$\mu \epsilon \sigma i ́ \eta \eta$ 1298. ⒐
$\mu$ є́́os 1260. Іо; 1283. 5; 1285. 98.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \iota \delta$ óvaı 1270. 48.

$\mu \epsilon \tau а к а \lambda \epsilon і$ 1252. recto 26.
$\mu є \tau a \lambda a \mu ß a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1276. 13 .
$\mu \in \tau$ ád $\psi \psi \iota s ~ 1273.39$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1269. $8, \mathrm{I} 8 ; 1282.18$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \notin v ́ 1252$. verso 34 ; 1320.
$\mu є \tau а ф є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu 1286.3,6$.
$\mu є \tau \rho є і ̂ \nu 1257$. І 9 ; 1304.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \mu$ 1255. І 8 ; 1257. 6.
$\mu$ е́т $\rho \eta \sigma$ เs 1259. 19.
$\mu \in \tau \rho \eta \tau_{\eta ́ s}$ 1293. 6, 20.
$\mu$ кєт ооу 1255. $16 ; 1257.8$; 1259. г8.

$\mu \eta \dot{\nu} \nu 1252$. verso 33 ; 1257. 14; 1267. 18; 1268. 5; 1270. 56 ; 1278. 34 ; 1279. 22 ; 1280. 9 ; 1282. 3, 44; 1283. 7; 1284. 14; 1286. introd. ; 1291. I4; 1304; 1328.
$\mu \eta$ ขıaîo 1257. I $2 ; 1308$.
$\mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1253. 22.
 $4,6,8 ; 1268.7,8 ; 1269.2$; 1270. І7; 1273. 1,$4 ; 1275.2,3,5 ; 1276$. 1,4 ;
1277. 27 ; 1278. 7; 1282. 5, 8, 10, 1 I;
1284. І2, І 3 ; 1293. I ; 1296. 8 , I 5 ;
1297. 22 ; 1300. 2, 5, 8; 1306; 1334; 1349.
$\mu \eta \tau р о т о \lambda і т \eta s ~ 1306$.
нทтротодıтько́s 1283. 4.
$\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$ 1292. I 3.
цккро́s 1273. і І ; 1350.
$\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota 1295.3$.
$\mu \iota \sigma$ Ós 1275. I5 ; 1288. 9, I5.
$\mu \sigma \theta_{0} \hat{v} \nu 1279.5$; 1280. 7.
$\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$ 1279. 26.
$\mu \nu a ̂ 1286.8$.
$\mu \nu$ aïaîo 1272. Іо; 1273. І 7.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta 1320$.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \nu \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ 1282. 22.
$\mu \circ \nu u ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1338$.
بлиахо́s 1260. І9; 1273. 13, 37 ; 1278. 30.
رóvos 1252. verso 25 ; 1298. 6, 9; 1322; 1329; 1330.
ноно́хшрог 1341 (?).
ноибıко́s 1275. 9.
$\mu$ upıás. See Index IX (b).
vaós 1256. 14.
ขaúкл $\eta$ ро 1259.2.
ขaû̀av 1288. 3 I.
ขavtıkós 1259. 24 ; 1286. introd.; 1294.6, I 5.
ขéos 1260. Іо; 1299. 5; 1343.
víkך 1265. I 0.
иıтрion 1343.
цо $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ 1279. х 8.
ขо́ $\mu$ цог 1348.
$\nu о ́ \mu \iota \sigma \mu a$. See Index IX (b).
$\nu о \mu \tau \mu a ́ т \iota o \nu$. See Index IX (b).
ขоноүра́фos 1279. 3 I.

14.

עоцós. See Index VI (a).
$\nu$ обєî 1299. 5 .
ขótos 1276. 8 ; 1279. 12.
$\nu$ v̂̀ 1252. recto 8, verso I5; 1267. I I; 1276.
5, І 2. vvví 1257. 4.
$\nu \omega \mu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ 1244. introd.
$\xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \nu \circ \nu(?)$ 1297. x 8.
छ́єvos 1293. 12.
$\xi \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta s 1344$.
छ̇v $\lambda a \mu \hat{a} \nu$ 1279. 15.
छ̀v入ápıo 1292. I 2.
ỏßo入ós. See Index IX (b).
ö $0 є \nu 1306$.
oikє́tךs 1288. 20.
oikia 1267. І 3 ; 1268. І 1 ; 1272. 6, у6, 17 ;
1276.6, 10, 14, 25, 29; 1284. 16; 1287.

9, 13, 17 .
оікоронєi้ 1276. 14.
oikos 1299. І 5 ; 1350.
oiváptov 1288. I 2.
oivos 1275. 19; 1286. introd.; 1288. 12,

35；1297．10；1298．І3，I4；1320； 1322；1324；1326；1340； 1344.
oivo $\chi є \iota \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 亿 1326.
ỏкта́ঠрахиоs 1283．і 7， 20.

олќ 1272．го；1273．8，9，го．
oдоtapor 1300． 5 ．
олокдпрєї 1299． 3.
олоклдрі́а 1298． 5 ．
ঠึos 1270．28， 30 ；1273．17；1276． 8 ； 1277．8；1293．3； 1347.
 І $8 ; 1265$. I $_{5}, 26 ; 1266.27,41 ; 1267$. 2 I．
оцоүข $\sigma$ тos 1269．9， 19.
о́ $о$ о́шs 1268．г7；1286．6；1288．4；1306； 1338.

о́ олоүєі้ 1255．I I ；1260． 20 ；1265．I5； 1270． 21 ；1273． $20,41,48,54$ ； 1274. 8；1275．1， 21 ；1276．4， 2 1，27， 32 ； 1277．6；1278．І；1280． 4 ；1281． 5 ； 1282． 30 ； 1316 ； 1320.
¿цодо́ $\eta \mu$ а 1278．29， 37.
ó $о$ одоүía 1270． 2 г， 53 ；1280．14， 16.
о́ ои 1289．II ； 1325.
о́ $\omega \omega \nu \nu$ иía 1266． $3^{6}$ ．
о̋ขоца 1264．І $6 ; 1270.5^{\text { }} ; 1274$. у $; 1288$. 22；1299．І 8 ；1300． 5 ； 1301 （？）； 1329 ； 1350.

óvoдабía 1252．verso 3.
o้ ${ }^{\circ}$ оs 1275． 25 ；1288． 5 ．
＂${ }^{\circ}$ os 1275． 20.
о́тпріка 1273． 37 ；1276．I 8.
ӧтотє 1282．20， 27 ．і́то́тау 1261．Іо．
о́то́тєроs 1278． 27.
ั̈ $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} 1347$.
ó $\rho a ̂ \nu$ 1293． 41.
брßıот $\rho \lambda$ єіо 1323.

ல́ $\rho \theta$ оуต́v七七s 1270． 26.
ó $\rho \theta \omega \bar{s}$ 1273． 4 I ；1276． 2 I ．
ö окоя 1255． 2 I ；1261．5，І2；1264．19； 1265． $15,19,27$ ；1266． $37,42$.
ӧ $\rho \nu є о \nu 1339$.
öpos 1252．verso II．
ঠ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1252．recto $3^{6} ; 1260.12 ; 1273.37$ ； 1276． $14, \mathrm{r} 8$ ；1280． 12 ；1298． 18
ö́atis 1252．recto 26 ，verso 26 ．
ธ́ $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma$ о̂̀ 1276．ィ7．

ӧтє 1288． 34 ；1295． 7.
ӧт 1293．ІІ（？）；1299．6，7，8； 1348.
ov̉ס́́́тєроs 1278． 28.
oủ $\sigma$ ıaкós 1270． $3^{8}$ ；1274．го；1276．у6．
๐ข้т 1295 ．5．oűtตs 1253．7．
ó $\phi \in i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．verso $33 ; 1274$ ． $15 ; 1304$ ； 1320.
ó $\varnothing \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 1276．І 7.

ธ́ хонє́ขเоข 1279．17．
ò $\psi \omega \dot{\nu} \iota o \nu 1295 . ~ І 4$.
$\pi a ̂$ os．See Index VI $(a)$ ．
тaıo̊ion 1335.
тa入atós 1276． 6 ；1284．І I ；1289．І 2.
$\pi a \lambda a \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \not \dot{\sim} \lambda a \xi$ 1266． 8.
$\pi a ́ \lambda c \nu$ 1252．recto 35 ；1293． 41.
тауápıo 1272．8；1294．6，7，9，го．
таขтахท̂ 1318.
таитoios 1276．17．
пávv 1298． 6.
$\pi a \rho a \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1272$. ェ 8 ；1298．і6； 1346.
тараঠ̂́́ $є \in \theta$ аи 1279． 23.
тараঠıঠóvaı 1257． 9 ；1259． 22 ；1260．I3， 28 ；1278． 25.
тapáóoбıs 1257． 3 ；1260．I5；1261．10； 1262． 7.
тарабро́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\xi} 1346$.
$\pi а р \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 1264．14．
тараирєì 1265．І 3.
тараルєі̂ 1252．verso 28.
тараклєі́ठ८ор 1269． 22.
тарала ßа́⿱єєц 1259． 4 ；1260．6， 25 ； 1261.
6 ；1262．14；1275．10， 22.
тарá入ŋұts 1262． 6.
тарацєтрєі̂ข 1257．6；1259．5；1260． 26 ； 1262．I 4.
$\pi а р а \mu v$ Өia 1298． 2.
таратьө́và 1257．9，І 7 ；1268．I．
тарафилактє́оь 1252．recto 5 ．
тирахшрєі̂ข 1270． 22 ； 1314 （？）．
$\pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \delta \rho o s ~ 1286$ ．introd．
тарєі̂vat 1257．8， 18.
тарє́рхєбӨat 1252．verso 20,27 ；1280． 8.
тарє́хєєレ 1253．20；1276．15；1280．10； 1328 ； 1330 ； 1335 ；1337－8．
$\pi a \rho \bar{\eta} \lambda_{\iota} \xi$ 1257． 2.
tâs 1252．verso 1．4， 29 ；1254． 8 ；1258． 9 ， Io；1259．2I；1260．16；1264．17； 1266． 14 ；1268． $12 ; 1270.37,4 \mathrm{I} ; 1273$.

19，24． 32 ；1274．14；1276．7，І5，16， ${ }_{17}$ ；1279． 20 ；1280． 17 ；1281． 2 ； 1282. І 3 ；1284．І і ；1294．І 4 ；1296．І 7， 18 ； 1298．3，8；1299．3，18；1305； 1307 （？）； 1349； 1350.
табтофо́роs 1268． 6.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu 1252$ ．recto 33 ．
татйр 1265．І8；1266．6，9， 20 ；1269．9， 18；1293． 4 ；1295． 7 ；1296． $2,6, ~ 15$, 18，20；1297． 22.
татŋти́s 1340.
татрі́кıos 1265． 2.
татрıкós 1269． 33.
татршós 1257． 2.
$\pi \alpha v ́ є \iota \nu$ 1299． 6.
Taxús 1300． 9.
$\pi \epsilon \delta \iota а к а ́ s ~ 1287 . ~ 2 . ~$
$\pi \epsilon \delta i o \nu 1255.8$.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．verso 28 ；1293．I3．
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1288． 26 ；1291．5；1293．23，29， $42 ; 1295.6,13,19$.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon \tau i a 1279.24$.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta o \lambda o \nu$ ．See Index IX（b）．
тєрıє̂̀va七 1266． 8 ；1269． 24 ；1270． 50 ； 1282． 21 ， 27 ；1288． 9 ； 1301.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$ 1270． 47.
$\pi \epsilon р і \sigma \eta \mu$ оs 1278．І 2.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu}$ 1278．І 2,25 ；1283． 18.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho а \chi \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \circ \nu 1273$ ． 7.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \rho \cup \sigma \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ 1273．9．
$\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ ós 1272．6，І 2.
$\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu$ ós 1283． 18.
miva 1273． 10.
тivєเv 1297．I I．
$\pi \iota \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \delta \iota o \nu 1299.10$.
$\pi \iota \pi \rho а ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu 1269.33 ; 1276.5,25,29 ; 1277$. 6， 22 ；1288． 35.
тíन $\sigma a 1286.8$.
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta$ ）1255．II；1261．Iо；1270． $3^{6}$ ； 1273． $2 \mathrm{I}, 27$ ；1276． 12 ； 1331.
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu$ о̂̀ 1252．recto 9 ；1255． 9 ；1260．г 6 ； 1331.
$\pi \lambda$ оio 1259.3 ；1260．4，7；1288． 6.
$\pi o ́ \theta \in \nu$ 1265．I3．
то七є̂̀ 1252．verso 16,2 I ；1257． 9 ； 1261. 9 ；1270．І 8 ；1284．І 5 ；1292．3； 1293. 17；1295．13；1296． 4 ；1299．8；1345； 1349.

токкıлто́s 1277． 8.
тó入ıs．See Index VI（a）．

тодıтıкós 1252．verso 22.
$\pi o \lambda u ́ s ~ 1252 . ~ v e r s o ~ ェ ך ; ~ 1296 . ~ 2 ; ~ 1299 . ~ 2, ~ 4, ~$ 5,20 ；1300．3，6，8，9；1350．$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ 1270． 27.
тópos 1254． 27.
тócos 1295．17．
тобótทs 1293． 9 ．
－тотанітŋs 1288．I 3.
тотанós 1259．12， $20(?)$ ；1263．14．
тоти́ріоу 1269． $3^{6}$ ．
mov 1252．recto 4 （？）．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a 1348$.
$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1257． 5 ．
$\pi \rho a ı \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \tau o s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$
$\pi \rho$ а́кт $\omega \rho$ 1283． 4 ；1258． 3 ．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \not ̨ \iota s ~ 1273 . ~ 35 ; ~ 1282 . ~ 24 . ~$
$\pi \rho a ̂ \sigma \iota s$ 1276．І7；1277． 12 ；1286．5．
$\pi \rho є \sigma \beta$ и́тєроs 1311 （？）； 1322.
$\pi \rho i \nu$ 1292． 6.
$\pi \rho \circ a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1265．I 1.
$\pi \rho \circ а \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$ 1287． 7 （？）．
$\pi \rho о \gamma \rho$ ќфєє 1266． 5 ；1282． $3^{8 ;}$ 1287．го．
троєрүабía 1270． 47.
$\pi \rho о є ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1252．verso 16.
$\pi \rho o i \xi ́ \xi 1274 . ~ І 7$.
троьттávaı 1275． 8.
$\pi \rho о к \in і ̈ \sigma$ Ааи 1253．І7；1254．8；1260．7， 26， 29 ；1261．І 2 ；1263． 16 ；1265． 13 ， 27；1268．16；1273．19， $21,27,35,47$ ， 48，51，53；1275．І3， $26 ; 1276$ ．І 3,27 ， $3^{2} ; 1277.14,26$ ；1278．23， 28 ； 1280.
І 5 ，І 7 ；1281． $8 ; 1287.13$.
$\pi \rho \cup \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1257．І 5 ；1265．І 7 ．
$\pi \rho о \pi о ́ \rho \phi$ ио 1273 ．і 6.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma \gamma є \lambda \mu a$ 1254． 2.
$\pi \rho о \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1257．I5．
пробаүорє́єєь 1300．3，6，9； 1350.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \beta a i v \in \iota \nu$ 1266．I3；1269．го； 1306.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 12＇73．19．
$\pi р о \sigma \delta є і ̈ \theta a \iota ~ 1273.39 ; 1276.19$.
траббакєіг 1299． 7.
$\pi р о \sigma \epsilon і р а \iota ~ 1252 . ~ r e c t o ~ 4 ; ~ 1257 . ~ 1 \% . ~$
траткєфа́入ацоу 1277．9， 23.
требки́гұиа 1296． 4.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu 1257 . ~ I ~ I . ~$
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau(\quad 1286.5$ ．
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \tau a \xi ̧ \iota$ 1252．recto 19.
$\pi$ роттáのбєєц 1252．recto 4， 29.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ т \eta$ 1275． 7.
$\pi \rho a \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1273． 5,47 ；1274．16．
$\pi \rho о \sigma ф о \rho a ́ ~ 1253 . ~ 9 ; ~ 1322 . ~$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu \in$ ì 1252．recto 35 ．
$\pi \rho о т \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu 1273.46$.
$\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о$ оя 1286． 8 （？）．тоо́тєроу 1268．19；
1270． 24 ；1281． 9 ；1287．8，20， 2 I， 22.
$\pi \rho о т о \mu$ 1265．Іо， 22.
$\pi \rho о \tau \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．verso 32 ．
$\pi \rho о т \rho о \pi \eta^{\prime}$ 1252．verso 27.
$\pi \rho \circ ф \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1252．recto $33,3^{6 ;} 1282.25$ ；
1288．I 2.
$\pi \rho o$ ．єєvápıov 1297． 8.
$\pi \rho$ ти⿱亠乂eía 1252．verso 19.
$\pi \rho$ ítavis 1252．verso 13.
$\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \dot{\kappa \tau} \omega \rho$ 1253．4，І 4, I 7 ．
$\pi \rho \omega \tau$ одо́ $\gamma$ ногs 1256．І 5 ．
$\pi \rho \hat{\tau o s ~ 1293 . ~ І 5 . ~ \pi \rho \hat{\tau т о \iota ~ 1253 . ~ 6 . ~} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega s ~}$
1263． 8 ；1267．Іо； 1345.
тขрós 1254．7， 2 I ；1259．12，I8；1279．І 7 ； 1304.
$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 1276． 13 ．
$\pi \omega \bar{s} 1298$ ．го．

рафávıvos 1275．ı8．
९̂v́u 1276．8， 9.
ค́́vıs 1326－7．
ค́ $\omega \nu \nu$ v́vat 1252．verso 37．＂$\rho \rho \omega \sigma \sigma$（or $-\sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$ ） 1252．recto II，verso I2；1270．8； 1291. 12 ；1292． 16 ；1293． 35 ；1294．1ヶ；
 1296． 20 ；1299．19；1300．8； 1349.


ба́ $\mu a \theta_{o}$ 1290．I．
бацкацико́s（？）1281． 6.
$\sigma \epsilon \beta a ́ \sigma \mu \iota о$ 1261． 5 ；1265．I5；1284． 4.
бєієє 1252．recto 37.
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \hat{v} \nu, \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega \mu a \iota 1270$ ． 1 I ；1328；1330； 1335 ； 1337.
$\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu 1252$ ．recto $25 ; 1345$.
$\sigma \eta ่ \pi \epsilon เ \nu$ 1294．I 3 ．
бוкápıò 1294． 8.
бєкои（？） 1312.
бьцарі́ठьод 1289． 9.
біттьор 1288．7，29，34， $3^{6 .}$
бוт ío $^{\circ} 1290.9$.
бıто́крı $\theta$ ò 1253．15．
бъто入ózos．Sce Index VIII．
бітоs 1257．4，I3；1332； 1344.
бкє́тク 1258．го．
$\sigma к \in$ v̂os 1269．5， 20.

бó入ıov 1288．І 6.
бтatiov 1297．Іо；1298．14， 18.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu 1279.15 ; 1347$.
бпє́риа 1262．7，І 5 ．
бтоขסŋ́ 1283．І7，20；1284．І6； 1340.
бто́рє $\mu$ оз 1270． 25.
$\sigma \tau a \theta \mu$ ós 1273．6， 2 1， 28.
$\sigma \tau \not ́ \phi a \nu=s$ 1252．verso $16,20$.
бтıхápıov 1288． 24.
бто८Хєì 1341.
бтóдарХоs 1331 （？）．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i \nu 1257.5$ ；1266．1， 27.
бтратпүós．See Index VIII．
бтратเต́тŋs 1261． 8.
oтроүүú入os 1289． 4.
бтрюิца 1277．7．
бтขттпрía 1288．I 4.
бv$\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ 1266． 18 ；1273． 37 ；1281． 3 ；
1282． 2 I，34， 42 ；1284．I 4.
бчүкаӨıঠ́ри́єєン 1256． 14.
$\sigma v \gamma \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ 1268．4， 17.
бv́ $\mu \beta$ ıos 1294．14， 16.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \stackrel{\imath}{\nu}$ 1273． 22.
бv́ $\mu \beta_{0} \lambda_{0 \nu} 1309$.
$\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu a \chi$ оs 1323 （？）．
бv $\mu \phi \omega \nu$ ề 1270． $3^{2}$ ；1276． 9 ；1280． 16 ；
1329 ； 1331.
бvرфшvía 1275．9，І 2， 24.
бuvaүopa⿱亠тıкós 1304.
ぃvขaӨроí乡єเข 1253． 5 ．
бvขаípє $\mu \alpha$ 1257． 12.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota 1252$ ．recto 45,46 ．

$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi$ ตैs 1252 ．verso 23 ．
бvvıสтávat 1273．2， 49.
бúvyaos 1256．I 3 ；1265． 8.
бขขтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 1270． 47.
бขขтє́̀єta 1270． 42 ；1330－1．
биитเӨ́vaィ 1280． 5.
бvขтíp ${ }^{\prime}$ เs 1273．12， $18,22,29,30,31$ ；
1274．18，19；1284．І 5.
$\sigma \nu \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota 1288$ ． 3 ．
бvбтírns 1328.
бфаîpa 1293． 4 ․
$\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1293.3 \circ$ ， 39.
бфиpíóov 1293．30，39；1297．6， 12.
тá $\gamma \mu$ а 1252．verso 24.
тáлarrov．See Index IX（b）．
tantum 1314.
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тâ̧ıs 1261． 3 ；1266． 24.
тátŋs 1253． 12.
тапŋтє́ $\mu \pi о р о$ 1253．I 3.
тарєұєย́єєン 1299． 8.
тáv $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ 1252．verso 30 ．
тє́кขоข 1276． 3,24 ；1277． 2 ；1299．12， 14 ， 17.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu 1347$.
тє $\uparrow \epsilon$ เก̂̀ 1268． 17.
тє́лєбна 1255．Іо；1270．40；1288． 6.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ̂ \nu 1295.7$.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \dot{\eta}$ 1269． 34 ；1274． 6.
тє́ $\mu \in \nu$ о 1258.9.

тє́тартоу 1293． 25 （？）．
тєтра́ঠ́єриа 1294． 4.
тєтра́ $\mu \eta$ ขos 1252．verso 30,31 ．
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \beta \circ \lambda о \nu$ ．See Index IX（b）．
тє́ $\chi \downarrow \eta$ 1263． 14.
т́́ms 1252．verso 25.
т $\eta \rho \in i ้$ 1298． 7.
тt日éval 1286．16；1282．26；1295． 12.
$\tau \iota \dot{\prime}$ 1253． 6 ；1276．10，26， 30 ；1277．10，
24 ；1281． 5 ；1285． 50 ；1286． 5 ； 1288.
$22 ; 1304 ; 1320 ; 1339$.
тіц $\eta \mu$ 1274． 14.
ті́цоз 1295．1；1300． 2 ； 1337.
тоívuv 1252．verso 18 ．
то́коз 1282．І 7 ；1288．19．
$\tau о \lambda \mu a ̂ \nu 1252$ ．recto 6.
то́ ооя 1307.
тотархіа．See Index VI（a）．
то́тоя 1255．17；1258．9；1259．7； 1283. 6 ；1288． 12.
то́re 1273． 3 I．
тра́тє弓а 1283．14．
т $\rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta i \tau \eta s$ 1253． 1 I；1284．6， 19.
трєкє́ $\lambda \lambda$ ород 1290． 5 ．
тріклı $\nu п \nu$ 1277．7， 23.
трєбкаєбєкаєтйs 1306.
трєб大ós 1259． 25 ；（ $\bar{\gamma}$ ）1260．17；1278． 29.
т $i \neq \psi$ ıs 1273． 32.
т оофं 1252．verso 36 ．
тро́фєцоз 1284．I 2.
трофós 1288．II．
т $\rho о \chi$ о́s 1292． 13.
тขชхávєเv 1265． 20.
тикávıò 1290． 4.
тиф ós $1276 . ~_{8 .}$
тú $\chi \eta$ 1255． 13 ；1273． 1.
v̈àos 1294． 6.
ítaivet 1293．3；1299． 3 ．
ü z tos 1294． 6.
íyเิ̂s 1259． 23 ．
viós 1256． 8 ；1266．І 5 ；1267．І 5 ； 1270. $20 ; 1287.20$ ；1293．40；1295． 4 ； 1296．16， 2 I ； 1299 І；1300．2，10，І 2 ； 1306.

ขтá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1288． 34 ；1291．I I．
ข゙ $\pi a \rho \xi \iota s$ 1274．I 4 ．
ข́ $\pi a ́ p \chi є \iota \nu$ 1252．verso $14 ; 1267$ ．I I ； 1270. 22 ；1273． 36 ；1274． 22 ；1276． 5 ； 1281.
2 ；1287．I 2 ，I 9.
íтєivaı 1264．Io，I 7.


ข́то́ $\beta \lambda \eta$ тоs 1266． 34.
íтоүрáфєєン 1254． 23 ；1269． 21.
vimoyúas 1252．verso 18.
і́токєїөаи 1270． 8.
ímo久oүєì 1298．I7．
únóloyos 1279． 9 ．
íó̀oıtos 1252．verso 35， 36 ．
$\dot{\text { íо́ } \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha}$ 1252．recto 26,32 ；1257．17； 1263．І6；1264．9，І3；1267．у9； 1270. 7 ；1287．10， 18 ； 1302.
ітоццทиатоура́фоя 1257．4；1270．І 3.
и́тоциŋбтєкós 1343.
ínóvoıa 1272．I3．
іпоо́ттабıs 1274．I5．
іттотєӨ́vat 1269．23，25，27，29， 31 ．

ข̃́ттєคоข 1252．verso 28.
факıápıò 1300． 9.
фа⿱㇒日ध рós 1252．recto 37.
фа́бıs 1274． 6.
фє́ $\epsilon \in \nu$ 1252．verso 3 ；1291． 3 ；1293． 19 ； 1297．16； 1347.
$\phi \in \rho \nu \dot{\prime}$ 1273．6，17，19，27，35，47，51．
$\phi$ ब́vect 1252．recto 32 ；1293． 25.
фıлóßov 1 os 1305.
філоторєіि 1296．7．
фі̀лоs 1298．фі̀латоs 1292． 17 ；1298．6； 1300．II．
фồvı彑́ 1293． 34 （？）．
форєîl 1300．IO．
фópos 1279． 19.
фои入 $\beta \iota \nu a 1290.7$.
фроитіऍєı 1307.
$\phi$ родтis 1252．verso 20.
$\phi$ оoúpiov 1252．recto $18,25$.

фи入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 1273． 23.
$\phi \nu \lambda \eta ́ 1267.2$.
фúvıs 1266． 33.
$\chi^{\text {aí } \rho \iota v} 1252$. recto 3 ，verso $1,6,9 ; 1270$. 6 ；1274． 5 ；1276． 4 ；1277．6； 1280. 4 ；1291． 2 ；1292． 2 ；1293． 2 ； 1294. 2 ；1295． 2 ；1296． 3 ；1298． 3 ； 1299. 2 ；1300． 2 ；1320－1；1348－9．
$\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o ́ s ~ 1295 . ~ I 7 . ~}$
$\chi^{\text {a }}$ коîs 1269．22， 36 ．Cf Index IX（b）．
$\chi$ х́рьг 1296． 6.
хартápıò 1297．І 8.
$\chi$ єі́л $\omega \mu$ 1294．5， 12.
$\chi \in і \lambda \omega \mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu 1294.3$.
$\chi є ц \omega \dot{\nu}$ 1300． 9.
$\chi$ єíp，סıà $\chi$ є८рós 1270． $3^{6}$ ；1276．I2．єis $\chi$ єîpas 1339．ن́nò $\frac{17}{} \chi \in ⿺ \rho i ́ 1268.9$.
$\chi \in \iota \rho \iota$ до́s 1259．3， 22.
$\chi є \iota \iota \sigma \tau \iota о ́ v$ 1257．го．
$\chi є$ 七о́ ópaфоン 1252．recto 16 （？）．
$\chi \in \iota \rho о т о \nu \in i ̃ v 1252$ ．verso 18 ．
$\chi є \rho \rho i \not \psi \iota \sigma \tau o s(1 . \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \psi \eta \sigma \tau o s ?)$ 1288． 32.
$\chi \in \rho \sigma о \theta \rho$ uitıs 1347.
xєá乌єı 1282． 34.
$\chi$ 幺т $\omega$ 1269． 30 （кเт $\omega$ ข）．

$\chi^{\lambda \text { даи́s 1288．} 24 .}$
$\chi \mu \gamma 1289$. І ；1300． 1 ； 1328 ； 1343.
хоi้าझ 1286．3，4， 7 ．
хоиі́ठıоу 1269． 33 ；1299．7．
хо́vбооя 1338.
Хор $\eta$ Єєì 1252．verso 29， 3 I， 36 ；1273． 24 ． хорŋүia 1252．verso 15 ．
хрєia 1292．I I ；1294．16；1337； 1346.

хрєшбтєíl 1320.
хрךиаті乌єєข 1252．recto 45，46；1260．9； 1268． 2 ；1273． 3 ；1274． 5 ；1276． 2 ；
1277． 2 ；1278． 2,35 ；1281．I 5 ；1282． 46.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós 1270． 4.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta$＇s 1270．I5．
$\chi \rho \eta \bar{\nu}$ 1274． 26.
$\chi \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma$ aı 1263．г 2 ；1266． $3^{6}$ ；1276．І 4.
$\chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \iota \stackrel{1318 .}{ }$
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ ท́pเoข 1268．І 2 ；1276．7，Іо；1284．ІІ．
Хро́vos 1252．verso Іт， $36 ; 1276.5 ; 1278$.
13，20，29；1299．20；1300．10．
र $\quad$ uqiov 1273． 28.
$\chi \rho v \sigma o ́ s ~ 1273 . ~ 6 . ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o v ̂ ~ \nu о \mu \iota \sigma \mu и ́ т \iota o \nu . ~ S e e ~$ Index IX（b）．
$\chi \rho v \sigma o u ̂ s ~ 1272.9, ~ I I ; ~ 1274 . ~ 17 . ~$
$\chi \rho(\quad 1290$. Іо．
$\chi$ б̂$\mu а ~ 1270 . ~ 39 ; ~ 1279 . ~ І 3 . ~$
$\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 1252．recto 3 I ．＇А $\lambda \epsilon \xi а \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \chi$ ．1274．8．
$\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̀ 1262.8 ; 1278.23$.
$\chi$ раís 1273．8，9，10；1276．2， 24 ；1277．2； 1279． 16 ；1282． 35.

廿а入í̊ıo 1289．5， 6.
$\psi \in v ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1264.20$ ；1266． 32.
廿óa 1339.
廿vкгі́р 1280．7．
$\psi \omega \mu i o \nu 1345$.
ஸ்ขєīซ 1320.
ஸٌóข 1339.
ís 1252．recto $36,45,46 ; 1254.27 ; 1257$. 16；1260． 9 ；1265．27；1267．20； 1270．52， 54 ；1273．3，16；1274．5；
1276．13，14，27，31；1277．14， 26 ；
1278．2， 35,37 ；1280．17；1292．5； 1293． $13 ; 1348$.
$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon 1255.7$ ；1279．14；1293．13．

## XII. INDEX OF PASSAGES DISCUSSED.

## (a) Authors.
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## EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND, which has conducted Archaeological research in Esypt since 1882, in 1897 started a special department, called the Graeco-Roman Branch, for the discovery and publication of remains of classical antiquity and early Christianity in Egypt.

The Graeco-Roman Branch issues annual volumes, each of about 250 quarto pages, weith facsimile plates of the more important papyri, under the editorship of Drs. Grenfell and Hunt.

A subscription of One Guinea to the Graeco-Roman Branch entitles subscribers to the anmual volume, and to attendance at the Fund's lectures in London and elsewhere. A donation of $£_{25}$ constitutes life membership. Subscriptions may be sent to the Honorary Treasurers-for England, Mr. J. Grafton Milne, 37 Great Russell St., London, W.C.; and for America, Mr. Chester I. Campbell, 527 Tremom Temple, Boston, Mass.

## PUBLICATIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND.

## MEMOIRS OF THE FUND.

I. THE STORE CITY OF PITHOM AND THE ROUTE OF THE EXODUS. For 1883-4. By Edouard Navilee. Thirteen Plates and Plans. (Fourth and Revised Edition.) 25 s.
II. TANIS, Part I. For $1884-5$. By W. MI. Flinders Petrie. Eighteen Plates and two Plans. (Second Edition.) ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
III. NaUKRATIS, Part I. For 1885-6. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Chapters by Cecil Smith, Ernest A. Gardner, and Barclay V. Head. Forty-four Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
IV. GOSHEN AND THE SHRINE OF SAFT-EL-HENNEH. For 1886-7. By Edouard Naville. Eleven Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) $2 \overline{\mathrm{j}}$ s.
V. TANIS, Part II ; including TELL DEFENNEH (The Biblical 'Tahpanhes') and TELL NEbeSheh. For 1887 -8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie, F. Ll. Griffith, and A. S. Nurray. Fifty-one Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
VI. NaUKRATIS, Part II. For i888-9. By Ernest A. Gardner and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-four Plates and Plans. 255 .
VII. THE CITY OF ONIAS AND THE MOUND OF THE JEW. The Antiquities of Tell-el-Yahûdîyeh. An Extra Volume. By Edouard Nayile and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-six Plates and Plans. 25 s.
VIII. BUBASTIS. For $1889-90$. By Edouard Natille. Fifty-four Plates and Plans. 25 s.
IX. TWO HIEROGLYPHIC PAPYRI FROM TANIS. An Extra Volume. Containing THE SIGN PAPVRUS (a Syllabary). By F. Ll. Griffith. THE geographical papyrus (an Almanac). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Remarks by Heinrich Brugsch. (Out of print.)
X. THE FESTIVAL HALL OF OSORKON II (BUBASTIS). For $1890-1$. By Edouard Naville. Thirty-nine Plates. 25 s.
XI. AHNAS EL MEDINEH. For 1891-2. By Edouard Naville. Eighteen Plates. And The tomb of paheri at el Kab. By J. J. Tylor and F. Ll. Griffith. Ten Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Introductory. For $1892-3$. By Edouard Naville. Fifteen Plates and Plans. 25 s.
XIII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 1893-4. By Edouard Naylle. Plates I-XXIV (three coloared) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XIV. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part II. For $1894-5$. By Edouard Naville. Plates XXV-LV (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XV. DESHÂSHEH. For 1895 -6. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Photogravure and other Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XVI. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part III. For $1896-7$. By Edouard Naville. Plates LVI-LXXXVI (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XVII. DENDEREH. For 1897-8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Thirty-eight Plates. ${ }^{25}$. . (Extra Plates of Inscriptions. Forty Plates. 1os.)
XVIII. ROYAL TOMBS OF THE FIRST DYNASTY. For $1898-9$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-eight Plates. 25 s.
※1Ň. DEIR EL BAHAR1, Part IV. For 1899-1900. By Enouard Natlle. Plates LXXXVII-CXVHII (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
ぶX. DIOSPOLIS PARVA. An Extra Volume. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-nine Plates. (Out of print.)
XXI. THE ROYAL TOMBS OF THE EARLIEST DYNASTIES, Part II. For 1900-1. By W. M.Fi.inders P'etrie. Sixty-three Plates. 25s. (Thirty-five extra Plates, 10s.)
XXII. ABYDOS, Part 1. For 1901-2. By W. M.F. Petrie. Eighty-one Plates. 25 s.
XXIII. EL AMRAH AND ABYDOS. An Extra Volume. By D. Randall-MacIver, A. C. Mace, and F. lil. Griffiti. Sixty Plates. 255.
XXIV. ABYDOS, PartII. For $1902-3$. By W. MI. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-four Plates. 25 s.
XXV. Abydos, Part III. An Extra Volume. By C. T. Currelly, E. R. Ayrton, and A. E. P. Weigall, \&c. Sixty-one Plates. 25 s.
XXVI. EhNASYA. For 1903-4. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-three Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s. (ROMAN EHNASYA. Thirty-two extra Plates. 10s.)
XXVII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part V. For 1904-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates CXIX-CL with Description. Royal folio. 305.
xxviif. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 1905-6. By Edouard Naville and H. R. Hall. Thirty-one Plates. ${ }_{2}{ }_{5}$ s.
XXIX. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part VI. For 1906-7. By Edouard Naville. Plates CLI-CLXXIV (one coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XXX. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part II. For 1907-S. By Edouard Naville. Twenty-four Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XXXI. PRE-DYNASTIC CEMETERY AT EL MAHASNA. For 1908-9. By E. R. Ayrton and W. L. S. Loat. 25 s.
XXXII. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part III. For 1909-10. By Edouard Naville, H. R. Hall, and C. T. Currelly. Thirty-six Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XXXV. THE CEMETERIES OF ABYDOS, Part III. For 1912-13. (Part $I$, No. XXXIII and Part II, No. XXXIV to be published later.) By T. E. PeEt and W. L. S. Loat. ${ }^{25}$ s.

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY.

Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.
I. BENI HASAN, Part I. For i890-r. By Percy E. Newberry. With Plans by G. W. Fraser. Forty-nine Plates (four coloured). (Out of print.)
II. BENI HASAN, Part II. For 1891-2. By Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix, Plans, and Measurements by G. W. Fraser. Thirty-seven Plates (two coloured). 25 .
III. EL BERSHEH, Part I. For 1892-3. By Percy E. Newberry. Thirty-four Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
IV. EL BERSHEH, Part II. For 1893-4. By F. Ll. Griffith and Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix by G. W. Fraser. Twenty-three Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
V. BENI HASAN, Part III. For 1894-5. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Hieroglyphs, and manufacture, \&c., of Flint Knives.) Ten coloured Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$.
VI. HIEROGLYPHS FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND. For $1895-6$. By F.Ll. Griffith. Nine coloured Plates. 25 s.
VII. BENI HASAN, Part IV. For 1896-7. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Illustrating beasts and birds, arts, crafts, \&c.) Twenty-seven Plates (twenty-one coloured). ${ }^{2}$ s.
VIII. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part I. For 1897-8. By N. de G. Davies and F. Ll. Griffith. Thirtyone Plates (three coloured). ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
IX. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQareh, Part II. For 1898-9. By N. de G. Davies and F.Ll. Griffith. Thirtyfive Plates. ${ }_{25} 5$.
X. THE ROCK TOMBS OF SHEIKH SAÏD. For $1899-1900$. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty-five Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XI. THE ROCK TONIBS OF DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part I. For igoo-r. By N. de G. Davies. Twenty-seven Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
XII. DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part II. For rgoi-2. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
XIII. THE ROCK TOMBS OF EL AMARNA, Part I. For 1902-3. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-one Plates. ${ }_{5} 5$ s.
XIV. EL AMARNA, Part II. For 1903-4. By N. de G. Dames. Forty-seven Plates. 25 s.
XV. EL AMARNA, Part III. For $1904-5$. By N. de G. Davies. Forty Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XVI. EL AMARNA, Part IV. For 1905 - 6 . By N. de G. Davies. Forty-five Plates. 25 s.
XVII. EL AMARNA, Part V. For ${ }^{9} 906-7$. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-four Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XVIII. EL AMARNA, Part VI. For 1907 -8. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-four Plates. 25 s.
XIX. THE ISLAND OF MEROË. By J. W. Crowfoot, and MIEROITIC insCRIPTIONS, Part I. For 1908-9. By F. Li. Griffith. Thirty-five Plates. ${ }^{5} 5$ s.
XX. MEROITIC inSCRIPTIONS, Part II. For i909-io. By F. Li. Griffith. Forty-eight Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XXI. FIVE THEBAN TOMBS. For igro-if. By N. de G. Daries. Forty-three Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XXII. THE ROCK TOMBS OF MEIR. For 19il-iz. By A. M. Blackyan. (In preparation.)

## GRAECO.ROMAN BRANCH.

I. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part I. For $1897-8$. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. (Out of print.)
II. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part II. For $1898-9$. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. ${ }_{25}$ s.
III. FayÛli TOWNS AND THEIR PAPYRI. For i899-igoo. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth. Eighteen Plates. 25 .
IV. THE TEBTUNIS PAPYRI. Double Volume for 1900-1 and 1901-2. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly. Nine Collotype Plates. (Not for sale.)
V. The OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part III. For 1902-3. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{j}$ s.
II. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IV. For 1903-4. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. 25 s.
V'II. THE HIBEH PAPYRI, Part I. Double Volume for $1904-5$ and 1905-6. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Ten Collotype Plates. 45 s.
VIII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part V. For 1906-7. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
IX. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VI. For 1907-8. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }_{5} 5$ s.
X. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VII. For 1908-9. By A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
di. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VliI. For 1909-io. By A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. $2_{5}^{5}$.
XII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IX. For igio-ir. By A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. 25 s.
Xili. The OXYRHYNChUS PAPYRI, Part X. For igir-iz. By B. P. Grenfell. and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates, 25 s.

## ANNUAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORTS.

(Ycarly Summaries by F. G. Kenyon, W. E. Crum, and the Officers of the Society, with Maps.) Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.
the season's work. For i890-i. By Edouard Naville, Percy E. Newberry, and G. W. Fraser. 2s. 6 d .

For 1892-3 and 1893-4. 2s. 6d. each.
" 1894-5. 3s. 6d. Containing Report of D. G. Hogarth's Excavations in Alexandria.
", 189.5-6. 3s. With Illustrated Article on the Transport of Obelisks by Edouard Naville.
", 1896-7. $25.6 d$. With Articles on Oxyrhynchus and its Papyri by B. P. Grenfell, and a Thucydides Papyrus from Oxyrhynchus by A. S. HUNT.
, $189 \%$-8. 2s. 6 d . With Illustrated Article on Excavations at Hierakonpolis by W. M. F. Petrie.
" $1898-9.2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. With Article on the Position of Lake Moeris by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
", 1899-1900. 2s. 6d. With Article on Knossos in its Egyptian Relations by A. J. Evans.
And twelve successive years, $2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. each.

## SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS.

aoria inzor: 'Sayings of Our Lord,' from an Early Greek Papyrus. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Ilunt. $2 s$. (with Collotypes) and $6 d$. net.
NEW SAYINGS OF JESUS AND FRAGMENT OF A LOST GOSPEL. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. 1s. net.
fragment of an uncanonical Gospel. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. is. net.
ATLAS OF ANCIENT EGYPT. With Letterpress and Index. (Out of prinl.) GUIDE TO THE TEMPLE OF DEIR EL BAHARI. With Plan. (Out of print.) COPTIC OSTRACA. By W. E. Crum. ios. 6d. net.
Slides from Fund Photographs may be obtained through Messrs. Newton \& Co., 3 Fleet Street, E.C. and l'rints from Mrr. R. C. Murray', 37 Dartmouth Park Hill, N.W.

## Offices of the Esypt Exploration Fund:

37 GREAT RUSSELL, STREET, LONDON, W.C., AND $52 ;$ TREMONT TEM1'LE, BOSTON, MASS., U.S.A.

## Agents:

BERNARD QUARITCH, 11 GRAFTON STREET, NEW BOND STREET, W.
ASHER \& Co. 14 BEDFORD STREET, COVENT G ARDEN, W.C., AND 56 UNTER DEN LINDEN, BERLIN HUMPHKEY MILFORD, OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRFSS, AMEN CORNER, E.C., AND
29-35 W LEST 32 ND S TREET, NEW YORK, U.S A.
C. F. CLAY, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS, FETTER LANE, LONDON, E.C., AND ioo PRINCES STREET, EDINBURGH KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER \& Co., 68-74 CARTER LANE, E.C.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 1. I of that papyrus $\Psi o c i o v ~ r o u ̂ ~ \phi u \gamma \dot{u}\left\langle\nu^{\prime}\right\rangle \tau[0]$ s is probably to be read.

[^1]:     this is a different passage or another version of the same may be doubted.

[^2]:    
    
    
     ${ }^{\prime} H[\rho] \alpha \kappa \lambda[\epsilon i] \delta ? \eta \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \kappa[\alpha i$
    
    
    
    

[^3]:    'Eтıкрátทs s. of Didymus 1278. 9.
    'Eтінахоs decaprotus 1257. І, 2, I5.
    'Exiнaגos ex-gymnasiarch, s. of Sarapion 1282. 3.

